

Russell Squire
Chair

Will Brightbill
District Manager



505 Park Avenue, Suite 620
New York, N.Y. 10022-1106
(212) 758-4340
(212) 758-4616 (Fax)
www.cb8m.com – Website
info@cb8m.com – E-Mail

**The City of New York
Community Board 8 Manhattan
Full Board Meeting
Wednesday, June 16, 2021 - 6:30 PM
*This meeting was conducted via Zoom***

MINUTES:

Community Board Members Present: Aggarwala, Rohit, Elizabeth Ashby, P. Gayle Baron, Lowell Barton, Michele Birnbaum, Lori Ann Bores, Taina Borrero, Lorraine Brown, Alida Camp, Barbara Chocky, Sarah Chu, Anthony Cohn, Saundra Coleman, Rebecca Dangoor, Felice Farber, Billy Freeland, Edward Hartzog, David Helpert, Wilma Johnson, Takako Kono, Craig Lader, May Malik, Valerie Mason, Evan Meyerson, Jane Parshall, Peter Patch, Harrison Pierson-Panes, Sharon Pope-Marshall, Rita Popper, Margaret Price, Elizabeth Rose, Abraham Salcedo, William Sanchez, M. Barry Schneider, Tricia Shimamura, Rami Sigal, Cos Spagnoletti, Russell Squire, Lynne Strong-Shinozaki, Marco Tamayo, Adam Wald, Elaine Walsh, Charles Warren, and Sharon Weiner

Community Board Members Absent (Excused): Vanessa Aronson, Rebecca Lamorte, Gregory Morris, Yma Rodriguez-Thoma, Barbara Rudder

Community Board Members Absent (Unexcused): Carolina Tejo

Total Attendance: 44

Chairman Russell Squire called the meeting to order at 6:30 PM.

1. **Public Session** - Those who wish to speak during the Public Session must register to do so by 6:45 pm.
 - Nicholas Circharo from the Department of Sanitation spoke about the Curbside Composting Program
 - Barry Jay Kessler spoke in favor of the Reconstruction of Lawn Sports facilities
 - Juditj Moldover spoke in favor of more trees on 76th St; trash bins, and cleaning food waste on sidewalks
 - Meg Young spoke in opposition to the NYC use of facial recognition
 - Elizabeth Rose Daly gave an update on the Frick Collection
 - Andrew Ravaschiere spoke in favor of the 210' Height Cap and Demolition Stoppage
 - Wendy Machaver spoke about Development, Climate Change, and Affordable Housing
 - Lo van der Valk spoke in opposition to the 15 East 91st Street (Landmarks Item)
 - Edmund Lee spoke in favor of the 30-day standardized notice waiver for liquor license
2. **Adoption of the Agenda** – Agenda Adopted
3. **Adoption of the Minutes** – Minutes Adopted
4. **Manhattan Borough President's Report**

Manhattan Borough President Gale Brewer reported on her latest initiatives.

5. Elected Officials' Reports

Elected officials or their representatives reported on their latest initiatives.

1. State Senator Jose Serrano
2. Council Member Keith Powers
3. Public Advocate Jumaane Williams
4. Assembly Member Rebecca Seawright
5. Assembly Member Dan Quart
6. Manhattan DA Cy Vance, Jr.
7. State Senator Liz Krueger

8. Council Member Ben Kallos

6. Chair's Report – Russell Squire

Chair Russell Squire gave his report.

7. District Manager's Report – Will Brightbill

District Manager Will Brightbill gave his report.

8. Committee Reports and Action Items

a. Landmarks Committee – David Helpern and Jane Parshall, Co-Chairs

LM-1: Item 1 - Unanimous Disapproval

LM-2: Item 2 - Unanimous Approval

LM-3: Item 3 - Unanimous Approval

Item 1: 1022 Lexington Avenue (SW corner of Lexington Avenue and 73rd Street) — Upper East Side Historic District Extension. *Theodore Bodnar, Architect.* Application is for first floor facade redesign and for infill at the upper two floors.

WHEREAS 1022 Lexington Avenue is a neo-Grec brownstone row house designed by Thom & Wilson and constructed between 1880-1881;

WHEREAS the Landmarks Preservation Commission previously approved a Certificate of Appropriateness for 1022 Lexington Avenue in October, 2020 for changes to the windows at the 1st and 2nd floors on both the Lexington Avenue elevation and the 73rd Street elevation;

WHEREAS the applicant now proposes to install full height glass doors in place of the approved first floor windows on both the Lexington Avenue elevation and the East 73rd Street elevation to gain access to the outdoor eating areas for the new restaurant that has taken the space;

WHEREAS the windows approved in the applicant's previous application would now be dropped to the floor with sliding or operable glass openings (the previously approved application indicated 16" of brick below the windows);

WHEREAS the openings include 5 sliding windows along Lexington Avenue and 4 bays, a glass door and an entry door to the residential component along 73rd Street;

WHEREAS the applicant also proposes moving/shifting the entry to the west from the previously approved entry point on the 73rd Street elevation;

WHEREAS to summarize — along 73rd Street as one moves west, first the glass entry door, then 4 bays, then the entrance to the residential part of the building (floors 3 and 4) which retains the original wrought iron door for residential component - the entry door was formerly on Lexington Avenue;

WHEREAS there will be approximately 70' of solid plate glass along East 73rd Street;

WHEREAS the applicant proposes to add to two floors of infill (10' x 17') at the most westerly part (western corner) of the building — to be differentiated [as requested by the Landmarks Preservation Commission] from the original historic brick building by having a stucco finish;

WHEREAS there is too much continuous plate glass along East 73rd Street which is primarily a residential block;

WHEREAS there is a disembodied quality to the proposed windows on the first floor as they relate to the proposed and previously approved divided lite windows at the 2nd floor;

WHEREAS divided lights would be more appropriate for all of the windows along both elevations and more contextual within the historic district;

WHEREAS the proposed infill removes the interest of the existing building; the existing fencing at the 2nd floor, which would be removed for the infill, adds to the charm of the historic building;

WHEREAS the proposed stucco cladding for the infill to distinguish it from the original historic brick building is inappropriate within in the historic district; extending the language of the existing building would be a more appropriate solution;

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that this application is **DISAPPROVED** as presented.

Community Board 8 Manhattan approved this resolution by a vote of 42 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.

Item 2: 15 East 91st Street (NW corner of 91st Street and Madison Avenue) — Expanded Carnegie Hill Historic District. *Mary Dierickx, Architectural Historian, presenting for the applicant.* Application is for restoration of original balcony to south facing elevation at the 4th floor and for approval of walls attached to window mullions at the restored facade after balcony reinserted [*The applicant proposes to build two partitions within the apartment interior demising or ending at the windows.*]

WHEREAS 15 East 91st Street is an apartment building designed by Leonard Schultz's & Associates and constructed in 1945-47.

WHEREAS 15 East 91st Street was designed in the modern style; each apartment had its own balcony distinguished by moderne or stream-lined railings; most balconies were removed over the years;

WHEREAS while there is a Master Plan for the windows at 15 East 91st Street there is **no** master plan for the balconies or for the windows and doors that are part of the apartment behind the balcony;

WHEREAS the applicant proposes, as part of a renovation for Apartment 4A, to restore a balcony terrace and to add doors and a window to the new wall behind the terrace; (*On the south facing elevation there are now two windows — the original terrace having been removed and the brick facade pushed out.*)

WHEREAS as part of an interior renovation, the applicant — as part of the project to reinsert the balcony — is creating two new rooms where formerly there was one room — the walls of the two new rooms end at the mullions (*go directly to the windows so that the mullions hide the walls behind*);

WHEREAS interior walls that are perpendicular to a window and that do not demise or end at a masonry wall (in this case ending at a vertical mullion) require a Certificate of Appropriateness and cannot be approved at the staff level;

WHEREAS the Landmarks Preservation Commission also is requiring a Certificate of Appropriateness for the reinserted balcony; an application for a reinserted balcony for 15 East 91st Street has not been previously requested of the LPC;

WHEREAS formerly the wall behind the terrace/balcony had a large central window and a door to provide access to the balcony, the applicant proposes a French door and one window; the proportions for the proposed configuration will match the proportions of the original configuration;

WHEREAS there is limited visibility of the new door/window configuration from the street; the reinserted balcony blocks the view of the new door/window configuration;

WHEREAS even though the now-flat facade of the south elevation will be disrupted by the balcony, *there were formerly balconies for every apartment on the south elevation*;

WHEREAS the reinsertion of the balcony with its streamlined “moderne” railing reminiscent of an ocean liner, will return a original feature to the otherwise bland building;

WHEREAS the Landmarks Committee of Community Board 8M would like to see more balconies reinserted; a building Master Plan for restoration of the balconies is encouraged;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT this application is **APPROVED** as presented.

Community Board 8 Manhattan approved this resolution by a vote of 42 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.

Item 3: 1083 Fifth Avenue (Expanded Carnegie Hill Historic District) —Zivkovic Connelly Architects—A Beaux-Arts style townhouse designed by Turner and Kilian and built in 1901-02. Application is to extend elevator bulkhead.

WHEREAS 1083 Fifth Avenue was designed by Turner and Killian and built in 1901-02, and altered by Ogden Codman in 1913-15;

WHEREAS this building is being restored to a private residence;

WHEREAS the restoration of this building has been approved by the Landmarks Preservation Commission except for the proposed elevator bulkhead;

WHEREAS the elevator bulkhead will be set above the existing stair bulkhead;

WHEREAS the elevator bulkhead will rise 7'-3" above the stair bulkhead and will have a volume of 555 cubic feet;

WHEREAS the size of the elevator bulkhead has been kept to a minimum under the New York City Building Code;

WHEREAS the north face of the existing stair bulkhead and the north face of the proposed elevator bulkhead are on the secondary wall of the building;

WHEREAS 1083 was to have been within a row of buildings and the north wall was not to have been visible;

WHEREAS the north wall remained visible because the construction of the Church to the north of 1083 Fifth left a view corridor to the east between the buildings;

WHEREAS the north wall was constructed of brick masonry with bricks ranging in color from red to brown;

WHEREAS the stair bulkhead was built as a later addition when the building required fire stairs because of a change of use;

WHEREAS the stair bulkhead was made more visible than it might have been because it was finished in a white stucco that contrasted with the dark brick wall;

WHEREAS the former recess for the lightwell on the north wall has been filled in and salvaged brick from the three walls of the light well used to create a visually seamless closure of the wall;

WHEREAS the balance of the salvaged brick will be mixed with similar new brick and used to replace the stucco on the existing bulkhead and to clad the elevator bulkhead;

WHEREAS the face of the north wall, including the existing bulkhead and the extension for the elevator bulkhead, will be of brick masonry in the same color range as the original brick masonry;

WHEREAS the existing bulkhead and the extension for the elevator bulkhead will appear to be part of the original brick masonry wall;

WHEREAS the dark color of the elevator bulkhead, achieved by matching and extending the existing brick masonry, will make it far less visible than the white stucco that will be replaced on the existing bulkhead;

WHEREAS the elevator bulkhead will be set well back from Fifth Avenue and will not be visible from the sidewalk directly across Fifth Avenue;

WHEREAS the proposed elevator bulkhead will be visible intermittently from Engineers Gate and the track in Central Park;

WHEREAS the proposed elevator bulkhead will be seen as an integral part of the wall and the rooftop and not as an addition;

WHEREAS the proposed elevator bulkhead will hardly be visible and, if seen, will not detract from the architectural character of the building;

WHEREAS the proposed elevator bulkhead is appropriate and contextual within the historic district;

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that this application is **APPROVED** as presented.

Community Board 8 Manhattan approved this resolution by a vote of 42 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.

b. Social Justice Committee – Saundra I. Coleman and Sarah Chu, Co-Chairs

Item 1: Surveillance Technology

WHEREAS, we live in a technology infused society where the collection of data is inescapable,

WHEREAS, this technology includes and is not limited to AI and algorithmic technology which discriminate against people of color, women, LGBTQ communities, those with disabilities, and other protected classes of people,

WHEREAS, research has revealed this technology to be inaccurate and the root cause is structural and not easily cured,

WHEREAS, even if this technology was more accurate, it would not remedy its violation of privacy, human rights conventions, and exacerbate disparities in many reaches of society,

WHEREAS, there is an asymmetry between procurement processes that blur the lines of government and put power in the hands of commercial entities that are not prioritizing the community good,

WHEREAS, S79 and the federal Algorithmic Accountability Act introduced by Congress Members Clarke and Pressley raise the needs for algorithmic justice and are important starts,

WHEREAS, before being fully proven, surveillance technology is field tested in society for law enforcement, social service, and other purposes which has serious justice consequences,

BE IT RESOLVED, CB8 calls for our city and state legislators to take action to regulate algorithmic and AI technologies such that:

1. We can reimagine, reappropriate, and recreate how we use technology in our city and state government,
2. Any bills implement community-based regulation as the checks and balances to ensure that the government application of technology - which interacts with us and extracts data from us - are functioning in accordance with our values,
3. The practice of examining algorithms and AI systems for bias must be operationalized through justice and equity impact assessment PRIOR to implementation,
4. Technologies that exacerbate disparities for the members of protected classes under Title 7 of Civil Rights act of 1964, and biometric surveillance technologies such as FRT, gait, etc., should be banned.
5. Regulate the afterlife of the technology such that continuous efforts are made to regulate data collection so that only minimal and necessary data is collected; that it is secured when in use, destroyed after use, and the public notified when data is shared across City and State agencies.
6. Establish regulations and enumerate the principles we hold dear and hold vendors and companies to these values.
7. Vigilantly guard the commercialization of our personal data and establish mechanisms to redress harm when data is misused.

Community Board 8 Manhattan approved this resolution by a vote of 41 in favor, 0 opposed, 2 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.

- c. Youth, Education, and Libraries Committee – Taina Borrero, Peter Patch & Rami Sigal, Co-Chairs

Item 4: Resolution in Support of School Sports and Afterschool Programs

WHEREAS: Middle and high school sports and afterschool programs are valuable to students, families, and school communities.

WHEREAS: Sports and afterschool programs for students in Kindergarten through 12th grade are vital to student academic and social success.

WHEREAS: After School program funding has been cut and programs canceled due to the COVID-19 pandemic.

WHEREAS: School sports and afterschool programming serve as vital childcare and support for at-risk youth.

WHEREAS: Sports teams in the Public School Athletic League (PSAL) are significant in the recruiting of young talent to new or developing high schools.

WHEREAS: PSAL has not chartered new sports teams in most New York City Department of Education high schools despite significant interest by school athletic programs under the All-Access Pilot policy.

WHEREAS: PSAL's All-Access Pilot policy inhibits the growth of young athletes, exacerbates the gender gap in sports, and hinders school spirit and recruiting measures, despite its merits.

WHEREAS: Student-athletes rely on PSAL programming to gain otherwise unobtainable scholarships to institutions of higher education.

WHEREAS: Sports teams rely on PSAL for organizational and financial support to pay for referees and coach salaries.

BE IT RESOLVED: Manhattan's Community Board 8 supports a change to PSAL's Pilot policy through the chartering of any team interested in participation in PSAL approved sports as well as the restoration of funds and full reopening of afterschool programming for all students.

Community Board 8 Manhattan approved this resolution by a vote of 35 in favor, 2 opposed, 5 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.

d. Roosevelt Island Committee – Lynne Strong-Shinozaki, Chair

RI-1: Item 5 - Unanimous Approval

Item 5: Additional doorway and turnstiles to the Roosevelt Island Subway

WHEREAS, the Roosevelt Island MTA Station has one main entrance which includes, one ADA activated door to the outside and two push open doors to the outside and once in the station having three turnstiles and one attendant activated door. Which do not successfully deal with the station when it is over crowded and in fact creates an unsafe situation due to outgoing and incoming riders having to push past each other to exit or enter and,

WHEREAS, those entering riders and those exiting riders continually cross paths and impeded inflow and outflow of safe pedestrian traffic creating unsafe situations and,

WHEREAS, the station is large enough to accommodate additional doorways on the north side of the station (near Starbucks) where currently there are windows, this would eliminate cross traffic and create a safer flow of riders entering and exiting the station and,

WHEREAS, overcrowding in the station in 2019 created an unsafe and hazardous situation that came close to being catastrophically unsafe and made national headlines because of the unsafe situation.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that we respectfully request the MTA add one additional outside push door and one additional ADA door and two additional turnstiles with an additional ADA entrance door directly adjacent to the interior elevator on the North Side of the Station so that Manhattan bound riders and Queens bound riders will be able to enter and exit the station safely no matter how many people use the station at one time.

Community Board 8 Manhattan approved this resolution by a vote of 42 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.

e. Transportation Committee – Charles S. Warren and Craig M. Lader, Co-Chairs

TR-1: Item 1 - Approval

TR-2: Item 3 - Approval

Item 1: Concept for a "Queens Ribbon" Bike/Pedestrian Bridge to Manhattan

WHEREAS; bike traffic has grown significantly in the past 10 years, especially since the onset of the Covid-19 Pandemic; and

WHEREAS; even with the anticipated expansion of bike/pedestrian lane availability on the Queensboro and Brooklyn Bridges, the current growth rate of biking would require additional capacity to meet projected demand; and

WHEREAS; an interdisciplinary team of planners, engineers and graduate students has developed a concept for a series of bike/pedestrian bridges connecting Manhattan's Central Business Districts to Brooklyn, Queens and New Jersey; and

WHEREAS; the Queens Ribbon is the proposed bike/pedestrian bridge concept that would connect the Long Island City area of Queens to the East Midtown Area of Manhattan; and

WHEREAS; the Queens Ribbon concept would likely cross over Roosevelt Island and could provide a significant connectivity improvement for Roosevelt Island residents and institutions traveling to Queens and Manhattan; and

WHEREAS; the anticipated cost of a Queens Ribbon would be relatively low compared to building vehicular bridges; and

WHEREAS; bike/pedestrian bridges built in other cities have proven to be immensely popular and well-utilized;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that Community Board 8 Manhattan supports the concept of a Queens Ribbon bridge connecting Long Island City to East Midtown via Roosevelt Island; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that Community Board 8 Manhattan requests that city and state transportation agencies commence planning and environmental studies required to establish feasibility and secure funding for potential construction, and that such studies include providing direct connectivity from the Bridge Deck to Roosevelt Island.

Community Board 8 Manhattan approved this resolution by a vote of 40 in favor, 2 opposed, 0 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.

Item 3: Loading Zone Regulations in front of Fairway Market 86th Street

WHEREAS, Village Supermarket, Inc. is the owner of Fairway Market 86th Street; and

WHEREAS, the sidewalk and curbside in front of Fairway Market 86th Street has regularly been overtaken by trucks, machinery and products, predating Village Supermarket, Inc. taking over the store in May 2020; and

WHEREAS, NYCDOT installed new signage in May 2021 reducing the hours of the loading zone in front of Fairway Market 86th Street in response to ongoing complaints and prior lack of responsiveness by Fairway Market 86th Street to address community concerns; and

WHEREAS, Village Supermarket, Inc. representatives met with Community Board 8 Manhattan in May 2021 and made a commitment to address conditions in front of Fairway Market 86th Street, and

WHEREAS, observed conditions in front of Fairway Market 86th Street have seen significant improvements to the sidewalk and curbside since May 2021; and

WHEREAS, Village Supermarket, Inc. has requested that loading zone hours be returned to their original 5AM to Midnight hours; and

WHEREAS, Village Supermarket has committed to continuing to provide updates and meet with Community Board 8 Manhattan as requested and to continue to commit to minimizing the amount of activity on the sidewalk and curbside in front of Fairway Market 86th Street;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that Community Board 8 Manhattan requests that NYCDOT change the parking signage in front of Fairway Market 86th Street to the previous "Loading Zone 5AM to Midnight" regulations.

Community Board 8 Manhattan approved this resolution by a vote of 32 in favor, 11 opposed, 0 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.

f. Parks and Waterfront Committee – Barry Schneider and Tricia Shimamura, Co-Chairs

PW-1: Item 2 - Approval

Item 2: Restoration of the Croquet Greens in Central Park

WHEREAS the New York Lawn Bowling Club is approaching its 100th anniversary in 2026; and

WHEREAS the current state of the bowling lawns in Central Park are uneven, patchy, and nonfunctional for lawn sports; and

WHEREAS the original characteristics of the Moorish Style Mineral Springs building have been lost in its current form; and

WHEREAS there is a need for more restrooms at the current Mineral Springs building; therefore

BE IT RESOLVED that Community Board 8 supports the historical restoration of the Mineral Springs building and urges the Central Park Conservancy and New York City Parks and Recreation Department to restore the lawn to pristine conditions for bowling, croquet, and other lawn activities.

Community Board 8 Manhattan approved this resolution by a vote of 43 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.

g. Street Life Committee – Abraham Salcedo, Chair

SL-1: Unanimous SLA Approvals: 2b, 2c, 2d, 2e, 2f, 2g, 2h, 3a, 4a, 4b

SL-2: SLA Approval: 2a

Unanimous SLA Approvals: 2b, 2c, 2d, 2e, 2f, 2g, 2h, 3a, 4a, 4b

2. New Applications to the New York State Liquor Authority for Liquor Licenses:

b. **Scotch & Ice Cream LLC, dba Not A Speakeasy (NAS), 301 East 84th Street (Between First and Second Avenues)** - New Application for Liquor, Wine, Beer, & Cider

WHEREAS this is a New application for a Liquor, Wine, Beer, and Cider License; and

WHEREAS no one from the public objected; and

WHEREAS the applicant agreed to provide a valid certificate of occupancy for the space; and

WHEREAS the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8's stipulations concerning delivery bikes and bar crawls; therefore

BE IT RESOLVED that the application is **APPROVED**, subject to the stipulations above.

c. **Salt Mediterranean LLC, dba The Salt, 1123 First Avenue (Between 61st and 62nd Streets)** - New Application for Liquor, Wine, Beer, & Cider

WHEREAS this is a New application for a Liquor, Wine, Beer, and Cider License; and

WHEREAS no one from the public objected; and

WHEREAS the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8's stipulations concerning delivery bikes and bar crawls; therefore

BE IT RESOLVED that the application is **APPROVED**, subject to the stipulations above.

d. **Anna Nazarova & Robert Meller, 1702 Second Avenue (Between 88th and 89th Streets)** - New Application for Liquor, Wine, Beer, & Cider

WHEREAS this is a New application for a Liquor, Wine, Beer, and Cider License; and

WHEREAS no one from the public objected; and

WHEREAS the applicant agreed to provide a valid place of assembly permit for the space and applicant agreed to prevent noise emanating from the establishment and to ensure that no crowds congregate in front of the premises; and

WHEREAS the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8's stipulations concerning delivery bikes and bar crawls; therefore

BE IT RESOLVED that the application is **APPROVED, subject to the stipulations above.**

- e. **Tuscan Provisions LLC, 20 East 69th Street (Between Madison and Fifth Avenues)** - New Application for Wine, Beer, & Cider

WHEREAS this is a New application for a Wine, Beer, and Cider License; and

WHEREAS no one from the public objected; and

WHEREAS the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8's stipulations concerning delivery bikes and bar crawls; therefore

BE IT RESOLVED that the application is **APPROVED, subject to the stipulations above.**

- f. **A. Shapiro, 1431 Third Avenue (Between 80th and 81st Streets)** - New Application for Liquor, Wine, Beer, & Cider

WHEREAS this is a New application for a Liquor, Wine, Beer, and Cider License; and

WHEREAS no one from the public objected; and

WHEREAS the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8's stipulations concerning delivery bikes and bar crawls; therefore

BE IT RESOLVED that the application is **APPROVED, subject to the stipulations above.**

- g. **CBH NYC Inc, 1122 First Avenue (Between 61st and 62nd Streets)** - New Application for Liquor, Wine, Beer, & Cider

WHEREAS this is a New application for a Liquor, Wine, Beer, and Cider License; and

WHEREAS no one from the public objected; and

WHEREAS the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8's stipulations concerning delivery bikes and bar crawls; therefore

BE IT RESOLVED that the application is **APPROVED, subject to the stipulations above.**

- h. **1144 Restaurant Corp, 1144 First Avenue (Between 62nd and 63rd Streets)** - New Application for Liquor, Wine, Beer, & Cider

WHEREAS this is a New application for a Liquor, Wine, Beer, and Cider License; and

WHEREAS no one from the public objected; and

WHEREAS the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8's stipulations concerning delivery bikes and bar crawls; therefore

BE IT RESOLVED that the application is **APPROVED, subject to the stipulations above.**

3. Change Applications to the New York State Liquor Authority for Liquor Licenses:

- a. **1304A Second Avenue Associates LLC, dba DiFara, 1304A Second Avenue (Between 68th and 69th Streets)** - Corporate Change Application for Wine, Beer, & Cider

WHEREAS this is a corporate change application for a Wine, Beer, and Cider License due to a change in partners; and

WHEREAS no one from the public objected; and

WHEREAS the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8's stipulations concerning delivery bikes and bar crawls; therefore

BE IT RESOLVED that the application is **APPROVED**, subject to the stipulations above.

4. 30-Day Waiver Applications to the New York State Liquor Authority for Liquor Licenses:

- a. **Choi Lan Chang, dba IsoHama Japanese Restaurant (NY) Inc, 1666 Third Avenue (Between 93rd and 94th Streets)** - 30-Day Waiver for Renewal Application for Liquor, Wine, Beer, & Cider

WHEREAS this is a waiver application for a Liquor, Wine, Beer, and Cider License; and

WHEREAS no one from the public objected; and

WHEREAS the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8's stipulations concerning delivery bikes and bar crawls; therefore

BE IT RESOLVED that the application is **APPROVED**, subject to the stipulations above.

- b. **967 Lexington Avenue Corp, dba Bella Blu Restaurant, 967 Lexington Avenue (Between 70th and 71st Streets)** - 30-Day Waiver for Renewal Application for Liquor, Wine, Beer, & Cider

WHEREAS this is a waiver application for a Liquor, Wine, Beer, and Cider License; and

WHEREAS no one from the public objected; and

WHEREAS the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8's stipulations concerning delivery bikes and bar crawls; therefore

BE IT RESOLVED that the application is **APPROVED**, subject to the stipulations above.

Community Board 8 Manhattan approved this resolution by a vote of 43 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.

SLA Approval: 2a

2. New Applications to the New York State Liquor Authority for Liquor Licenses:

- a. **Venky's Food Corp, dba OM, 1531 York Avenue (Between 80th and 81st Streets)** - New Application for Liquor, Wine, Beer, & Cider

WHEREAS this is a New application for a Liquor, Wine, Beer, and Cider License; and

WHEREAS the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8's stipulations concerning delivery bikes and bar crawls; therefore

BE IT RESOLVED that the application is **APPROVED**, subject to the stipulations above.

Community Board 8 Manhattan approved this resolution by a vote of 34 in favor, 6 opposed, 3 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.

- h. Women and Families Committee – Gayle Baron and Peggy Price, Co-Chairs

WF-1: Item 1 - Approval

Item 1: Crime of Coercive Control Act Support

WHEREAS, statistics show that nationally, one in three women and one in four men have experienced some form of physical domestic violence; and,

WHEREAS, domestic violence also involves coercive control, which is oppressive behavior aimed at stifling a person's freedoms and/or forcing them to engage in unwanted actions through a process of on-going intimidation, humiliation, isolation, and other means; and,

WHEREAS, coercive control is a more pervasive problem than even physical domestic violence but has no legal status in most U.S. jurisdictions; and,

WHEREAS, coercive control affects women much more so than men due to lingering perceptions by some men that they should wield the power in a household; and,

WHEREAS, bills in the New York State legislature—including as part of the proposed New York State Phoenix Act—would make coercive control a crime in New York State; and,

WHEREAS, the Phoenix Act passed in the state’s Senate during the 2021 legislative session but failed to pass in the state’s Assembly; therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED, that Community Board 8 Manhattan strongly urges the New York State Senate and Assembly in its next 2022 session to pass legislation that would make coercive control a felony in New York State, which would help protect women from this pernicious form of domestic abuse.

Community Board 8 Manhattan approved this resolution by a vote of 42 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.

- i. Budget Committee – Barbara Chocky and Felice Farber, Co-Chairs

The Board moved to executive session to discuss office staffing.

9. Old Business

10. New Business

Russell Squire, Chair

DRAFT

Name	Attendance	LM-1	LM-2	LM-3	SJ-1	YEL-1	RI-1	TR-1	TR-2	PW-1	SL-1	SL-2	WF-1
AGGARWALA, ROHIT	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
ARONSON, VANESSA	Excused												
A SHBY, ELIZABETH	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
BARON, P. GAYLE	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
BARTON, LOWELL	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
BIRNBAUM, MICHELE	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Abst	Abst
BORES, LORI ANN	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Abst	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
BORRERO, TAINA	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
BROWN, LORAINÉ	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
CAMP, ALIDA	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Abst	Yes
CHOCKY, BARBARA	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Abst	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
CHU, SARAH	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes								
COHN, ANTHONY	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
COLEMAN, SAUNDREA	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
DANGOOR, REBECCA	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
FARBER, FELICE	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Abst
FREELAND, BILL	Present								Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
HARTZOG, EDWARD	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
HELPERN, DAVID P.	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
JOHNSON, WILMA	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Abst	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
KONO, TAKAKO	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
LADER, CRAIG	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
LAMORTE, REBECCA	Excused												
MALIK, MAY	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
MASON, VALERIE	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Abst	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Abst	Yes
MEYERSON, EVAN	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
MORRIS, GREGORY	Excused												
PARSHALL, JANE	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Abst	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
PATCH, PETER	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
PIERSON-PANES, HARRISON	Present				Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
POPE-MARSHALL, SHARON	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
POPPER, RITA	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
PRICE, MARGARET	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
RODRIGUEZ THOMA, YMA	Excused												
ROSE, ELIZABETH	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	Abst	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
RUDDER, BARBARA	Excused												
SALCEDO, ABRAHAM	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
SANCHEZ, WILLIAM	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
SCHNEIDER, M. BARRY	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
SHIMAMURA, TRICIA	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
SIGAL, RAMI	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
SPAGNOLETTI, COS	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	Abst	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
SQUIRE, RUSSELL	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
STRONG-SHINOZAKI, LYNNE	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
TAMAYO, MARCO	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
TEJO, CAROLINA	Absent												
WALD, ADAM	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
WALSH, ELAINE	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
WARREN, CHARLES	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
WEINER, SHARON	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Total Yes	44	42	42	42	41	35	42	40	32	43	43	34	40
Total No	5	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	11	0	0	6	0
Total Abstain	0	0	0	0	2	5	0	0	0	0	0	3	2
Total Not Vote For Cause		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Votes		42	42	42	43	42	42	42	43	43	43	43	42