

Valerie S. Mason
Chair

Will Brightbill
District Manager



505 Park Avenue, Suite 620
New York, N.Y. 10022-1106
(212) 758-4340
(212) 758-4616 (Fax)
www.cb8m.com – Website
info@cb8m.com – E-Mail

**The City of New York
Community Board 8 Manhattan
Full Board Meeting
PUBLIC HEARING**

Wednesday, February 21, 2024 - 6:30 PM

**This meeting was conducted in a hybrid format in person and via Zoom
Ramaz School - Heyman Auditorium
125 East 85th Street (Between Park and Lexington Avenues)**

MINUTES:

Community Board Members Present: Bill Angelos, Elizabeth Ashby, Michele Birnbaum, Lori Bores, Taina Borrero, Alida Camp, Juno Chowla-Song, Anthony Cohn, Saundrea Coleman, Lindsey Cormack, Felice Farber, Billy Freeland, Ed Hartzog, Bradley Hershenson, David P. Helpern, Sahar Husain, Wilma Johnson, Valerie Mason, John McClement, Evan Meyerson, Jane Parshall, John Philips, Sharon Pope-Marshall, Rita Popper, Peggy Price, Barbara Rudder, Will Sanchez, Jack Sasson, Judy Schneider, Robin Seligson, Cos Spagnoletti, Marco Tamayo, Adam Wald and Chuck Warren.

Community Board Members Virtual: Paul Krikler (Work Travel) and Abraham Salcedo (Family Illness)

Community Board Members Excused: Michael Anderson, P. Gayle Baron, Sarah Chu, Rebecca Dangoor, Craig Lader, Addeson Lehv, Elizabeth Rose, Russell Squire, and Sharon Weiner.

Community Board Members Absent: Jennifer Bayer Michaels, Rebecca Lamorte, and CJ Mossman.

Total Attendance: 34

Chair Valerie S. Mason called the meeting to order at 6:30 PM.

1. Public Session

- Daisy O'Neill representing Odyssey House spoke about the organization's services.
- Elizabeth Rose Daly representing The Frick Collection spoke about the museum's newest updates.
- Andrew Fine representing the E-Vehicle Safety Alliance spoke in favor of e-bike registration.
- Robert Hertman representing Green Health LLC spoke in favor of the proposed cannabis retail dispensary.
- David Kupferberg representing Passengers United spoke in favor of the Queens Bus Network redesign.
- Jill Schlesinger spoke in opposition to the construction of the Northwell Lenox Hill Tower.
- Evelyn David spoke in favor of parking passes regarding the Central Business District tolling structure.
- Eric Metzger representing Chase Bank spoke in favor of the landmarks application at 1295 Madison Avenue.
- Stephanie Reckler representing the Committee to Protect Our Lenox Hill Neighborhood spoke in opposition to the construction of the Northwell Lenox Hill Tower.
- Mishell Coronel-Le representing Mount Sinai Selikoff Centers for Occupational Health spoke about services that they provide.
- Lo van der Valk representing Carnegie Hill Neighbors provided updates on 231 East 94th Street.

- Alida Camp representing the Arts Committee of Community Board 8 Manhattan thanked everyone for the help they provided at the art show.

2. **Adoption of the Agenda** – Agenda Adopted

3. **Adoption of the Minutes** – Minutes Adopted

4. **Manhattan Borough President's Report**

Manhattan Borough President Mark Levine reported on his latest initiatives.

5. **Elected Officials' Reports**

- State Assembly Member Rebecca Seawright
- Council Member Keith Powers
- State Senator Liz Krueger
- Representative Jerry Nadler
- Manhattan District Attorney Alvin Bragg
- State Senator Jose Serrano

6. **Chair's Report – Valerie S. Mason**

Chair Valerie S. Mason gave her report.

7. **District Manager's Report – Will Brightbill**

District Manager Will Brightbill gave his report.

8. **Committee Reports and Action Items**

A. Transportation Committee – Craig Lader and Charles Warren, Co-Chairs

TR-1: Item 2

TR-2: Item 3

Item 2: Queens Bus Redesign recommendation to replace the Q102 between S. Loop Rd. and Queens Plaza with Q104 service between the Roosevelt Island "F" station and Sunnyside via Broadway & 46th Street

WHEREAS; the Q102 is the sole New York City Bus route that serves Roosevelt Island, having been in existence for many decades; and

WHEREAS; New York City Transit has published its draft final plan for their Queens Bus Redesign project, in which it is proposed that the Q102 service on Roosevelt Island be replaced with a redesigned Q104 route; and

WHEREAS; the proposed Q104 service to/from Roosevelt Island would serve the Broadway corridor in Astoria, resulting in the elimination of one-seat bus service between Roosevelt Island and Queens Plaza; and

WHEREAS; Roosevelt Island residents have expressed a strong preference to have direct access to Queens Plaza and Long Island City by bus over Sunnyside and Astoria; and

WHEREAS; the proposed Q104 service would connect Roosevelt Island to subway stations that are not currently ADA accessible and are not expected to be upgraded in the foreseeable future; and

WHEREAS; the Queens Plaza station that serves as the current transfer point between the Q102 and the E, M and R trains is fully accessible; and

WHEREAS; the older-skewing population of Roosevelt Island and the presence of Coler Hospital speak to the importance of maintaining bus to subway transfers that don't discriminate against persons with disabilities; and

WHEREAS; longer hours of service for any bus serving Coler Hospital are needed, as expressed in a recent Community Board 8 resolution;

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that Community Board 8 Manhattan requests the following in response to the recommendations of the Queens Bus Redesign:

1. The current routing of the Q102 Bus serving Roosevelt Island remain unchanged in Queens, thereby maintaining subway connections at stations that are fully ADA compliant;
2. The Q102 hours of service be extended, as previously requested in September 2023, to provide service at Coler Hospital at 1am to allow late night workers to transfer to other NYCT routes and subway stations;
3. Q102 service hours be increased on weekdays and weekends to achieve 20 minute headways;
4. The recommendation to replace the Q102 with Q104 service connecting Roosevelt Island to Astoria and Sunnyside not move forward;

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that Community Board 8 Manhattan opposes the Queens Bus Redesign recommendation to replace the Q102 service on Roosevelt Island connecting to Queens Plaza with Q104 service, due to the lack of ADA accessibility at subway transfer points along the proposed new route alignment and a preference among passengers to access Queensbridge and Long Island City over Astoria and Sunnyside; and **BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that if the Q104 does replace the Q102, the bus stop going north on Main Street should be relocated to the Senior Center in lieu of the current stop at the Library.

Community Board 8 Manhattan approved this resolution by a vote of 34 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstentions, and 1 not voting for cause.

Item 3: Revocable Consent Application to construct, maintain and use Snowmelt System at 20 East 78th Street

WHEREAS; the owners of 20 East 78th Street are requesting a revocable consent for an electric snow melt system; and

WHEREAS; the system can be accessed from the basement of the home; and

WHEREAS; the owner will be responsible for any costs related to maintenance if the system needs to be repaired and require the sidewalk be ripped up; and

WHEREAS; the snow melt system benefits the public when operating as intended;

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that Community Board 8 Manhattan supports the revocable consent request for a snow melt system in front of 20 East 78th Street.

Community Board 8 Manhattan approved this resolution by a vote of 21 in favor, 11 opposed, 3 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.

B. Landmarks Committee – David P. Helpen and Jane Parshall, Co-Chairs

LM-1: Item 1

LM-2: Items 2-4: Unanimous Disapprovals

Item 1: 128 East 64th Street (Upper East Side Historic District) – Julie Shih/Paula Damasceno – A Neo-Grec style building designed by James E. Ware and constructed in 1899. Application is to replace a window at the basement level with a door, with the door installation imitating the appearance of the existing window and with the goal of significantly improving the functionality of the space.

WHEREAS 128 East 64th Street is a neo-Grec style house designed by James Ware and constructed in 1899;

WHEREAS the current access to the basement is below the stoop and requires a sharp turn from the entrance gate;

WHEREAS by changing the existing window to the right of the stoop to a door, the applicant will now have direct access from the street into the basement;

WHEREAS the existing window has a vent directly beneath it;

WHEREAS the proposed door installation will imitate the appearance of the existing window; because of the to-be-removed vent, the difference between the visual look of the door vs. the window is minimal;

WHEREAS the applicant does not plan to alter the existing masonry opening;

WHEREAS the applicant will replicate the existing historic iron grillwork on the glass of the proposed new door

WHEREAS the basement level is 18” below grade so that the proposed change from window to door is not visible unless one is standing at the gate to the areaway;

WHEREAS the application presents as a very sensible solution for providing direct access to the basement level;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that this application is **APPROVED** as presented.

Community Board 8 Manhattan unanimously approved this resolution by a vote of 35 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.

Item 2: **1295 Madison Avenue East (Expanded Carnegie Hill Historic District)** – *TPG Architecture* – A Neo-Renaissance style building designed by Louis Korn and constructed in 1899, opening to the public in 1902. Application is to install non-illuminated building signs.

WHEREAS the applicant seeks approval for two non-illuminated signs for Chase Manhattan Bank that were installed without a Certificate of Appropriateness;

WHEREAS the one sign is on a Madison Avenue storefront, and the other sign is on an East 92nd Street storefront;

WHEREAS the applicant showed Landmarks Preservation Commission (LPC)-approved Chase Manhattan Bank signs for 120 Broadway and Columbus Avenue and West 86th Street that were similar to the proposed signs for Madison Avenue and East 92nd Street;

WHEREAS the applicant showed similar sized signs for banks in the Upper East Side that were not in the landmark district;

WHEREAS the LPC-approved signs were set within the masonry openings;

WHEREAS the signs for 1295 Madison Avenue spanned the storefront windows and were attached to the masonry piers;

WHEREAS the signs are made of twelve-inch-high aluminum plate letters with a white coating;

WHEREAS the letters are set on thirty-eight-inch-tall aluminum plate panels;

WHEREAS the sign on Madison Avenue is 105.5 inches long and the sign on East 92nd Street is 132 inches long;

WHEREAS the storefront window conditions are different on Madison Avenue and East 92nd Street;

WHEREAS the windows on Madison Avenue are set within masonry frames and the top of a window meets the underside of a beam or lintel below the cornice;

WHEREAS the top of the sign on Madison Avenue meets the underside of the cornice and is not in line with the top of the storefront window;

WHEREAS the repeat of the frames of the windows on Madison Avenue is interrupted by the sign;

WHEREAS the windows on 92nd Street are narrow;

WHEREAS the tops of the windows meet the underside of the cornice;

WHEREAS the sign on East 92nd Street is in line with the tops of the windows and the underside of the cornice;

WHEREAS the sign on East 92nd Street spans across two windows;

WHEREAS the window heights are different on Madison Avenue and East 92nd Street;

WHEREAS the sign locations are not consistent with the window heights in that the top of the Madison Avenue sign extends above the top of the window and the top of the East 92nd Street sign is in line with the tops of the windows;

WHEREAS there are two former menu boards on each face of the corner pier;

WHEREAS there are Chase logo signs within the frames of the former menu boards;

WHEREAS the Chase logo signs are incidental and do not impose on the architecture of the building;

WHEREAS the sign locations for the two large signs are not consistent with the tops of the storefront windows and are not respectful of the architectural design of the different widths and heights of the windows on Madison Avenue and East 92nd Street;

WHEREAS the two large signs, as already installed, are not appropriate and contextual within the historic district;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT this application is **DISAPPROVED** as presented.

Item 3: **5 East 63rd Street (Upper East Side Historic District)** – *Edward Shalat* – A Neo-Classical style building designed by Heins & LaFarge and constructed in 1900, with façade modifications and added upper stories by Harry Hurwitt in 1942. Application is for a new cornice on the street façade, replacement of double-

hung windows with casement windows on the front and rear facades, modifications to the existing stair bulkhead on the roof, replacement of lot line windows, and the addition of a new skylight on the roof.

WHEREAS 5 East 63rd Street is a neo-Classical style building designed by Heins & LaFarge and constructed in 1900, with façade modifications and added upper stories by Harry Hurwuit in 1942;

WHEREAS at the front elevation the applicant proposes to infill existing windows at the roof and install a fiberglass cornice that will not replicate the original historic cornice;

WHEREAS other proposed restoration work at the front elevation has already been approved at the staff level at the Landmarks Preservation Commission;

WHEREAS at both the front and rear elevations at all six floors, the applicant proposes to replace the existing one-over-one windows with new multi-light casement windows;

WHEREAS at the east elevation at the 6th floor, the applicant proposes to infill one lot line window to the west of a space formerly a small terrace; the applicant also proposes to replace the directly adjacent lot-line window with a new casement window;

WHEREAS the applicant proposes to remove the existing above-mentioned small terrace at the east elevation as part of a program to reconfigure part of the 6th floor so that a larger terrace can be carved out utilizing part of the 6th floor;

WHEREAS the applicant proposes a new skylight over the now enclosed space that was formerly the terrace;

WHEREAS at the roof, the applicant proposes to enlarge the existing stair bulkhead and to clad the entire bulkhead, including the new portion, in brick to match the rest of the house;

WHEREAS the applicant proposes to cover the extended bulkhead with a new skylight;

WHEREAS the proposed new bulkhead will extend horizontally three feet forward and will be visible from the public way; the existing bulkhead is minimally visible;

WHEREAS at the west elevation at the 6th floor, the applicant proposes to replace an existing multi-light lot-line window with a fixed single pane window;

WHEREAS at the rear elevation at the 6th floor, the applicant proposes to remove the windows and to remove a portion of the roof so that the proposed open courtyard/terrace can be carved out;

WHEREAS the open courtyard will have three walls and three openings where there were formerly windows;

WHEREAS double hung wood windows go with the style and time of the original historic house; the applicant could not say with certainty that the original windows were double hung;

WHEREAS the proposed casement windows are not contextual within the historic district;

WHEREAS the proposed fixed single pane lot-line window at the east elevation at the 6th floor is out of character with the rest of the house;

WHEREAS Heins and LaFarge were responsible for the original Romanesque-Byzantine east end and crossing of the Cathedral of St. John the Divine as well as the architecture and details for the Interborough Rapid Transit Company among other notable projects;

WHEREAS the Heins and LaFarge front door at 5 East 63rd Street is a bold expression of the modern French movement at the turn of the century;

WHEREAS the proposed replacement fiberglass cornice doesn't have the gravitas that 3 East 63rd Street deserves and is too small for a building of this size;

WHEREAS if a replacement cornice is to be considered it should be made of limestone and replicate the original majestic cornice;

WHEREAS the proposed open space at the 6th floor presents as an artificial opening and reads as vacant because of the removal of the windows and is not contextual within the historic district;

WHEREAS bringing forward the bulkhead makes it too visible from the public way;

WHEREAS the application doesn't rise to what the building once was; the wonderful proportions of the original building are completely lost;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that this application is **DISAPPROVED** as presented.

Item 4: **828 Madison Avenue (Upper East Side Historic District)** – *Design Republic* – A Neo-Renaissance style building designed by George F. Pelham and constructed in 1925-26. Application is to install storefront infill and signage at the building's southern seven storefront bays along East 69th Street and Madison Avenue.

WHEREAS the applicant proposed to install storefronts and signage in the building's southern end -- three bays on East 69th Street and four bays on Madison Avenue;

WHEREAS the Landmarks Preservation Commission (LPC) had approved a storefront master plan for the building in 2018;

WHEREAS the master plan included a granite base, two-inch bronze storefront window frames; and canvas awnings;

WHEREAS the LPC approved storefronts and signage for the thirteen bays to the north of the bays under consideration in the subject application;

WHEREAS neither the approved storefronts nor the applicant's proposed storefronts conform with the master plan;

WHEREAS the approved storefronts have a granite base, bronze frames with four-inch-deep bottom mullions, and canvas awnings;

WHEREAS the approved storefronts do not comply with the master plan in the four-inch-deep bronze frames at the bottoms of the storefront windows;

WHEREAS the proposed storefronts have a granite base, two-inch bronze frames with eleven-inch-deep top mullions to provide surfaces for signs;

WHEREAS the northernmost proposed storefront has a two-inch deep top mullion and a wide vertical mullion on the northern side;

WHEREAS the storefront frames will be a medium statutory bronze to match the master plan requirement;

WHEREAS the proposed storefronts do not comply with the master plan in the eleven-inch-deep top mullions, wide side mullion, granite that is lighter in color, and the lack of awnings;

WHEREAS the proposed entrance doors are either side of the southeast corner pier;

WHEREAS the proposed service door is at the western end of the western bay of the southern end of the building;

WHEREAS signs will have six-inch-high blackened bronze letters on the medium statutory bronze mullions;

WHEREAS the blackened bronze signs will be on the upper mullion in the center bay on East 69th Street and on the upper mullions in the two center bays on Madison Avenue;

WHEREAS there will be a vertical, blackened bronze sign at the top of the wide mullion in the northernmost bay on Madison Avenue;

WHEREAS there will be etched signs in the two glass entrance doors;

WHEREAS there will be blackened bronze signs on the two faces of the corner pier and on the piers either side of the corner storefronts;

WHEREAS the existing sconces will be removed;

WHEREAS the proposed storefronts have elegant detailing, they are not as consistent with the master plan as the proposed storefronts in the remaining thirteen bays;

WHEREAS the bays of the building could accommodate some differences, the proposed changes from the master plan go beyond theme and variations by eliminating the awnings and sconces and changing the proportions of the windows;

WHEREAS the proposed changes from the master plan eliminate the positive repetition of architectural elements in common;

WHEREAS the proposed application to install storefronts that differ from the master plan is not appropriate and contextual within the historic district;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT this application is **DISAPPROVED** as presented.

Community Board 8 Manhattan unanimously approved these resolutions by a vote of 35 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.

C. Street Life Committee – Lindsey Cormack and Abrahm Salcedo, Co-Chairs

SL-1: Items 1A-D, 2A-B: Unanimous Approvals

SL-2: Item 3A

SL-3: Item 4A

SL-4: Item 4B

Item 1A: **East 62nd Café LLC, 145 East 62nd Street (Between Third and Park Avenues)** New Application and Temporary Retail Permit for Wine, Beer, and Cider

WHEREAS this is a New application for a Wine, Beer, and Cider License and Temporary Retail Permit; and
WHEREAS no one from the public objected; and
WHEREAS the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8's stipulations concerning delivery bikes and bar crawls and; therefore
BE IT RESOLVED that the application is **APPROVED, subject to the stipulations above.**

Item 1B: **Stratis Morfogen or Entity to be formed dba Brooklyn Dumpling Shop., 453 East 78th St (Between York and First Avenues)** New Application and Temporary Retail Permit for Wine, Beer and Cider

WHEREAS this is a New application for a Wine, Beer, and Cider License and Temporary Retail Permit; and
WHEREAS no one from the public objected; and
WHEREAS the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8's stipulations concerning delivery bikes and bar crawls and; therefore
BE IT RESOLVED that the application is **APPROVED, subject to the stipulations above.**

Item 1C: **Charoensuk Corp., dba Bangrak, 1750 Second Avenue (Between East 91st and East 92nd Streets)** New Application and Temporary Retail Permit for Wine, Beer and Cider

WHEREAS this is a New application for a Wine, Beer, and Cider License and Temporary Retail Permit; and
WHEREAS no one from the public objected; and
WHEREAS the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8's stipulations concerning delivery bikes and bar crawls and; therefore
BE IT RESOLVED that the application is **APPROVED, subject to the stipulations above.**

Item 1D: **Get Go Pho Corp, dba pending, 306 East 81st Street (Between First and Second Avenues)** New Application and Temporary Retail Permit for Liquor, Wine, Beer and Cider

WHEREAS this is a New application for a Liquor, Wine, Beer, and Cider License and Temporary Retail Permit; and
WHEREAS no one from the public objected; and
WHEREAS the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8's stipulations concerning delivery bikes and bar crawls and; therefore
BE IT RESOLVED that the application is **APPROVED, subject to the stipulations above.**

Item 2A: **Sixty Hospitality LLC, dba Avra Madison 14 East 60th Street (Between Madison and Fifth Avenues)** Alteration Application and Method of Operation Change for a Liquor, Wine, Beer and Cider License

WHEREAS this is a method of operation change application to a Liquor, Wine, Beer, and Cider License, where the applicant will be expanding the space of the venue; and
WHEREAS no one from the public objected; and
WHEREAS the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8's stipulations concerning delivery bikes and bar crawls and; therefore
BE IT RESOLVED that the application is **APPROVED, subject to the stipulations above.**

Item 2B: **RLJ C NY Upper Eastside Lessee LLC and Highgate Hotels LP., dba Courtyard NY Manhattan Upper East Side, 410 East 92nd Street (Between York and First Avenues)** Class Change Application for Wine, Beer and Cider License

WHEREAS this is a class change application for a Wine, Beer, and Cider License; and
WHEREAS no one from the public objected; and
WHEREAS the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8's stipulations concerning delivery bikes and bar crawls and; therefore
BE IT RESOLVED that the application is **APPROVED, subject to the stipulations above.**

Community Board 8 Manhattan unanimously approved these resolutions by a vote of 35 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.

Item 3A: ESM Group 1415 LLC dba Bread N Wine, 1415 Lexington Avenue (Between East 92nd and East 93rd Streets) 30 Day Waiver Renewal for a Wine, Beer and Cider License

WHEREAS this is a class change application for a Wine, Beer, and Cider License; and

WHEREAS no one from the public objected; and

WHEREAS the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8's stipulations concerning delivery bikes and bar crawls and; therefore

BE IT RESOLVED that the application is **APPROVED, subject to the stipulations above**

Community Board 8 Manhattan approved this resolution by a vote of 34 in favor, 1 opposed, 0 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.

Item 4A: SLG-DB 1707 Second Avenue (Between East 88th and East 89th Streets) Application to the Office of Cannabis Management for a New Adult-Use Retail Dispensary License

WHEREAS this is a new application for a retail dispensary; and

WHEREAS the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8's stipulations; therefore

BE IT RESOLVED that the application is **APPROVED, subject to the stipulations above.**

Community Board 8 Manhattan approved this resolution by a vote of 25 in favor, 10 opposed, 0 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.

Item 4B: Green Health LLC 1190 Lexington Avenue (Between East 81st and East 82nd Streets) Application to the Office of Cannabis Management for a New Adult-Use Retail Dispensary License

WHEREAS this is a new application for a retail dispensary; and

WHEREAS the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8's stipulations; therefore

BE IT RESOLVED that the application is **APPROVED, subject to the stipulations above.**

Community Board 8 Manhattan approved this resolution by a vote of 21 in favor, 14 opposed, 1 abstention, and 0 not voting for cause.

D. Women and Families Committee & Health, Seniors, and Social Services Committee – P. Gayle Baron and Margaret Price Co-Chairs W&F | Rebecca Dangoor, Wilma Johnson, and Barbara Rudder Co-Chairs HSSS

WF+HSS-1: Item 1

Item 1: Increasing New York State Transparency of Assisted Living Facilities and Nursing Homes

WHEREAS America's population of those aged 65 and older is projected to jump 47% between today and 2050; and

WHEREAS a person turning age 65 today has an almost 70% chance of needing long-term care in their lifetime; and

WHEREAS by at least one estimate, the already high cost of care from a home health aide, assisted living facility or nursing home, is estimated to leap a median 30% between 2021 and 2030 alone; and

WHEREAS public information on the quality of care in assisted living facilities and nursing homes remains sparse, toughening the job of individuals and families to make an informed choice of care facility—despite centers' high costs; and

WHEREAS information—including ownership, care services, and problem areas—is even less available with assisted living centers than with nursing homes; therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED that Community Board 8 Manhattan urges the New York State Department of Health to increase transparency of New York State's assisted living facilities and nursing homes. For each facility, actions should include creating publicly available, internet-accessible information that is comparable among similar facilities in New York State. Information would include, but not be limited to the ownership of such facilities; the quality of their care, including the proportion of registered nurses per care recipient in nursing homes, and times doctors are on call at assisted living facilities; details of complaints about care facilities; and, full reports on all investigations of these care centers.

Community Board 8 Manhattan unanimously approved this resolution by a vote of 37 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause

9. Old Business – No items of Old Business were discussed

10. New Business – No items of New Business were discussed.

11. Executive Session – Approval of the hiring of a new Community Associate

A motion was made to move to Executive Session to discuss hiring a new Community Associate.

The board approved the hiring of Rose Kloppe as a Community Associate.

The meeting was adjourned at 7:42 PM

Valerie S. Mason, Chair

[illegible]