

Valerie S. Mason
Chair

Will Brightbill
District Manager



505 Park Avenue, Suite 620
New York, N.Y. 10022-1106
(212) 758-4340
(212) 758-4616 (Fax)
www.cb8m.com – Website
info@cb8m.com – E-Mail

**The City of New York
Community Board 8 Manhattan
Full Board Meeting
PUBLIC HEARING**

Wednesday, June 26, 2024 - 6:30 PM

**This meeting was conducted in a hybrid format in person and via Zoom
Hunter College North Building, Assembly Hall
South Side of East 69th Street Between Park Avenue and Lexington Avenue**

MINUTES:

Community Board Members Present: Michael Anderson, Bill Angelos, Elizabeth Ashby, Jennifer Bayer Michaels, Michele Birnbaum, Lori Ann Bores, Taina Borrero, Alida Camp, Anthony Cohn, Saundrea Coleman, Edward Hartzog, Bradley Hershenson, David P. Helpern, Paul Krikler, Addeson Lehv, Valerie Mason, John McClement, Maximillian Meyer, Evan Meyerson, Jane Parshall, John Philips, Sharon Pope-Marshall, Rita Popper, Margaret Price, Barbara Rudder, Will Sanchez, Jack Sasson, Judy Schneider, Robin Seligson, Sasha Sellem, Russell Squire, Todd Stein, Marco Tamayo, Adam Wald, Charles Warren, and Sharon Weiner.

Community Board Members Virtual: P. Gayle Baron (Health) and Craig Lader (Caregiving).

Community Board Members Excused: Juno Chowla-Song, Lindsey Cormack, Felice Farber, Sebastian Hallum Clarke, Sahar Husain, Amir Jaffer, Wilma Johnson, Elizabeth Rose, and Abraham Salcedo.

Community Board Members Absent: Sarah Chu, Rebecca Lamorte, and CJ Mossman.

Total Attendance: 38

Chair Valerie S. Mason called the meeting to order at 6:30 PM.

1. Public Session

- Laurie Davis spoke in favor of changing animal laws in New York State, specifically for dogs.
- Nathaniel Siegal spoke in opposition to City of Yes for Housing Opportunity.
- Matt Bauer representing the Madison Avenue Business Improvement District spoke on their latest updates.
- Gorman Reilly representing CIVITAS spoke in opposition to the presence of e-bikes on sidewalks.
- James Solomon spoke in opposition to the Landmarks Preservation Commission application for 210 East 62nd Street.
- Vanita Solomon spoke in opposition to the Landmarks Preservation Commission application for 210 East 62nd Street.
- Dylan Jeronimo Kennedy spoke in favor of City of Yes for Housing Opportunity and the Congestion Pricing Program.
- Motoko Shoboji spoke in favor of the Youth, Education, and Libraries Committee resolution for borough priority for Manhattan residents in the high schools admissions process.
- James Powers spoke in opposition to the Landmarks Preservation Commission application for 210 East 62nd Street.
- Julianne Bertagna representing the Treadwell Farm Historic District Association spoke in opposition to the Landmarks Preservation Commission application for 210 East 62nd Street.
- Lo van der Valk representing Carnegie Hill Neighbors spoke in opposition to certain aspects of the City of Yes for Housing Opportunity proposal.

2. Adoption of the Agenda – Agenda Adopted

3. Adoption of the Minutes – Minutes Adopted

4. Manhattan Borough President's Report

Manhattan Borough President Mark Levine reported on his latest initiatives

5. Elected Officials' Reports

- State Senator Liz Krueger
- Assembly Member Rebecca Seawright
- Public Advocate Jumaane Williams
- Assembly Member Alex Bores
- Council Member Julie Menin
- State Senator Jose Serrano
- Manhattan District Attorney Alvin Bragg
- Representative Jerry Nadler

6. Chair's Report – Valerie S. Mason

Chair Valerie S. Mason gave her report.

7. District Manager's Report – Will Brightbill

District Manager Will Brightbill gave his report.

8. Vote on City of Yes Housing Opportunity Resolution

WHEREAS, the proposals contained in City of Yes for Housing Opportunity (“COYHO”), put forth by the Department of City Planning, represent the third of three sets of proposals designed to promote sustainability, support economic development and create affordable housing throughout the City of New York;

WHEREAS, the primary aim of COYHO, as set forth by the Department of City of Planning is to promote a “little bit” of housing in every neighborhood;

WHEREAS, Manhattan Community Board Eight recognizes and commends the effort to produce and distribute new housing throughout the City;

WHEREAS, it has long been a primary goal of Manhattan Community Board Eight to help in the facilitation and creation of affordable housing in our district and elsewhere in the City of New York,

WHEREAS, Manhattan Community Board Eight has conducted a comprehensive review and engaged in discussions with relevant city agencies regarding the COYHO zoning text amendment and engaged a land use and zoning expert to assist us in our review of COYHO;

WHEREAS, COYHO comprises 15 components of varying impact levels and clarity, necessitating careful consideration;

WHEREAS, Manhattan Community Board Eight noted that due to the limited review period, the sheer volume of COYHO changes and their complexity, many questions about different components of the proposal remain unresolved, causing reluctance and a hesitation on how best to express our views on the individual proposals (resulting in a large number of “no” recommendations as there are too many questions and variables, and not enough certainty as to the amount of affordable housing these changes will produce), the overall proposal and the review process itself; and

WHEREAS, with respect to COYHO proposal number No. 11 (Update to Mandatory Inclusionary Housing), Manhattan Community Board Eight was evenly divided and makes no recommendation at this time with respect to this proposal;

WHEREAS, Manhattan Community Board Eight reserves our right to continue to evaluate COYHO as it moves forward and to provide additional comment on the proposals as more information becomes available and the proposals evolve through the legislative process; and

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that Manhattan Community Board Eight expresses its **favorable** “yes” opinion for the following proposals of the COYHO zoning text amendment:

- (1) Town Center Zoning
- (2) Transit Oriented Development
- (4) District Fixes
- (13) Quality Housing Amenity Changes;

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that Manhattan Community Board Eight expresses its “no” **unfavorable** opinion of the following proposals of the COYHO zoning text amendment as follows:

- (3) Accessory Dwelling Units
- (5) Universal Affordability Preference
- (6) Lift Costly Parking Mandates
- (7) Convert Non-Residential Buildings to Housing
- (8) Small and Shared Housing
- (9) Campus Infill
- (10) New Zoning Districts
- (12) Sliver Law
- (14) Landmark Transferable Development Rights
- (15) Railroad Right of Way

A substitute motion to approve this application with three friendly amendments failed by a vote of 14 in favor, 22 opposed, 2 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.

A motion to approve the original application failed by a vote of 13 in favor, 24 opposed, 1 abstention, and 0 not voting for cause.

9. Committee Reports and Action Items

A. Roosevelt Island Committee – Paul Krikler, Chair

RI-1: Item 3

Item 3: Roosevelt Island Farmers Market

WHEREAS; The Wengerd Farmers Market has been a Saturday morning fixture on the island for 35 years starting in June of 1989; and

WHEREAS; The Wengerd Farmers provides Roosevelt Island residents with an amazing array of fresh and healthy produce and other foods; and

WHEREAS; The Wengerd Farmers Market is set up year round, come rain, snow or shine; and

WHEREAS; The Wengerd Farmers Market crew drives hours from their farm in Pennsylvania to bring the market to Roosevelt Island, leaving their farm in the very early hours and starting to set up on Roosevelt Island around 3 am, before most residents are awake; and

WHEREAS; The Wengerd Farmers Market setup is always well laid out and well maintained during the day; and

WHEREAS; The Wengerd Farmers Market crew are always friendly and helpful and adapt to community needs based on their customers; and

WHEREAS; The Wengerd Farmers Market is very generous and supportive of the community and island organizations; and

WHEREAS; The Wengerd Farmers Market has provided an invaluable addition to life on Roosevelt Island over decades; and

WHEREAS; The Wengerd Farmers Market crew always leaves the market site spotless at the end of the day;

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that Community Board 8 Manhattan relishes the opportunity to thank Israel Wengerd, his family, the cashiers and all the other staff for all the years of dedicated service to this community.

Community Board 8 Manhattan unanimously approved this resolution by a vote of 37 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.

B. Landmarks Committee – Anthony Cohn and David Helporn, Co-Chairs

LM-1: Item 1
LM-2: Item 2
LM-3: Item 3
LM-4: Item 4

Item 1: 210 East 62nd Street (Treadwell Farm Historic District) – Arctangent Architecture + Design PLLC – A Neo-Grec style building designed by F.S. Barnes and constructed in 1870. Application is for a street façade restoration, roof addition, and rear yard extension.

WHEREAS 210 East 62nd Street is a derelict building;

WHEREAS the owner seeks to restore and enlarge the building;

WHEREAS the prior approvals are not precedents for the current application;

WHEREAS the front façade will be restored from the basement level through the cornice;

WHEREAS the surface of the façade was a cementitious stucco to simulate brownstone, similar in color to other houses in the historic district;

WHEREAS the proposed change to the surface of the façade to a cementitious stucco to simulate limestone will be in the lighter color range of the houses on either side;

WHEREAS the historical details around the windows will be restored;

WHEREAS the windows will be wood, nine over nine;

WHEREAS the windows will align with the windows of the neighboring houses either side;

WHEREAS the cornice will be cleaned and painted;

WHEREAS the areaway will be similar to that of the neighbor to the west;

WHEREAS there will be a low skylight in the areaway to provide natural light for the cellar;

WHEREAS the proposed roof addition will be shaped so as not to be visible when viewing the front façade from across East 62nd Street;

WHEREAS the proposed rear addition extends 16'-11" into the rear yard;

WHEREAS the addition is sized to meet the line of the 30-foot-deep rear yard required by the Zoning Resolution;

WHEREAS the proposed rear yard extension is 36'- 7" high to the top of the parapet, thereby enlarging the basement, first, and second floors;

WHEREAS 314 square feet of area is to be added to each of the basement, first and second floors;

WHEREAS the proposed extension will have a modernist aluminum and glass façade;

WHEREAS the aluminum will have a bronze PDF coating;

WHEREAS the sides of the proposed extension will have zinc cladding;

WHEREAS the proposed façade is not harmonious with the minimal enlargement of the house to the east, which carries out the prevalent theme of the houses of windows set in masonry walls;

WHEREAS the third floor has three French doors that open onto the roof of the rear yard extension;

WHEREAS the tops of the French doors and the top of the rear wall of the third floor are "approximately" in line with the tops of the windows and the top of the rear wall of the building to the west;

WHEREAS the massing of the rear yard extension of 210 East 62nd Street places the house to the west in a deep slot of space between the extension and the apartment building to the west;

WHEREAS the proposed rear yard extension cuts off views of the donut from the house to the west;

WHEREAS the extensions of similar size that pre-date the historic district intrude on the donut, detracting from the continuity of the perimeter of the donut;

WHEREAS the proposed extension of 210 East 62nd Street would also intrude on the donut to the detriment of the visual compatibility of the neighboring houses and to the overall integrity of the rear yard configurations;

WHEREAS the proposed mass is disproportionate to the house and to the extensions of other houses approved within the historic district;

WHEREAS the peak of the proposed, sloped rooftop addition is 11'-1" above the front of the roof;

WHEREAS the rooftop addition will have an area of 425 square feet;

WHEREAS the roof top addition will be clad in zinc;

WHEREAS the roof top addition will be seen from Third Avenue;

WHEREAS the joists for the sloping roof will be replaced with joists to create a level floor for the rooftop addition;

WHEREAS the joists on the second floor will be raised to create a higher ceiling on the first floor;

WHEREAS the raised joists on the second floor align with the bottom of the window, thereby creating a different relationship from inside to outside;

WHEREAS the mass of the proposed rooftop addition has no architectural relationship to the house;

WHEREAS the rear yard extension and the roof top addition have too much mass;

WHEREAS the rear yard extension and the roof top addition are not compatible with the architecture and scale of the house;

WHEREAS the rear yard extension and roof top addition are not visible from the street, they are destructive of the architectural integrity of the house and the scale of the donut;

WHEREAS the proposed restoration and enlargement of 210 East 62nd Street is not contextual and appropriate within the historic district;

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that this application is **DISAPPROVED AS PRESENTED**.

Community Board 8 Manhattan unanimously approved this resolution by a vote of 36 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.

Item 2: 23 and 25 East 64th Street (Upper East Side Historic District) – VL Architects – A Neo Grecian building and a Renaissance Revival style building designed by Peckering & Walker and John G. Prague and constructed in 1907-1908 and 1879-1880, with alterations in 1926 & 1980 and 1919 & 1980, respectively. Application is for restorative work to address the non-matching, as-built façade elements, installation of approved doors, adjustment of the exhaust vent height, and the removal of lettering on the bottom part of the garage door.

WHEREAS 23 and 25 East 64th Street have been much altered over the years; and

WHEREAS both buildings originally date from 1879 to 1880, as two of a group of five; and

WHEREAS 23 and 25 East 64th Street are connected to each other, with the lower floor of 25 East 64th Street consisting of a display window and entry door, and the lower floor of 23 East 64th Street consisting of a garage elevator and entry door; and

WHEREAS the first floor of 25 East 64th Street aligns with the first floor of the principal portion of the retail store and shares its architectural vocabulary; and

WHEREAS the applicant proposes to restore the exterior of 23 East 64th Street to something closer to its pre-1980 appearance by reintroducing a stone panel between the first and second floor, changing the entry door from a flush-panel to a six-panel door, and removing signage from the garage door; and

WHEREAS the applicant proposed to further restore the exterior of 25 East 64th above the first floor to its original appearance by the restoration of original façade details and materials, while relocating an exhaust vent to a location not visible from the public way; and

WHEREAS the applicant proposes to match the head of the display window with a transom over the entry door; and

WHEREAS the applicant has maintained the individuality of the two buildings; and

WHEREAS the applicant has proposed great improvements to the lower section of the buildings, in particular the restoration of the stonework on 25 East 64th Street; and

WHEREAS the applicant proposes changes much in keeping with the period and character of the existing building; and

THEREFORE be it resolved that this application is **APPROVED** as presented.

Community Board 8 Manhattan unanimously approved this resolution by a vote of 36 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.

Item 3: 690 Park Avenue (Upper East Side Historic District) – Joshua Brandfonbrener Architect – A Neo-Federal style building designed by Walker and Gillette and constructed in 1916. Application is to widen the existing door on 69th Street into the rear yard of the consulate to make is accessible, modification of the existing window facing the rear yard to make it a door to provide HC access from the rear yard to the first floor, and the removal of existing razor wire and installation of new decorative iron spikes on top of the existing wall to the rear yard.

WHEREAS the Italian Consulate has two departments that require accessibility – one for issuing visas and one for issuing passports;

WHEREAS the visa office is in the cellar, and the passport office is on the first floor;

WHEREAS neither of the two floors can be made accessible through the main entrance on Park Avenue;

WHEREAS accessibility to both floors can be provided by constructing an accessible lift in the existing yard at the cellar level at the rear of the building;

WHEREAS there is an entrance to the rear yard on East 69th Street;

WHEREAS the door and frame in the wall at the rear yard will be removed and replaced with a new, 36” wide door to match the original door in appearance;

WHEREAS the new door will open onto a new platform at sidewalk level;

WHEREAS a new accessible lift will be located opposite the new door to the rear yard;

WHEREAS the new lift will rise to a new platform at the first floor and/or descend to the cellar level;

WHEREAS a new stair up to the new landing at the first floor and a new stair down to the cellar level will be built either side of the new lift;

WHEREAS there are two windows on the west wall of the building that are partially visible over the wall;

WHEREAS the southern window will be replaced with a new metal and glass door with transom;

WHEREAS the transom of the new door will have a mullion in the center to match the vertically divided northern window;

WHEREAS the stone frame around the window will remain but with returns at the bottom that meet the new door frame;

WHEREAS the door at the cellar level will be under and in line with the door to the first floor;

WHEREAS the door at the cellar level will be metal and glass to match the door above;

WHEREAS the window and door on the first floor will be minimally visible from the street;

WHEREAS the new doors and frames will be painted black;

WHEREAS the razor wire on the top of the wall will be removed and replaced with steel spikes, painted black;

WHEREAS the new accessible lift will be invisible from the street;

WHEREAS the appearance of the wall will be the same except for the replacement of the razor wire with the spikes;

WHEREAS this solution for accessibility is contextual and appropriate within the historic district;

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that this application is **APPROVED AS PRESENTED**.

Community Board 8 Manhattan unanimously approved this resolution by a vote of 36 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.

Item 4: **57 East 73rd Street (Upper East Side Historic District) – *Damiano Geraci, Architect* – A Queen Anne style building designed by John G. Prague and constructed in c. 1885-1886. Application is to expand an existing penthouse on the existing roof of the building, create an unenclosed, covered terrace above the expanded penthouse and existing roof, with an enclosed storage cabana, and use the roof of the covered terrace as an uncovered terrace above.**

WHEREAS 57 East 73rd Street is a multiple dwelling formerly a single-family residence; and

WHEREAS the exterior above the first floor is substantially unchanged, the interior and rear façade bear little resemblance to the original construction; and

WHEREAS the applicant proposes no changes to the street facade; and

WHEREAS the applicant proposes to enlarge an existing rooftop extension whose roof is 13' above the main (fourth floor) roof; and

WHEREAS the applicant proposes to enclose the fourth-floor balcony and enlarge the fourth-floor apartment by 10'-0"; and

WHEREAS the applicant proposes to enlarge the penthouse by 10' across the entire width of the building and construct a series of terraces above this level; and

WHEREAS these additions will increase the overall height of the building from 69'-1" to 79'-1" with a habitable roof terrace above; and

WHEREAS the added height is created to provide a habitable space at the level of the existing elevator bulkhead; and

WHEREAS the building will be 79 feet high from the sidewalk, and only 17'-6" wide; and

WHEREAS the extensions will be partially visible from the public way, and very visible from the interior of the "doughnut"; and

WHEREAS the rooftop addition as presented is inappropriate to the existing building and its larger context; and

THEREFORE be it resolved that this application is **DISAPPROVED** as presented.

Community Board 8 Manhattan approved this resolution by a vote of 34 in favor, 2 opposed, 0 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.

C. Parks and Waterfront Committee – Felice Farber and Judy Schneider, Co-Chairs

Item 2: **Approval of the Proposed Design for St. Catherine's Park and Ballfield**

WHEREAS the Department of Parks and Recreation has proposed to reconstruct and refurbish St. Catherine's Park and Ballfield located on First Avenue between 67th and 68th Streets, and

WHEREAS concern was expressed about the height of the fence surrounding the park and requested the fence height be at least six feet high on all sides of the park, and

WHEREAS concern was expressed about the safety of children who climb on the sculpture in the park, and

WHEREAS concern was express about eliminating the interior gates and the lowering of the height of the chain link fence around the ballfield:

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED Community Board 8 Manhattan approves the proposed design of St. Catherines Park and Ballfield contingent upon the acceptance of the following issues proposed very strongly by the community and police:

- i. Placing a low fence around the sculpture or moving it to another location in the park where it cannot be used for climbing or moving it to another park.
- ii. Keeping interior gates in the park at both 67th and 68th Streets to protect small children who use the park and keep them from running out.
- iii. Coordinating with the replacement of the bench plaques as necessary.
- iv. Keeping the height of the chain link fence around the ballfield area at 15 feet.
- v. Reducing the number of basketball courts from six to four and reducing the number of planned pickleball courts from four to three to ensure sufficient room for all players and children who use the ballfield.
- vi. Installing a storage bin for use by the pickleball players in the ballfield.

Community Board 8 Manhattan unanimously approved this resolution by a vote of 36 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.

D. Transportation Committee – Craig Lader and Charles Warren, Co-Chairs

TR-1: Items 1, 3 - Unanimous Approvals

TR-2: Item 4

Item 1: **Approval of ADA Upgrade Project at 86th Street 4/5/6 Subway Station**

WHEREAS; MTA Construction and Development has presented a plan to perform ADA Accessibility Upgrades to the 86th Street 4/5/6 Subway Station; and

WHEREAS; the 86th Street 4/5/6 Subway Station is currently only partially ADA accessible following completion in 2020 of installation of an elevator connecting only to the uptown local platform; and

WHEREAS; Community Board 8 Manhattan approved a 2016 resolution calling for full ADA Accessibility at the 86th Street 4/5/6 Subway Station and that partial accessibility was insufficient; and

WHEREAS; the proposed plan would bring the entire station into conformity with ADA requirements, including elevators providing connectivity to all 4 platforms and to street level in both directions, wider staircases, handrails, raised boarding areas and platform edge tactile strips;

WHEREAS; the proposed project is one of 13 stations to be improved that in included in the previously awarded under the MTA's Design-Build Package 5; and

WHEREAS; the design/build process is providing more value than traditional design/bid/construction methods; and

WHEREAS; the proposed project will take proper measures to protect and preserve mosaic artwork and other station features; and

WHEREAS; a detailed phasing plan provides clear guidance for expected street-level impacts and staircase closures; and

WHEREAS; New York City Transit bus stops and operations will not be impacted by street-level construction; and

WHEREAS; there is strong support and an urgent need for the MTA to make the entire New York City Subway system fully accessible;

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that Community Board 8 Manhattan supports the plan, as presented by MTA Construction and Development, to construct ADA Accessibility Upgrades at the 86th Street 4/5/6 Subway Station to bring it into ADA compliance.

Item 3: Revocable Consent Application – 40 East 66th Street

WHEREAS; the owners of 40 East 66th Street are requesting a revocable consent to allow repair work for an existing vault; and

WHEREAS; the vault extends 1' past the property line along East 66th Street and 5'5.5" beyond the property line on Madison Avenue; and

WHEREAS; the existing vault is used by the ground level retail outlets and is experiencing leakage; and

WHEREAS; the revocable consent would allow the work to be performed to repair the leak, and would require construction involving the concrete being ripped up to allow a waterproofing membrane to be installed, followed by reconstruction of the sidewalk and street; and

WHEREAS; the project will be coordinated with NYCDOT to ensure that the phasing of the work is performed to best manage any necessary sidewalk and roadway closures and considers traffic concerns and needs;

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that Community Board 8 Manhattan approves the revocable consent request for 40 East 66th Street to conduct repairs and continue to use an existing below-grade vault.

Community Board 8 Manhattan unanimously approved these resolutions by a vote of 36 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.

Item 4: Proposed 96th Street Bus Lane

WHEREAS; A plan has been presented by NYCDOT and NYCT to install dedicated bus lanes on East 96th Street to be used by M96 buses to increase bus speeds, improve reliability and enhance safety; and\

WHEREAS; the M96 is has the third highest ridership of any crosstown bus route in Manhattan; and

WHEREAS; combined with the M106 that also travels across Central Park, the 96th Street bus corridor provides critical connectivity between the East Side and West Side, serving a combined 15,000 weekday passengers and 6,000 daily passengers who travel through Central Park; and

WHEREAS; the M96 is among the most frequent routes operated by New York City Transit, with headways as frequent as every 3 minutes during peak periods; and

WHEREAS; M96 bus operations are severely impacted by congestion on East 96th Street, with average bus speeds averaging as low as 4 miles per hour between Third Avenue and Fifth Avenue; and

WHEREAS; East 96th Street is dangerous for pedestrians and inhabitants of vehicles, as safety data for East 96th Street indicates it is among the top 10 streets in New York City in terms of people killed or severely injured per mile; and

WHEREAS; the proposed East 96th Street bus lanes would prioritize bus service between Second Avenue and Madison Avenue, with 24/7 offset bus lanes on all blocks except for curbside lanes eastbound between Third Avenue and Lexington Avenue to be in effect every day between 6AM and 8PM and westbound between Park Avenue and Madison Avenue to be in effect 24/7; and

WHEREAS; dedicated bus lanes, along with proposed queue jumps that would provide a bus-only signal allowing it to get a head start at an intersection, will benefit bus speeds and reliability and promote more evenly spaced buses; and

WHEREAS; left turn bays being considered at various intersections, including at Park Avenue in both directions, Lexington Avenue and Third Avenue, would promote improved traffic flow; and

WHEREAS; installation of leading pedestrian intervals, alongside traffic calming measures including a hardened center line and rubber speed bumps and the overall traffic calming that the presence of bus lanes provides, will have beneficial impacts to pedestrian safety; and

WHEREAS; the proposal will not result in any loss of any overnight on-street parking; the only changes to alternate side on-street parking regulations would be during daytime hours in the Eastbound direction between Third Avenue and Lexington Avenue; and

WHEREAS; bus service and reliability are central to the quality of life for residents and visitors to the 96th Street Corridor; and

WHEREAS; the expected safety enhancements are critical given the poor safety record for East 96th Street;

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that Community Board 8 Manhattan supports the plan, as presented by the MTA and New York City Transit to install Bus Lanes and associated infrastructure to East 96th Street between Second Avenue and Madison Avenue.

Community Board 8 Manhattan approved this resolution by a vote of 33 in favor, 4 opposed, 0 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.

E. Youth, Education, and Libraries Committee – Taína Borrero and Addeson Lehv, Co-Chairs

YEL-1: Item 1

Item 1: **Restoring borough priority for Manhattan residents in the high school admissions process in schools in District 2**

WHEREAS multiple members of the public brought up concerns about the changes made during the De Blasio administration to the high school admissions process for applicants who are residents of District 2 and;

WHEREAS there are long commute times for students traveling to non-specialized public high schools in New York City and;

WHEREAS many 8th grade students in District 2 and Manhattan are not receiving matches for non-specialized public high schools in New York City from their selection list and;

WHEREAS students in certain districts (including District 2) have a lower likelihood of admission to a school on their selection list and;

WHEREAS the lack of timely information about lottery numbers makes it difficult for families to make informed decisions when crafting their selection list and;

WHEREAS all or almost all high schools in other boroughs give geographic priority to residents of that borough and;

WHEREAS while Community Board 8 Manhattan (CB8M) supports the public statement of the Chancellor of the New York City Department of Education (DOE) to restore District 2 priority to schools that previously provided this preference, we recognize that this action does not address the needs of District 2 students who may be in lower academic priority groups or the needs of residents of other Manhattan districts and further that this action will result in less diversity at these schools.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED CB8M urges the Chancellor and the DOE to provide Manhattan borough residents priority admission to all non-specialized Manhattan high schools and to develop a long-term plan that provides admissions priority to one or more local schools to all students citywide -- which could include local district or borough priority for a portion of seats at all or almost all high schools citywide -- while also maintaining priorities to ensure socioeconomic diversity in selective public high schools.

Community Board 8 Manhattan unanimously approved this resolution by a vote of 36 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.

F. Street Life Committee – Lindsey Cormack and Abraham Salcedo, Co-Chairs

SL-1: Items 1A-D, 2A, 3A-C - Unanimous Approvals

Item 1A: Fuji East 168 LLC., 455 Main Street, Suite 3A New Application and Temporary Retail Permit for Wine, Beer and Cider

WHEREAS this is a New application for a Wine, Beer, and Cider License and Temporary Retail Permit; and

WHEREAS no one from the public objected; and

WHEREAS the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8's stipulations concerning delivery bikes and bar crawls and to the stipulation to limit outdoor service to no later than 10pm; therefore

BE IT RESOLVED that the application is **APPROVED**, subject to the stipulations above.

Item 1B: TFK First Avenue LTD, dba The Fancy Kook, 1433 First Avenue (Between East 74th and East 75th Streets) New Application and Temporary Retail Permit for Wine, Beer and Cider

WHEREAS this is a New application for a Wine, Beer, and Cider License and Temporary Retail Permit; and

WHEREAS no one from the public objected; and

WHEREAS the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8's stipulations concerning delivery bikes and bar crawls and to the stipulation to limit outdoor service to no later than 10pm; therefore

BE IT RESOLVED that the application is **APPROVED**, subject to the stipulations above.

Item 1C: Monsieur Bistro LLC., dba Monsieur Bistro, 853 Lexington Avenue (Between East 64th and East 65th Streets) New Application and Temporary Retail Permit for Liquor, Wine, Beer and Cider

WHEREAS this is a New application for a Wine, Beer, and Cider License and Temporary Retail Permit; and

WHEREAS no one from the public objected; and

WHEREAS the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8's stipulations concerning delivery bikes and bar crawls and to the stipulation to limit outdoor service to no later than 10pm; therefore

BE IT RESOLVED that the application is **APPROVED**, subject to the stipulations above.

Item 1D: 1638 Pizza Corp., 1638 Third Avenue (Between East 91st and East 92nd Streets) New Application and Temporary Retail Permit for Wine, Beer and Cider

WHEREAS this is a New application for a Wine, Beer, and Cider License and Temporary Retail Permit; and

WHEREAS no one from the public objected; and

WHEREAS the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8's stipulations concerning delivery bikes and bar crawls and to the stipulation to limit outdoor service to no later than 10pm; therefore

BE IT RESOLVED that the application is **APPROVED**, subject to the stipulations above.

Item 2A: A5A Restaurant, Inc., dba Armani Ristorante 762 Madison Avenue (Between East 65th and East 66th Streets) Removal Application for a Liquor, Wine, Beer and Cider License

WHEREAS this is a class change application due to a move in venue location for a Wine, Beer, and Cider License; and

WHEREAS no one from the public objected; and

WHEREAS the applicant agreed to the stipulations that alcohol will only be served to patrons sitting down for service, walk up purchases of alcohol to go will be prohibited.

WHEREAS the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8's stipulations concerning delivery bikes and bar crawls and to the stipulation to limit outdoor service to no later than 10pm; therefore

BE IT RESOLVED that the application is **APPROVED**, subject to the stipulations above.

Item 3A: **808 Lex Restaurant LLC and Luis Armando Argudo as Mgr, dba IL Gradino 808 Lexington Avenue (Between East 62nd and East 63rd Streets) 30 Day Waiver Renewal Application for Liquor, Wine, Beer and Cider**

WHEREAS this is a renewal application for a Wine, Beer, and Cider License; and

WHEREAS no one from the public objected; and

WHEREAS the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8's stipulations concerning delivery bikes and bar crawls and to the stipulation to limit outdoor service to no later than 10pm; therefore

BE IT RESOLVED that the application is **APPROVED**, subject to the stipulations above.

Item 3B: **1600 Third Avenue Corp, dba Catch n Chop, 1600-1602 Third Avenue (Between East 89th and East 90th Streets) 30 Day Waiver New Application for Wine, Beer and Cider**

WHEREAS this is a renewal application for a Wine, Beer, and Cider License; and

WHEREAS no one from the public objected; and

WHEREAS the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8's stipulations concerning delivery bikes and bar crawls and to the stipulation to limit outdoor service to no later than 10pm; therefore

BE IT RESOLVED that the application is **APPROVED**, subject to the stipulations above.

Item 3C: **UES Sushi Restaurant Inc dba New Suki Ichiro 1694 Second Avenue (Between East 87th and East 88th Streets) 30 Day Waiver Renewal Application for Liquor, Wine, Beer and Cider**

WHEREAS this is a renewal application for a Wine, Beer, and Cider License; and

WHEREAS no one from the public objected; and

WHEREAS the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8's stipulations concerning delivery bikes and bar crawls and to the stipulation to limit outdoor service to no later than 10pm; therefore

BE IT RESOLVED that the application is **APPROVED**, subject to the stipulations above.

Community Board 8 Manhattan unanimously approved these resolutions by a vote of 36 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.

10. **Old Business** – No items of Old Business were discussed

A motion to provide representatives of Community District 8 Manhattan's elected officials two minutes to deliver their reports to the Full Board of Community Board 8 Manhattan if they are physically present at Full Board meetings, or in lieu of their attendance, submit written testimony to the Full Board, was unanimously approved by a vote of 36 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.

11. **New Business** – No items of New Business were discussed.

The meeting was adjourned at 8:36 PM

Valerie S. Mason, Chair

	Attendance	LU-1	LU-2	RI-1	LM-1	LM-2	LM-3	LM-4	PW-1	TR-1	TR-2	YEL-1	SL-1	Old Bus
ANDERSON, MICHAEL	Present	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
ANGELOS, BILL	Present	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
ASHBY, ELIZABETH	Present	Abst	Abst	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
BARON, P. GAYLE	Virtual	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
BAYER MICHAELS, JENNIFER	Present	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
BIRNBAUM, MICHELE	Present	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
BORES, LORI ANN	Present	Abst	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
BORRERO, TAINA	Present	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
CAMP, ALIDA	Present	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
CHOWLA-SONG, JUNO	Excused-													
CHU, SARAH	Absent-													
COHN, ANTHONY	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
COLEMAN, SAUNDREA	Present	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
CORMACK, LINDSEY	Excused-													
FARBER, FELICE	Excused-													
HALLUM-CLARKE, SEBASTIAN	Excused-													
HARTZOG, EDWARD	Present	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
HERSHENSON, BRADLEY	Present	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
HELPERN, DAVID P.	Present	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
HUSAIN, SAHAR	Excused-													
JAFFER, AMIR	Excused-													
JOHNSON, WILMA	Excused-													
KRIKLER, PAUL	Present	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
LADER, CRAIG	Virtual	No	No								Yes			
LAMORTE, REBECCA	Absent-													
LEHV, ADDESON	Present	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
MASON, VALERIE	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
MCCLEMENT, JOHN	Present	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
MEYER, MAXIMILLIAN	Present	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
MEYERSON, EVAN	Present	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
MOSSMAN, CJ	Absent-													
PARSHALL, JANE	Present	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
PHILIPS, JOHN	Present	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
POPE-MARSHALL, SHARON	Present	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
POPPER, RITA	Present	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
PRICE, MARGARET	Present	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
ROSE, ELIZABETH	Excused-													
RUDDER, BARBARA	Present	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
SALCEDO, ABRAHAM	Excused-													
SANCHEZ, WILLIAM	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes										
SASSON, JACK	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
SCHNEIDER, JUDY	Present	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
SELIGSON, ROBIN	Present	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
SELLAM, SACHA	Present	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
SQUIRE, RUSSELL	Present	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
STEIN, TODD	Present	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
TAMAYO, MARCO	Present	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
WALD, ADAM	Present	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
WARREN, CHARLES	Present	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
WEINER, SHARON	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Total Yes		14	13	37	36	36	36	34	36	36	33	36	36	36
Total No		22	24	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	4	0	0	0
Total Abstain		2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Not Vote For Cause		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Votes	36 -- Quorum	38	38	37	36	36	36	36	36	36	37	36	36	36