

Valerie S. Mason
Chair

Will Brightbill
District Manager



505 Park Avenue, Suite 620
New York, N.Y. 10022-1106
(212) 758-4340
(212) 758-4616 (Fax)
www.cb8m.com – Website
info@cb8m.com – E-Mail

**The City of New York
Community Board 8 Manhattan
Full Board Meeting
PUBLIC HEARING**

Wednesday, July 17, 2024 - 6:30 PM

**This meeting was conducted in a hybrid format in person and via Zoom
Memorial Sloan Kettering's Rockefeller Research Laboratories
430 East 67th Street (Between First Avenue and York Avenue)**

MINUTES:

Community Board Members Present: Bill Angelos, Jennifer Bayer Michaels, Michele Birnbaum, Taina Borrero, Sarah Chu, Sebastian Hallum Clarke, Saundrea Coleman, Lindsey Cormack, Felice Farber, Edward Hartzog, Bradley Hershenson, David P. Helpern, Amir Jaffer, Wilma Johnson, Paul Krikler, Craig Lader, Addeson Lehv, Valerie Mason, John McClement, Maximillian Meyer, Evan Meyerson, CJ Mossman, Jane Parshall, Rita Popper, Margaret Price, Elizabeth Rose, Barbara Rudder, Abraham Salcedo, Will Sanchez, Judy Schneider, Robin Seligson, Sacha Sellem, Russell Squire, Todd Stein, Marco Tamayo, Charles Warren, and Sharon Weiner.

Community Board Members Virtual: Elizabeth Ashby (Health), P. Gayle Baron (Health), Alida Camp (Travel), Anthony Cohn (Travel), Sahar Husain (Travel), and Adam Wald (Travel).

Community Board Members Excused: Michael Anderson, Lori Ann Bores, Juno Chowla-Song, John Philips and Sharon Pope-Marshall.

Community Board Members Absent: Rebecca Lamorte and Jack Sasson.

Total Attendance: 43

Chair Valerie S. Mason called the meeting to order at 6:30 PM.

1. Public Session

- Shakima Grant representing Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center spoke on the latest updates on the MSK Pavilion.
- Joanna Naples-Mitchell representing Physicians for Human Rights spoke in favor of the resolution banning the term "excited delirium" in New York State.
- Meredith Abrams spoke in favor of City of Yes for Housing Opportunity.
- Liam Jeffries spoke in favor of City of Yes for Housing Opportunity and in favor of eliminating parking minimums.
- Oliver Bruckauf spoke about the lack of ADA-accessible entrances for transit in New York City for his daughter and spoke in favor of the recent MTA Capital Plan for 2020-2024 referencing congestion pricing.
- Matt Bauer representing the Madison Avenue Business Improvement District spoke on the improvements and activities going on in Madison Avenue.
- Hindy Schachter resident for 50 years, spoke in support of the 2020-2024 MTA Capital Plan referencing congestion pricing.
- Kevin Guzman spoke in support of the 2020-2024 MTA Capital Plan and accessibility access on train platforms for strollers.
- Ann Treboux spoke on the concern about too many vendors around the MET, which she claims are not legal and are crowding the area around her stand.
- Nigel Halliday spoke in favor of the City of Yes to reform zoning laws and called for the Board to support a resolution in favor of bringing back congestion pricing to fund the 2020-2024 MTA Capital Plan.
- Evelyn David called for people to contact Governor Hochul's office about the MTA Capital Plan and had a question about parking minimums.
- Chris Sanders spoke in favor of the 2020-2024 MTA Capital Plan to fix transit referencing congestion pricing and remove on-street parking.

- David Byrnes representing Asphalt Green thanked the board for the approval of the Asphalt Screams Halloween event and acknowledge the concerns from neighbors from last week's Street Fair Committee Meeting.
- Dylan Kennedy spoke in favor of the City of Yes for Housing Opportunity on affordable housing and is in support for fully funding the MTA.
- Andrew Fine representing the New York City E-Vehicle Safety Alliance spoke against the City of Yes and called for more regulations on e-bikes.

2. **Adoption of the Agenda** – Agenda Adopted

3. **Adoption of the Minutes** – Minutes Adopted

4. **Manhattan Borough President's Report**

Manhattan Borough President Mark Levine reported on his latest initiatives

5. **Elected Officials' Reports**

Chair Mason asked the elected official reps to waive their reports for this month, to finish the meeting on time.

6. **Chair's Report – Valerie S. Mason**

Chair Valerie S. Mason gave her report.

7. **District Manager's Report – Will Brightbill**

District Manager Will Brightbill gave his report.

8. **Continued Discussions on the City of Yes for Housing Opportunity (COYHO)**

COY-1: Item 1

COY-2: Item 2

COY-3: Item 3 Approval (Failed)

COY-4: Item 3 Substitute disapproval

COY-5: Item 4 Approval (Failed)

COY-6: Item 4 Substitute disapproval

COY-7: Item 5

COY-8: Item 6

COY-9: Item 7

COY-10: Item 8

COY-11: Item 9 Approval (Failed)

COY-12: Item 9 Substitute disapproval

COY-13: Item 10

COY-14: Item 11

COY-15: Item 12 Approval (Failed)

COY-16: Item 12 Substitute disapproval

COY-17: Item 13 Disapproval (Failed)

COY-18: Item 13 Substitute Approval

COY-19: Item 14 Approval (Failed)

COY-20: Item 14 Conditional Approval

COY-21: Item 15

WHEREAS, the proposals contained in City of Yes for Housing Opportunity ("COYHO"), put forth by the Department of City Planning, represent the third of three sets of proposals designed to promote sustainability, support economic development and create affordable housing throughout the City of New York;

WHEREAS, the primary aim of COYHO, as set forth by the Department of City of Planning is to promote a "little bit" of housing in every neighborhood; and

WHEREAS, the housing market study provided in COYHO's DEIS showed that Community District 8 is unfortunately first among all New York City Community Districts in housing units lost during the period 2010-2024 but during the same period

the District had substantial construction activity with developers often choosing to develop sites with large units rather than additional housing for our District; and

WHEREAS, the combination of (a) construction of such massive buildings with almost no additional housing, (b) the conversion of small tenements to single family homes, and (c) the combination of units in condos and coops, has left our district with substantial construction activity but destruction of housing, often affordable housing, and replacing it with some of the largest most expensive housing units in the world; and

WHEREAS, it has long been a primary goal of Community Board 8 Manhattan to help in the facilitation and creation of affordable housing in our district and elsewhere in the City of New York; and

WHEREAS, Community Board 8 Manhattan has conducted a comprehensive review and engaged in discussions with relevant city agencies regarding the COYHO zoning text amendment and engaged a land use and zoning expert to assist us in our review of COYHO; and

WHEREAS, COYHO comprises 15 components of varying impact levels and clarity, necessitating careful consideration; and

WHEREAS, Community Board 8 Manhattan noted that due to the limited review period, and the complexity of COYHO changes, many questions about different components of COYHO remain, causing reluctance and a hesitation on the part of many of our Board Members as to how best to express our views on the individual proposals, the overall proposal and the review process itself (e.g., although not called out in the proposals explicitly, COYHO proposes to reduce many long standing standards for residential development including, reduction of the rear yard from 30 feet to 20 feet, reduction of courtyard sizes, reduction of side yards and distances between buildings, an increase in maximum lot coverage, a closer placement of legal windows to the lot line, and the removal of height factor zoning, with none of the foregoing linked to the creation of affordable housing); and

WHEREAS, Community Board 8 Manhattan is concerned about the potential loss of Charter mandated community input and City Council review that the as of right nature of these COYHO proposals will establish; and

WHEREAS, Community Board 8 Manhattan reserves our right to continue to evaluate COYHO as it moves forward and to provide additional comment on the proposals as more information becomes available and the proposals evolve through the legislative process;

THE RESOLUTION FOR THIS APPLICATION IS DIVIDED INTO FIFTEEN PARTS:

Part A - Item 1: Town Center Zoning

WHEREAS, COYHO Proposal 1 would re-introduce buildings with ground floor commercial and two to four stories of housing above, in areas where this classic building form is banned under today's zoning resolution.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that Part A of this application is **APPROVED** as presented.

Community Board 8 Manhattan approved Part A of this resolution by a vote of 25 in favor, 14 opposed, 3 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.

Part B - Item 2: Transit-Oriented Development

WHEREAS, COYHO Proposal 2 would allow modest, three-to-five story apartment buildings where they fit best: large lots within half a mile of subway or Rail stations that are on wide streets or corners.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that Part B of this application is **APPROVED** as presented.

Community Board 8 Manhattan approved Part B of this resolution by a vote of 24 in favor, 16 opposed, 3 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.

Part C - Item 3: Accessory Dwelling Units

A motion was made to approve item 3 as presented. The motion failed by a vote of 16 in favor, 26 opposed, 1 abstention, and 0 not voting for cause.

A substitute motion to disapprove was introduced,

WHEREAS, COYHO Proposal 3 would permit accessory dwelling units such as backyard cottages, garage conversions, and basement apartments;

WHEREAS, the Proposal may result in a strain on infrastructure, with no proposed limitations on the number of ADUs per block or size of ADUs, and the unknown impact to surrounding properties,

WHEREAS, though this Proposal was primarily aimed at districts located other than in Manhattan it would enable substantial infill within the “donuts” with blocks in our district and elsewhere in Manhattan which are lined by low rise buildings, townhouses and brownstones, a unique and valued feature of many of our city blocks;

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that Part C of this application is **DISAPPROVED** as presented.

Community Board 8 Manhattan approved Part C of this resolution by a vote of 26 in favor, 16 opposed, 1 abstention, and 0 not voting for cause.

Part D - Item 4: District Fixes

A motion was made to approve item 4 as presented. The motion failed by a vote of 15 in favor, 26 opposed, 2 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.

A substitute motion to disapprove was introduced,

WHEREAS, CPC stated this COYHO Proposal 4 would give homeowners additional flexibility to adapt their homes to meet their families’ needs; and

WHEREAS, “district fixes” increase the allowable densities in nearly all of the currently low density districts, and the Board was reluctant to tell other districts what allowable densities should be in their neighborhoods.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that Part D of this application is **DISAPPROVED** as presented.

Community Board 8 Manhattan approved Part D of this resolution by a vote of 26 in favor, 16 opposed, 2 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.

Part E - Item 5: District Fixes

WHEREAS, COYHO Proposal 5 would allow buildings to add at least 20% more housing if the additional homes are permanently affordable, and

WHEREAS, this Proposal extends an existing rule for affordable senior housing to all forms of affordable and supportive housing.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that Part E of this application is **APPROVED** as presented.

Community Board 8 Manhattan approved Part E of this resolution by a vote of 23 in favor, 16 opposed, 4 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.

Part F - Item 6: Lift Costly Parking Mandates

WHEREAS, COYHO Proposal 6 would eliminate mandatory parking requirements for new buildings in boroughs other than Manhattan as well as Manhattan Community Districts 9 through 12,

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that Part F of this application is **DISAPPROVED** as presented.

Community Board 8 Manhattan approved Part F of this resolution by a vote of 26 in favor, 15 opposed, 1 abstention, and 0 not voting for cause.

Part G - Item 7: Convert Non-Residential Buildings to Housing

WHEREAS, COYHO Proposal 7 would make it easier for underused, non-residential buildings, such as offices, to be converted into housing.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that Part G of this application is **APPROVED** subject to the following conditions: (1) that a minimum of 20% of the square footage be reserved for affordable housing; and (2) set the eligibility date for conversions to a rolling date of 35 years from the date of the building's construction.

Community Board 8 Manhattan approved Part G of this resolution by a vote of 31 in favor, 11 opposed, 1 abstention, and 0 not voting for cause.

Part H - Item 8: Small and Shared Housing

WHEREAS, COYHO Proposal 8 would re-introduce housing with shared kitchens or other common facilities, and

WHEREAS, COYHO would eliminate strict limits on studios and one-bedroom apartments.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that Part H of this application is **APPROVED** subject to the following conditions: that such housing only be permitted (1) as part of new construction or (2) in office-to-residential conversion projects, in each of the foregoing cases, which are designed to have 100% of the unit mix be small or shared units, as to prevent the unintended consequence of incentivizing conversion of existing multi-bedroom units to micro units.

Community Board 8 Manhattan approved Part H of this resolution by a vote of 29 in favor, 14 opposed, 0 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.

Part I - Item 9: Campus Infill

A motion was made to approve item 9 as presented. The motion failed by a vote of 19 in favor, 24 opposed, 0 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.

A substitute motion to disapprove was introduced,

WHEREAS, COYHO Proposal 9 would make it easier to add new housing on large sites that have existing buildings on them and already have ample space to add more (e.g., a church with an oversized parking lot); and

WHEREAS, the Board is concerned about the loss of community approval and the effect of such new housing on existing housing, loss of existing light and air and loss of quality of life for existing residents such as loss of park space, other community uses, and parking spaces;

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that Part I of this application is **DISAPPROVED** as presented.

Community Board 8 Manhattan approved Part I of this resolution by a vote of 24 in favor, 19 opposed, 0 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.

Part J - Item 10: New Zoning Districts

WHEREAS, COYHO Proposal 10 would create new Residence Districts requiring Mandatory Inclusionary Housing that can be mapped in central areas in compliance with state requirements and

WHEREAS, the Board is concerned about community approval.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that Part J of this application is **DISAPPROVED** as presented.

Community Board 8 Manhattan approved Part J of this resolution by a vote of 26 in favor, 11 opposed, 5 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.

Part K - Item 11: Update to Mandatory Inclusionary Housing

WHEREAS, COYHO Proposal 11 would update the Mandatory Inclusionary Housing Program to allow the deep affordability option to be used on its own.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that Part K of this application is **APPROVED** subject to the following conditions: (1) apply stronger affordability requirements to this Proposal; (2) change the way in which AMI bands are applied to MIH; and (3) require affordable housing be distributed through 100% of floors.

Community Board 8 Manhattan approved Part K of this resolution by a vote of 32 in favor, 5 opposed, 4 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.

Part L - Item 12: Sliver Law

A motion was made to approve item 12 as presented. The motion failed by a vote of 17 in favor, 22 opposed, 1 abstention, and 0 not voting for cause.

A substitute motion to disapprove was introduced,

WHEREAS, COYHO Proposal 12 would repeal the Sliver Law and allow narrow lots to achieve underlying Quality Housing heights in R7-R10 districts.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that Part L of this application is **DISAPPROVED** as presented.

Community Board 8 Manhattan approved Part L of this resolution by a vote of 22 in favor, 17 opposed, 1 abstention, and 0 not voting for cause.

Part M - Item 13: Quality Housing Amenity Changes

A motion was made to approve item 13 as presented. The motion failed by a vote of 19 in favor, 18 opposed, 3 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.

A substitute motion to disapprove was introduced,

WHEREAS, COYHO Proposal 13 would extend amenity benefits in the “Quality Housing” program to all multifamily buildings, and update to improve incentives for family-sized apartments, trash storage and disposal, indoor recreational space, and shared facilities like laundry, mail rooms, and office space.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that Part M of this application is **APPROVED** subject to the following conditions: Require new buildings to have trash rooms, mail rooms, delivery areas, laundry, and other infrastructure inside, and include these spaces in the 5% deduction, as the Proposal should not be used as a floor area bonus to provide elements that should be provided in any event.

Community Board 8 Manhattan approved Part M of this resolution by a vote of 22 in favor, 16 opposed, 2 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.

Part N - Item 14: Landmark Transferable Development Rights

A motion was made to approve item 14 as presented. The motion failed by a vote of 15 in favor, 25 opposed, 1 abstention, and 0 not voting for cause.

A substitute motion to approve with conditions was introduced,

WHEREAS, COYHO Proposal 14 would make it easier for owners of landmarks to sell unused development rights by expanding transfer radius and simplifying procedure.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that Part N of this application is **APPROVED** subject to the following conditions: (1) require that only residential or community facility uses be eligible land uses for properties taking advantage of the expanded landmark development rights transfer radius; (2) require the inclusion of a mechanism for affordable housing for developments using the as-of-right landmark TDR; and (3) limit the amount of increase an eligible site can receive to 20% of their existing FAR.

Community Board 8 Manhattan approved Part N of this resolution by a vote of 23 in favor, 16 opposed, 0 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.

Part O - Item 15: Railroad Right-of-Way

WHEREAS, COYHO Proposal 15 would simplify and streamline permissions for development involving former railroad rights of way.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that Part O of this application is **APPROVED** as presented.

Community Board 8 Manhattan approved Part O of this resolution by a vote of 25 in favor, 14 opposed, 0 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.

9. Committee Reports and Action Items

A. Charter Revision Task Force – Russell Squire and Shari Weiner, Co-Chairs

CR-1: Item 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11, 12 – Unanimous Approvals

CR-2: Item 2

CR-3: Item 10

CR-4: Item 13

Item 1: Units of Appropriation

WHEREAS, CB8M is concerned that the charter revision process this year is too rushed and has not provided sufficient opportunity for public input; and

WHEREAS, units of appropriation (corresponding in lay terms more or less to line items) in New York City budget legislation are usually too large and undifferentiated; and

WHEREAS, units of appropriation often do not specify funding amounts for specific programs and agencies; and

WHEREAS, the New York City Charter should be revised to require more specificity regarding units of appropriation in budget legislation;

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that CB8M recommends that the Charter Revision Commission approve a ballot initiative to amend the New York City Charter to require more specific units of appropriation in budget legislation, including a separate unit of appropriation for each city agency with an operating budget over \$2 million.

Item 3: Independent Budget Office analysis of the fiscal impact of certain city legislation

WHEREAS, CB8M is concerned that the charter revision process this year is too rushed and has not provided sufficient opportunity for public input; and

WHEREAS, New York City's Independent Budget Office provides independent analysis of the fiscal impact of proposed legislation, free of political bias and the influence of the elected officials and/or agencies proposing particular legislation; and

WHEREAS, the Independent Budget Office only provides analysis of the fiscal impact of legislation when requested by city elected officials; and

WHEREAS, the Independent Budget Office's analysis of the fiscal impact of certain proposed legislation would be beneficial to both elected officials and the public even in cases where it has not been requested by elected officials;

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that CB8M recommends that the Charter Revision Commission approve a ballot initiative to amend the New York City Charter to require that the Independent Budget Office be mandated to provide independent analysis of the fiscal impact of certain city legislation.

Item 4: Threshold contract amount for public hearings

WHEREAS, CB8M is concerned that the charter revision process this year is too rushed and has not provided sufficient opportunity for public input; and

WHEREAS, the current threshold for public hearings related to New York City contracts is \$100,000; and

WHEREAS, CB8M supports transparency and public input in decision-making regarding whether New York City should enter into large contracts; and

WHEREAS, CB8M is concerned that any reduction in public hearings in connection with New York City contracts would diminish transparency and increase the potential for waste, fraud, and abuse;

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that CB8M opposes any increase in the threshold contract amount for public hearings to be held on New York City contracts.

Item 5: Two year limit to the duration of emergency contracts

WHEREAS, CB8M is concerned that the charter revision process this year is too rushed and has not provided sufficient opportunity for public input;

WHEREAS, New York City may enter emergency contracts without abiding by the processes and restrictions that would otherwise be required for contracts;

WHEREAS, such emergency contracts may extend for longer than two years;

WHEREAS, the processes and restrictions that are ordinarily applicable to New York City contracts provide important safeguards for promoting transparency and public input and avoiding waste, fraud, and abuse; and

WHEREAS, for contracts that New York City needs to enter subject to a bona fide emergency, two years should be a sufficient duration, after which New York City should abide by the otherwise applicable processes and restrictions;

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that CB8M recommends that the Charter Revision Commission approve a ballot initiative to amend the New York City Charter to limit the duration of emergency contracts to no longer than two years.

Item 6: Procurement Policy Board (PPB)

WHEREAS, CB8M is concerned that the charter revision process this year is too rushed and has not provided sufficient opportunity for public input; and

WHEREAS, for many years, New York City has been notorious for being extremely late in making payments to vendors with which it does business; and

WHEREAS, extensive delays in payment by New York City have a detrimental impact on the businesses to whom payment is due; and

WHEREAS, many of these vendors are small businesses that are vital to the fabric and economic health of New York City, and for whom the delays in payment are particularly deleterious and jeopardize their ability to continue to operate; and

WHEREAS, because New York City is a governmental entity, vendors to whom New York City owes money may have less recourse against the city than they would have against non-governmental entities; and

WHEREAS, there is no reason that New York City should not be able to pay its obligations in a timely manner, and the failure to do so reflects poorly on New York City, is inconsistent with the proper role of the city as being worthy and exemplary of the trust of its people, and is unbecoming of a city that prides itself on being a global economic hub; and

WHEREAS, the New York City Charter allows, but does not require, the Procurement Policy Board (PPB) to make rules that would require New York City to pay its vendors in a timely manner; and

WHEREAS, the PPB has not made such rules;

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that CB8M recommends that the Charter Revision Commission approve a ballot initiative to amend the New York City Charter to require the PPB to make rules that would require New York City to pay its vendors in a timely manner.

Item 7: City fiscal monitors and reserve accounts

WHEREAS, CB8M is concerned that the charter revision process this year is too rushed and has not provided sufficient

opportunity for public input; and

WHEREAS, it is prudent for New York City to maintain sufficient reserve accounts (including the Rainy Day Fund) to enable the city to withstand the impact of emergencies and other unfavorable events; and

WHEREAS, the use of the city's reserve accounts should be restricted to situations where it is genuinely needed so that the reserve accounts will be available under such circumstances; and

WHEREAS, the city's reserve accounts should not be just another source of funding to be assigned to the ordinary operation of New York City; and

WHEREAS, the circumstances under which the city's reserve accounts can be used should be defined with greater particularity in the New York City Charter; and

WHEREAS, New York City's fiscal monitors play an important role in ensuring that public money is allocated and spent responsibly; and

WHEREAS, the New York City Charter should ensure that New York City's fiscal monitors have the information they need to perform that role;

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that CB8M recommends that the Charter Revision Commission approve a ballot initiative to amend the New York City Charter to ensure that all relevant information is made available to City fiscal monitors; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the same amendment should tighten the requirements for the City's reserve accounts, including the Rainy-Day Fund.

Item 8: Opposition to any changes affecting ULURP

WHEREAS, CB8M is concerned that the charter revision process this year is too rushed and has not provided sufficient opportunity for public input; and

WHEREAS, the Uniform Land Use Review Process (ULURP) provides an opportunity for public review and input, including through New York City community boards, on zoning changes and other significant land use decisions; and

WHEREAS, public review and input into zoning changes and other significant land use decisions, including specifically through New York City community boards, is essential to the wellbeing of New York City and the quality of life of its residents; and

WHEREAS, New York City community boards play an important role in ULURP and are uniquely well-positioned to advise on proposed changes to the New York City Charter affecting ULURP; and

WHEREAS, reducing the zoning changes and other significant land use decisions that are subject to ULURP would be detrimental to the wellbeing of New York City and the quality of life of its inhabitants;

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that CB8M opposes any changes to the New York City Charter affecting ULURP.

Item 9: Expand the authority of the Department of Consumer and Worker Protection

WHEREAS, CB8M is concerned that the charter revision process this year is too rushed and has not provided sufficient opportunity for public input; and

WHEREAS, the New York City Department of Consumer and Worker Protection plays an important role in safeguarding the rights and welfare of consumers and workers; and

WHEREAS, the work of the Department of Consumer and Worker Protection should not be hindered by its inability to obtain information related to the ownership of the entities it is investigating;

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that CB8M recommends that the Charter Revision Commission approve a ballot initiative to amend the New York City Charter to expand the authority of the Department of Consumer and Worker Protection to demand business ownership information for entities under its investigation.

Item 11: Remove term limits for community board membership

WHEREAS, CB8M is concerned that the charter revision process this year is too rushed and has not provided sufficient opportunity for public input; and

WHEREAS, New York City community boards play a vital role in providing community input to government decisions affecting New York City, its neighborhoods, and their residents and other stakeholders; and

WHEREAS, in the course of their community board service, community board members often develop a great deal of practical experience and subject matter expertise relevant to dealing with elected officials and agencies and addressing the issues facing the community; and

WHEREAS, community board term limits lead to the sudden loss to the community board of many years of relevant experience and substantial subject matter knowledge that is beneficial to the community boards' work; and

WHEREAS, the need for community board members to be reappointed every two years provides a sufficient limit on the terms of members whose membership on the board would no longer be beneficial and is a better mechanism to limit terms than an arbitrary restriction on the number of consecutive terms that members can serve;

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that CB8M recommends that the Charter Revision Commission approve a ballot initiative to amend the New York City Charter to remove term limits for community board membership.

Item 12: Ballot measures be presented on ballots objectively, factually, and accurately

WHEREAS, CB8M is concerned that the charter revision process this year is too rushed and has not provided sufficient opportunity for public input; and

WHEREAS, New York City ballot measures often deal with issues of city law and government that are complicated and/or unfamiliar to the general public; and

WHEREAS, such ballot measures should be presented to the public in a manner that enables voters to understand what they are voting on; and

WHEREAS, descriptions of ballot measures should not promote a particular viewpoint or outcome;

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that CB8M recommends that the Charter Revision Commission approve a ballot initiative to amend the New York City Charter to require that ballot measures be presented on ballots objectively, factually, and accurately.

Community Board 8 Manhattan unanimously approved these resolutions by a vote of 37 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.

Item 2: Civilian Complaint Review Board budget

WHEREAS, CB8M is concerned that the charter revision process this year is too rushed and has not provided sufficient opportunity for public input; and

WHEREAS, the budget for the Civilian Complaint Review Board should be determined in the same manner as the budgets for other city agencies, through laws passed by the New York City Council and approved or vetoed by the Mayor of New York City, in accordance with those officials' respective judgment; and

WHEREAS, regardless of whether one thinks the current budget of either the Civilian Complaint Review Board should be raised or lowered, or whether the budget of the New York Police Department should be raised or lowered, the budgets for those two entities should not be linked by a mandated funding percentage specified in the New York City Charter;

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that CB8M opposes revising the New York City Charter to require that the Civilian Complaint Review Board budget should be a fixed percentage of the budget of the New York Police Department or otherwise raised or lowered in direct proportion to the New York Police Department budget.

Community Board 8 Manhattan approved this resolution by a vote of 27 in favor, 5 opposed, 3 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.

Item 10: Aligning the Department of Transportation Charter Language with Federal Standards

WHEREAS, CB8M is concerned that the charter revision process this year is too rushed and has not provided sufficient opportunity for public input; and

WHEREAS, the New York City Department of Transportation seeks to align Charter language with federal standards, to reduce public hearing requirements, to have the ability to issue financial grants with partners, to expand its jurisdiction over sidewalk/roadway cafes, and to streamline the process of adding e-bike charging cabinets on streets; and

WHEREAS, public input on the above items, including through New York City community boards, is essential to quality of life of New York City residents and should not be abridged or curtailed;

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that CB8M opposes the Department of Transportation's Charter revision proposals to align Charter language with federal standards, to reduce public hearing requirements, to have the ability to issue financial grants with partners, to expand its jurisdiction over sidewalk/roadway cafes, and to streamline the process of adding e-bike charging cabinets on streets.

Community Board 8 Manhattan approved this resolution by a vote of 23 in favor, 11 opposed, 2 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.

Item 13: Office of Public Advocate

WHEREAS, CB8M is concerned that the charter revision process this year is too rushed and has not provided sufficient opportunity for public input; and

WHEREAS, the role of and need for the New York City Public Advocate is too vague; and

WHEREAS, all New York City elected officials should be advocates for the public, such that a separate city-wide office to perform such a role is unnecessary; and

WHEREAS, the role of the Public Advocate emerged from particular political circumstances rather than a generalized necessity for that office; and

WHEREAS, the role of the Public Advocate as successor to the Mayor of New York City should the Mayor leave office before the end of their term can be adequately filled by the New York City Comptroller, which is also a city-wide elected office; and

WHEREAS, this resolution relates solely to the need for the office of Public Advocate and is not intended to cast aspersions on any past, present, or future holders of the office;

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that CB8M recommends that the Charter Revision Commission approve a ballot initiative to amend the New York City Charter to abolish the office of Public Advocate; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the same ballot initiative should designate the Comptroller as the successor to the Mayor should the latter leave office before the end of their term, subject to the same requirement pertaining at present that a special election to replace the Mayor be held within ninety days of the vacancy of that office.

Community Board 8 Manhattan approved this resolution by a vote of 23 in favor, 9 opposed, 6 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.

B. Landmarks Committee – Anthony Cohn and David Helpern, Co-Chairs

LM-1: Items 1, 2, 3 – Unanimous Approvals

Item 1: 857 Fifth Avenue (Upper East Side Historic District) – Matthew Cordone Architect PLLC – A no-style building designed by Robert L. Bien and constructed in 1961-1963. Application is for a façade restoration of the natural stone only on the ground floor of the south elevation and the existing columns on the west.

WHEREAS the facades of 857 Fifth Avenue were built with glazed white brick; and

WHEREAS red granite was introduced into the west façade for a height of two stories and into the south façade for a height of one story; and

WHEREAS the red granite has deteriorated and will be replaced in large part; and

WHEREAS the red granite cannot be matched; and

WHEREAS the red granite that is removed will be used to repair the red granite that will be retained; and

WHEREAS three two-story high columns on Fifth Avenue are set within a corner garden in the L-shaped recess below the west end of the building; and

WHEREAS these free-standing columns on the west elevation, which are currently clad in red granite, will be clad with honed limestone to be in the color range of the white brick above; and

WHEREAS the columns set in the wall behind the free-standing columns will remain in red granite; and

WHEREAS the low wall of the corner garden will remain in red granite on the west and south facades; and

WHEREAS the garden terminates on the south façade where the recess ends; and

WHEREAS the red granite, currently on the face of the first floor of the south façade, will be replaced; and

WHEREAS the height of the garden wall will be extended as a gray granite base on the south façade; and

WHEREAS the first-floor façade above the gray granite base will be honed limestone; and

WHEREAS the red granite that remains composes as accents with the proposed limestone; and

WHEREAS the dark gray granite and buff limestone are compatible with the off-white brick building; and

WHEREAS the gray granite and limestone reintroduce colors that return the building, except for small areas of red granite, to a color palette that is similar to the original coloration; and

WHEREAS the proposed changes to the façade are appropriate and contextual within the historic district; and

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT this application is **APPROVED** as presented.

Item 2: RE: 785 Fifth Avenue (Upper East Side Historic District) – Sawyer Berson Architects – A no-style apartment building designed by Emery Roth & Sons (Richard Roth) and constructed in 1962-1963. Application is to alter the terrace parapets and railings on the 17th floor

WHEREAS 785 Fifth Avenue is an apartment house at the southeast corner of Fifth Avenue and 62nd Street and makes no particular contribution to the character of the Upper East Side Historic District; and

WHEREAS the applicant wishes to enhance the views west over Grand Army Plaza; and

WHEREAS the applicant wishes to alter the railings and parapets at the 17th floor; and

WHEREAS the proposed alteration, while visible from the public way, is distant from all but the most critical observers; and

WHEREAS the top of the building was altered in 2014 with a major penthouse renovation; and

WHEREAS the new railings are less than four inches lower than existing; and

WHEREAS the proposed alteration to the parapet height will lower the parapet by less than one foot; and

WHEREAS the proposed railing, in metal and glass will match in color and material the existing construction; and

WHEREAS the project has been well thought-out and thoroughly documented; and

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT this application is **APPROVED** as presented.

Item 3: 829 Park Avenue (Upper East Side Historic District) – Daniel Allen – Allen + Killcoyne Architects – A Neoclassical building designed by Pickering & Walker and constructed in 1910-1911. Application is for the removal and replacement of the north-facing areaway fence and service gate on East 76th Street to match the existing adjacent service gate & tree guard decorations on Park Avenue.

WHEREAS 829 Park Avenue is an apartment house at the southwest corner of Park Avenue and 76th Street and contributes to the character of the Upper East Side Historic District; and

WHEREAS the applicant wishes to alter the areaway railings and service gate and introduce a new delivery gate at grade along 76th Street; and

WHEREAS the existing service gate permits access only to the basement level and the areaway; and

WHEREAS the applicant wishes to create an entrance to the service areas of the ground floor for deliveries and rubbish removal; and

WHEREAS the proposed delivery gate requires an alteration to the existing granite curb that does not substantially diminish the appropriateness of the design; and

WHEREAS the existing railings step down toward the west from Park Avenue while maintaining a constant railing height of over seven feet; and

WHEREAS the proposed alteration maintains a constant height, which is to say that the overall height of the railing from Park Avenue west will increase from four feet to nearly seven feet; and

WHEREAS the proposed railing, in painted metal, will reflect the existing details of other railings on the building, as well as the tree guards along 76th Street; and

WHEREAS the proposed solution is harmonious with the balance of the building and Historic District; and

WHEREAS the short portion of fence along Park Avenue, by virtue of its much-reduced height, creates a less forbidding appearance; and

WHEREAS the project has been well thought-out and thoroughly documented; and

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT this application is **APPROVED** as presented.

Community Board 8 Manhattan unanimously approved these resolutions by a vote of 36 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.

C. Social Justice Committee – Saundrea I. Coleman and Sarah Chu, Co-Chairs

SJ-1: Item 1

Item 1: **Excited Delirium**

WHEREAS, the term "excited delirium" lacks scientific and medical validity, and is not recognized as a valid medical condition by major medical associations (including the American Medical Association, American Psychiatric Association, American Academy of Neurology, College of American Pathologists, American College of Medical Toxicology, American Academy of Emergency Medicine, American College of Emergency Medicine, and National Association of Medical Examiners) nor their diagnostic references (ICD-10 and DSM 5); and

WHEREAS, the use of "excited delirium" as a diagnosis, label, or cause of death often obscures accountability in cases of excessive force or improper restraint, particularly in interactions between law enforcement and individuals experiencing a mental health crises; and

WHEREAS, the term "excited delirium" has been disproportionately applied to Black and Latinx individuals and is used to

advance erroneous stereotypes that they are "immune to pain" or possess "superhuman strength"; these stereotypes jeopardize these communities because they are used to justify the use of excessive force against them and promote harmful racially biased generalizations; and

WHEREAS, New York State legislature bill A9414/S9039A introduced in the 2024 legislative session prohibited the use of "excited delirium" as a diagnosis, label, or cause of death in the state of New York; supported more accurate and scientifically valid medical diagnoses and cause of death determinations; enhanced accountability and transparency in cases involving the use of force or restraint; and addressed racial disparities in the application of medical terminology;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that Community Board 8 Manhattan urges New York State legislators to pass the aforementioned reforms in the new legislative session beginning January 2025.

Community Board 8 Manhattan unanimously approved this resolution by a vote of 35 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.

D. Transportation Committee – Craig Lader and Charles Warren, Co-Chairs

TR-1: Item 1

Item 1: **Revocable Consent Application – 177 East 64th Street**

WHEREAS; the owners of 177 East 64th Street are requesting a revocable consent to construct, maintain and use a fenced-in area with steps; and

WHEREAS; a revocable consent for an earlier design for this project was approved by Community Board 8 in 2017, which allowed for a continuous fence to extend 1'2" beyond the property line; and

WHEREAS; the applicant is seeking a new revocable consent for a modified design with aesthetics that are in keeping with the design of the townhouse; and

WHEREAS; the revised revocable consent increases the height of the fence to 5'10" from the previously approved 4' fence; and

WHEREAS; a higher fence than previously proposed is needed to discourage vandalism; and

WHEREAS; the portions of the fence in front of the front entrance and the stairwell will extend 1'2" beyond the property line, in conformity with the previously approved revocable consent and in keeping with the fences at neighboring properties on the block; and

WHEREAS; revised design includes a center portion of the fence that is set back to be in line with the property line;

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that Community Board 8 Manhattan approves the revocable consent request for 177 East 64th Street to construct a fence extending 1'2" beyond the property line that is 5'10" in height.

Community Board 8 Manhattan approved this resolution by a vote of 26 in favor, 8 opposed, 0 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.

E. Parks and Waterfront Committee – Felice Farber and Judy Schneider, Co-Chairs

PW-1: Item 1

Item 1: **Approval of the Presentation on the Harlem Meer Shoreline and Boardwalk and North End Recirculation**

BE IT RESOLVED Community Board 8 Manhattan approves the Central Park Conservancy proposal as presented for the Restoration of the Harlem Meer Shoreline and Boardwalk and North End Recirculation System.

Community Board 8 Manhattan unanimously approved this resolution by a vote of 34 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.

F. Street Life Committee – Lindsey Cormack and Abraham Salcedo, Co-Chairs

SL-1: Items 1A, 1B, 2A, 2B, 3A - Unanimous Approvals

Items 1A: **Birley Pastry Madison Avenue LLC., dba Birley Bakery, 20 East 69th Street (Between Madison and Fifth Avenues) New Application and Temporary Retail Permit for Wine, Beer and Cider**

WHEREAS this is a New application for a Wine, Beer, and Cider License and Temporary Retail Permit; and

WHEREAS no one from the public objected; and

WHEREAS the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8's stipulations above; therefore

BE IT RESOLVED that the application is **APPROVED**, subject to the stipulations above.

Items 1B: **Sushi by Mues LLC., dba Sushi by M, 1575 Second Avenue (Between East 81st and East 82nd Streets) New Application and Temporary Retail Permit for Wine, Beer and Cider**

WHEREAS this is a New application for a Wine, Beer, and Cider License and Temporary Retail Permit; and

WHEREAS no one from the public objected; and

WHEREAS the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8's stipulations above; therefore

BE IT RESOLVED that the application is **APPROVED**, subject to the stipulations above.

Items 2A: **Secret Summer Hospitality Group LLC, dba Festival, 1155 Second Avenue (Between 60th and 61st Streets) Corporate Change Application for Liquor, Wine, Beer, and Cider License**

WHEREAS this is a corporate change application for a Liquor, Wine, Beer, and Cider License and Temporary Retail Permit; and

WHEREAS no one from the public objected; and

WHEREAS the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8's stipulations above; therefore

BE IT RESOLVED that the application is **APPROVED**, subject to the stipulations above.

Items 2B: **Roccas Partners LLC, dba L'Incontro By Rocco, 1572 Second Avenue (Between 81st and 82nd Streets) Class Change Application for Liquor, Wine, Beer, and Cider License**

WHEREAS this is a class change application for a to upgrade to Liquor, Wine, Beer, and Cider License from Wine, Beer and Cideer, and Temporary Retail Permit; and

WHEREAS no one from the public objected; and

WHEREAS the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8's stipulations above; therefore

BE IT RESOLVED that the application is **APPROVED**, subject to the stipulations above

Items 3A: **1379 Water & Wheat LLC dba Water & Wheat 1379 Third Avenue (Between East 78th and East 79th Streets) New Outdoor Dining Application for a Roadway Café – Unenclosed – 3 Tables and 6 Chairs**

WHEREAS this is a New outdoor dining application for a Roadway Café – Unenclosed – 3 tables and 6 chairs; and

WHEREAS no one from the public objected; and

WHEREAS the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8's stipulations above; therefore

BE IT RESOLVED that the application is **APPROVED**, subject to the stipulations above.

Community Board 8 Manhattan unanimously approved these resolutions by a vote of 34 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.

G. Street Fairs Committee – Wilma Johnson and Barbara Rudder, Co-Chairs

SF-1: Item 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7

SF-2: Items 3

Items 1: Central Park Precinct Community Block Party (Sponsored by Mardi Gras Festival Productions LTD) — Full Street Closure at East 60th Street between Fifth Avenue and Madison Avenue, Sunday 09/29/2024, from 10:00 AM – 6:00 PM.

Items 2: Indonesian Street Festival (Sponsored by PERWAKRIN USA Inc.) — Sidewalk and Street Closure at East 68th Street between Madison Avenue and Fifth Avenue, Saturday 08/24/2024, from 10:00 AM – 6:00 PM.

Items 4: Madison Avenue Presbyterian Church Sunday, October 6th Homecoming Block Party (Sponsored by Madison Avenue Presbyterian Church) — Full Street Closure at East 73rd Street between Madison Avenue and Park Avenue, Sunday 10/06/2024, from 11:30 AM – 3:00 PM.

Items 5: Asphalt Screams (Sponsored by Asphalt Green) — Full Street Closure at East 90th Street between East End Avenue and York Avenue, Friday 10/25/2024, from 10:00 AM – 6:00 PM.

Items 6: Homecoming Celebration (Sponsored by Nightingale Bamford School) — Full Street Closure at East 92nd Street between Madison Avenue and Fifth Avenue, Saturday 9/28/2024, from 9:00 AM – 3:00 PM.

Items 7: Welcome Week Carnival and Club Fair (Sponsored by Hunter College) — Full Street Closure at East 69th Street between Lexington Avenue and Park Avenue, Thursday 9/5/2024, from 12:00 PM – 4:00 PM.

Community Board 8 Manhattan approved these resolutions by a vote of 32 in favor, 0 opposed, 2 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.

Items 3: Ronald McDonald House New York Block Party (Sponsored by Ronald McDonald House New York) — Full Street Closure at East 73rd Street between York Avenue and First Avenue, Saturday 09/21/2024, from 10:00 AM – 3:00 PM.

Community Board 8 Manhattan unanimously approved this resolution by a vote of 34 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.

10. **Old Business** – No items of Old Business were discussed

11. **New Business** – No items of New Business were discussed.

12. **Executive Session** – Approval of the hiring of a new Community Associate

A motion was made to move to Executive Session to discuss the hiring of a new Community Associate. The board approved the hiring of Jonathan Kraus as a Community Associate.

The meeting was adjourned at 9:52 PM

Valerie S. Mason, Chair

	Attendance	COY-1	COY-2	COY-3	COY-4	COY-5	COY-6	COY-7	COY-8	COY-9	COY-10	COY-11	COY-12	COY-13	COY-14	COY-15	COY-16	COY-17	COY-18	COY-19	COY-20	COY-21	CR - 1	CR - 2	CR - 3	CR - 4	LM- 1	SJ- 1	TR-1	PW-1	SL-1	SF - 1	SF - 2
ANDERSON, MICHAEL	Excused-																																
ANGELOS, BILL	Present	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
ASHBY, ELIZABETH	Virtual	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
BARON, P. GAYLE	Virtual	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
BAYER MICHAELS, JENNIFER	Present	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	No																				
BIRNBAUM, MICHELE	Present	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Abst	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Abst	Yes
BORRES, LORI-ANN	Excused-																																
BORRERO, TAINA	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes					Yes	Abst	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
CAMP, ALIDA	Virtual	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes												Yes	Abst	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
CHOWLA-SONG, JUNG	Excused-																																
CHU, SARAH	Present	Abst	No	No	Yes	Abst	Abst	Abst	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Abst	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
COHN, ANTHONY	Virtual	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes					
COLEMAN, SAUNDREA	Present	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Abst	No	Yes	Abst	Abst	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
CORMACK, LINDSEY	Present	Yes	Abst	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Abst	Yes	Yes	No	Abst	Abst	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
FARBER, FELICE	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
HALLUM CLARKE, SEBASTIAN	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
HARTZOG, EDWARD	Present	Abst	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
HERSHENSON, BRADLEY	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
HELPERN, DAVID P.	Present	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
HUSAIN, SAHAR	Virtual		No	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No						Yes	No						
JAFFER, AMIR	Present	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes			Yes							
JOHNSON, WILMA	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Abst	Abst					Abst	Yes	Yes	Yes	Abst	Abst	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
KRIKLER, PAUL	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
LADER, CRAIG	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Abst	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
LAMORTE, REBECCA	Absent-																																
LEHV, ADDESON	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
MASON, VALERIE	Present	Yes	Abst	No	Yes	No	Yes	Abst	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Abst	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
McCLEMENT, JOHN	Present	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
MEYER, MAXIMILLIAN	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
MEYERSON, EVAN	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
MOSSMAN, CJ	Present	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Abst	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Abst	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
PARSHALL, JANE	Present	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
PHILLIPS, JOHN	Excused-																																
POPE-MARSHALL, SHARON	Excused-																																
POPPER, RITA	Present	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	No		No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
PRICE, MARGARET	Present	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	Abst	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Abst	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
ROSE, ELIZABETH	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Abst	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes											
RUDDER, BARBARA	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
SALCEDO, ABRAHAM	Present	Abst	Abst	Abst	Abst	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Abst	Yes	No	Yes	Abst	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Abst	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
SANCHEZ, WILLIAM	Present	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
SASSON, JACK	Absent-																																
SCHNEIDER, JUDY	Present	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Abst	Yes	No	Yes	Abst	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
SELIGSON, ROBIN	Present	No	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
SELLAM, SACHA	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Abst	Abst	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes		Abst	Abst	Yes	Yes	Yes				
SQUIRE, RUSSELL	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes		Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
STEIN, TODD	Present	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Abst	Abst	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Abst	Abst	Abst	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes									
TAMAYO, MARCO	Present	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Abst	Yes
WALD, ADAM	Virtual	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
WARREN, CHARLES	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
WEINER, SHARON	Present	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Total Yes		25	24	16	26	15	26	23	26	31	29	19	24	26	32	17	22	19	22	15	23	25	37	27	23	23	36	35	26	34	34	32	34
Total No		14	16	26	16	26	15	16	15	11	14	24	19	11	5	22	17	18	16	25	16	14	0	5	11	9	0	0	8	0	0	0	0
Total Abstain		3	3	1	1	2	2	4	1	1	0	0	0	5	4	1	1	3	2	1	0	0	0	3	2	6	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
Total Not Vote For Cause		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Votes	37 – Quorum	42	43	43	43	43	43	43	42	43	43	43	43	42	41	40</																	