Russell Squire Chair

Will Brightbill District Manager



The City of New York Community Board 8 Manhattan 505 Park Avenue, Suite 620 New York, N.Y. 10022-1106 (212) 758-4340 (212) 758-4616 (Fax) www.cb8m.com – Website info@cb8m.com – E-Mail

June 28, 2021

Marisa Lago, Chair City Planning Commission 120 Broadway, 31st Floor New York, NY 10271

Re: New York Blood Center and Longfellow Partners. Private application by the New York Blood Center to create a Life Sciences Hub on their existing site in Community District 8. Actions consist of (1) map amendment to rezone midblock from R8B to C2-7 ULURP Number: C210351ZMM (2) text amendment to Section 74-48 to allow an increase in commercial FAR and mods to use, bulk, signage ULURP Number: N210352ZRM (3) special permit pursuant to Section 74-48 ULURP Number: C210353ZSM (4) map MIH. Deadline June 28, 2021

At the Special Meeting of the Board held by Community Board 8 Manhattan on May 25, 2021, the board **APPROVED** the following resolution by a vote of 38 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstentions, and 1 not voting for cause.

WHEREAS the New York Blood Center ("NYBC") has partnered with Longfellow Real Estate Partners and is proposing to construct a 334'-tall building on the site of the existing NYBC (Block 1441, Lot 40) which will provide, above the 5th floor, space for commercial tenants to use as research laboratories and medical offices; and

WHEREAS the proposed project would implement a spot zoning change to the R8B zoning of the site, which would deleteriously impact the surrounding area and jeopardize hard-won R8B zoning elsewhere in New York City; create severe and unmitigable shadow impacts on a nearby park and school, in addition to other significant adverse effects; is unnecessary; and is inappropriate for a midblock site in a residential area, all as set forth further below; and

Project Background

WHEREAS the NYBC and Longfellow Real Estate Partners (together, the "Applicant") are requesting ten (10) zoning changes in three broad categories:

- 1. Zoning Map Amendment
 - a. Rezone site from R8B district to a C2-7 district which allows a commercial laboratory use (USE GROUP 9) and to develop the site to 10 FAR (453,000 zoning square feet) with no height limit.
 - b. Rezone Second Avenue block frontages between 66-67 St.to a depth of 100' from C1-9 to a C2-8 to "legalize" an existing movie theater and to allow several other large-scale functions under USE GROUP 9 (Catering Hall, Wedding chapel, TV Studio, Gymnasium);
- 2. Zoning Text Amendment to Section 74-48 to allow, by special permit
 - a. An increase in commercial FAR in C 2-7 districts for medical laboratories and associated offices
 - b. Modifications to the applicable supplementary use, bulk, and signage regulations.

- 3. Special permit pursuant to Section 74-48, as amended, to permit:
 - a. Commercial laboratory and associated office space to be included in the projectat more than the 2 FAR permitted in C2-7 districts pursuant to Section 33-122;
 - b. Commercial space to be located above the second floor of the building, which is not permitted by Zoning Resolution Section 32-421;
 - c. Commercial space to be located above the lesser of 30 feet or two stories, which is not permitted by Zoning Resolution Section 33-432;
 - d. Special permit pursuant to Section 74-48, as amended, to permit:
 - i. Modifications of the height and setback regulations of Section 33-432, which will allow the building to encroach on the initial setback distance and the sky exposure plane;
 - ii. Modifications of the rear yard equivalent regulations of Section 33-383, which will allow the Proposed Development to occupy the same footprint as the existing building on its lower floors;
 - iii. A sign to be located at the top of the building's base, in excess of the surface area permitted for illuminated signs pursuant to Section 32-642, the total surface area permitted for all signs pursuant to Section 32-641 and 32-643, and the maximum height of signs allowed by Section 32-655; and

Review of the Proposal by Community Board 8 Manhattan and the Public

WHEREAS prior to the certification of the NYBC/Longfellow application by the Department of City Planning ("DCP") on April 19, 2021, the Community Board 8 Manhattan ("CB8M") Zoning and Development Committee held three meetings—on November 17, 2020, December 8, 2020, and January 26, 2021—at which the principal topic was the Applicant's zoning application, attended by more than 600 members of the public, and with two presentations by the Applicant; and

WHEREAS informal polls of attendees disapproved of the application by 94% to 6%; and

WHEREAS at its December 8, 2020 meeting, the CB8M Zoning and Development Committee passed a resolution disapproving the proposed application (enclosed as Appendix A), which was affirmed by the Full Board on December 16, 2020, by a vote of 38 in favor, 5 opposed, 2 abstaining, and 1 not voting for cause; and

WHEREAS after the certification of the NYBC/Longfellow application by DCP, the CB8M Zoning and Development Committee had another meeting focused principally on the NYBC/Longfellow application on April 27, 2021, which was attended by more than 200 members of the public; and

WHEREAS on May 13, 2021, the CB8M Parks and Waterfront Committee passed a resolution opposing the proposed Blood Center Development (enclosed as Appendix B), and this resolution was approved by the full Board on May 19, 2021 by a vote of 41 in favor, 3 opposed, 1 abstaining, and 1 not voting for cause; and

WHEREAS at the CB8M Land Use Committee of the Whole meeting on May 12, 2021, CB8M held a public hearing on the NYBC/Longfellow application; and

WHEREAS at that hearing, the Applicant made an extensive presentation on the application; and WHEREAS a professional urban planner, George Janes (who is on retainer for CB8M), made a presentation on the application at that hearing and provided board members with a memo on the application prior to the hearing; and

WHEREAS the hearing, conducted over Zoom, was at capacity for most of its duration, with nearly 300 people in attendance and others watching a live stream of the hearing; and

WHEREAS members of the public had the opportunity to make comments and ask questions about the application; and

WHEREAS the Applicant had the opportunity to answer questions and respond to comments during the hearing; and

WHEREAS the comments from the public at the hearing were overwhelmingly in opposition to the application; and

WHEREAS CB8M held a Special Meeting of the Board on May 25, 2021, for further consideration of the application, which had similar high attendance from the public as the May 2021 Land Use meeting; and WHEREAS at the Special Meeting, the Applicant had the opportunity to answer and respond to questions and comments raised during the meeting as well as outstanding from the Land Use Committee meeting; and WHEREAS prior to the May 2021 Land Use Committee and Special Meetings, CB8M solicited written comments from the public and received more than 400 such comments (enclosed as Appendix C and available at https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1DtGMwO0vdDF6DqF7nZvG0zvweBBM3xia9yHomf9G8m8/edit#gid=908491452), the vast majority of which express strong opposition to the application; and WHEREAS from the first time that the NYBC/Longfellow proposal was presented to CB8M in November 2020 through when the application was made to and certified by DCP and continuing to this Special Meeting of the Board, the Applicant has made no changes in response to community and CB8M concerns; and

The Application Is a Thinly-Veiled Attempt at Spot Zoning

WHEREAS the proposal amounts to "spot zoning," which is defined as "singling out one parcel of land for a use classification totally different from that of the surrounding area for the benefit of the owner of such property and to the detriment of other owners" (15 Warren's Weed New York Real Property § 157.13 (2021); and

WHEREAS the application gratuitously includes changes to zoning on Second Avenue that are unrelated to the project and appear to have been included to mask the spot zoning; and

The Application Would Undermine Longstanding Policies with Respect to Balanced Development and Quality of Life Through Midblock Zoning

WHEREAS in July 1985, the City, through its City Planning Commission, recognized the incompatibility of midblock projects built under R7-2 or R8 regulations and the long term importance to the City of maintaining balanced development and quality of life on the Upper East Side and approved the rezoning of approximately 190 of 200 mid-blocks in Community District 8 Manhattan to "R8B" zoning; and

WHEREAS in July 1985, the City, through its City Planning Commission proposed and implemented the rezoning of approximately 190 of 200 mid-blocks in Community District 8 Manhattan to "R8B" zoning; and WHEREAS in so doing, the City Planning Commission

- 1. Gave thoughtful and thorough consideration to testimony of the community, neighborhood associations, eight elected officials, and neighboring hospitals and research institutions
- 2. Recognized the incompatibility of new midblock developments built under R7-2 or R8 regulations,
- 3. Weighed the interests of future institutional expansion against maintenance of residential midblock low-rise housing and buildings,
- 4. Promoted and maintained the existing scale, provision of light and air, control of density and protection of existing tenements as a vital housing resource on the mid-blocks of the Upper East Side,
- 5. Expressed an overriding public policy interest in preserving mid-blocks because they "form enclaves within the larger community and offer quiet refuge from the busier avenues and provide a viable and attractive housing resource to a wide range of income groups."
- 6. Stated that "The balancing of high-density zoning on the avenues by low-scale development in the midblocks has been a policy upheld consistently by the City Planning Commission"; and

WHEREAS the City also implemented R8B zoning on mid-blocks in a number of other neighborhoods, for similar reasons that such zoning was implemented in Community District 8 Manhattan; and

WHEREAS in the intervening thirty-five years, no development lot in an R8B zone in Community District 8 Manhattan has been rezoned to allow for more intensive development; and

WHEREAS the proposed zoning changes, if approved, would set a dangerous precedent, putting all the Upper East Side mid-blocks and all R8B zoning throughout the City at risk; and

WHEREAS the proposed rezoning of the R8B midblock would set a dangerous precedent for R5B, R6B, and R7B zoning in Brooklyn and Queens; and

The Project Does Not Merit Overriding the City's Longstanding Commitment to R8B Zoning and the Community Interests Served Thereby

WHEREAS CB8M recognizes and agrees that in addition to promoting the health and long term viability of residential communities through its R8B zoning scheme, the City of New York additionally has a paramount interest in vigorously pursuing, encouraging and maintaining the long term economic health and growth of our economy, most recently by focusing on the development of the life science industry in New York; as evidenced by

- 1. The City's 2016 announcement of a \$500 million life science initiative, led by the City's Economic Development Corporation,
- 2. Including proposing 3 sites upon which it was encouraging the development of life science clusters; and **WHEREAS** neither 310 East 67th Street, nor any other R8B street was among the sites on which such development was encouraged; and

WHEREAS the omission of 310 East 67th Street from those sites indicates that it is not an indispensable location for life science development; and

WHEREAS there are multiple existing sites elsewhere that could house the 334 foot tall commercial tower, three of which were offered to the applicant by the City; and

WHEREAS in a recent survey conducted by CBRE (enclosed as Appendix D), CBRE reported 2,964,695 RSF of "life science space" would be coming online in New York through 2024, which is two years before the Applicant's commercial tower would open; and

WHEREAS the New York Times recently reported that there is more than 100,000,000 RSF of empty commercial office space as a result of the pandemic; and

WHEREAS Crain's recently reported that as investors clamor to break ground on life science buildings, there is a risk of an oversupply of space, and lab buildings are trading for capitalization rates of less than 4% which is lower than apartment buildings or industrial properties; and

WHEREAS the Applicant acknowledges that it rejected alternative sites the City has offered for this project due to various "unsuitabilities"; and

WHEREAS the rezoning requested by the Applicant is egregious in its scope and sheer magnitude; and WHEREAS a commercial tower of the sort proposed in the application does not in any way belong on a block with a library, an educational complex that houses five schools and a park; and

WHEREAS the Applicant acknowledged during one of the public meetings that proximity to other institutions was not the most important factor in selection of the site for the project; and

WHEREAS the Applicant has not demonstrated any overriding or unique reason to up-end the City's interest in protecting the midblock that would cause the Community Board to support its application; and

WHEREAS it is not necessary, reasonable, or in the public interest to override the longstanding zoning policy of maintaining low rise midblocks in order to facilitate and accomplish the City's economic goal of establishing itself as the premier life sciences hub in the United States (which it can achieve in any event in the absence of the proposed project); and

The Application's Impact on St. Catherine's Park Is Severe and Unmitigable

WHEREAS St. Catherine's Park is the second most visited park per square foot in New York City and is the only park within a half-mile radius of the proposed building site, and Community District 8 Manhattan contains a lower than average amount of open space by area and population in the city; and

WHEREAS St. Catherine's Park serves as an essential open space for all members of the community: children, families, seniors, patients and staff from the surrounding medical institutions; and

WHEREAS St. Catherine's Park features several amenities such as tables, benches, multipurpose courts, chess tables, and water features that serve these populations of visitors; and

WHEREAS the proposed project would cast new shadows over up to 70% of St. Catherine's Park during peak afternoon hours during the spring, summer, and fall months, placing 95 - 100% of the park in shadow when it is most used by neighborhood children; and

WHEREAS the Applicant's Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) admits that the proposed project would have significant adverse shadow impacts on St. Catherine's Park; and

WHEREAS the District Manager of the NYC Department of Parks and Recreation has expressed concerns to DCP regarding the shadows cast by the project, stating that they would negatively affect plantings and activities in the park, and concluded (in agreement with the Applicant) that the proposed project would lead to adverse shadow impact on St. Catherine's Park; and

WHEREAS current zoning prohibits towers in residential areas that are within 100 feet of a public park or on the street wall opposite a public park in order to prevent the very type of shadows that this proposed project would cast on St. Catherine's Park; and

WHEREAS there are no mitigation measures that can replace the loss of light on St. Catherine's Park for its visitor population; and

The Application's Impact on the Julia Richman Education Complex Is Severe and Unmitigable

WHEREAS the Julia Richman Education Complex ("JREC") is an award-winning educational complex serving over 2,000 students ages six-weeks to 21 years; and

WHEREAS the building is home to the following schools and programs: The Ella Baker School, a school serving students in grades PK-8, and providing the only "choice" elementary school on the Upper East Side; Vanguard High School, providing a college preparatory curriculum; Talent Unlimited High School, specializing in performing arts; Urban Academy, a transfer high school serving students who are not on track to graduate from traditional high schools; Manhattan International High School, which serves English Language Learner students who have lived in the United States for four years or fewer; P226, a middle school program for students with autism; and a LYFE program, providing childcare for pre-school aged children of student parents; and

WHEREAS the students at JREC represent the full diversity of New York City, including students from nearly every City Council district; and

WHEREAS JREC is directly opposite the New York Blood Center on East 67th Street; and

WHEREAS in addition to the shadow impacts on St. Catherine's Park (which also serves as the schoolyard), the proposed project would cast significant shadows on the school building itself; and

WHEREAS the proposed project would also eliminate over 50% of the solar radiation (natural sunlight) to the classrooms facing 67th street; and

WHEREAS these classrooms are used by the P226 program, so the proposed project would literally place students with autism in darkness; and

WHEREAS multiple studies have shown that natural light improves the health, well-being and education outcomes of students; and

WHEREAS the Applicant has performed additional studies on the impact of the proposed project on the natural light and shadows that would reach JREC and refused to share the results of this analysis directly with CB8M; and

WHEREAS the applicant has never proposed any form of compensation to the Department of Education that would benefit JREC and its students prior to the applicant proposing to construct a building that will have such negative impacts on the students at JREC; and

The Height and Bulk of the Proposed Tower are Excessive and Extraordinary

WHEREAS the proposed tower will, at the 85 foot tall base, occupy the entire lot, an area in excess of one acre, and will, after minimal setbacks, rise to a height of 334 feet with a "footprint" of approximately 180' X 180', which rivals large commercial towers like the Empire State Building; and

WHEREAS the proposed tower will have a larger height/bulk ratio than any midblock building West of First Avenue within Community District 8 Manhattan; and

WHEREAS the proposed floor-to-floor height of sixteen (16) feet is out of scale with surrounding construction, and the large amount of tall floor space (not counted as Zoning floor area) dedicated to mechanical equipment also contributes to the out-of-scale quality of a large commercial building in a residential neighborhood; and

WHEREAS the NYBC has acknowledged that it can satisfy its own mission and space needs as-of-right within the R8B zoning (five floors and 75' high); and

The Application Raises Significant Additional Concerns

WHEREAS the commercial laboratory component is inappropriate for the residential area; and WHEREAS the owners of 301 East 66th Street, a cooperative, were not informed of the rezoning of their building and have requested that it not be rezoned; and

WHEREAS in contrast to a residential tower, which "goes largely dark" during the late evening and early morning hours, the commercial laboratories can and will be used for work throughout the night, seven days a week and 365 days per year; and

WHEREAS the perpetually illuminated commercial tower will be a source of light pollution at night; and WHEREAS the occupants of neighboring buildings will be deprived of light during the day on account of shadows, and deprived of darkness at night on account of the active commercial space; and

WHEREAS the application allows for a large, brightly lit sign on the proposed tower to be lit at all times, which is unnecessary and will create light pollution for area residents at night; and

WHEREAS CB8M has approved and is working with DCP towards limiting building height on First, Second, Third, and York Avenues to 210', and this proposal significantly exceeds that on a mid-block lot; and WHEREAS there is widespread fear regarding and opposition to the application in the community, as evidenced by the hundreds of residents attending the committee meetings to voice their concerns; and WHEREAS the numerous severe and unmitigable adverse impacts of the proposal demonstrate the appropriateness and importance of R8B zoning to the site and the importance to the community of its retention:

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that CB8M opposes the request for all of the zoning changes outlined in our resolution and requested by the Applicant.

THEREFORE, BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that CB8M opposes the proposed NYBC/Longfellow development at 310 East 67th Street due to the significant adverse and unmitigable impacts on St. Catherine's Park.

THEREFORE, BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that CB8M opposes the proposed NYB C/Longfellow development at 310 East 67th Street due to the significant adverse and unmitigable impacts on the Julia Richman Education Complex.

THEREFORE, BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that CB8M disapproves the application and urges that it be rejected.

Please advise our office of any action taken on this matter.

Sincerely,

Russell Squire

Russell Squire Chair

cc: Honorable Bill de Blasio, Mayor of the City of New York

Honorable Carolyn Maloney, 12th Congressional District Representative

Honorable Gale Brewer, Manhattan Borough President

Honorable Liz Krueger, NYS Senator, 28th Senatorial District

Honorable José M. Serrano, NYS Senator, 29th Senatorial District

Honorable Dan Quart, NYS Assembly Member, 73rd Assembly District

Honorable Rebecca Seawright, NYS Assembly Member 76th Assembly District

Honorable Robert J. Rodriguez, NYS Assembly Member 68th Assembly District

Honorable Ben Kallos, NYC Council Member, 5th Council District

Honorable Keith Powers, NYC Council Member, 4th Council District

APPENDIX A

Alida Camp Chair

Will Brightbill District Manager



505 Park Avenue, Suite 620 New York, N.Y. 10022-1106 (212) 758-4340 (212) 758-4616 (Fax) www.cb8m.com - Website info@cb8m.com - E-Mail

December 18, 2020

Marisa Lago, Chair City Planning Commission 120 Broadway, 31st Floor New York, NY 10271

RE: New York Blood Center Rezoning

Dear Chair Lago,

At the Full Board meeting of Community Board 8 Manhattan held on December 16, 2020, the board approved the following resolution by a vote of 38 in favor, 5 opposed, 2 abstentions and 1 not voting for cause:

WHEREAS the New York Blood Center has partnered with Longfellow Real Estate Partners and is proposing to construct a 334'-tall building on the site of the existing NYBC (Block 1441, Lot 40) which will provide, above the 5th floor, space for commercial tenants to use as research labs and medical offices, and

WHEREAS the Blood Center is requesting 5 zoning changes:

- 1. Rezone site from R8B district to a C2-7 district which allows a commercial laboratory use (USE GROUP 9) and to develop the site to 10 FAR (453,000 zoning square feet) with no height limit.
- 2. Rezone Second Avenue block frontages between 66-67 St.to a depth of 100' from C1-9 to a C2-8 to "legalize" an existing movie theater and to allow several other large-scale functions under USE GROUP 9 (Catering Hall, Wedding chapel, TV Studio, Gymnasium);
- 3. Zoning text amendment to Section 74-48 to allow, by special permit, an increase in commercial FAR in C2-7 districts for medical laboratories and associated offices, and modifications to the applicable supplementary use, bulk, and signage regulations.
- 4. Special permit pursuant to Section 74-48, as amended, to permit:
 - a. commercial laboratory and associated office space to be included in the project at more than the 2 FAR permitted in C2-7 districts pursuant to Section 33-122;
 - b. the commercial space to be located above the second floor of the building, which is not permitted by Zoning Resolution Section 32-421;
 - c. the commercial space to be located above the lesser of 30 feet or two stories, which is not permitted by Zoning Resolution Section 33-432;
- 5. Special permit pursuant to Section 74-48, as amended, to permit:

- a. modifications of the height and setback regulations of Section 33-432, which will allow the building to encroach on the initial setback distance and the sky exposure plane, which is necessary to accommodate the large floorplates required for modern, efficient laboratory uses;
- b. modifications of the rear yard equivalent regulations of Section 33-383, which will allow the Proposed Development to occupy the same footprint as the existing building on its lower floors, and will allow the upper portion of the building to be shifted away from the park and away from the neighboring building; and
- c. a sign to be located at the top of the building's base, in excess of the surface area permitted for illuminated signs pursuant to Section 32-642, the total surface area permitted for all signs pursuant to Section 32-641 and 32-643, and the maximum height of signs allowed by Section 32-655, and

WHEREAS the mid-blocks in Community District 8 are predominately and appropriately zoned R8B, and

WHEREAS R8B zoning protects the scale and character of the mid-blocks, and

WHEREAS R8B zoning permits residential and community facility uses only with height limit of 75', and

WHEREAS the livability of the community and the quality of life of the residents depend upon the R8B height and use regulations, and

WHEREAS the Blood Center has acknowledged that it can satisfy its mission and space needs within the R8B zoning (five floors and 75' high), and

WHEREAS the proposal may result in significant adverse impacts related to land use, zoning, socioeconomic conditions, open space, transportation, shadows, hazardous materials, water and sewer infrastructure, air quality, greenhouse gas emissions and climate change, noise, public health, neighborhood character:

- 1. The proposal amounts to "spot zoning."
- 2. The commercial laboratory component is inappropriate for the residential area.
- 3. The proposed building would have a negative impact on the students attending Julia Richman Education Complex (JREC),
- 4. The proposed building would create overwhelming demands upon local services
- 5. Traffic in the area is already seriously congested and will likely be exacerbated
- 6. The 334-foot commercial tower would generate a large amount of pedestrian traffic in the already overcrowded local sidewalks.
- 7. The proposed building would cast extensive shadows over Saint Catherine's Park and neighboring buildings.
- 8. The commercial entity and the research labs and associated office space will have significant adverse effect on the environmental air quality.

WHEREAS the proposed zoning changes, if approved, would set a dangerous precedent, putting all the Upper East Side mid-blocks at risk, and

WHEREAS Community Board 8 has approved and is working with DCP towards limiting building height on First, Second, Third, and York Avenues to 210', and this proposal significantly exceeds that on a mid-block lot, and

WHEREAS the representative of the Julia Richman Education Complex shared the institution's alarm and opposition to the proposal, and

WHEREAS the shadows on the complex would put the building in darkness and have a negative impact on student learning, and

WHEREAS there is widespread fear and opposition in the community, as evidenced by the hundreds of residents attending the committee meetings to voice their concerns, and

WHEREAS Community Board 8 has disapproved similar zoning change requests from Northwell/Lenox Hill Hospital,

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that Community Board 8 Manhattan opposes the request for all of the zoning changes as outlined in our resolution and as set forth by the New York Blood Center.

Please advise us of any action taken on this matter.

Sincerely,

Alida Camp

Elizabeth Ashby and Elaine Walsh Co-Chairs, Zoning & Development

Elizabeth Ashby and Elaine Walsh

Alida Camp Chair

cc: Honorable Bill de Blasio, Mayor of the City of New York

Honorable Carolyn Maloney, 12th Congressional District Representative

Honorable Gale Brewer, Manhattan Borough President

Honorable Liz Krueger, NYS Senator, 28th Senatorial District

Honorable Jose M. Serrano, NYS Senator, 29th Senatorial District

Honorable Dan Quart, NYS Assembly Member, 73rd Assembly District

Honorable Rebecca Seawright, NYS Assembly Member 76th Assembly District

Honorable Robert Rodriguez, NYS Assembly Member, 68th Assembly District

Honorable Ben Kallos, NYC Council Member, 5th Council District

Honorable Keith Powers, NYC Council Member, 4th Council District

APPENDIX B

Russell Squire Chair

Will Brightbill District Manager



505 Park Avenue, Suite 620 New York, N.Y. 10022-1106 (212) 758-4340 (212) 758-4616 (Fax) www.cb8m.com – Website info@cb8m.com – E-Mail

The City of New York Community Board 8 Manhattan

April 15, 2021

RE: New York Blood Center and St. Catherine's Park

At the Full Board meeting of Community Board 8 Manhattan held on May 19, 2021, the board **APPROVED** the following resolution by a vote of 41 in favor, 3 opposed, 1 abstention and 1 not voting for cause:

WHEREAS the proposed New York Blood Center project, located at 310 E 67th Street, would develop a 334 foot tower across the street from Julia Richman Education Complex and diagonally across from St. Catherine's Park, and

WHEREAS St. Catherine's Park is the second most visited park per square foot in New York City, and

WHEREAS St. Catherine's Park serves as an essential open space for children, families, seniors, and hospital patients from the surrounding medical institutions, and features several amenities like tables, benches, multipurpose courts, chess tables, and water features that service these populations of visitors, and

WHEREAS the Upper East Side has some of the least amount of open space per population size in the entire City, and

WHEREAS the proposed project would cast 70% of St. Catherine's Park in shadows during peak afternoon hours during the spring, summer, and fall months, and

WHEREAS the proposed project would bring an additional 2000 + workers to this midblock site, undoubtedly increasing the usage of this vital park space, and

WHEREAS the proposed project would significantly increase the amount of light pollution on this park, casting artificial light on the playground that will considerably detract from visitors' experiences, and

WHEREAS the developer partner, Longfellow Real Estate Partners, has failed to engage in direct community outreach with park users, and

WHEREAS the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) states that the applicant is in consultation with the Department of City Planning, NYC Parks, and Friends of St. Catherine's Park on mitigation measures that may include replacing "vegetation and additional maintenance of the Park features," but there are no mitigation measures that can replace the loss of light on St. Catherine's Park, and

WHEREAS Friends of St. Catherine's Park has failed to establish a transparent or responsive dialogue with other park users or surrounding residents regarding the impact of this project on the park, and

WHEREAS the New York Parks Department has stated that it agrees with the DEIS with the impact of the development, and

WHEREAS George M. Janes & Associates, hired by Manhattan Community Board 8 to consult on this development, has advised that "combination of time of day, time of year, and use make the loss of sunlight an even more significant impact than what is disclosed," therefore

BE IT RESOLVED that Community Board 8 opposes the proposed NY Blood Center development at 310 East 67th Street due to the significant adverse and unmitigable impacts on St. Catherine's Park.

Please advise us of any action taken on this matter.

Sincerely,

Russell Squire

Tricia Shimamura and Barry Schneider

Russell Squire Chair Tricia Shimamura and Barry Schneider Co-Chairs, Parks and Waterfront Committee

cc: Honorable Bill de Blasio, Mayor of the City of New York

Honorable Carolyn Maloney, 12th Congressional District Representative

Honorable Gale Brewer, Manhattan Borough President

Honorable Liz Krueger, NYS Senator, 28th Senatorial District

Honorable José M. Serrano, NYS Senator, 29th Senatorial District

Honorable Dan Quart, NYS Assembly Member, 73rd Assembly District

Honorable Rebecca Seawright, NYS Assembly Member 76th Assembly District

Honorable Robert J. Rodriguez, NYS Assembly Member 68th Assembly District

Honorable Ben Kallos, NYC Council Member, 5th Council District

Honorable Keith Powers, NYC Council Member, 4th Council District

APPENDIX C

Appendix C

Timestamp	Name	Address	Email Address	Are you	Comments on the application	Sumbission Number
5/4/2021 20:33:36	·		jbird134@aol.com		There is no need for a building of this stature. Enough storefronts in the area- as well as large new buildings in the greater area remain unoccupied. A compromise should be made - perhaps reducing the number of floors, so that the neighborhood and most importantly the	1
5/4/2021 20:42:53 5/4/2021 21:44:35 5/4/2021 22:28:39	Anne Namm		moin.erica@gmail.com annenamm@aol.com judytoby@gmail.com	In opposition to the application In opposition to the application In opposition to the application	park/children's playground is not forced into a shadow. No need for a huge tower. Keep expansion on a human scale. This is a residential community not Dubai. I think this a ruse by the developer to have more rentable space. We have enough tall, ordinary buildings.	3
	,		, , , , , ,		Dear Sirs/Mesdames: I am a resident of 301East 66th Street and have been residing here for over 33 years. This is my home. I am a native New Yorker and a	
					senior citizen. I strenuously object to the proposed damaging New York Blood Bank development on 66th Street. It will forever adversely affect my quality of life, my neighborhood and my commute as well as for the following additional reasons:	
					1. THERE IS NO NEED FOR THIS BUILDING The Blood Center is not expanding; it will have basically the same space as the existing facility. The Blood Center has a major facility in	
					Long Island City (and other centers around). There are other locations in Manhattan that would not require complicated zoning law changes. The Blood Center was presented with other Manhattan locations and refused to consider them. The community and 301 East 66th St Board of Managers fully support the Blood Center to redevelop under the EXISTING zoning to	
					expand its facility larger than what they would have under the Blood Tower Proposal. There is plenty of empty commercial real estate; the construction jobs touted by the Blood Center would be better used retro-fitting	
					existing space. The Blood Center tried to "sell" the Tower as a benefit to the area and life sciences but the neighborhood will only suffer from this and there is no reason for "life sciences" to benefit here specifically (or even at all since there is no guarantee that life science renters filling all	
					those stories will even be found by the developers. The Blood Center presents itself as an indispensable service to humanity, and while its work is important, it has the financial resources to pay its CEO in excess of \$1.7 million annually and has in excess of \$350M in cash and equivalents on its balance sheet.	
					The Blood Center is supported by non-profit groups claiming the benefit to students and minorities in general by providing jobs and other learning opportunities from the "partners". Laudable but NOTHING in this argument relates to building the Tower on 66-67th Streets. The Blood Bank TURNED DOWN a location at the edge of Harlem that would have brought jobs and economic development to this location while serving the same population they claim they want to reach.	
					2. THIS MID-BLOCK REZONING WOULD RECREATE A MAJOR PRECEDENT FOR THE UES AND ALL OTHER MANHATTAN RESIDENTIAL AREAS. (THE MOST CONSEQUENTIAL POINT) The entire composition of the UES and my neighborhood in particular would be permanently changed, much less all City residential areas.	
					If the Blood Center is allowed to use its status as a health care provider to justify the building of a tower that's more than 4 times the current zoning limit, then all of the other medically-related mid-block buildings could assert the same right to build huge mid-block towers throughout the neighborhood. If this is allowed to happen on the UES, then surely there's no way it could be stopped on the Upper West Side or any other residential neighborhood in the City changing the City for residents permanently.	
					3. RE-ZONING FOR THE BENEFIT OF A SINGLE DEVELOPER IS NOT DEFENSIBLE. This is "spot zoning", a practice the Court of Appeals has ruled illegal and could be challenged in court. The beneficiary of this is the developer, plain and simple.	
					4. THERE IS NO GUARANTEE THAT A "LIFE SCIENCES" PURPOSE WOULD ACTUALLY BE THE CASE. Once zoning is changed, the site could be sold or repurposed. The requested rezoning looks like they are prepared for anything they might want to do since after receiving the variance, there is nothing to stop the Blood Center from going to another developer and putting up another type of tower for whatever purpose (or the developer from doing it on its own).	
					The Blood Center terms the rental businesses they hope will occupy the vast Tower as their "partners" which is misleading and deceitful. They are not partners with the Blood Center (they will be independent companies simply paying rent to the developer). The intent to have the space initially rented to companies involved in life sciences has nothing to do with the Blood Center; those companies could be located anywhere in New York City, or anywhere else.	
					5. THE IMPACTS ON PUBLIC RESOURCES AND INFRASTRUCTURE ARE UNACCEPTABLE AND CANNOT BE MITIGATED St Catherine's Park (the only green space on the UES beyond Central Park and the second most visited park in New York) will be in almost perpetual shadow. There is no way to change this, even though the developers lied by saying they could mitigate this and showed ill-conceived and incorrect shadow studies. They even said they had hired a horticulturist to put in plants that could survive in the shadows the building would create.	
					6. THE BUILDING DOES NOT FIT A MID-BLOCK; RATHER, IT IS A MIDTOWN BUILDING. The height, volume, surfacing of the building, and the 40 ft. signage they propose do not fit a residential neighborhood. Proposed use of	
					commercial space for "life sciences" is a disruption to a predominately residential neighborhood since these companies operate with lights and mechanical systems running at full capacity 24/7 with full noise levels throughout the night.	
					7. AREA DENSITY: TRAFFIC East 67th Street, between First and Second, is the only single lane street in the City with a major cross-town bus route (the E66), a nursery schools with nearly 100 students and a large school complex requiring dozens of school buses per day. The school includes early childhood and special needs students. East 67th between Second and Third, has a large police station, and active firehouse and the Russian Mission with cars with "DPL" plates double-parked, and the next block is the Hunter College campus.	
					East 66th Street is a transverse through Central Park, with anyone coming into the City off of the 59th Street Bridge and going up First who wants to go to the Upper West Side turning onto East 66th to go through the Park.	
					East 66th St between First and Second has the entrance to the Lauder Breast Cancer Imaging Center, one of the largest breast imaging centers in the country with constant flow of traffic. Cars and trucks trying to enter 66th and 67th Streets off of First Avenue would be unable to enter those Streets with the additional traffic caused by the Blood Center Tower and increased Second Avenue traffic, further compounding the already bad traffic conditions on First Avenue. Second Avenue from the 70's down to the 59th Street Bridge is already a virtual parking lot most of the day; adding the additional traffic spilling into Second on 66th and 67th from the Blood Center would make Second Avenue unusable.	
					First Avenue from the Bridge north will be brought to a standstill with the increased traffic coming off the Bridge and not able to turn onto clogged Second Avenue in the Sixties. The traffic issue will extend into Queens courtesy of the 59th St Bridge since increased car and truck traffic both ways will make daily traffic standstills an hourly event.	
					It is a point of great irony that the City has designated bike lanes on both these Avenues that will now become death traps for bikers given the gridlock traffic.	
					COVID-19 issues: COVID-19 has already caused a huge increase in vehicular traffic as people shun public transportation. Experts say this trend will continue indefinitely into the future. The developer is already presenting traffic and density studies based on abnormally lower public and private transportation caused by COVID-19. This is assertion dishonest and misleading.	
					Congestion Pricing will make the traffic north of 61st Street even worse. 8. AREA DENSITY: AMBULANCES AND EMERGENCY VEHICLES	
					There are multiple ambulance drop offs within blocks of the Blood Center. Dramatically increased traffic caused by the Tower would threaten the ability of the ambulances to timely reach patients and hospitals, thus endangering the lives of residents needing emergency medical treatment. Police and Fire engines would also find it a major hazard to get through the clogged streets. 9. AREA DENSITY: PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION The infrastructure, especially public transportation, in our neighborhood is already overburdened. Pre COVID-19, the M66 bus was almost	
					impossible to board during rush hour. The #6 train at 68th Street/Lexington is already overused; it is one of the busiest subway lines on the UES. An additional 2,650 workers daily using local subway stops would render them close to unusable. Again, traffic studies showing no impact are being prepared by the developers based on low COVID-19 traffic.	
					10. AREA DENSITY: PEOPLE The Tower plan assumes approximately 2,630 workers per day, more than ten times the current number of Blood Center employees (230). Walking on crowded 66th and 67th Streets will be difficult. Due to the 24/7 nature of "Life Science" there will be large numbers of workers on these blocks day and night, creating noise and, potentially for residents, unsafe situations.	
					11. DANGEROUS CHEMICAL WASTE AND ITS REMOVAL Plans call for increased, wide loading docks on 66th Street, the backside of the Tower. Loud, 24/7 private garbage disposal will be a constant, unpleasant intrusion. However, that pales by the dangers of monumental chemical waste from 32 stories of the "partners." East 66th Street, already suffers from the smells and dangers of the current Blood Center waste removal and now fears a catastrophic increase in waste products will render this street and the general area dangerous and virtually unlivable.	
					Huge amounts of toxic medical waste and potentially radioactive waste will be added to the neighborhood, given the focus on life sciences tenants. Especially concerning, the Blood Center already has regular deliveries of dangerous liquid nitrogen requiring several hours for each delivery. Residents are observed (wisely) crossing the street to avoid what everyone knows are the potentially fatal results of escaped nitrogen when and as the trucks delivering the nitrogen are connected outside to the Blood Center.	
					The addition of multiple "life sciences" tenants in the Tower could require many more deliveries of liquid nitrogen, thereby increasing the danger to residents and passersby. Within the current Blood Center, the nitrogen is stored in a 3-story high tank. The potential for explosion always present, will be a major	
5/4/2021 23:22:28	Howard M. Forman	301 East 66th Street, Apt. 10J, N	hforman10021@yahoo.com	In opposition to the application	concern during construction and a fear as to placement in a new tower. There is no need for a building of this stature. Enough storefronts in the area- as well as large new buildings in the greater area remain	5
5/5/2021 6:11:36	Erica Moin	401 East 65th street	moin.erica@gmail.com		unoccupied. A compromise should be made - perhaps reducing the number of floors, so that the neighborhood and most importantly the park/children's playground is not forced into a shadow. Presently our residential area is supported by new families moving in with children who want to continue this existing life style. The park, the library, the open air environment are what make our community so rewarding. I, and many other locals, oppose the sale of the Blood Drive building to a large corporation who is seeking to remake our community into a business area that will bring changes including: lots of vehicle traffic; an increase in people coming to work bringing disposable trash; increase in noise volume; many more people wandering	6
					about; interference with the school building traffic on a daily basis. As a resident for more than ten years I have reached out to complain about the increase of vendors that traffic our community. I feel this change will only increase the vendors along with their traffic. We, the people, are happy with our community and oppose changes that this	
5/5/2021 8:43:35 5/5/2021 9:31:12	•	301 East 69th Street NY, NY 10 250 E 87 St	Rbanyon@aol.com bengdn@gmail.com	In opposition to the application In favor of the application	zoning change will bring. Francine Banyon We should all vote in favor of this amazing project. The project has an eye to the future and will only bring jobs, residents and life to our incredible neighborhood!	7 8
					I oppose the request to re-zone in a mid-block area on the upper East Side and for the Longfellow proposal to build a tower on East 67th Street. The Blood Bank has the right and financial resources to rebuild a state of the art facility within the current zoning limits. The statements of a need for this location and scale made by the Blood Bank and Longfellow are false. The Blood Bank does not need to be located near the neighboring hospitals since much of their work is with facilities that are outside of New York. In the Longfellow proposal, they expect the commercial tenants to be in the life sciences business. There is no need for these tenants to be located near the blood bank and there is no legal requirement that the tenants actually be in the life sciences business. Given the abundance of commercial space available in the city at discounted rates, there is no need for additional commercial space. In addition, Longfellow has never built a commercial tower in New York City. The Blood Bank has been offered other locations that have already been zoned for life sciences development. Their claim of this location being important for collaboration is false. This is a pure air-rights grab which is a major cost to the community. This community houses four busy hospitals, several schools, the only playground, residences and an active crosstown bus route. Adding a large commercial tower would create harmful traffic in an area where engency vehicles travel frequently. It would block sunlight to many schools and the only park in the neighborhood. It would create harmful artificial light in the evening, harmful noise coming from the mechanical infrastructure and block sunlight. The proposal to re-zone and allow a lab of this kind in this neighborhood is environmentally harmful. I oppose this ad-hoc effort to re-zone and grab community air rights which will cost of the community	
5/5/2021 10:01:40	Kathy O'Connor	315 East 68th Street, New York,	kocfa@yahoo.com	In opposition to the application	numerous ways. I am also intrigued that the based on comments I have heard, the mayor seems to have a relationship with the law firm representing the Blood Bank/Longfellow. If this is accurate, the mayor should recuse himself from any comments or votes on this project. I oppose this grab for air space in this community.	9

Timestamp	Name	Address	Email Address	Are you	Comments on the application	Sumbission Number
Timestamp	Ivaille	Address	Linaii Address	Ale you	I am very much opposed to the Blood Bank application for expansion for many reasons. Firstly and probably most important, the expansion will violate the zoning for mid-block height. The current Blood Bank has been 3 stories	
					since its inception and it claims that adding a few more floors will satisfy its needs for the future. Therefore, there is no reason to grant an exception to the zoning. The additional health science businesses that are interested in taking space in the expansion can easily find	
					adequate space among the many vacated commercial spaces in the neighborhood within blocks of the Blood Bank building. Secondly, the added influx of workers, approximately 2,000, that is anticipated to work in the expanded building will be an extraordinary	
					burden on our quiet neighborhood. The whole point behind the Zoning in the first place is to keep our upper east side neighborhoods livable and comfortable. St. Catherine's Park will also be overloaded with morning, lunchtime & evening workers from the building.	
5/5/2021 10:24:55	James Giller	315 East 68th Street - 7N; New	jggiller@yahoo.com	In opposition to the application	I know this is a restatement of the concerns voiced by my neighbors but I felt it was necessary to add my name to the list of concerned citizens and neighbors.	10
5/5/2021 10:46:44	Amanda Tappen	179 E 79th Street	amanda.tappen@gmail.com	In favor of the application	I am very much in favor of the Blood Center's upgrade and expansion. As a regular blood donor it is shocking they can accomplish all the good they do for the community in such an old building. The entire NYC community would benefit from the Blood Center's improvements.	11
					The NY Blood Center is a vital part of the community.	
					Them getting into bed with a commercial real estate company is wrong for a residential neighborhood. If they want to move forward with this partnership, then they should find another location in a much less densely populated area.	
					Traffic in our neighborhood is already above what I would consider to be acceptable, this would further impact these challenges. In addition to the schools, playgrounds, climate implications, etc.	
					I've worked in life sciences for 25 years, including at NYP/WCM, as well as the top global pharmaceutical companies, and their excuse for	
					needing to be within walking distance of collaborating is just plain BS.	
					I've sat in NY and worked with people in Japan. And I've sat in Europe and worked with people in California. In our global working environment it doesn't matter where you are to get good work done, including in the sciences.	
					They are attempting to use smoke and mirrors re: this need, when in reality they just want to make more money at the expense of their neighbors.	
					They know that a modest redesign will serve the NECESSARY purposes to upgrade their facilities, but greed, under the guise of	
					innovation, is fogging their good senses.	
5/5/2021 12:07:22	Erica Bersin			In opposition to the application	Thank you To construct a commercial building beyond the needs of the existing blood center, is within, and exceeds, the zoning of a residential area	12
5/5/2021 15:01:51	Barry Korn	422 E 72nd St. 18th Floor, New	barrypkorn@gmail.com	In opposition to the application	and, further does not adequately provide for safety measures in connection with a proposed level 3 bio-hazard use is totally unacceptable. As a lifelong resident of the Upper East Side, I am writing in strong opposition to the proposed plans for the New York Blood Center. This	13
					project, which has been met with much resistance from many community members and other New Yorkers, would create multiple quality of life issues within the neighborhood. Lack of light, shadows, medical waste, and traffic issues would begin to plaque an already busy	
					area. Additionally, the construction noise and pollution, right across from a large school campus housing multiple schools, would be an absolute nightmare for learning. Finally, and perhaps most importantly, if the city allows this mid-block rezoning to occur, an extremely	
					negative precedent will be set. The current limits on mid block rezoning allow neighborhoods to preserve their character, help prevent families (often working and middle class) from being displaced, and prevents developers from overdevelopment. I strongly urge the	
5/5/2021 15:17:17	Matthew Miller			In opposition to the application	Community Board, the City government, and all parties involved to reject this application from the New York Blood Center and not move forward with this project. Thank You.	14
E/E/2024 4E-20-02	margaret schwerz	400 east77th street	magsarama@aol.com	In apposition to the application	I support the workings of the Blood Center and am an avid blood donor at the location in question. This is a rezoning issue/real estate deal pure and simple. I support science and my neighborhood. I am confident that the blood center can expand their space within the jurisdiction of the current zoning law.	15
	margaret schwarz		ů č		I am writing in opposition of the current proposal to build a new Blood Center on E66th St. It will be a detriment to the neighborhood's	
5/5/2021 17:32:30	ryler Goldman	333 E66th St. NY NY 10065	tyrergoramanpnoto@gmail.com	in opposition to the application	small businesses, parks, schools, and religious centers location on this street. While I generally support development, I do not agree with development that overshadows the nature of the surrounding area. The size of	16
5/5/2021 18:02:13	John Grupheck	333 E 66th Street	igrupheck@gmail.com	In apposition to the application	development proposed is outside the types of mid-block buildings in the Upper East Side. If the desire was to build proximate to the Hospital Complexes on the UES, I'm certain parcels could be found given the extent of development the hospitals have undertaken in recent years, without disruption the residential character of the UES side streets.	17
5/5/2021 18:02:13	JOHN GRUNDECK	OOO E OOUT OUREL	jgrunbeck@gmail.com	In opposition to the application	recent years, without disrupting the residential character of the UES side streets. As a member of the Upper East Side community I am strongly opposed to The New York Blood Center's plan to rezone its site in order to develop a new 334 forth mixed use to where at 310 East 68th Street The size and scope of the project is	17
					develop a new, 334-foot mixed-use tower at 310 East 67th Street/303-319 East 66th Street. The size and scope of the project is unreasonable. In fact, The New York Blood Center only plans to occupy the first five floors of the building. The proposed project is unnecessary to support the New York Blood Center's operations and will have a severely negative impact on our community both during	
					unnecessary to support the New York Blood Center's operations and will have a severely negative impact on our community both during construction and when completed.	
					The construction process will negatively impact the residents, students, and children of our community. Construction will disturb students' learning and raises safety concerns for children in St. Catherine's Park.	
					Once the building is constructed it will continue to negatively impact the community. The building will increase traffic, cause shadowing in	
					the park and on nearby buildings, and set a terrible precedent for mid-block commercial buildings. It will ruin the neighborhood and quality of life for residents.	
5/6/2021 7:52:03	Corey Walker	333 East 66 Street	cebeck13@g.holycross.edu	In opposition to the application	There is no benefit to the existing community from this building as presented and I strongly believe there shouldn't be zoning changes to accommodate this project.	18
5/6/2021 9:03:04			astroldiane@yahoo.com		I am concerned about the effect construction would have on the library. The demolition and construction of a new building could cause structural problems with the library and also the library is supposed to be a quiet place. Construction can be very noisy.	19
3/0/2021 9.03.04	Diane L Gramei	333 E. 60 St., 1D	astroidiane@yanoo.com	in opposition to the application	To: Community Board 8	19
					I am a resident on 69th Street and oppose the New York Blood Center's proposal to build a 334-foot commercial building on 67th street	
					between First and Second Avenues.	
					I support the work of the Blood Center, but oppose this proposal because the Blood Center is looking to usurp the community's air rights through a zoning law change in order to save their own capital.	
					I oppose this proposal because of the dangerous waste materials that will be involved in this BSL-3 laboratory. In addition to the disruption on 67th street during the 5-year construction phase, there will be increased shadows over St. Catherine's Park and Julia	
					Richmond Education Complex.	
					There are many areas in the City zoned for life sciences that would be better choices for the project, or the Blood Center's building can be rebuilt with an "as of right" and no change in zoning laws is needed.	
5/6/2021 11:22:46	Nancy Pline	333 East 69 Street, 5D	npline@nyc.rr.com	In opposition to the application	I am opposed to building a tower in our neighborhood that will block the sunlight from the children playing in the park. Our residential	20
5/0/0004 40 44 40		045 5 4 0011 01 4 4 4 4 014 11			zoning laws serve a very important purpose in keeping our neighborhood one that can be enjoyed by all. A shadow study shows this new building would cast a shadow on St. Catherine Park and an Environmental Assessment Study has determined that this project will have	24
5/6/2021 12:44:18 5/6/2021 13:04:51		345 East 69th Street Apt 12H N	333e66stop.crime@gmail.com		an impact on the environment. These are outcomes that will have a negative effect on our community. The Blood Center expansion will increase the value of the neighborhood. We don't want Rudd Realty and Ellyn Berk at 333 East 66th St.	21 22
5/6/2021 15:55:32	Neil Kilstein	188 East 64 Street, NY, NY 1006	nkilstein@kilsteinlaw.com	In opposition to the application	The proposed building is way too big for the site and location (school and park across the street. Size should be limited to blood bank's requirements with no space for other occupants	23
					I have two small kids who go to PS183, the nearby library and are regulars at St. Catherine's park. A huge building like this, in the midst of kid friendly areas is a danger during a lengthy construction period and will likely "suffocate" children's activities and well being during	
5/6/2021 16:30:31	Maydan Rothblum	420 East 72nd St, Apt 8H New Y	maydan.rothblum@gmail.com	In opposition to the application	construction and post. It's of the upmost importance that we preserve the feel and community of our residential neighborhood. Allowing large, mid-block towers	24
					will make our neighborhood feel commercial, and ruin the feel that so many of us love about the UES. Please, please do not set a precedent for building tall buildings in the middle of people's homes. There is plenty of opportunity for developers to build on avenues.	
5/6/2021 16:34:19			ellenpli23@yahoo.com		Please preserve the residential feel of the UES. This building is way out of scale for our neighborhood. Too tall! Don't change the zoning for a real estate developer who, of course, is in it	25
5/6/2021 18:01:00	Virginia Montgomery	245 East 72, #11C	vpmont@aol.com	In opposition to the application	for the money. Why have rules and then make exceptions? Plus, let's fill some of the empty spaces before adding unneeded offices. I am not in favor of the NYBC/Longfellow proposal to build a huge real estate development at 310 East 67th St that will change our current	26
					protective mid-block zoning creating a precedent for other mid-block monsters all over the city, in order that mayor de Blasio can pay off his \$300,000 personal debt to Kramer/Levin via a quid pro quo arrangement before	
	Katharine Houghton Richard R Furman	315 East 68th St. 360 East 72nd Street, Apt B710	pippamoth@earthlink.net rrfurman@med.cornell.edu		his mayoral term expires We need to preserve the neighborhood character.	27 28
					The Upper East Side in one of the few remaining Manahattan neighborhoods that still feels closely knitted and residential. Allowing this project would create a precedent and be the Trojan horse that will convert our beloved neighborhood into an extension of Midtown and	
5/6/2021 19:04:26	Lionel	East 73rd Street	Brecx@hotmail.com	In opposition to the application	dilute our community to ever more numerous visitors. Midtown has been slowly creeping it's way up in the East 60s and it is time to stop its progression.	29
					As a long time resident of the Upper East Side, I feel very strongly that a building such as the proposed one would further destroy the fabric of my neighborhood. Second Avenue and First Avenue are becoming concrete impersonal wastelands. The hospitals have taken	
FIGURES	Sugar Orang	245 50-1-70-1-01	agraphic: 040 C	In onno-14	more and more space that used to provide light and air. We have no new Post Office. We have few food markets and even fewer quiet spaces. The traffic study unbearable and Second Ave is no better than a parking lot from 8 in the morning until 7 at night. Could we	
5/6/2021 19:42:51 5/6/2021 20:41:25			scrowley212@gmail.com lena.gamar@gmail.com	In opposition to the application In opposition to the application	finally have some civil space and consideration? I am opposed	30 31
5/6/2021 21:23:39	Isaac Sagman	315 E 72nd st. Apt 7L. New York	Isagman@yahoo.com	In opposition to the application	To destroy the feel of our neighborhood is wrong. This is the last thing our neighborhood needs mid block Keep the UES residential. The Blood Center is essential but would better serve if located in Queens, central to access to all boroughs	32
5/7/2021 6:34:28	Satjit Bhusri	435 E 79th Street	saj.bhusri@gmail.com	In opposition to the application		33
					in need of the size of this building and therefore, this is a real estate project, not a Blood Center project. The traffic, public transportation is already at an all time high in this area and there are other sites that this could be built on if they needed to make it a real estate project.	
5/7/2021 6:50:15 5/7/2021 6:54:24			staceysimonelli@gmail.com Alheon@yahoo.com		I strongly oppose this project as it is now planned. I think that the proposed building will negatively impact the block and the entire neighborhood	34 35
277.2321 0.04.24	,	3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	<u></u>		This project is 100% inappropriate. With the pandemic, it is not politically correct to say anything against any medical building improvements, but this building is shocking. The Blood Bank is going to use very little of the site. Developers will build high and	33
					improvements, but this building is shocking. The blood bank is going to use very filter or the site. Developers will be making the money. The building is midblock and this is a bad zoning change to institute. The shadows on the playground will be VERY unfortunate. There is NOTHING positive about all of this. Take down the Blood Bank and rebuild a new and	
	l				better campus, perhaps 2 stories higher, that they ALONE will use. They make a great contribution to New York City and should be permitted to modernize. But in another wasy!	
5/7/2021 7:04:53	Alan E Salz		aesnyc@aol.com	In opposition to the application	Zoning laws do not allow a building the size of the proposal by the Blood Bank in the middle of the block. The only reason to build higher	36
E1710001 T 17 17	Parhara Saali-			In apposition to the	than zoning laws allows is to line the pockets of the real estate lords. The rezoning does not benefit anyone. It's just a money making proposition for those who don't need it. Let's think about all those people who bought apartments around the site who were "promised" no	
5/7/2021 7:12:28	Darvara Sacks			In opposition to the application	high rises mid block. The city needs to think about their citizens not the real estate war lords. The massive construction on East 67th Street will severely damage the fabric of our community. It will be sunlight and air, especially to the	37
E/7/0004 7 00 C	Sugan Proper MD	215 East 60th Stre-1 200	ewhronor@yehee	In opposition to the"	school and playground directly across the street. I am acutely aware of the importance of blood services. However, the physically and emotional well-bring of our community is tied to our physical environment. Harming that environment does NOT serve the community of city.	
5/7/2021 7:20:04 5/7/2021 7:48:37	Susan Broner, MD Judi Chervenak	215 East 68th Street, 23G 304 e 65 th st, 23a	swbroner@yahoo.com Beldner@aol.com		city. Horrible	38 39
		000 5 1 1 2 2 2			The proposed expansion would be a blight on the neighborhood, a mid-block tower overshadowing a park, and adding office space that is absolutely unnecessary with millions of square feet vacant in the city. It's a money and power grab by a greedy developer with no regard	
5/7/2021 8:17:02	Andrea Amiel	333 East 66th Street, 3N, 10065	andrea.amiel@gmail.com	In opposition to the application	for the city. I understand that the Blood Center needs/wants more space. However, the proposed building would add 11 extra stories to the five	40
					stories that the Blood Center would use. It seems quite clear that the Blood Center is proposing this so Longfellow will build them a new building at no cost. At this time when there is an abundance of office and commercial space available all over the city, including the UES,	
					it is frivolous and wasteful to build a high-rise especially one which would be mid-block and defy current zoning. It has been a long year and half with the pandemic. Let's be practical and use what already exists to reinvigorate growth and the	
5/7/2021 9:04:30	Lorraine Levey			In opposition to the application	It has been a long year and half with the pandemic. Let's be practical and use what already exists to reinvigorate growth and the economy. This possible bend is already exercise with exercise with exercise with exercise of these bewere for many years.	41
					This neighborhood is already overrun with overly tall buildings. We have been assaulted with construction of these towers for many years (I have lived in this building for 25 years). The construction of this tower will bring noise, dirt and possibly vermin to this neighborhood. It will make it impossible for anyone to use St. Catherine's park safely or possibly at all. We won't be able to use the library and it just	
5/7/2021 9:40:34	Margery Flax	215 E 68th St	marigold20@gmail.com	In opposition to the application	will make it impossible for anyone to use St. Catherine's park safety or possibly at all. We won't be able to use the library and it just reopened. This project does not bring any benefits to our neighborhood and will bring us years of disruption and a diminished quality of life/living, It needs to be stopped!	42
SITE DE 1 0.40.34			gu_coegman.com	SEE SOURCE, TO THE APPRICATION	My wife and I have lived at 333 E66 Street for 47 years, and I am an 11gallon blood donor. As a NYC citizen I support our zoning laws, and the mid block zoning law to restrict height of buildings should not be violated. There is sufficient property space for the Blood Center	42
5/7/2021 10:18:45	Martin Edelman	333 East 66 Street	Mpe1217@gmail.com	In opposition to the application	and the mid block zoning law to restrict neight or buildings should not be violated. There is sufficient property space for the Blood Center to build all they need in 5 or 6 floors. I do not wish to have a big building capable of make one of the very few recreations spaces worse by casting it in a shadow for a larger	43
5/7/2021 10:23:31	Lindsey P Cormack	325 East 80th	lindsey.cormack@gmail.com	In opposition to the application	I do not wish to have a big building capable of make one of the very few recreations spaces worse by casting it in a shadow for a larger part of the day. St. Catherines is one of the only parks in the area and already have very little greenery, by blocking out the sun this park will be less enjoyable for our families, without a marked benefit that is reciprocal in nature.	44
	,		,		For months you have learned all the reasons this application is strongly opposed by both the community and local representatives; excepting for the Mayor, whichspeaks volumes. The fact that residents and area visitors have to continually plead their case is an	
E/7/2021 10:4E:0E	Tamir J. Bourla	301 East 66th Street. New York,	TamirBourla@gmail.com	In opposition to the application	insult. Unless you want more residents to flee the upper East Side, please deny this application, and do not allow an appeal.	45

stamp	Name	Address	Email Address	Are you	Comments on the application	Sumbission Numb
5/7/2021 11:20:18		401 East 65th	ckruse49@gmail.com		Inappropriate size. Unnecessary as proposed for the blood center's needs. Unknown future use of tower. For profit real estate. Unacceptable shade. Traffic mess. Multi year construction. Precedent setting if approved for mid block commercial use.	Cumpission rumb
5/7/2021 11:27:31		201 E 66 th streey	laurieceo@aol.com		The placement next to a densely used park and school- there is very little park space in this area- why add more "shadow" in a residential side street. No this should not be approved.	
5/7/2021 11:28:48		431 East 85th	jawagne@med.cornell.edu	In favor of the application	I favor a project that will foster more local employment and provide resources to develop NYC's biotechnology comittee. Space for start- ups is needed and will provide good jobs and stimulate an industry that is of growing importance.	
0/1/2021 11:20:40	John T. Wagner	401 East 00th	jawagne@mea.comemeau	in lavor of the application	This development is not appropriate in scale or concept for this location. The blood center should look elsewhere. Authorities must uphold zoning regulations without waivers or variances and adhere to regulations made which permit reasonable and to scale	
5/7/2021 12:09:53	Robin Beckett	1158 Fifth Avenue	rombeckett@aol.com	In opposition to the application	redevelopment. I am opposed to this project and to legal accommodations to permit its development. As a neighbor of the blood center I do NOT feel the current land use laws should be amended to allow them to build their new building.	
					The tower would block out precious light and the ample sunlight my apartment currently gets is one of the main reasons I moved into my building. I was confident that I didn't need to worry about neighboring buildings towering over my space. I'm also very skeptical about the	
					Blood Center's reasoning for wanting to increase the building's size. Their claim that as a research center they need to be near the hospital/other research institutes for easy access to samples and collaborators is utterly ridiculous. I work in research and have been in	
					research for the past 16 years and this is simply not true. Distance does not stop our ability to collaborate or obtain samples. In fact my lab currently gets samples from the Blood Center and we have to go to their Long Island City location to pick them up. The LIC location	
5/7/2021 12:15:31	Karen Wei	333 East 66th Street, 11R, NY,	akarenwei@gmail.com	In opposition to the application	has never stopped us from requesting or obtaining the necessary samples and it probably never will. NYBC's and Longfellow's request to build a high-rise in the middle of block should be DENIED.	
					I would like to voice my strong opposition to the Blood Bank proposal. It does not reflect the best interest of the local community and seems to only serve the financial interest of the few. The community has outline the many issues that will negatively impact daily life for	
5/7/2021 12:35:27 5/7/2021 13:23:17		315 East 68th Street, 70 445 E 80 St, New York, NY 100	agnesbarley5@gmail.com 7 michael.simon@gmail.com		this residential neighborhood. We should not be building taller buildings in residential areas; we should be preserving our green space.	
					I walk with a walker, it is impossible for me to attend the May 12 meeting because there is no ramp beside the stairs as one enters the Blood Bank. So I submit my comments here:	
					I strongly oppose the Blood Bank's Plan to build an unnecessarily tall building. I would support an additional 4 or 5 stories above what already exists.	
					HOWEVER, the erection of the proposed building will damage the air quality of our neighborhood while it is being built. Air quality of the playground, of the entry to the library, of the Julie Richman school and of at least several blocks surrounding the construction.	
					There is no parking planned for the proposed building. It's not difficult to imagine the traffic snarlups that will result. Additionally, East 67th St is a x-town bus route; and the congestion on that street will severely affect X-town transportation. 2nd Avenue is often a	
					bottleneck, and the proposed building will only bring more employees and traffic to an already congested roadways. That Mayor DeBlasio supports the proposed building is outrageous. He lives no where near this neighborhood; and if he did, he'd be	
5/7/2021 13:47:30	hadish Dashasain	045 5	therword@yahoo.com	L	among the first to condemn the proposal. These are just some of the reasons for my objections to the proposed plan. Let's think about quality of life!	
5///2021 13.47.30	Juditi Rothstein	315 East 68th St	therword@yanoo.com	In opposition to the application	I am absolutely opposed to this outrageous plan. Allowing the Blood Center and Longfellow (an out of state developer) to break the long-	
					established mid-block zoning laws in a residential neighborhood to build an unnecessary 334 tower would set a terrible precedent for not just the upper east side, but for all mid-blocks throughout the city. The Blood Center can renovate and modernize in their legal, as-of-right space and still get more space than they would get if the outrageous glass behemoth is allowed.	
					Neighborhoods are entitled to light and air and that would be lost if this is allowed, and to top it off, this would deprive the children at the	
					school and park across the street of not just light and air, but would subject them to over 4 years of dangerous construction, noise, pathogens, and traffic where their school busses park. This is a heartless project.	
5/7/2021 13:59:53	Mindy Anderson	301 East 66th Street	msa301@gmail.com	In opposition to the application	This development is not appropriate in scale or concept for this location. The blood center should look elsewhere. Authorities must	
5/7/2021 15:15:05	Robin Beckett	1158 Fifth Avenue	rombeckett@aol.com	In opposition to the application	uphold zoning regulations without waivers or variances and adhere to regulations made which permit reasonable and to scale redevelopment. I am opposed to this project and to legal accommodations to permit its development.	
					I am writing in opposition to this particular Longfellow proposal:	
					Granted, the Blood Center most likely could benefit from new quarters but one which would easily fit into the currently permitted mid-block zoning regulations.	
					However, a 334' tower, no matter how architecturally pleasing, could adversely affect not only the neighborhood (ie, the heavily used park) but also encourage future rezoning requests.	
					As a neighbor, one feels there already is a "health corridor" along York Avenue.	
					The pandemic, it seems, sadly may have already lowered the demand for commercial spaces; therefore any future upper floors (within	
					zoning) may more readily be rented.	
5/7/2021 15:16:32	Elke Martin	305 East 72nd Street, 6DS	Elke.Martin@gmail.com	In opposition to the application	I therefore agree that this proposal handled by Longfellow Real Estate Partners, who operate mostly in North Carolina, is "motivated more by financial considerations than by public health".	
					This mid-block rezoning would create a major precedent for the UES and all other Manhattan residential areas. If the Blood Center is allowed to use its status as a health care provider to justify the building of a tower that's more than 4 times the current zoning limit, then all	ı
					of the other medically-related mid-block buildings could assert the same right to build huge mid-block towers throughout the neighborhood. Furthermore, there is no guarantee that this particular building will not be repurposed once the zoning is changed. In fact,	
					as this proposal moves further along it seems that Longfellows intentions are not good. This is most concerning, And NYBC has been swayed by Longfellow and the cost benefits associated with this partnership. NYBC can and should build a new center. But it should be within the current zoning limits. Their needs will be met-that has already been established, and they can do this without disrupting the	
					community and tarnishing their good name.	
					To speak to just a few of the many negative impacts of allowing a rezoned tower:	
					This area is already dense with traffic. Aside from East 66th Street being a transverse through Central Park, East 67th Street is the ONLY single lane street in the Clty with a major cross town bus route. Additionally, East 66th Street between 1st and 2nd houses the entrance to	
					the Evelyn Lauder Breast and Imaging Center, one of the largest breast imaging centers in the country, with a constant flow of traffic including patients who need to be transported and cannot wait long periods of time or walk long distances to meet their rides. Also to	
					consider, the schools, the bike lanes, the hospitals, and medical centers already densely populating this area, and the constant flow of ambulances. Again, this is NOT the place for an unnecessary "life sciences" building. Not at the expense of the safety of our neighbors.	
5/7/2021 15:26:34	Lauren Glenn	333 East 66th Street	lr1867@gmail.com	In opposition to the application	This proposition was justly opposed 35 years ago and again 15 years ago. It does not make any more sense today than it did then-even less. Please hear this community now, as we were heard then.	
					This mid-block rezoning would create a major precedent for the UES and all other Manhattan residential areas. If the Blood Center is allowed to use its status as a health care provider to justify the building of a tower that's more than 4 times the current zoning limit, then all	
					of the other medically-related mid-block buildings could assert the same right to build huge mid-block towers throughout the neighborhood. Furthermore, there is no guarantee that this particular building will not be repurposed once the zoning is changed. In fact,	
					as this proposal moves further along it seems that Longfellows intentions are not good. This is most concerning. And NYBC has been swayed by Longfellow and the cost benefits associated with this partnership. NYBC can and should build a new center. But it should be	
					within the current zoning limits. Their needs will be met-that has already been established, and they can do this without disrupting the community and tarnishing their good name.	
					To speak to just a few of the many negative impacts of allowing a rezoned tower:	
					This area is already dense with traffic. Aside from East 66th Street being a transverse through Central Park, East 67th Street is the ONLY single lane street in the Clty with a major cross town bus route. Additionally, East 66th Street between 1st and 2nd houses the entrance to	
					the Evelyn Lauder Breast and Imaging Center, one of the largest breast imaging centers in the country, with a constant flow of traffic including patients who need to be transported and cannot wait long periods of time or walk long distances to meet their rides. Also to	
					consider, the schools, the bike lanes, the hospitals, and medical centers already densely populating this area, and the constant flow of ambulances. Again, this is NOT the place for an unnecessary "life sciences" building. Not at the expense of the safety of our neighbors.	
5/7/2021 15:41:23	Lauren Glenn	333 East 66th Street	lr1867@gmail.com	In opposition to the application	This proposition was justly opposed 35 years ago and again 15 years ago. It does not make any more sense today than it did then-even less. Please hear this community now, as we were heard then.	
			25	.,	I write as the Chair of the East 69th Street Association. The East 69th Street Association is a community based organization representing the over 1,000 residents of 69th Street between First and Second Avenue. As a community, we would be directly impacted by the	
					expanded Blood Center which would be bigger than any of its neighbors. The quality of life of our residents is front of mind for the organization and I have been approached by a number of neighbors who vehemently oppose such a large construction project in our	
5/7/2021 15:43:14	Abigail Lash	322 E 69th St, New York, NY 10	abigaillash@yahoo.com	In opposition to the application	backyard. Please OPPOSE this proposal. There is absolutely no reason to allow this building to be build in the proposed form when the Blood Center has stated it can accomplish	
5/7/2021 15:45:42	Lisa Angerame		lisadawnangerame@yahoo.co	r In opposition to the application	what it needs to modernize in its current footprint. This proposed structure will change the nature of my street and I am adamantly opposed.	
					honestly, this seems like a development scheme riding on the backs of a Blood Center that wouldnt have any more space than it has currently. Sounds like a scheme to me. In addition to how it will negetively affect our neighborhood. Casting shadows on buildings south of	f
5/7/2021 16:17:59	Jill Simon	315 East 65th Street	jsimon@cooley.com	In opposition to the application	it as well as additional traffic in an already burdened second avenue. This is a very BAD idea - Please reconsider this project. I am opposed to thia development. It is out of scale and disruptive to the entire neighborhood. The need for an expanded facility may be	
5/7/2021 16:20:20	Robin Beckett	1158 Fifth Avenue 2B	rombeckett@aol.com	In opposition to the application	real but they should relocate to a more appropriate site not destroy a community and sense of place for others. No bariance abould be issued or zoning regulation altered for this development.	
5/7/2021 16:26:15	Arlyne Zalaznick	400 E 56th St. 26P. New York N	`Arlynezalaznick@aol.com	In opposition to the application	Why is this project needed and why here? Has a traffic study been done to see the impact on public transportation and residents crossing. Also the park is one of the few parks in the neighborhood that has room for children to play	
	Ram Bala Bala Chandran		cdnozzle@gmail.com	In favor of the application	I don't mind them building a better space for them and to generate revenues.	
					This proposal is wrong for our neighborhood for a number of reasons. First, a high-rise building in mid-block is out of character for the area. The high-rise will block sunlight access and cast shadows along a wide path. It will also increase traffic congestion on 2nd Avenue, which is already clogged for most of the day. Finally, I don't see how this superstructure has anything to do with the operation of the Blood	
5/7/2021 16:40:56	Ronald Reisman	315 E. 65th St., Apt. 3A, New Yo	ronald.h.reisman@gmail.com	In opposition to the application	which is already clogged for most of the day. Finally, I don't see how this superstructure has anything to do with the operation of the Blood Center. I've donated blood there on many occasions and they seem to be doing just fine with the space they have. This just seems to be a money-grab by the Blood Center.	
5/7/2021 17:29:58		315 East 65th street	Lvbuck@aol.com		I oppose building the blood center in this location. It Will destroy the light and views from our apartments, it will cause congestion on an already congested crosstown thru block, and it will bring a transient crowd to the neighborhood.	
JU_ 1 11.23.00		315 E. 68th St., Apt 7E	jdbriscoe@gmail.com		If this gets approved it will destroy the neighborhood. The UES is already overcrowded.	
5/7/2021 17:36:03					1)It would require a change in the zoning law which was purposely put in place to prevent high rise construction mid-block. It would set an undesirable precedent. 2) It would block sunlight to the Julian Richmond Education Complex and St. Catherine's Park. 3) The Blood	
5/7/2021 17:36:03					Center could build a new building in keeping with zoning regulations that would well suit its purposes. 4) The proposed new building would	1
5/7/2021 17:36:03 5/7/2021 17:43:26	Gail Benjamin	360 East 72nd Street, NY, NY 1	(gbenjamin2@nyc.rr.com	In opposition to the application	contain labs dealing with hazardous buildings.	
5/7/2021 17:43:26		360 East 72nd Street, NY, NY 1 315 East 65th Street	, ,		This tower does not belong in a residential area. Countless families in the surrounding neighborhood would be negatively impacted by increased noise, truck traffic and decreased natural light. St. Catherine's Park in particular would lose bright sunlight over many hours of	
			(gbenjamin2@nyc.rr.com Mlyons5957@icloud.com		This tower does not belong in a residential area. Countless families in the surrounding neighborhood would be negatively impacted by	
5/7/2021 17:43:26	Meg Lyons		Mlyons5957@icloud.com	In opposition to the application	This tower does not belong in a residential area. Countless families in the surrounding neighborhood would be negatively impacted by increased noise, truck traffic and decreased natural light. St. Catherine's Park in particular would lose bright sunlight over many hours of the day. Please put the needs of the community first!	

Timestamp	Name	Address	Email Address	Are you	Comments on the application	Sumbission Number
					Will one project zoning variance negatively change the city forever? This one will! The NY Blood Center owns the 1930's building they currently occupy mid- block at 310 East 67th St, a 3-story building that runs through the block to 66th St. They want to demolish this building and replace it with a Life Science building the equivalent of a 32-story 334' commercial building with no set-backs. In 1985, NYC adopted a zoning law limiting residential mid-block development to 75' thus	
					preserving light, density, and a quality of life for residents. This would set a mid-block rezoning precedent for NYC if granted. Across this narrow 67th St, sits Julia Richman Educational Complex serving 2,000 children many of whom are bussed and St. Catherine's park - the only park in this very dense area. This school and three others within a block of the proposed tower and the park will be thrown into shade by the height and density of the proposed tower. And the damage done to the children during 4+ years of dirty, noisy construction will be irreparable.	
					The Boston developer, Longfellow Real Estate Partners, LLC, has never built a bioscience building over 5 stories nor has it built in NYC. The plan for this tower, includes BSL-3 level labs which contain extremely dangerous viruses, pathogens and waste. The residents are concerned for their safety once these labs begin their promised 24/7 schedules. But according to some in their industry, the labs may never be rented as the field changes rapidly and this project is scheduled to take 4+ years to complete. According to the architect, the building will be designed to easily be repurposed to accommodate high end offices and/or luxury apartments – just in case).	
					The Blood Center, which does wonderful work, would not occupy much more space than they do now – the remaining floors will be rented out (they hope) to labs doing research. In fact, The Blood Center turned down 3 City-owned alternative sites (Kips Bay, East Harlem & Long Island City). Why? The Blood Center claims it is important to be near other labs - that claim is nonsense if you examine the demographics of who they do business with and where they are located.	
					These issues and their behavior (flyers talking about the necessity of this enormous project, making people sign their petition when giving blood, etc) creates suspicion on the part of all those opposed to this project including, many politicians, other groups in the city, the parents and staff of Julia Richmond School, and virtually every neighbor. There are many other issues surrounding this proposed project:	
					-Who is really going to benefit financially from this proposal? - Who will monitor the rented labs?	
					- What happens to the contaminated air when it leaves the fans on the roof of the building? - Why would the Blood Center turn down safe, appropriate locations? - Why would the powers that be in the city want to set a precedent for mid-block hi-rise buildings? - Why would the city even consider a 4+ year demo (asbestos, etc) and rebuild project (cranes & other equipment), closing lanes on narrow residential streets in a currently protected residential zone with: - a school where children come and go all day walking and on busses (city and school) - a nursery school and a school for disabled children,	
					•a bus route (#66 crosstown), •a lone, tiny park overcrowded by residents, children, the elderly & hospital workers, • current heavy pedestrian traffic from public transportation to the hospitals, •adding 2500 +/- workers to an already overloaded neighborhood, •adding trailer truck traffic (waste, chemical deliveries, etc) to already clogged streets, •further clogging & delaying current heavy traffic to hospitals and emergency rooms,	
F/7/0004 04:40:00	0	000 F 00th Oth NIV NIV 4000F	::h	la annuiti de de annuiti di	Longacre has engaged the law firm of Kramer Levin who also represent the Mayor (who endorses this project) and The Blood Center. Interesting.	70
5/7/2021 21:42:23 5/7/2021 22:01:54	·	333 E. 66th St; NY, NY 10065 333 E. 66th St	paysonjewelry@gmail.com	In opposition to the application In opposition to the application	I find this proposal to be against everything we would hope the city stands for as it begins the process of coming back better than ever and creating a more friendly environment for its citizens.	72
5/7/2021 22:29:08		401 East 65th	ckruse49@gmail.com		Inappropriate size. Unnecessary as proposed for the blood center's needs. Unknown future use of tower. For profit real estate. Unacceptable shade. Traffic mess. Multi year construction. Precedent setting if approved for mid block commercial use. The pure highlight is a property below the property of the property of the property of the purplement of th	74
5/7/2021 23:01:14	D. Raum	130 east 62	daraum@gmail.com	In favor of the application	The new building is a remarkable improvement to the existing building which is an eyesore at best. It will be a welcome aesthetic improvement. Also important work will be conducted. Additionally will improve the area and provide much needed jobs. I'm all for it. Keeping my fingers crossed. The proposed size of the expansion is out of proportion to the neighborhood. It is much too intrusive and will negatively impact the skyline,	75
5/7/2021 23:11:49		245 East 72nd Street	kathrynnagle@aol.com		the playground across the street, and overwhelm the neighborhood with even more traffic. The proposed building is too tall, it will block out light much of the day at St. Catherine's Park, making it less enjoyable and colder; this should not be done to a children's park. There already is enough hospitals with research laboratories in the neighborhood; innovative biotechnology companies do not need to be housed in the middle of the block, space can be found for them at the hospitals in the area,	76
5/7/2021 23:12:16	Evan Grossman	315 E. 65th Street	ebg98@live.com	In opposition to the application	or in other parts of the city, not in the middle of a residential neighborhood I will keep my comments brief and on these two points: 1.) The Blood Center acknowledges it can accommodate it's expansion plans within current zoning requirements. 2.) If zoning is changed, the vast majority of newly built space will be controlled by the builder and not the Blood Center. I understand the Blood Center is doing this to get new facilities, but they have done so by selling their soul to the builder who has no stake	77
5/8/2021 0:24:28		· ·	-	In opposition to the application	in this neighborhood. Thank you!	78
5/8/2021 6:19:42	Melodia Eloise Gurevich	1601 3rd Ave 13c	MelodiaEloise@gmail.com	In opposition to the application	This is too intrusive to our beloved community This proposal is an abomination. We already have way too many ugly, much too high, dreadful buildings destroying our neighborhoods and this is just one more. There is no, repeat no, reason why it should be built. The scale is completely wrong. We need to preserve not destroy our neighborhoods and this is pure destruction - and another upraised finger in the face of the city. The application should be	79
5/8/2021 8:00:21	Elaine Ellis	110 Riverside Drive 10024	vicsoc110@gmail.com	In opposition to the application	The concept of expanding a health center during the times that have we have only just went through and are still experiencing, are dire and critical. But to think that there is a necessity to create more luxury housing in the neighborhood, let alone a whole 10-floors which would potentially make up massive height differences, beings to question the entire ethics of the project. No more luxury housing, no more developers having their way with this city. I would dare them to create affordable housing at the very least in such a build that is supposed	80
5/8/2021 9:41:54	Matt H	East 83rd st	Mah100@yahoo.com	In opposition to the application	aimed to be for the greater good? Not just the few elite that can afford it. This project is an embarrassment for them to even have to justify it proves they know there are hints of immorality within build the center, not the housing. Thank you. I am in STRONGLY OPPOSED to this project; if the rezoning is passed to accommodate this project. I fear for the future of our neighborhood as well as ALL city neighborhoods which would be forever negatively impacted by the dangerous precedent this would set.	81
5/8/2021 10:07:37 5/8/2021 13:35:27	·	333 East 66th St. 1N 315 East 65th	sara_schapiro@hotmail.com		At a time when residents are fleeing the city in record numbers we should be looking to improve our neighborhoods, not forever harm them with inappropriate commercial structures. If you have any common sense and logical thinking, you will know why this is a bad idea for the community and its families.	82 83
5/8/2021 15:05:08	Anne Namm	875 Park Avenue	annenamm@aol.com	In opposition to the application	There are building codes/restrictions in place for a purpose, why is the blood center asking for more in the way of variances? NO more ignoring the sensible laws on the books.	84
					99	04
5/8/2021 15:17:18	Susan Ferriere	116 East 68th Street, New York,	susanferriere116@gmail.com	In opposition to the application	Although The Blood Center premises clearly need an update, having read the material submitted, I note that the project proposed does not just accommodate a modernized facility (whose workforce, etc. is not expected to grow and whose mission remains the same) but a facility several times as large. This is simply not necessary. The prospective fellow tenants, termed "Life Science Companies" are not identified or described in detail but would appear to be/could be infectious disease research labs and the like. Along with the outsized building project, this additional planned use is inappropriate and dangerous not only to a residential neighborhood like ours but to any densely-populated urban area. We may never be entirely certain of where Covid came from but have we learned no lessons from the past year plus? Let the Blood Center modernize and continue its fine work but keep faith with its original mission and operation size.	85
	Susan Ferriere	116 East 68th Street, New York,	susanferriere116@gmail.com	In opposition to the application	Although The Blood Center premises clearly need an update, having read the material submitted, I note that the project proposed does not just accommodate a modernized facility (whose workforce, etc. is not expected to grow and whose mission remains the same) but a facility several times as large. This is simply not necessary. The prospective fellow tenants, termed "Life Science Companies" are not identified or described in detail but would appear to be/could be infectious disease research labs and the like. Along with the outsized building project, this additional planned use is inappropriate and dangerous not only to a residential neighborhood like ours but to any densely-populated urban area. We may never be entirely certain of where Covid came from — but have we learned no lessons from the	
	Susan Ferriere	116 East 68th Street, New York,	susanferriere116@gmail.com	In opposition to the application	Although The Blood Center premises clearly need an update, having read the material submitted, I note that the project proposed does not just accommodate a modernized facility (whose workforce, etc. is not expected to grow and whose mission remains the same) but a facility several times as large. This is simply not necessary. The prospective fellow tenants, termed "Life Science Companies" are not identified or described in detail but would appear to be/could be infectious disease research labs and the like. Along with the outsized building project, this additional planned use is inappropriate and dangerous not only to a residential neighborhood like ours but to any densely-populated urban area. We may never be entirely certain of where Covid came from — but have we learned no lessons from the past year plus? Let the Blood Center modernize and continue its fine work but keep faith with its original mission and operation size. The arguments the Blood Center and its developer have presented in favor of this project appear to be either misleading, irrelevant, or merely a catch-all of political buzzwords. They also seem to rest on these three shaky themes: 1) NECESSITY In the mid-1980s, the Blood Center hoped to build a residential tower atop its existing roof, arguing that building this money-making tower was "critical to ensure its continued viability" and the only chance it had to "continue its vital lifesaving work." The exact same words that it offered last month!.	
	Susan Ferriere	116 East 68th Street, New York,	susanferriere116@gmail.com	In opposition to the application	Although The Blood Center premises clearly need an update, having read the material submitted, I note that the project proposed does not just accommodate a modernized facility (whose workforce, etc. is not expected to grow and whose mission remains the same) but a facility several times as large. This is simply not necessary. The prospective fellow tenants, termed "Life Science Companies" are not identified or described in detail but would appear to be/could be infectious disease research labs and the like. Along with the outsized building project, this additional planned use is inappropriate and dangerous not only to a residential neighborhood like ours but to any densely-populated urban area. We may never be entirely certain of where Covid came from — but have we learned no lessons from the past year plus? Let the Blood Center modernize and continue its fine work but keep faith with its original mission and operation size. The arguments the Blood Center and its developer have presented in favor of this project appear to be either misleading, irrelevant, or merely a catch-all of political buzzwords. They also seem to rest on these three shaky themes: 1) NECESSITY In the mid-1980s, the Blood Center hoped to build a residential tower atop its existing roof, arguing that building this money-making tower was "critical to ensure its continued viability" and the only chance it had to "continue its vital lifesaving work."	85
	Susan Ferriere	116 East 68th Street, New York,	susanferriere116@gmail.com	In opposition to the application	Although The Blood Center premises clearly need an update, having read the material submitted, I note that the project proposed does not just accommodate a modernized facility (whose workforce, etc. is not expected to grow and whose mission remains the same) but a facility several times as large. This is simply not necessary. The prospective fellow tenants, termed "Life Science Companies" are not identified or described in detail but would appear to be/could be infectious disease research labs and the like. Along with the outsized building project, this additional planned use is inappropriate and dangerous not only to a residential neighborhood like ours but to any densely-populated urban area. We may never be entirely certain of where Covid came from — but have we learned no lessons from the past year plus? Let the Blood Center modernize and continue its fine work but keep faith with its original mission and operation size. The arguments the Blood Center and its developer have presented in favor of this project appear to be either misleading, irrelevant, or merely a catch-all of political buzzwords. They also seem to rest on these three shaky themes: 1) NECESSITY In the mid-1980s, the Blood Center hoped to build a residential tower atop its existing roof, arguing that building this money-making tower was "critical to ensure its continued viability" and the only chance it had to "continue its vital lifesaving work." The exact same words that it offered last month!. Which were-and are clearly untrue. It continued its vital lifesaving work for the next approximately 35 years and will continue to do so without the intrusion of a mid-block tower. Nor were they as cash-strapped then as they claimed, and neither are they now. According to causeiq.com, their annual gross revenue is just shy of \$400 million with a liquifiable stash of over \$300 million. Enough to spread an annual \$2.4 million among its top three executives.	85
	Susan Ferriere	116 East 68th Street, New York,	susanferriere116@gmail.com	In opposition to the application	Although The Blood Center premises clearly need an update, having read the material submitted, I note that the project proposed does not just accommodate a modernized facility (whose workforce, etc. is not expected to grow and whose mission remains the same) but a facility several times as large. This is simply not necessary. The prospective fellow tenants, termed "Life Science Companies" are not identified or described in detail but would appear to be/could be infectious disease research labs and the like. Along with the outsized building project, this additional planned use is inappropriate and dangerous not only to a residential neighborhood like ours but to any densely-populated urban area. We may never be entirely certain of where Covid came from — but have we learned no lessons from the past year plus? Let the Blood Center modernize and continue its fine work but keep faith with its original mission and operation size. The arguments the Blood Center and its developer have presented in favor of this project appear to be either misleading, irrelevant, or merely a catch-all of political buzzwords. They also seem to rest on these three shaky themes: 1) NECESSITY In the mid-1980s, the Blood Center hoped to build a residential tower atop its existing roof, arguing that building this money-making tower was "critical to ensure its continued viability" and the only chance it had to "continue its vital lifesaving work." The exact same words that it offered last month!. Which wereand are clearly untrue. It continued its vital lifesaving work for the next approximately 35 years and will continue to do so without the intrusion of a mid-block tower. Nor were they as cash-strapped then as they claimed, and neither are they now. According to causeiq.com, their annual gross revenue is just shy of \$400 million with a liquifiable stash of over \$300 million. Enough to spread an annual \$2.4 million among its top three executives. Or to put that another way, they don't need the money from this odd commercial enterpris	85
	Susan Ferriere	116 East 68th Street, New York,	susanferriere116@gmail.com	In opposition to the application	Although The Blood Center premises clearly need an update, having read the material submitted, I note that the project proposed does not just accommodate a modernized facility (whose workforce, etc. is not expected to grow and whose mission remains the same) but a facility several times as large. This is simply not necessary. The prospective fellow tenants, termed "Life Science Companies" are not identified or described in detail but would appear to be/could be infectious disease research labs and the like. Along with the outsized building project, this additional planned use is inappropriate and dangerous not only to a residential neighborhood like ours but to any densely-populated urban area. We may never be entirely certain of where Covid came from — but have we learned no lessons from the past year plus? Let the Blood Center modernize and continue its fine work but keep faith with its original mission and operation size. The arguments the Blood Center and its developer have presented in favor of this project appear to be either misleading, irrelevant, or merely a catch-all of political buzzwords. They also seem to rest on these three shaky themes: 1) NECESSITY In the mid-1980s, the Blood Center hoped to build a residential tower atop its existing roof, arguing that building this money-making tower was "critical to ensure its continued viability" and the only chance it had to "continue its vital lifesaving work." The exact same words that it offered last month!. Which were—and are— clearly untrue. It continued its vital lifesaving work for the next approximately 35 years and will continue to do so without the intrusion of a mid-block tower. Nor were they as cash-strapped then as they claimed, and neither are they now. According to causeiq.com, their annual gross revenue is just shy of \$400 million with a liquifiable stash of over \$300 million. Enough to spread an annual \$2.4 million among its top three executives. Or to put that another way, they don't need the money from this odd commercical enterpri	85
	Susan Ferriere	116 East 68th Street, New York,	.susanferriere116@gmail.com	In opposition to the application	Although The Blood Center premises clearly need an update, having read the material submitted, I note that the project proposed does not just accommodate a modernized facility (whose workforce, etc. is not expected to grow and whose mission remains the same) but a facility several times as large. This is simply not necessary. The prospective fellow tenants, termed "Life Science Companies" are not identified or described in detail but would appear to be/could be infectious disease research labs and the like. Along with the outsized building project, this additional planned use is inappropriate and dangerous not only to a residential neighborhood like ours but to any densely-populated urban area. We may never be entirely certain of where Covid came from — but have we learned no lessons from the past year plus? Let the Blood Center modernize and continue its fine work but keep faith with its original mission and operation size. The arguments the Blood Center modernize and continue its fine work but keep faith with its original mission and operation size. The arguments the Blood Center and its developer have presented in favor of this project appear to be either misleading, irrelevant, or merely a catch-all of political buzzwords. They also seem to rest on these three shaky themes: 1) NECESSITY In the mid-1980s, the Blood Center hoped to build a residential tower atop its existing roof, arguing that building this money-making tower was "critical to ensure its continued viability" and the only chance it had to "continue its vital lifesaving work." The exact same words that it offered last month!. Which wereand are clearly untrue. It continued its vital lifesaving work for the next approximately 35 years and will continue to do so without the intrusion of a mid-block tower. Nor were they as cash-strapped then as they claimed, and neither are they now. According to causeiq.com, their annual gross revenue is just shy of \$400 million with a liquifiable stash of over \$300 million. Enough to spread an annual \$2	85
	Susan Ferriere	116 East 68th Street, New York,	.susanferriere116@gmail.com	In opposition to the application	Although The Blood Center premises clearly need an update, having read the material submitted, I note that the project proposed does not just accommodate a modernized facility (whose workforce, etc. is not expected to grow and whose mission remains the same) but a facility several times as large. This is simply not necessary. The prospective fellow tenants, termed "Life Science Companies" are not identified or described in detail but would appear to be/could be infectious disease research labs and the like. Along with the outsized building project, this additional planned use is inappropriate and dangerous not only to a residentian eighborhood like ours but to any densely-populated urban area. We may never be entirely certain of where Covid came from — but have we learned no lessons from the past year plus? Let the Blood Center modernize and continue its fine work but keep faith with its original mission and operation size. The arguments the Blood Center and its developer have presented in favor of this project appear to be either misleading, irrelevant, or merely a catch-all of political buzzwords. They also seem to rest on these three shaky themes: 1) NECESSITY In the mid-1980s, the Blood Center hoped to build a residential tower atop its existing roof, arguing that building this money-making tower was "critical to ensure its continued viability" and the only chance it had to "continue its vital lifesaving work." The exact same words that it offered last month!. Which were—and are— clearly untrue. It continued its vital lifesaving work for the next approximately 35 years and will continue to do so without the intrusion of a mid-block tower. Nor were they as cash-strapped then as they claimed, and neither are they now. According to causeiq.com, their annual gross revenue is just shy of \$400 million with a liquifiable stash of over \$300 million. Enough to spread an annual \$2.4 million among its top three executives. Or top ut that another way, they don't need the money from this odd commerical enterprise	85
	Susan Ferriere	116 East 68th Street, New York,	.susanferriere116@gmail.com	In opposition to the application	Although The Blood Center premises clearly need an update, having read the material submitted, I note that the project proposed does not just accommodate a modernized facility (whose workforce, etc. is not expected to grow and whose mission remains the same) but a facility several times as large. This is simply not necessary. The prospective fellow tenants, termed "Life Science Companies" are not identified or described in detail but would appear to be/could be infectious disease research labs and the like. Along with the outsized building project, this additional planned use is inappropriate and dangerous not only to a residential neighhood like ours but to any densely-populated urban area. We may never be entirely certain of where Covid came from — but have we learned no lessons from the past year plus? Let the Blood Center more inzerial and on the body of the project appear to be either misleading, irrelevant, or merely a catch-all of political buzzwords. They also seem to rest on these three shaky themes: 1) NECESSITY In the mid-1980s, the Blood Center hoped to build a residential tower atop its existing roof, arguing that building this money-making tower was "critical to ensure its continued viability" and the only chance it had to "continue its vital lifesaving work." The exact same words that it offered last month! Which were—and are— clearly untrue. It continued its vital lifesaving work for the next approximately 35 years and will continue to do so without the intrusion of a mid-block tower. Nor were they as cash-strapped then as they claimed, and neither are they now. According to causeiq.com, their annual gross revenue is just shy of \$400 million with a liquifiable stash of over \$300 million. Enough to spread an annual \$2.4 million among its top three executives. Or to put that another way, they don't need the money from this odd commerical enterprise to finance the physical expansion of their labs or their range of activities. And while they may, in fact, require some additional lab space, th	85
	Susan Ferriere	116 East 68th Street, New York,	susanferriere116@gmail.com	In opposition to the application	Although The Blood Center premises clearly need an update, having read the material submitted. I note that the project proposed does not just accommodate a modernized facility (whose workforce, etc. is not expected to grow and whose missor mealins the same) but a facility several times as large. This is simply not necessary. The prospective fellow tenants, termed "Life Science Companies" are not identified or described in detail but would appear to be/could be infectious disease research labs and the like. Along with the outsized building project, this additional planned use is inappropriate and dangerous not only to a residential neighbood like ours but oarly densely-populated urban area. We may never be entirely certain of where Covid came from - but have we learned no lessons from the past year plus? Let the Blood Center modernize and continue its fine work but keep falls with its original mission and operations size. The arguments the Blood Center and its developer have presented in favor of this project appear to be either misleading, irrelevant, or merely a catch-all of political buzzwords. They also seem to rest on these three shaky themes: 1) NECESSITY In the mid-1980s, the Blood Center hoped to build a residential tower atop its existing roof, arguing that building this money-making tower was "critical to ensure its continued viability" and the only chance it had to "continue its vital lifesaving work." The exact same words that it offered last month!. Which were—and are—clearly untrue. It continued its vital lifesaving work for the next approximately 35 years and will continue to do so without the intrusion of a mid-block tower. Nor were they as cash-strapped then as they claimed, and neither are they now. According to causeiq.com, their annual gross revenue is just shy of \$400 million with a liquifiable stash of over \$300 million. Enough to spread an annual \$2.4 million among its top three executives. Or to put that another way, they don't need the money from this odd commerical enterprise to fi	85
	Susan Ferriere	116 East 68th Street, New York,	.susanferriere116@gmail.com	In opposition to the application	Although The Blood Center premises clearly need an update, having read the material submitted. I note that the project proposed does not just accommodate a modernized facility (whose workforce, etc. is not expected to grow and whose mission remains the same) but a facility several times as large. This is simply not necessary. The prospective fellow tenants, termed "Life Science Companies" are not identified or described in detail but would appear to bedrout be infectious diseaser research labs and the like. Alba and the size Alba and the like. Alba and the like. Alba and the like. Alba and the like	85
	Susan Ferriere	116 East 68th Street, New York,	.susanferriere116@gmail.com	In opposition to the application	Although The Blood Center premises clearly need an update, having read the material submitted. I note that the project proposed does not just accommodate a modernized facility (whose workforce, etc. is not expected to grow and whose mission remains the same) but a facility several times as large. This is simply not necessary. The prospective fellow tenants, termed "Life Science Companies" are not identified or described in detail but would appear to becould be infectious disease research labs and the like. Along with the outsized building project, this additional planned use is inappropriate and dangerous not only to a residential neighborhood like ours but to any denselv-populated urban area. We may never be entirely certain of where Covid came from – but have we learned no lessons from the past year plus? Let the Blood Center modernize and continue its fine work but keep faith with its original mission and operation size. The arguments the Blood Center and its developed have presented in favor of this project appear to be either misleading, irrelevant, or merely a catch-all of political buzzwords. They also seem to rest on these three shaky themes: 1) NECESSITY In the mid-1980s, the Blood Center hoped to build a residential tower atop its existing roof, arguing that building this money-making tower was "critical to ensure its continued viability" and the only chance it had to "continue its vital lifesaving work." The exact same words that it offered last month! Which were—and are—clearly untrue. It continued its vital lifesaving work for the next approximately 35 years and will continue to do so without the intrusion of a mid-block tower. Nor were they as cash-strapped then as they claimed, and neither are they now. According to causeic.com. their annual gross revenue is just shy of \$400 million with a liquifiable stash of over \$500 million. Enough to spread an annual \$2.4 million among its top three executives. And while they may, in fact, require some additional lab space, that can be accomplished mor	85
	Susan Ferriere	116 East 68th Street, New York,	susanferriere116@gmail.com	In opposition to the application	Although The Blood Center premises clearly need an update, having read the material submitted. I note that the project proposed does not just accommodate a modernized facility (whose workfore, et e.i. son dexpected to grow and whose mission remains the same) but a facility several times as large. This is simply not necessary. The prospective fellow tenants, termed "Life Science Companies" are not identified or described in detail but would appear to belocuble be intenctious disease research labs and the like. Along mit the outsized building project, this additional planned use is inappropriate and dangerous not only to a residential neighborhood like ours but to any denselv-populated urban area. We may never be entirely certain of where Covid came from — but have we learned not be past year plus? Let the Blood Center and its developer have presented in favor of this project appear to be either misleading, irrelevant, or merely a catch-all of political buzzwords. They also seem to rest on these three shaky themes: 1) NECESSITY In the mid-1980s, the Blood Center hoped to build a residential tower atop its existing roof, arguing that building this money-making tower was "critical to ensure its continued viability" and the only chance it had to "continue its vital lifesaving work." The exact same words that it offered last month! Which were—and are—clearly untrue. It continued its vital lifesaving work for the next approximately 35 years and will continue to do so without the intrusion of a mid-block tower. Nor were they as cash-strapped then as they claimed, and neither are they now. According to causeig com, their annual gross revenue is just shy of \$400 million with a liquifiable stash of over \$300 million. Enough to spread an annual \$2.4 million among its top three executives. Or to put that another way, they don't need the money from this odd commercial enterprise to finance the physical expansion of their labs or their range of activities. And while they may, in fact, require some additional lab space,	85
		116 East 68th Street, New York,	e-line@earthlink.net	In opposition to the application	Although The Blood Center premises clearly need an update having read the material submitted. Inde that the project proposed does not just accommodate a modernized facility throse workforce, etc. is not expected to grow and whose mission remains the same but a facility several times as large. This is simply not necessary. The prospective fellow tenants, termed "Life Science Companies" are not identified or described in defail but would appear to be focused the infectious diseaser research labs and the like. Along with the outsized building project, this additional planned use is inappropriate and dangerous not only to a residential neighborhood like ours but to any dense type of the properties of the prop	85
5/8/2021 15:17:18					Although The Blood Center premises clearly need an update, having read the material submitted, I note that the project proposed does not just accommodate a moderatized facility (whose workforce, etc. is not expected to grow and whose mission remains the same just a facility several times as large. This is simply not necessary. The prospective fellow transits, termed "Life Science Companies" are not interflied or described in detail but would appear to becould be infectious does sees research lates and the life. Along with the outsized building project, the softeness pleaned use is inappropriate and dangerous not of many as real-central register because the control of the project in the project appear to be offen and the project appear to be either misleading, irrelevant, or merely a catch-lat of political buzzowist. They also seem to rest on these three shaky themes: The arguments the Blood Center and its developer have presented in favor of this project appear to be either misleading, irrelevant, or merely a catch-lat of political buzzowist. They also seem to rest on these three shaky themes: The sex of the sex of the project appear to be either misleading, irrelevant, or merely a catch-lat of political buzzowist. They also seem to rest on these three shaky themes: 1) NECESSITY In the misl 1980s, the Blood Center hoped to build a residential tower atop its existing root, arguing that building this money-making tower was 'critical to ensure its continued viability' and the only chance it had to 'continue its vital lifesaving work.' The exact same words that it offered last month. Which were—and are—clearly nutrie. It continued its vital lifesaving work for the next approximately 35 years and will continue to do so without the intrusion of a mid-block tower. Nor were they as cash-straped then as they claimed, and neither are they now. According to causel, com., their annual gross revenue is just styl of \$400 million with a liquidable stain of rover \$300 million. Enough to special expansion of their labs o	. 85
5/8/2021 15:17:18	Linda Stewart		e-line@earthlink.net		Although The Blood Center premises clearly need an update, having read the material submitted, I note that the project proposed does not just accommodate a moderatized facility (whose workforce, etc. is not expected to gove and whose mission remains the same just a facility several times as large. This is simply not necessary. The prospective fellow transits, termed "Life Science Companies" are not interflied or described in detail but would appear to bectool the infectious disease research labs and the like. Along with the outsized building project, this additional planned use is inappropriate and dangerous not only to a residential melphorhood file ours but to any speak year just? Let the Blood Center moderative and continue its fire work but keep falm with so original manned and postation size. The arguments the Blood Center and its developer have presented in favor of this project appear to be either misleading, irrelevant, or merely a catch-aid of political buzzwords. They also seem to rest on these three shaky themes: 1) NECESSITY In the mid-1980s, the Blood Center hoped to build a residential tower atop its existing roof, arguing that building this money-making tower so critical to ensure the continued viability, and the only chance it had to "continue the viall ifeasivity work." The exact same words that it offered last month! Which were—and are—clearly untrue. It continued its vital lifesaving work for the next approximately 35 years and will continue to do so without the intrusion of a mid-block tower. Nor were they as cash-strapped then as they claimed, and neither are they now. According to causeiq com, their annual gross revenue is just shy of \$400 million with a liquifiable stash of over \$300 million. Enough to spread an annual \$2.4 million among its top three executives. Or to put that another way, they don't need the money from this odd commercial enterprise to finance the physical expansion of their labs or their range of activities. And while they may, in fact, require some additional l	85

Timostama	Name	Address	Email Address	Aro you	Comments on the application	Sumbission Number
Timestamp	Name	Address	Email Address	Are you	Comments on the application I support the Blood Center upgrading their facility WITHIN CURRENT ZONING GUIDELINES. I DO NOT support their application to blow through current regulations in order to rebuild in partnership with an out of state corporate entity a physical structure that is 100% out of	Sumbission Number
					character with the nature and needs of our neighborhood. The expansion they propose would be a monumental boondoggle. Let me count some of the ways The area is so badly congested now, their proposal would make our neighborhood truly unlivable. Lest we	
					forget, 67th Street is an MTA bus route, and between 1st and 2nd avenue school buses double park throughout the day clogging traffic. 66th is a through street which traverses Central Park to the West Side and is congested most of the day as well. And 2nd avenue is, well,	
					and avenue. Moreover, there's so much more that can said in opposition when you consider the 24/7 demands to the area, as well as the types of activity they plan bring in with new medical research labs. Last waiving the mid block zoning regs for this project WOULD PUT EVERY MID BLOCK LOCATION IN THE CITY AT RISK which makes this a problem for all New Yorkers.	
5/8/2021 20:19:55	Marc Lamberg	301 E. 66th Street	sumarada@gmail.com	In opposition to the application	There is a zoning law that should be kept in place- no high buildings mid-block. Besides blocking out the sun on a school and a public	89
5/8/2021 20:51:44	Joan Goldfield	215 east 68 street New York, N	joangoldfield@gmail.com	In opposition to the application	playground, the construction (noisy with additional traffic) will be across from a school entrance. A better area should be found for this construction. It does not belong there. The blood center should relocate. There are better more suitable locations.	90
5/9/2021 8:50:17	James Markel	333 East 69th	jamesmarkel@gmail.com		Not appropriate for the neighborhood and unacceptable shadow. I cannot attend the May 12 meeting, so am submitting comments here:	91
					Let's talk quality of life.	
					During construction, air quality will be compromised for many blocks, traffic will be beyond congested on streets and avenues, noise of construction will be constant.	
					After construction, playground and Julia Richman will be in shadows. With no parking provided in the proposed construction, traffic will be unbearable especially because East 67th St is a bus route.	
					YES, let's talk quality of life instead of considering a proposal that's designed to create income for the few who don't live in this area. AMEN!	
5/9/2021 10:35:20	Judith Rothstein		therword@yahoo.com	In opposition to the application	The New York Blood Center/Longfellow proposal is a shocking and destructive effort to effect a land grab at the cost of destroying a	92
					beautiful residential neighborhood. If the residential mid-block protective zoning is destroyed by this egregious luxury real estate project posing as a benevolent medical facility, it will set a precedent for destroying other mid-block areas all over the city. All residents of the city	
					must be warned as all residents of the city could be affected. From their endorsements of this proposal, it would seem that various city politicians, including the current Mayor, are more interested in	
					their own personal gain than in providing any benefit for the people. This tower is not being built for affordable housing and has no committed renters from the medical community. What will happen to the 334 foot tower if the medical community doesn't move into the	
					office space? It will be leased as luxury condos. How does the current neighborhood benefit from this monstrous effort to make a profit for the BC and the developers? It doesn't. Our neighborhood is being totally ripped off.	
	Katharine Houghton	315 East 68th St., New York City		In opposition to the application	This would cast a permanent shadow on the neighborhood park and schools. In addition, it would cause more congestion in the	93
5/9/2021 16:10:07	Jenny wong		jswong116@gmail.com	In opposition to the application	neighborhood, harder for the school buses to pass and the already busy streets from the schools and hospitals. In addition to casting shadows over the one main play area for the children who live in this area, the addition of more residential units will	94
					exacerbate extreme overcrowding at PS 183. During the covid restrictions this past year, PS 183 was the one school in this area which had to have 3 cohorts instead of the 2 that most the other schools around this area had. This resulted in less in person school days for the children already attending PS 183. This area is already packed to capacity in terms of number of residents to outdoor space and	
5/9/2021 17:09:02	Josephine Ng			In opposition to the application	school spots. We don't need more people crowding in. I'm a local resident and father of 2, and I am opposed to the scale of the NY Blood Center expansion. Besides other negative impacts, the	95
					proposed mid-block commercial lab/office tower will dwarf the surrounding buildings and I fear will negatively impact St. Catherine's Park and playground, the only open space in the the area. I am very grateful for the local St. Catherine's park. Parents in particular know that	
					open space where kids are not in danger of jumping in front of a car are a necessity. After my children finish school at PS 183 right across the avenue on 66th Street, the go-to option for most kids from PS 183 is to release energy on the playground including the ball	
					court. The ball court is the only local place where you get sun for a reasonable amount of time in the sun in our area. The proposed gigantic building on the current NY Blood Center site would block that last bit of sun on the street level for kids to enjoy almost the entire afternoon. Mind you, the section of the ball courts that has no tree canopy is the section that would be most affected by the tall, bulky	
					building. The section of St. Catherine's that is less affected by the new building has tree canopy with reduced sunlight at ground level.	
					Additional traffic, commercial trash services for a lab spaces, likely hazardous waste is introduced in the area with high residential population density. The seems to be no concession to the local residents in return for a 'rezoning-gift' of this magnitude. What is dollar	
					value of this proposed rezoning? The proposed building's bulk exceeds the current zoning requirement by a multiple greater than 3x. The space for the NY Blood Center does not seem to be expanded in the proposed new building. The additional floor space is simply	
					lused as a commercial, rent-producing office/lab-space, supposedly for bio-tech start-ups. I am not certain about the promise of starting a bio-tech incubator in the middle of a residential neighborhood in the middle of Manhattan. Sure the NY Blood Center's involvement has some weight. What I am certain about is the impact the building alone will have on the neighborhood, and that is not positive for local	
					residents. The proposed building will be around for generations, in an apparently rushed approval process and without the appropriate study of the impacts on the local residents, like an in depth traffic and crowding analysis. Increased strain on the neighborhood	
					resources, possibly constant nighttime lighting from a 24-hour lab building. The created jobs will no doubt partially come from outside of Manhattan and increase the use of local public resources. The argument by the NY Blood Center to require proximity within walking	
					distance to MSK, Rockefeller university and other partners is in my view nullified by the fact that the center will operate out of an alternate location during the 4-year construction period. To sacrifice mid-block residential zoning to a questionable claim of proximity is careless and will no doubt set precedent and be followed closely by other developers looking to upzone' and spot zone mid-block properties.	
					As this is a personal letter I am describing the effect this proposed NY Blood Center building will have on me and my family personally.	
					want to emphasize that I am 5 voices, not 1.	
					My vision of Manhattan is a more livable Manhattan to attract residents, as opposed to inappropriate commercial towers encroaching on the last remaining bits of public space in this area. My biggest dismay with the project is that the new building does not make any concession to the local public in return for the aforementioned 'rezonino-ciff.' To relieve the strain created by this building there should be	
					a guarantee that they would create adequate additional open space that could absorb the increased demand. A reconstruction on this site at a reasonable scale, similarly to the lab space by MSK on 64th street, or consideration of alternative sites needs to be conducted.	
5/9/2021 17:18:35	Phil Seligger	315 E65th Street	pseliger@gmail.com	In opposition to the application	The currently proposed project seems to be one of commercial convenience at the expense of local residents. Please we beg you: do NOT approve rezoning to allow for this enormously oversized building. It will destroy the quality of life by	96
					overshadowing the JREC schools which hail students from all 5 boroughs. It will ruin the park which doubles as outdoor recreation space for the schools and is the only respite for thousands of children, medical workers, seniors, people visiting loved ones in MSK and NYP	
5/0/0004 47 40 40		400 F 0511 O			hospitals, residents and commuters. JREC and St. Catherine's provide vital community services - food, medical services at the Mt. Sinai clinic, MetOpera Live telecasts, graduations in the auditorium, etc. This small swath of sunlight must be preserved for current and future	-
5/9/2021 17:48:12	Laura Gregor	160 E 65th St	Lgregor2003@yahoo.com	In opposition to the application	generations as it is the only one left in Lenox Hill. We must stop stealing our children's future. Thank you. As a member of the Upper East Side community I am strongly opposed to The New York Blood Center's plan to rezone its site in order to	97
					develop a new, 334-foot mixed-use tower at 310 East 67th Street/303-319 East 66th Street. The size and scope of the project is unreasonable. In fact, The New York Blood Center only plans to occupy the first five floors of the building. The proposed project is unnecessary to support the New York Blood Center's operations and will have a severely negative impact on our community both during	
					construction and once completed. We should not negatively impact our community for the betterment of a private institution in Longfellow.	
					The construction process will negatively impact the residents, students, and children of our community. Construction will disturb students'	
					learning and raises safety concerns for children in St. Catherine's Park. Once the building is constructed it will continue to negatively impact the community. The building will increase traffic, cause shadowing in	
					Once the building is constructed it will continue to negatively impact the community. The buildings will increase traint, cause shadowing in the park and on nearby buildings, and set a terrible precedent for mid-block commercial buildings. It will ruin the neighborhood and quality of life for residents. There is no benefit to the existing community from this building as presented and I strongly believe there shouldn't be	
5/9/2021 18:06:59	Michael Walker	333 East 66th St, New York, NY	Mjwalk13@gmail.com	In opposition to the application	zoning changes to accommodate this project. St Catherine playground is one of the few spaces in the neighborhood where people of all ages can enjoy playing, socializing or simply	98
5/9/2021 19:17:10	Shirley Liu	340 E 64 St NY NY 10065	oo1137@gmail.com	In opposition to the application	relaxing under the sun. A 16 story building on its south side will block all the afternoon sunshine. The mid block building rule that limits building height was instituted to protect people's right to enjoy open spaces in the city. Please do not waive it for this project.	99
5/9/2021 19:21:54	Robert Lo	340 East 64th Street	robjrdlo@gmail.com	In opposition to the application	Negatively impact the playground. As a resident of East 64 St and a 20 year staff member of Julia Richman Education Complex, I am opposed to the Blood Center	100
					expansion plans.	
					The Blood Center proposal would negatively impact our school community. The ever-present dark shadows will impose darkness on the entire building all the time. Students of all ages need natural light for their dispositions and health. The ongoing construction of such a	
					huge building will cause noise and disruptions to the JREC Instruction. Additionally, St Catherine Park will lack sunlight. One person from Blood Center actually stated that families would welcome the shade in	
					the summer!	
					67th St is already clogged with traffic. The M66 bus is often delayed because of congestion. School buses are a constant presence on 67 St. Second Ave has non-moving traffic all day most weekdays.	
					I believe in the great value of health.science. I am not opposed to a modest addition to the health center for its needs. This proposal is much more than that. It is about the greed of the Blood Center making money at the expense of our school and the surrounding	
5/9/2021 19:32:18	Anne Purdy	301 East 64 St, 2L. New York, NY 10	Annempurdy@aol.com	In opposition to the application	ribber more than that. It is about the greed of the Blood Center making money at the expense of our school and the surrounding residential community.	101
			_		As a nearby resident of the Upper East Side (72nd and 2nd), I strongly oppose this proposal and its request for zoning amendments for a number of reasons:	
					There is no need for the New York Blood Center to do this. We very much appreciate the NYBC and all that they do. But they	
					themselves admit they can expand within their current footprint and do not need the additional space Longfellow is proposing. Their only reason for doing this is to get rental and other income from other companies and ventures. It is a real estate endeavor not a science-needed endeavor.	
					This plan is outrageous in its proposed height. Mid-block zoning is specifically to keep our neighborhoods livable (and attractive to	
					newcomers let's not forget!) without commercial buildings encroaching on the quality of life by monstrous construction endeavors.	
					3. It is thoughtless and dangerous to allow unspecified lab rentals of developer's space. Haven't we learned anything yet from COVID-19 and the viral labs in China?	
					4. The argument that proximity is required by unknown new labels/medical entities to MSKCC and NYP has been proven to not be the case - our society has moved to digital transfer of information and reports, including during this recent pandemic.	
					Blockage of light into the JREC site. Classrooms need natural light. We humans need natural light and this proposed development	
					would drastically reduce that. (Let's think of NYC's children's future!) 6. Blockage of natural light into St Catherine's Park. We are woefully short on open air park space in this corridor and St. Catherine's is	
					b. Blockage of natural light into St Catherine's Park. We are woetuly short on open air park space in this corridor and St. Catherine's is currently a welcomed and necessary space for families and school children. (Let's think of everyone's health!)	
					7. Changing the zoning for no good reason except so that an out-of-town developer can make a profit off of our neighborhood's loss is atrocious disregard for the residents of New York City — especially coming in the midst of a traumatic Covid crisis. We are supposed to be	
					looking out for one another, not causing further harm and distress. What about "do no harm"? 8. The east-west hus traffic on East 68th and East 67th Street is often pretty untenable - cross-town huses ferrying employees back and	
					8. The east-west bus traffic on East 66th and East 67th Street is often pretty untenable – cross-town buses ferrying employees back and forth to the York Avenue corridor, residents trying to get cross town to business and other appointments as well to Lincoln Center and other arts and cultural places, restaurants and theaters, school buses carrying children to and from the JREC school site. I see this	
					proposed site only making it much much worse.	
					9. The traffic on 2nd Avenue on the Upper East Side is already very difficult for residents and business people to navigate. With hundreds of new employees to such a proposed new site, along with their cars, taxis, vans, etc. this area will soon be become one big grid lock	
					area. Lurge you to abandon this egregious idea.	
					Thank you.	
5/9/2021 22:07:36 5/10/2021 7:08:36		305 East 72nd Street 188 East 64th Street, #3204 NY	fstf305@att.net designlith@aol.com	In opposition to the application In opposition to the application	We must never block out the sun to one of the most popular and important parks in Manhattan, Saint Catherine's.	102 103
5/10/2021 7:10:59	Kristi Saylors	55 E. 87th Street #6B	Ksaylors@gmail.com		Project is out-of-scale for the neighborhood, will shade the nearby park, and will negatively impact the neighborhood into the future by establishing a precedent for similarly too-large buildings.	104
5/10/2021 7:19:45		247 E. 77th St.	ratau@msn.com		The last thing our neighborhood needs is another massive high rise taking the valuable light, sky & air away from our residents. This type of out of control "development" is unhealthy, unwanted and unnecessary. This must not be permitted.	105
5/10/2021 7:29:15		3rd and 67th	ablazar@gmail.com		The extra floors are unnecessary to the needs of the center function!	106
					My two year old plays regularly at St Catherine's Playground across the street from the blood center. As far as I understand, the proposed project will greatly limit the sunlight in the playground. I don't want my child or anyone else's to have to play in constant shadow, especially in the colder months. Furthermore, the UES needs to do whatever it can to restrict out of place projects like these. One by one	
5/10/2021 7:42:01	Matthew Cohn	174 E 74 st apt 17E New York, I	matthewehco@gmail.com	In opposition to the application	especially in the order informs. Furthermore, the CES needs to do whatever it can to restrict out of place projects like tiese. One by one these developments are unnecessarily altering the fabric of this part if Manhattan. I strongly oppose this project as I see no reason for its existence in my neighborhood, it is strictly an exercise in greed with no concern for	107
5/10/2021 7:42:15 5/10/2021 7:51:08		233 E 69th St 1050 5th avenue nyc 10028	trudy61748@gmal.com	In opposition to the application In favor of the application	absolutely support the expansion do we want to drive out every business in new york. it's a wonderful asset to the neighborhood a	108 109
5/10/2021 /:51:08	goradae Digitigiti	.000 our avenue nyc 10028	aayo 17 Towymai.COM	idvoi oi uie application	accession, and expension to we want to drive out every pushiess in new york. It's a worldenur asset to the neighborhood a	109

imestamp	Name	Address	Email Address	Are you	Comments on the application	Sumbission Number
				,	We are a residential neighborhood. The introduction of commercial office space tower into our neighborhood will open the door to other such projects and malign the integrity of our neighborhood as a place for families and residents to thrive. Take a look at midtown now	
					looking like a ghosttown. Do we want that in our neighborhood when the next pandemic or disaster strikes? When the offices close down neighboring businesses that have come to rely on their businesses will be forced to close. Because we are residential we were able to	
E/40/2024 7:52:26	Wanna Mayar	SS Ctroot			keep many businesses open during this terrible time by supporting them by buying local and take out food. While expanding the current Blood center to meet their needs is understandable and necessary the 10 stories above their proposed new building represents greed that	
5/10/2021 7:53:26		66 Street			will bleed our community life. Developers coffers are deep and make no mistake, they threaten our identity as a community. I m a retired RN, worked at NYH, MSK, LHH and often donated & encouraged others to donate at the present sitemany complained it	110
5/10/2021 8:02:51	Jane Foss	1772 2ave 10128	jlowenkron@gmail.com	In favor of the application	was too crowded & small, wouldn't returna larger & more up to date facility would be helpful The shade cast by this monster building will render St. Catherine's playground, a lifeline for families in the neighborhood, too cold for use.	11
5/10/2021 8:06:10	Andrea Kavanagh	305 E 63rd St, 11E, New York, N	andreakav@hotmail.com	In opposition to the application	It needs the winter sun to enable families to continue to use. Please consider the families in this neighborhood. They need a sunny playground and the vitamin D.	112
					This is a flagrant effort to rezone so please don't try to cloud the issue with the red herrings about public health. The biggest public health issue today is runaway crime and lack of deterrence via pro antisocial law initiatives. In lave heard that top talent will be very difficult to	
					draw due to quality of life issues and frankly, given the shooting in Times Square in daylight last week and zombies walking about the neighborhood threatening, menacing and assaulting the public, I doubt this whole project will be the economic draw that it is purporting to be. We and our children will suffer the noise and pollution; the neighborhood in the end will be left with an ugly large looming structure that	
5/10/2021 8:17:14	Catherine Hwang	168 E 67TH ST	ktdg2005@gmail.com	In opposition to the application	detracts from the potential use of the space. It will end up looking like W 50's and 60's —dark and industrial shadows falling on sidewalks and no place where humans will want to linger and enjoy/create/produce.	113
5/10/2021 8:18:37	Laura Revman		0		This destruction of the Blood Bank building is not acceptable. I am totally opposed. For the preservation of the upper East Side that space should remain as is. Too many huge mistakes have already been made in destroying the very fabric of the neighborhood.	114
5/10/2021 8:23:54		229 East 88th St 1E	Cynthiagale29@ gmail.com		Sets bad example for mid-block elevations	115
5/10/2021 8:38:29	Dr. Susan Hans	120 East 90 St. NY NY 10128	susanhans@me.com	In opposition to the application	There is NO rational reason, other than financial greed, to warrant changing one of the few remaining sacrosanct zoning laws that protect our quality of life than keeping mid-block buildings low. Let them build a five or six story new Blood Center. Period!	116
					I am against the Blood Center/ Longfellow proposal. Any person with good sense and decency would know that a building of this enormity does not belong mid-block in a residential neighborhood. The havoc that it will cause has been clearly and factually shown.	
					Hiding behind and using the necessity and importance of life science research, making it sound so altruistic; how it will be so good for the community is disingenuous.	
					There are many other available commercial buildings that would be better suited for a complex of this size to continue the important work	
					of the Blood Center as well as the ability to generate new jobs making for a more robust economy.	
					The insistence on this building at this location is based on a lie. Having to be in close proximity to the medical complex is totally bogus! If they can fly a liver from one state to another to do a liver transplant, they could travel across town to deliver whatever specimens they need to.	
					This proposal put forth with feverish approval from Mayor De Blasio has been underhanded and sneaky every inch of the way. This is	
					nothing more than a sleazy backdoor land-grab.	
					I am not opposed to the Blood Center's important work but its new building must adhere to the current protection mid-block zoning laws. Let's be clear. Everything above the first five floors of the Blood Center will be rented out to for-profit companies making big bucks for	
					Kramer Levin and Longfellow. The Blood Center does not need that building to continue its work. Mid-block zoning laws were put in place for a reason. It was to protect residential neighborhoods from greedy real-estate land developers	
					and buildings like these.	
					The facts: Taller buildings trap greenhouse gases at a time when we should be highly concerned about climate change and protecting our environment.	
					The shadows this building will cast will take away the much-needed sunlight, negatively impacting Julia Richmond High School and the school for young children with autism as well as surrounding residential buildings. Taking away natural sunlight for children who play in Saint Catherine's Park is a real concern and unconscionable.	
					Saint Camerine's Park is a real concern and unconscionable. This community is already overly congested with heavy vehicular traffic, garbage trucks day and night, the route of the 66 Street bus to	
					the west side on 67th Street, school buses lined up in front of Julia Richmond High School and Saint Catherine's Park already causing traffic jams making it difficult to get crosstown. The traffic from York Avenue all the way up to 2nd Avenue is already overly congested and	
					parking is abominable.	
					I am deeply concerned about the trucks that will be picking up toxic wastes on the east 66th Street side of the Blood Center. It is the block on which I live. The Bio Safety 3 Lab studying dangerous microbes scares me. Just one accident would prove to be catastrophic in our residential neighborhood.	
					This neighborhood cannot handle the thousands of people who will be coming in and out of that building on a daily basis as it will be in	
					operation 24/7.	
					Last but certainly not least, the construction itself will be very dangerous with its enormous cranes and equipment and will unearth rats where children walk and play as well as senior citizens and adults.	
					I beg you to think this through and put a stop to this project in the state that it currently is being proposed. It is based on contempt and ill will for everyone whose lives here will be turned upside down with its negative impact.	
					Thank you,	
					Arlene Sulkis Resident of 333 East 66th Street	
5/10/2021 8:39:16	Arlene Sulkis	333 East 66th Street NYC NY 1	(arlenesulkis@gmail.com	In opposition to the application		117
5/10/2021 9:00:26	Joan Liebmann-Smith	340 E. 64th Street	jliebmann@aol.com	In opposition to the application	The extension is unnecessary for the Blood Center to function - it's a commercial venture. It will affect our view, but more importantly, block the sun in St. Catherine's Park, which is totally unacceptable!	118
					High rise buildings should not be mid block. We should not allow the zoning rules to be bent to suit a developer. It would change the integrity of the neighborhood including the wonderful children's park. Aside from the 5 floors the Blood Center would occupy why do we need another high rise? And for what purpose?	
5/10/2021 9:04:52 5/10/2021 9:04:57		333 East 69th St 209 East 66th Street NY NY 100	Fitzhinsch@gmail.com		High rises belong on the avenues where they do not block as much of the natural sunlight. I oppose any mid block construction at the Blood Bank that would deter sunlight over St. Catherine's Park	119
0/10/2021 0:04:07	Literiyarderson	200 2001 0011 011 001 111 110	andersons roginish.com	in opposition to the application	Dear Ladies and Gentlemen of the Community Board 8:	120
					I am fervently opposed to this seriously flawed, ill-conceived 600,000 square foot, 334 foot tall, 33 story building being proposed at the site of the New York Blood Bank at 310 E 67th Street.	
					In addition to the myriad arguments that you have already heard regarding the material, adverse impact that the proposed project would	
					have on the local infrastructure, especially public transportation, the unmanageable and dangerous traffic situation it would create, the fact that this monstrous building will block sunlight in St. Catherine's Park and the adjacent playground throughout most of the day, and will	
					have the entire southern part of the Julia Richmond Education Complex in shadows for much of the afternoon, and the 4+ years of intense, large scale construction, which in and of itself poses dangers to the community and JREC, there is a very compelling legal and technical reason as to why this project cannot be allowed to proceed.	
					The proposed rezoning actions necessary to facilitate this project are completely non-contextual and would allow construction of a	
					commercial office building in a mid-block residential zone that was created to preserve and protect the residential community. The R8B district is a contextual district. The proposed building doesn't meet the requirements for such a district for numerous reasons, primarily as	
					to height, setback and lot coverage. The proposed actions are unprecedented and cannot be permitted. The City has well established zones for the proposed research and laboratory uses. The proposed actions are not even specific to those uses. There is no community purpose to this project. It is a purely for profit commercial project. The "partners" are in fact just rent paying tenants. Not only is the	
					future of our Upper East Side neighborhood perilously threatened, but this project threatens virtually all of the Upper East Side and the Upper West Side where these residential districts are located and must be preserved.	
					I believe that our community would support efforts by the Blood Center to develop a modern facility on its property, but only for an as of	
					right project which would actually permit the Blood Center to have 23,000 more square feet of space than what is being proposed. The folly of this project is indisputable. The proposed project must be rejected in its entirety.	
					Respectfully,	
5/10/2021 9:10:41	Warren J Karp	315 East 68th Street	warthi315f@gmail.com	In opposition to the application	Warren J. Karp We have so little green area in our neighborhood and this project would be a dark tone over the park which has multiple uses i.e.	121
					playground, backboards and basketball hoops in addition to people in the neighborhood, many who are part of the hospital system, who use the park to relax in the sun and enjoy their lunch or just take a break from the stressful jobs they may have. In addition the	
					construction and interruption, noise and street blockages over who knows what period of time, will inconvenience many residents. As it was when things were regular the crosstown bus was a mess and many times I had to wait for two or three buses to go by because they	
					were filled up and didn't even stop. I am not sure that Longfellow really let everyone know what was going to constructed. There are many places in our area close enough to the hospitals to construct this type of building. It will be a huge monster looming over all of us.	
5/10/2021 9:22:39	Lynne R. Cashman	300 East 71 Street, Apt 5K	Ircashman@icloud.com	In opposition to the application	Please defeat the project as it has been proposed as this is our chance to hold onto a wonderful part of our community. A Life Sciences building has no business being built in the middle of a residential neighborhood in NYC or across the street from a school.	122
5/10/2021 9:59:39	Jane			In opposition to the application	A Life Sciences building has no business being built in the middle of a residential neighborhood in NYC or across the street from a school. Rezoning for this project would set a bad precedent for other future projects that don't belong in this neighborhood. Please help to keep the integrity of our neighborhood by rejecting this proposal.	123
					The proposed application is contradictory to maintaining a safe residential neighborhood. This type of life sciences development is better suited for a non-residential or commercially zoned area because of the extreme business traffic it will create and the risks associated with	
5/10/2021 10:06:33	Alan Koenke	265 E. 66th St., #21B, New York	koenke.alan@gmail.com		the study of microbial pathogens. The medical community established along the east river is slowly moving west and consuming the neighborhoods on the upper east side. This application escalates that movement.	124
5/10/2021 10:09:58	Judy Kessler	208 East 88th Street #3C	jkssl7@aol.com	In opposition to the application	I am opposed As a resident of 301 East 66th Street, I am writing to express opposition to the proposed rezoning that would allow "expansion" of the New	125
					York Blood Center. The Blood Center facilities can be updated under existing zoning without having to resort to spot zoning, which can be detrimental to the immediate neighborhood and threatens neighborhoods across the city.	
					The proposed project will create safety and traffic problems for residents and nearby schools. Traffic and the safety of pedestrians are	
					major areas of concerns, both during the long construction period and once the project is completed. Traffic jams already occur regularly on Second Avenue, and the possible closure of crosstown streets will only make existing jams worse. School buses, ambulances, and police and fire vehicles will find it next to impossible to navigate the streets. Just think of how many times we have seen ambulances	
					struggle to get through traffic without the additional obstacles this project will create.	
					Before the project is even completed, the construction period presents its own concerns for the neighborhood. Consideration should be given to the levels of air pollutants and toxins that may be released during the four-year construction period. Noise pollution that comes	
					from blasting and the tools of construction will create harmful levels of noise that will not be conducive to students trying to learn in the surrounding schools, workers trying to work from home, small businesses trying to serve their customers, and residents trying to go about their daily extrants in this residential peinhorhood.	
					their daily errands in this residential neighborhood. If this project were to succeed, it should strike the proper balance of meeting the Blood Center's needs and protecting the neighborhood's	
					residents and character. I am sure that my opinions are shared by others who may not have been able to attend meetings or write to you, and by still others who have written and mentioned other concerns not addressed here. I appreciate your attention and consideration of	
					my comments.	
					Sincerely, Yvonne A. Greenbaun	
5/10/2021 10:14:52	Yvonne Greenbaun	301 East 66th Street, Apt. 9B, N	19math87@gmail.com	In opposition to the application		126
					Construction will cast shadows over the school and park, plus it will add unnecessary traffic to the area. In addition, a level 3 Lab is dangerous for the safety of our community. Our residential neighborhood is already saturated with hospitals and not enough green area for our children. Parks and recreational areas are needed not more medical, lab buildings. This space is also to be rented out to for profit	
5/10/2021 10:17:52	Iris Palmer	315 East 65th Street	yami_mena50@hotmail.com	In opposition to the application	labs, the city is congested and this operations should be moved elsewhere. The overdevelopment on the Upper East Side threatens to destroy our neighborhood. Zoning rules are there to protect the neighborhood	127
					and should be vigorously enforced not easily waived. Our elected officials need to uphold the zoning rules. I am dismayed that real estate developers get around the zoning laws to the detriment of the neighborhood. Why does this happen? Are they making large	
5/10/2021 10:32:38 5/10/2021 10:40:24		863 Park Avenue, 4E	Richardjvella@gmail.com gailkatz@aol.com		donations to our elected officials to get preferential treatment? To maintain the "feel" of the neighborhood, a 5 story building is is enough in height. We dont need another monster building.	128 129
				5 - 2.2.2.300 tile 11	I am opposed to the application as it currently stands. Another high rise for wealthy tenants and real estate speculators is not in the community's interest. I am particularly opposed to yet another exception to our hard-won and necessary restrictions on building height.	
					, rounding finging	
					The expansion of the NY Blood Center's health and medical facility is highly important. I am in favor of a long-overdue modernization. If	
					The expansion of the NY Blood Center's health and medical facility is highly important. I am in favor of a long-overdue modernization. If this cannot be funded through a private-public partnership between philanthropic individuals/foundations and bonds/ tax dollars, then the plan needs to be modified further.	

5/10/2021 10:51:33	Name Charles Klemballa	Address 1725 York Ave. Apt 26E	Email Address Cfk141@gmail.com	Are you	Comments on the application Limited to blood center occupancy	Sumbission Numbe
5/10/2021 11:09:11		400 E 70TH ST APT 3005, New			I understand the need to modernize the blood center building, however the size proposed, even with a buffer for growth is excessive in the extreme indicates that this to be more commercial rather than research focused. Further, the are more than enough buildings that are available for research. Again this seems to be a money making endeavor disguised as blood center research expansion at the expense of residents, the school students and one of the last all day sunlit neighborhood parks.	13
					As a resident of 333 East 66th Street continuously for over 40 years, I'm very disturbed regarding the Blood Center's plans to build a 30 story mid-block building on top of the Center, disregarding the present mid-block zoning laws. I have nothing against the Blood Center modernizing its present structure and even allowing an additional 3-4 stories, and still remaining in compliance with the spirit of mid block zoning. This 30 story structure is completely an abomination when there are other sites more suitable for the blood center.	
					Why does 66th-67th Street need such construction in a residential neighborhood? Certainly, a commercial site would have less of an impact on an area that houses Julia Richman High School, St. Katherine's Park, NYC library, school buses dropping student off as well as	
5/10/2021 11:11:11 \$ 5/10/2021 11:12:33		333 East 66th Street, 5-H, NYC,	SheldonSilverman@rcn.com		pickups and residential buildings. Very strongly oppose the application. In this area, large developments are not needed and only damage the environment and quality of life. Only the developer qains with income that is not reinvested in the neighborhood.	13
					The proposed expansion of the Blood Center cannot be allowed. First, the impact that it will have on our neighborhood school and playground will be devastating. This is an already extremely busy area and specifically, street. Second, the precedent it sets for mid-	
5/10/2021 11:12:34 I 5/10/2021 11:16:18		315 East 68th Street Apt. 8R, N 130 East 67th Street Apt 11E NY, NY 10065	dsmykowski@gmail.com johnd.chu@juno.com		block expansion in our city is dangerous. All neighborhood residents of NYC should be alarmed by this precedent-setting, city-wide threat to R8-B.	13
5/10/2021 11:18:32			Cdursoj @gmail.com	- ''	These facilities should be above East 96th Street I think it would be a huge mistake to allow them to build more than a 6-story building that would be in keeping with the neighborhood and	13
5/10/2021 11:39:04	Janet Nonamaker	412 East 65 St. #3D	jnonamaker@gmail.com	In opposition to the application	not violate the zoning law that has stood for years to prevent building high rises mid-block. Allowing them to disregard this would be opening a big can of worms and every builder in town will be asking the same. We cannot allow this to start. I am opposed to the construction of this monstrosity at the proposed location. It does not increase the useable space for the blood bank, and it violates mid-block zoning. The street cannot handle the additional traffic. The schools and park located across the street will be	13
5/10/2021 11:46:41 I 5/10/2021 11:55:08	•	315 E 68th Street, Apt. 7E New 315 East 65th Street, ny, ny 100			permanently and irrevocably negatively impacted by being in it's shadow and overwhelmed with the additional traffic and usage. The last thing we need is more office space in Manhattan! A smaller version (fewer floors) of the proposal would be okay with me.	13
5/10/2021 12:38:45	Jeannine Dominy	219 East 69th Street	jeannine.dominy@gmail.com	In opposition to the application	St Catherine's playground is a center of the neighborhood and should not be cast in shadow. There are enough massive buildings in the area that have gone up for Sloan Kettering and the complex of hospitals without another one right by the playground, school and library.	14
5/10/2021 12:46:14	Pohert Santelli	1060 Park Avenue	rtsantelli@yahoo.com	In opposition to the application	the application of the NY Blood Center is totally out of scale with the neighborhood and with what is needed for the Center to carry out its mission. They themselves admit they will not be using most of the space but will be renting it out. Do not allow this rezoning. Thank you. Robert	14
0/10/2021 12:40:14	robert Gariteiii	1000 Fark / Wellac	Tisumonia yanoo.oom	in opposition to the application	I think it absolutely insane that a large small blood bank with a a dangerous element be built in this location, towering over a playground that both my children grew up in. Not only is is an eye sore, it's next to a school and public playground and is dangerous for the	
5/10/2021 13:30:31	A Grossman	400 East 67th street	alij@optonline.net		neighborhood. 1.) The size of the building is completely out of context with the surrounding neighborhood. 2.) It will cast shadows on surrounding streets and neighbors of sun and light. 3.) It will increase foot and automobile traffic enormously. 4.) The Blood Center can accomplish its mission of additional research facilities in five	14
					floors, as demonstrated in their own architectural plans. It will remain within easy access to other medical and research facilities. 5.) The neighborhood does not need more residential space; it certainly does not need a	
5/10/2021 13:36:23	Barbara R. Rauch	177 East 75th Street - New York	batyar1136@gmail.com	In opposition to the application	building that will create disastrous environmental, spatial, and quality of life changes.	14
					The proposal is wildly out of scale on a residential midblock, dramatically curtailing light and air. The large-scale commercial uses and laboratories entailed by the proposal are also antithetical to the modest services and shops appropriate and necessary to residential neighborhoods. And what is the use of creating hundreds of thousands of square feet of office space when the city is in a commercial real estate crisis? I have grave concerns as well about the biosafety level 3 labs, which the Board of Health states pose the potential for "catastrophic consequences", a status which ambiguously applies both to the Blood Center itself and the tenant spaces on the upper floors. Why is this project being undertaken, when the Blood Center itself has stated that its programmatic needs can be met by rebuilding	
5/10/2021 13:39:13 I	Edward Butler		epb223@gmail.com	In opposition to the application	within the existing zoning envelope? Indeed, most of the building would be controlled by a for-profit real estate firm based in Boston, with the Blood Center occupying just 35% of the space. In short, the proposed project would be an unnecessary and unjustified blight on the neighborhood.	14
					I oppose the building of this tower. The character of the upper east side has suffered enough in the last 50 years. Huge towers have robbed the neighborhood of light, created traffic congestion and increased the disparity of wealth and poverty. Moreover construction would set a negative precedent by rezoning from RBB, which was created to prevent huge buildings from being built mid-block in	
5/10/2021 14:06:59	Christine Kulisek	322 West 104th Street, NY NY 1	ckkulisek@gmail.com	In opposition to the application	residential neighborhoods. I am vehemently opposed to this proposal for many reasons:	14
					*Blood Bank does not need any more square footage than they currently occupy. *We do not have any assurances that balance of space will not be leased to companies conducting bio lab experiments *the proposed building will cast shadows on St Catherine's Park which is one of the few playgrounds in the area and is very well attended	
					by the neighborhood *proposed building will cut off sunlight to Julia Richmond high school *it will make our area much more congested than it already is. Traffic is at a standstill as it is	
					*we live in the 21st century with unprecedented quick & effective digital means for instant communication which precludes having to be within walking distance of our hospital & research facilities.	
5/10/2021 14:17:06	Mimi Lamia	315 East 68 St, NY NY	lamiadom@gmail .com	In opposition to the application	NO NO NO!!!	14
5/10/2021 14:24:14	nancy ploeger	315 E. 88th Street	auntnp@gmail.com	In opposition to the application	The rezoning of the site from an R8B to a C2-7 district would open the door for future projects looking to add height and density to other midblock sites, without any benefits to the surrounding community and would block a beloved park. Our children, families, and seniors should not have to pay the price for inappropriate developments that fail to engage or serve the communities around them.	14
5/10/2021 14:24:49		315 E 68th Street	jos.prikazsky@gmail.com		We have zoning laws (or any type of law for that matter) for a reason. This project is an egregious attempt to BREAK those laws. This proposal is not slightly lilegal, its disgustingly illegal. Its not even close to current zoning laws and would be a huge tragedy if our elected officials let this monstrosity be built. The local community is CLEARLY united in its opposition to this project, and expects its elected officials to support its views. Its unclear to me why this has even progressed this far.	14
5/10/2021 14:31:36 I	Hilary Rosa	315v East 68 Street, NY, NY 100	i hilaryjrosa@gmail.com	In opposition to the application	Development not only goes against local building height restrictions it will after the culture of the residential neighborhood. The impact of such an enormous structure on 67th Street is greatly negative. The shadow cast on St. Catherine's Park will negatively affect the flora planted for our enjoyment, the sunlight in which children play, the traffic on the street & its proximity to Memorial SLoan	15
5/10/2021 14:38:45	Stephen Lamia	315 East 68th Street	lamias@earthlink.net	In opposition to the application	Kettering's Emergency Room, vehícular traffic in general. A tremendous fear is potential biohazardous material that may be stored in the proposed building.	15
5/10/2021 14:59:43	Susan Elman	50 West 96 St	sue.elman@gmail.com	In opposition to the application	I do not think issues of noise and safety have been adequately addressed considering the location next to a school, park and library. I am also against changing the mid-block zoning to allow for such an extremely dense and tall building and for a use that is not in keeping with the neighborhood.	15
5/10/2021 15:14:48 \$	Oh sila Kasadsiala	10 West 66th			It is unconscionable that the City would allow the loss of another park. The park will lose almost all the sunlight during the after school hours when it is used the most. This is the only park within a mile and it is critical for the mental and physical well being of the neighbors.	15
5/10/2021 15:14:48	Snella Kendrick	TO West ooth	SaveCentralParkNYC@gmail.	c In opposition to the application	When will government serve the people over profit? The time to protect our environment for the common good is now. I would like to add, especially to those in favor of this project, that being opposed to the project is in NO way a rejection of the Blood Center's mission or the good they might do.	1:
					This is a zoning/land use decision and a debate over the collateral damage to the residential neighborhood this project will cause as well as the precedent set by permitting a mid-block development of this nature and scope.	
5/10/2021 15:21:20	ANDREW L BROOKS	215 East 69th Street NV NV 100	androwbrookenye@gmail.com	In apposition to the application	On a separate note, I am curious as to whether the ball courts just East of JREC are considered part of St. Catherine's Park when	1:
5/10/2021 15:21:29	ANDREW L BROOKS	315 East 68th Street NY NY 100	, andrewbrooksnyc@gmaii.com		evaluating environmental impact. Those ball courts are definitely a neighborhood recreational space and should be considered as such. As a former resident of the area as well as a former board member of Community Board 8, I am strongly opposed to this intrusive and unnecessary construction, which requires a complete breach of long-established midblock zoning laws. These laws exist for very good reasons such as protection of light, air, and overall quality of life. In addition to the affected tenants residing in the area, the project would have a serious negative impact on the students of Julia Richman High School right across the street as well as the adjacent park. In addition to negative impact on light and air, this oversized project would subject students and teachers to dangerous pollutants, noise, and excessive traffic that will be much greater than if the project	11
					remains within current laws. Although the project contains the sympathetic word "blood" in its title, the additional floors have nothing to do with the Blood Center's charter. They will exist only to enrich the developers as I understand this project. Please vote to reject this project, which will cause	
5/10/2021 15:22:08		6320 Dieterle Cres, Rego Park I	_		extreme hardship and provide no benefits for the community. Thank you.	15
5/10/2021 17:12:49		409 E 74 STREET	robssilb@aol.com	In opposition to the application	The structure is too large for mid block development. There are very few neighborhood playgrounds. Please protect the sunlight at St Catherine's playground	11
5/10/2021 17:13:17 [5/10/2021 17:19:38 a		200 east 94 st, ny ny 10128	adeledesantis@aol.com		There are very few neighborhood playgrounds. Please protect the sunlight at St Catherine's playground Simply put, this does not belong in this community. It is disruptive of the local architecture and an eyesore to the community. We must preserve middle class housing in Manhattan.	1:
			_		I am strongly opposed to the Blood Bank Tower expansion project. The building proposed does not fit a residential neighborhood and will not be a benefit. This will only increase traffic and congestion in the neighborhood. It will ruin the park with shadows and will be a	
/10/2021 17:29:19	Alyson Gindi	333 east 66th st	Alygindi@gmail.com	In opposition to the application	detriment to the neighborhood. This massive Longfellow Tower is illegal with the current zoning – that should be enough to stop it right there - but we are still talking about it. Still fighting it. As the local community and residents have repeatedly said, the NYBC can build an "as of right" building that	1
					would meet their needs and enable them to continue to do the work they have done for so many years. The argument that they need to build this egregious tower here to do work with nearby hospitals has been thoroughly refuted - by letters from medical research takes place all around the world and it does not require facilities to be mere steps away. Further review of the Blood Center research takes place all around the world and it does not require facilities to be mere steps away. Further review of the Blood Center research that is posted on their website cites studies done primarily OUTSIDE of the five block radius that they say they must have. People have said that the NYBC is a good neighbor - in my view that is not true. In my opinion the NYBC along with Longfellow and Kramer Levin have been downright unneighborly. When have they reached out to the community? (And please do not count "friends" of St. Catherine's Park - they are no friend to that green space.) Have they consistently attending meetings of the CB8 to answer questions, hear the concerns of the residents who live in this residential community, have they agreed to do a shadow study on JREC (even though it is not required) as they were asked to do? I feel duped by them and this whole process. Clearly the fast push for this building is on some agenda - not sure why or how - but the voices of the people that will have to live with the park in shadows, the school in darkness, a nightlight of a building shining 24/7 for 30 blocks because the NYBC wants new offices built but with an extra 30 floors on top?? Can any financial arrangements be disclosed? Can anyone say if the building will even get rented? Are there any facts	
5/10/2021 17:39:00	Alison Bell	315 E. 68th St. Apt. 13K. NY N	alisondbell@gmail.com	In opposition to the application	that can be shared with the people that will have a tremendous, unwieldy, unacceptable commercial tower thrust into their neighborhood??	16
5/10/2021 17:40:31	Barbara Singer Zalkan	319 East 24 St., #3A	barbara@barbarasinger.com		I am opposed to Longfellow and The Blood Center's case because it violates the basic human needs and rights of men, women and children for light, clean air, and safety in this neighborhood and beyond. It is based on contempt for people not respect. It does not represent how New York City and America should be today and in future. It is based on the greed for profit of some powerful, selfish individuals. Deny it! The midblock height restrictions are the only rules preventing the total decimation of the character of the Upper East Side. This project	10
5/10/2021 17:49:23	Gail Kraushar	309 E. 87 St.	gbk309@gmail.com	In opposition to the application	will set a precedent of allowing taller buildings midblock, further overcrowding and overburdening our neighborhood, blocking out more natural light and bringing in ever more noise and pollution. There should be no exceptions allowed to this zoning law!	10
					This project does not meet zoning regulations for a mid-block building in our neighborhood and is really a speculative real estate transaction for which the blood center will get new premises with less space than they currently occupy. The shadows on the school and St. Catherine's Park, the increased traffic and workers create a development inappropriate for this location and detrimental to the	
5/10/2021 17:59:46	Judith Squire	315 E. 68th St., Apt 12-O New Y	judisquire@hotmail.com	In opposition to the application	neighborhood. There are other locations on the East Side more appropriate for this project. I understand that a new blood center is needed and that an office tower would help the organization financially. But over the 45 years that	10
5/10/2021 18:23:37	Dona Munker	166 East 61st St. (@ Third Ave.)	dmunker@earthlink.net	In opposition to the application	I've lived on the UES I've seen too many soulless glass office towers go up-I miss the sky in my neighborhood! So while I might not be opposed to a 10-story building, another 30+ story building is just too damned much for me! I am completely opposed to this project.	10
					I am completely opposed to this project. * This unnecessary and misconceived project does not merit destroying a sensible, necessary, vital zoning regulation. As it is, it is hard to find sun at street level after 2:00 or 3:00. We live more and more in unhealthy shadow. St. Catherine's Park is the only park within blocks. There are already larger and taller towers on many corners. One going up around the corner on 1st Ave. and 66th St.	
					* 67th Street is a narrow, busy block between 1st and 2nd Avenues - heavy car traffic, city buses, school buses, hospital vehicles. 2nd Avenue turns into gridlock during rush hour. The picture in Longfellows sketch of a wide, empty street is a fantasy drawing of what they want you to think it will look like. It couldn't be farther from reality. It is right on top of a high schoo!!! * I know people with PhD's who do research. They collaborate with people from all over the country, all over the world. Labs are not sending messengers back and forth with vials of blood or stacks of paper to carry out their research. * The Blood Bank executives did not even show up at the last CB8 meeting to discuss and defend this project. They know it is out of hand and a complete detriment to the community. I have to wonder why such drastic exceptions are being made and why this is being pushed forward - certainly not for any benefit to this	

			T.		
estamp Name	Address	Email Address		Comments on the application I think it absolutely insane that a large small blood bank with a a dangerous element be built in this location, towering over a playground that both my children grew up in. Not only is is an eye sore, it's next to a school and public playground and is dangerous for the	Sumbission Num
5/10/2021 18:29:35 A Grossman	400 East 67th street	alij@optonline.net	In opposition to the application	neighborhood. The proposal of this new building is a disaster to the area and to our neighborhood on so many levels. I am in full opposition to the	
				building of such a big tower in this area and find nothing positive that will come out of building such a monster building next door to us. I have been on east 66th st next to the blood center for over 30 years, have raised 2 children here & do not want to see the park, the schools and the building I live in suffer because of this proposed monstrosity of a building that has no business being built on the upper	
5/10/2021 18:55:55 Chantal Wittman 5/10/2021 18:56:48 Robert Wittman	301 East 66th St Apt 17K New 301 East 66th St Apt 17K	Y chanandbob@aol.com bobbywittman@gmail.com			
				The Blood Center needs to modernize and expand just like any other medical facility. Eventually the blood center will require even more than just 5 floors, further legitimizing the "many" added floors for tenants.	
				UES is home to Lenox Hill Hospital, a prestigious hospital as part of Northwell. The UES can handle and should welcome an updated and fully modernized medical facility, even if it is "mid-block." Where else can the blood center expand besides up?!? Should it expand indicated and the state of the state o	
				sideways instead and take away the park? Should it leave UES and take economic benefits to UES somewhere else instead? Yes. Keep blood center here. Allow blood center to expand. Allow blood center to make an even better economic impact on UES with the increased daytime jobs added to the community.	
				As a physician, I know very well the of the blood center to all local hospitals, during time of pandemic, before and after, too. Surgeries depend on blood. Cancer patients depend on blood. Trauma patients depend on blood. The list goes on.	
5/10/2021 19:42:48 Garrison Pease	404 E 76th St	garrisonpease@gmail.com		Sincerely, A physician living in UES	
5/10/2021 19:48:56 Elizabeth Weisser	345 East 69th.	eaw.in.nyc@gmail.com	In favor of the application	I think this building will be an excellent addition to our east side medically focused building. It will also be filled with people who will shop and eat in our area helping our businesses to stay strong. It will give people a reason to come uptown. There are lots of subway and bus access. Welcome to the neighborhood.	
5/10/2021 20:22:21 eileen slater	420 east 72 street	eslat420@aol.com	In opposition to the application	I am opposed to the construction. The blood bank can do with a 6 story building which will not over power the neighborhood.	
5/10/2021 21:05:49 Eric Moreno				Hi, I am Carmen Gregor. I am currently a sophomore at Talent Unlimited High School. I think that the construction of this huge building is	
				going to hurt the little kids and the rest of the students within the Julia Richman Complex. I think that this is wrong. In the summer there will no longer be any sun inside the park behind the school building. This means that in nice sunny weather the children will not be able to enjoy the sunshine. In the winter most of the days are dark and in a cloud. The sunny days are the only days the kids can go outside so	
5/10/2021 21:36:28 carmen Gregor	160 E 65th street Apt 14E	gregor.c.im@tuhs.nyc		by taking away the sun, we limit even more the days that they can go outside. From what I have seen in the drawings, plans and renditions of this development I think it would be positive for the neighborhood. This is	
				currently not a very nice block in terms of architecture and the nature of the buildings and this would be an improvement. Yes some shadows but I think the issues here are significantly overstated.	
				We can also use additional housing - even if market or higher end as we come back from Covid. It is important we continue to improve the area and develop new buildings that are visually pleasing, add to the tax base and improve the area.	
				Also, don't underestimate the positive tax impact. Our property taxes have been skyrocketing and increasing the number of apartments	
				and value will help broaden the base. In summary it is a good looking design, needed in the area, broadens the tax base and add improves over what is currently in the area.	
				I am in favor of the development and addition to our neighborhood.	
5/10/2021 23:38:31 Leonard Genovese	401 E74th Street Apt 2C, NY N	Vlanganavasa@vahoo com		thanks, Len	
5/11/2021 8:08:30 Katherine Post	168 East 74th St			Not right for this neighborhood	
				I strongly oppose any concessions or variances for the Blood Center's current over-development proposal. I appreciate that council-member Ben Kallos has consistently opposed neighborhood over-development and the powerful real estate	
				lobby. He was an UES champion for the new zoning to stop the supertalls and out of context structures. This is another example of a developer trying to get special concessions under the guise of a non-profit (similar to neighborhoods hospitals and schools), yet it is for	
				their pure profit. This proposed large development sits just south of a neighborhood park and will block the park's sun in the afternoon. This is what's happening in Central Park with the Billionaire's Supertall structures. The buildings cast long shadows in the park and have	
				reduced the temperatures. I would hope that you would deny this application and be respectful of the neighborhood community and its historic past. Please fight this over development and protect our community.	
				The massive out of context building will add to the destruction of the mid-blocks and the neighborhood's character. Not only will the kids who play in the park and neighboring resident suffer, but also the entire city will suffer the environmental consequences of another	
				massive building to benefit greedy developers. Regards,	
				Mark East 90th	
5/11/2021 8:23:29 Mark C Huggins	172 E. 90, 10128	himarkch@gmail.com	In opposition to the application	As a resident for over 40 years I am opposed to breaking the zoning laws that keep a neighborhood just that a residential neighborhood.	
5/11/2021 9:29:02 Linda Rizzuto	333 east 66th street	Lmr348@gmail.com		A wonderful new Blood Center structure (within zoning regulations) would be welcomed but a Monster Commercial Building that will devour our community is not OK. New York City schools have to fight for everything, even sunlight! Please prioritize schools, children, and community in this neighborhood,	
				NOT business interests. Please keep a small corner of Manhattan livable. Please vote NO to fundamentally changing the character of this neighborhood, to casting a huge shadow over the school and the park, to a huge increase of traffic in the neighborhood.	
				I have been a part of this community since my son started attending kindergarten at the Ella Baker School in 2017, back when the Blood	
5/11/2021 9:51:36 Ursula Eagly	3351 80th Street, Jackson Heig	it ursula eagly@gmail.com		Center was trying to force schools entirely out of the JREC complex and take it over for their needs. This neighborhood is NOT the place for a giant medical industrial complex. It is a place for families. It is a small corner of Manhattan that is still livable and people-sized. No thank you to high-rises, gentrification, and forcing people out.	
or medical colors of cold edgry	occi ocai occo, casicon riolg	. diodiciosgi, eginamooni	п орровном се ило арриванем	Approving the Blood Center's proposed expansion will have a devastating effect on the Upper East Side. If approved, other Upper East Side mid-block property owners will become highly incentivized to partner with life-science entities to seek additional zoning height	
5/11/2021 11:56:20 Ben Weintraub	136 East 76 Street	benweintraub@yahoo.com	In opposition to the application		
5/11/2021 12:31:44 olivia prikazsky	315 East 68th Street Apt 6H	olivia.prikazsky@gmail.com	In opposition to the application	everyone who lives, works and learns in the vicinity but it sets a dangerous precedent in our city. BIOHAZARDOUS LABS:	
				THE ACCIDENT WAITING TO HAPPEN	
				Though the Blood Center and its developer neglected to mention it in their initial application, it eventually came to light that its tower	
				would be accommodating laboratories classified as BSL-3- or, to put that in English, Biosafety Level 3.	
				As defined by both the CDC and the NIH, BSL-3 labs are high-containment labs that do on-site work involving highly contagious *agents with a known potential for aerosol transmissionagents that may cause serious and potentially lethal infections"	
				And just to restate that: Infectious and potentially lethal pathogens that might be released into neighborhood air and transmitted to anyone who happens to breathe.	
				Of labs such as this, a 2016 Department of Health paper (1) stated what seems to be fairly obvious: That "an accident in a New York City-based high containment research laboratory could have catastrophic consequences, given the population density in Manhattan"	
				And accidents do happen.	
				As the same paper notes, pathogens causing anthrax, ebola, avian flu, and meliodosis ("a severe disease of animals and humans")	
				have seconed from containment at other such labs	
				have escaped from containment at other such labs. There have also been some notable near-misses (a power failure during a lightning storm at a CDC lab that shut down the negative	
				·	
				There have also been some notable near-misses (a power failure during a lightning storm at a CDC lab that shut down the negative pressure system) and examples of astonishing human error (a U.S. Government lab that "unknowingly shipped live anthrax spores on	
				There have also been some notable near-misses (a power failure during a lightning storm at a CDC lab that shut down the negative pressure system) and examples of astonishing human error (a U.S. Government lab that "unknowingly shipped live anthrax spores on 575 separate occasions to laboratories worldwide in the course of a decade.") It is therefore unfathomable that the city would so blithely allow such a lab in immediate proximity (in fact, within yards) of thousands	
5/11/2021 12:37:05 Linda	Stewart	e-line@earthlink.net		There have also been some notable near-misses (a power failure during a lightning storm at a CDC lab that shut down the negative pressure system) and examples of astonishing human error (a U.S. Government lab that "unknowingly shipped live anthrax spores on 575 separate occasions to laboratories worldwide in the course of a decade.") It is therefore unfathomable that the city would so blithely allow such a lab in immediate proximity (in fact, within yards) of thousands upon thousands of neighborhood residents and additional thousands of children at the schools. This seems to be rank environmental malpractice. (1) New York City Department of Health memorandum, as attached to the February 22, 2021 letter from Borough President Gale Brewer.	
5/11/2021 12:37:05 Linda	Stewart	e-line@earthlink.net	In opposition to the application	There have also been some notable near-misses (a power failure during a lightning storm at a CDC lab that shut down the negative pressure system) and examples of astonishing human error (a U.S. Government lab that "unknowingly shipped live anthrax spores on 575 separate occasions to laboratories worldwide in the course of a decade.") It is therefore unfathomable that the city would so blithely allow such a lab in immediate proximity (in fact, within yards) of thousands upon thousands of neighborhood residents and additional thousands of children at the schools. This seems to be rank environmental malpractice. (1) New York City Department of Health memorandum, as attached to the February 22, 2021 letter from Borough President Gale Brewer. All facts asserted here are documented and carefully footnoted therein. As a member of this community, who grew up playing in St. Catherines Park and who is raising her son to play outside in our wonderful park as well, I can't possibly support any project that would remove the sunlight and life from our neighborhood. Additionally, I believe that	
	Stewart 401 East 74th Street	e-line@earthlink.net RSWinNYC@gmail.com	In opposition to the application	There have also been some notable near-misses (a power failure during a lightning storm at a CDC lab that shut down the negative pressure system) and examples of astonishing human error (a U.S. Government lab that "unknowingly shipped live anthrax spores on 575 separate occasions to laboratories worldwide in the course of a decade.") It is therefore unfathomable that the city would so blithely allow such a lab in immediate proximity (in fact, within yards) of thousands upon thousands of neighborhood residents and additional thousands of children at the schools. This seems to be rank environmental malpractice. (1) New York City Department of Health memorandum, as attached to the February 22, 2021 letter from Borough President Gale Brewer. All facts asserted here are documented and carefully footnoted therein. As a member of this community, who grew up playing in St. Catherines Park and who is raising her son to play outside in our wonderful park as well, I can't possibly support any project that would remove the sunlight and life from our neighborhood. Additionally, I believe that this rezoning would set a dangerous precedent for our neighborhood and the city at large, putting our precious outdoor space in danger of	
5/11/2021 12:37:05 Linda 5/11/2021 12:37:17 Rebecca Weintraub		_	In opposition to the application	There have also been some notable near-misses (a power failure during a lightning storm at a CDC lab that shut down the negative pressure system) and examples of astonishing human error (a U.S. Government lab that "unknowingly shipped live anthrax spores on 575 separate occasions to laboratories worldwide in the course of a decade.") It is therefore unfathomable that the city would so blithely allow such a lab in immediate proximity (in fact, within yards) of thousands upon thousands of neighborhood residents and additional thousands of children at the schools. This seems to be rank environmental malpractice. (1) New York City Department of Health memorandum, as attached to the February 22, 2021 letter from Borough President Gale Brewer. All facts asserted here are documented and carefully footnoted therein. As a member of this community, who grew up playing in St. Catherines Park and who is raising her son to play outside in our wonderful park as well, I can't possibly support any project that would remove the sunlight and life from our neighborhood. Additionally, I believe that this rezoning would set a dangerous precedent for our neighborhood and the city at large, putting our precious outdoor space in danger of extinction. Three major hospitals, one educational complex with schools, crosstown buses on 67th and 68th, ambulances every hour on those same streets, infrastructure that can't handle more people. These are just some of the reasons that this absurd building shouldn't be erected.	
5/11/2021 12:37:17 Rebecca Weintraub		_	In opposition to the application In opposition to the application	There have also been some notable near-misses (a power failure during a lightning storm at a CDC lab that shut down the negative pressure system) and examples of astonishing human error (a U.S. Government lab that "unknowingly shipped live anthrax spores on 575 separate occasions to laboratories worldwide in the course of a decade.") It is therefore unfathomable that the city would so blithely allow such a lab in immediate proximity (in fact, within yards) of thousands upon thousands of neighborhood residents and additional thousands of children at the schools. This seems to be rank environmental malpractice. (1) New York City Department of Health memorandum, as attached to the February 22, 2021 letter from Borough President Gale Brewer. All facts asserted here are documented and carefully footnoted therein. As a member of this community, who grew up playing in St. Catherines Park and who is raising her son to play outside in our wonderful park as well, I can't possibly support any project that would remove the sunlight and life from our neighborhood. Additionally, I believe that this rezoning would set a dangerous precedent for our neighborhood and the city at large, putting our precious outdoor space in danger of extinction. Three major hospitals, one educational complex with schools, crosstown buses on 67th and 68th, ambulances every hour on those same streets, infrastructure that can't handle more people. These are just some of the reasons that this absurd building shouldn't be erected. Please get this store to the media.	
5/11/2021 12:37:17 Rebecca Weintraub	401 East 74th Street	RSWinNYC@gmail.com	In opposition to the application In opposition to the application In opposition to the application	There have also been some notable near-misses (a power failure during a lightning storm at a CDC lab that shut down the negative pressure system) and examples of astonishing human error (a U.S. Government lab that "unknowingly shipped live anthrax spores on 575 separate occasions to laboratories worldwide in the course of a decade.") It is therefore unfathomable that the city would so blithely allow such a lab in immediate proximity (in fact, within yards) of thousands upon thousands of neighborhood residents and additional thousands of children at the schools. This seems to be rank environmental malpractice. (1) New York City Department of Health memorandum, as attached to the February 22, 2021 letter from Borough President Gale Brewer. All facts asserted here are documented and carefully footnoted therein. As a member of this community, who grew up playing in St. Catherines Park and who is raising her son to play outside in our wonderful park as well, I can't possibly support any project that would remove the sunlight and life from our neighborhood. Additionally, I believe that this rezoning would set a dangerous precedent for our neighborhood and the city at large, putting our precious outdoor space in danger of extinction. Three major hospitals, one educational complex with schools, crosstown buses on 67th and 68th, ambulances every hour on those same streets, infrastructure that can't handle more people. These are just some of the reasons that this absurd building shouldn't be erected. The main purpose of this building is for office space, not additional room for the blood bank.	
5/11/2021 12:37:17 Rebecca Weintraub 5/11/2021 12:38:40 Harriet Bell	401 East 74th Street 315 E. 68 Street, NY 10065	RSWinNYC@gmail.com harrietbell@verizon.net	In opposition to the application In opposition to the application In opposition to the application	There have also been some notable near-misses (a power failure during a lightning storm at a CDC lab that shut down the negative pressure system) and examples of astonishing human error (a U.S. Government lab that "unknowingly shipped live anthrax spores on 575 separate occasions to laboratories worldwide in the course of a decade.") It is therefore unfathomable that the city would so blithely allow such a lab in immediate proximity (in fact, within yards) of thousands upon thousands of neighborhood residents and additional thousands of children at the schools. This seems to be rank environmental malpractice. (1) New York City Department of Health memorandum, as attached to the February 22, 2021 letter from Borough President Gale Brewer. All facts asserted here are documented and carefully footnoted therein. As a member of this community, who grew up playing in St. Catherines Park and who is raising her son to play outside in our wonderful park as well, I can't possibly support any project that would remove the sunlight and life from our neighborhood. Additionally, I believe that this rezoning would set a dangerous precedent for our neighborhood and the city at large, putting our precious outdoor space in danger of extinction. Three major hospitals, one educational complex with schools, crosstown buses on 67th and 68th, ambulances every hour on those same streets, infrastructure that can't handle more people. These are just some of the reasons that this absurd building shouldn't be erected. The main purpose of this building is for office space, not additional room for the blood bank. Please get this store to the media. Clearly the developers have are interested only in filling their pockets with no regard to how this monstrosity will make living here unsafe. It will over stress already stressed bus and subway lines. The additional car and pedestrian traffic will impede ambulance response putting lives in jeopardy. St. Catherine's Park is already overflowing with hospital workers and school children. Again	
5/11/2021 12:37:17 Rebecca Weintraub 5/11/2021 12:38:40 Harriet Bell	401 East 74th Street	RSWinNYC@gmail.com harrietbell@verizon.net	In opposition to the application In opposition to the application In opposition to the application	There have also been some notable near-misses (a power failure during a lightning storm at a CDC lab that shut down the negative pressure system) and examples of astonishing human error (a U.S. Government lab that "unknowingly shipped live anthrax spores on 575 separate occasions to laboratories worldwide in the course of a decade.") It is therefore unfathomable that the city would so blithely allow such a lab in immediate proximity (in fact, within yards) of thousands upon thousands of neighborhood residents and additional thousands of children at the schools. This seems to be rank environmental malpractice. (1) New York City Department of Health memorandum, as attached to the February 22, 2021 letter from Borough President Gale Brewer. All facts asserted here are documented and carefully footnoted therein. As a member of this community, who grew up playing in St. Catherines Park and who is raising her son to play outside in our wonderful park as well, I can't possibly support any project that would remove the sunlight and life from our neighborhood. Additionally, I believe that this rezoning would set a dangerous precedent for our neighborhood and the city at large, putting our precious outdoor space in danger of extinction. Three major hospitals, one educational complex with schools, crosstown buses on 67th and 68th, ambulances every hour on those same streets, infrastructure that can't handle more people. These are just some of the reasons that this absurd building shouldn't be erected. The main purpose of this building is for office space, not additional room for the blood bank. Please get this store to the media. Clearly the developers have are interested only in filling their pockets with no regard to how this monstrosity will make living here unsafe. It will over stress already stressed bus and subway lines. The additional car and pedestrian traffic will impede ambulance response putting lives in jeopardy. St. Catherine's Park is already overflowing with hospital workers and school children. Agai	
5/11/2021 12:37:17 Rebecca Weintraub 5/11/2021 12:38:40 Harriet Bell	401 East 74th Street 315 E. 68 Street, NY 10065	RSWinNYC@gmail.com harrietbell@verizon.net	In opposition to the application	There have also been some notable near-misses (a power failure during a lightning storm at a CDC lab that shut down the negative pressure system) and examples of astonishing human error (a U.S. Government lab that "unknowingly shipped live anthrax spores on 575 separate occasions to laboratories worldwide in the course of a decade.") It is therefore unfathomable that the city would so blithely allow such a lab in immediate proximity (in fact, within yards) of thousands upon thousands of neighborhood residents and additional thousands of children at the schools. This seems to be rank environmental malpractice. (1) New York City Department of Health memorandum, as attached to the February 22, 2021 letter from Borough President Gale Brewer. All facts asserted here are documented and carefully footnoted therein. As a member of this community, who grew up playing in St. Catherines Park and who is raising her son to play outside in our wonderful park as well, I can't possibly support any project that would remove the sunlight and life from our neighborhood. Additionally, I believe that this rezoning would set a dangerous precedent for our neighborhood and the city at large, putting our precious outdoor space in danger of extinction. Three major hospitals, one educational complex with schools, crosstown buses on 67th and 68th, ambulances every hour on those same streets, infrastructure that can't handle more people. These are just some of the reasons that this absurd building shouldn't be erected. The main purpose of this building is for office space, not additional room for the blood bank. Please get this store to the media. Clearly the developers have are interested only in filling their pockets with no regard to how this monstrosity will make living here unsafe. It will over stress already stressed bus and subway lines. The additional car and pedestrian traffic will impede ambulance response putting lives in jeopardy. St. Catherine's Park is already overflowing with hospital workers and school children. Agai	
5/11/2021 12:37:17 Rebecca Weintraub 5/11/2021 12:38:40 Harriet Bell	401 East 74th Street 315 E. 68 Street, NY 10065	RSWinNYC@gmail.com harrietbell@verizon.net	In opposition to the application	There have also been some notable near-misses (a power failure during a lightning storm at a CDC lab that shut down the negative pressure system) and examples of astonishing human error (a U.S. Government lab that "unknowingly shipped live anthrax spores on 575 separate occasions to laboratories worldwide in the course of a decade.") It is therefore unfathomable that the city would so blithely allow such a lab in immediate proximity (in fact, within yards) of thousands upon thousands of neighborhood residents and additional thousands of children at the schools. This seems to be rank environmental malpractice. (1) New York City Department of Health memorandum, as attached to the February 22, 2021 letter from Borough President Gale Brewer. All facts asserted here are documented and carefully footnoted therein. As a member of this community, who grew up playing in St. Catherines Park and who is raising her son to play outside in our wonderful park as well, I can't possibly support any project that would remove the sunlight and life from our neighborhood. Additionally, I believe that this rezoning would set a dangerous precedent for our neighborhood and the city at large, putting our precious outdoor space in danger of extinction. Three major hospitals, one educational complex with schools, crosstown buses on 67th and 68th, ambulances every hour on those same streets, infrastructure that can't handle more people. These are just some of the reasons that this absurd building shouldn't be erected. The main purpose of this building is for office space, not additional room for the blood bank. Please get this store to the media. Clearly the developers have are interested only in filling their pockets with no regard to how this monstrosity will make living here unsafe. It will over stress already stressed bus and subway lines. The additional car and pedestrian traffic will impede ambulance response putting lives in jeopardy. St. Catherine's Park is already overflowing with hospital workers and school children. Again	
5/11/2021 12:37:17 Rebecca Weintraub 5/11/2021 12:38:40 Harriet Bell	401 East 74th Street 315 E. 68 Street, NY 10065	RSWinNYC@gmail.com harrietbell@verizon.net	In opposition to the application	There have also been some notable near-misses (a power failure during a lightning storm at a CDC lab that shut down the negative pressure system) and examples of astonishing human error (a U.S. Government lab that "unknowingly shipped live anthrax spores on 575 separate occasions to laboratories worldwide in the course of a decade.") It is therefore unfathomable that the city would so blithely allow such a lab in immediate proximity (in fact, within yards) of thousands upon thousands of neighborhood residents and additional thousands of children at the schools. This seems to be rank environmental malpractice. (1) New York City Department of Health memorandum, as attached to the February 22, 2021 letter from Borough President Gale Brewer. All facts asserted here are documented and carefully footnoted therein. As a member of this community, who grew up playing in St. Catherines Park and who is raising her son to play outside in our wonderful park as well, I can't possibly support any project that would remove the sunlight and life from our neighborhood. Additionally, I believe that this rezoning would set a dangerous precedent for our neighborhood and the city at large, putting our precious outdoor space in danger of extinction. Three major hospitals, one educational complex with schools, crosstown buses on 67th and 68th, ambulances every hour on those same streets, infrastructure that can't handle more people. These are just some of the reasons that this absurd building shouldn't be erected. The main purpose of this building is for office space, not additional room for the blood bank. Please get this store to the media. Clearly the developers have are interested only in filling their pockets with no regard to how this monstrosity will make living here unsafe. It will over stress already stressed bus and subway lines. The additional car and pedestrian traffic will impede ambulance response putting lives in jeopardy. St. Catherine's Park is already overflowing with hospital workers and school children. Agai	
5/11/2021 12:37:17 Rebecca Weintraub 5/11/2021 12:38:40 Harriet Bell	401 East 74th Street 315 E. 68 Street, NY 10065	RSWinNYC@gmail.com harrietbell@verizon.net	In opposition to the application	There have also been some notable near-misses (a power failure during a lightning storm at a CDC lab that shut down the negative pressure system) and examples of astonishing human error (a U.S. Government lab that "unknowingly shipped live anthrax spores on 575 separate occasions to laboratories worldwide in the course of a decade.") It is therefore unfathomable that the city would so blithely allow such a lab in immediate proximity (in fact, within yards) of thousands upon thousands of neighborhood residents and additional thousands of children at the schools. This seems to be rank environmental malpractice. (1) New York City Department of Health memorandum, as attached to the February 22, 2021 letter from Borough President Gale Brewer. All facts asserted here are documented and carefully footnoted therein. As a member of this community, who grew up playing in St. Catherines Park and who is raising her son to play outside in our wonderful park as well, I can't possibly support any project that would remove the sunlight and life from our neighborhood. Additionally, I believe that this rezoning would set a dangerous precedent for our neighborhood and the city at large, putting our precious outdoor space in danger of extinction. Three major hospitals, one educational complex with schools, crosstown buses on 67th and 68th, ambulances every hour on those same streets, infrastructure that can't handle more people. These are just some of the reasons that this absurd building shouldn't be erected. The main purpose of this building is for office space, not additional room for the blood bank. Please get this store to the media. Clearly the developers have are interested only in filling their pockets with no regard to how this monstrosity will make living here unsafe. It will over stress already stressed bus and subway lines. The additional car and pedestrian traffic will impede ambulance response putting lives in jeopardy. St. Catherine's Park is already overflowing with hospital workers and school children. Agai	
5/11/2021 12:37:17 Rebecca Weintraub 5/11/2021 12:38:40 Harriet Bell	401 East 74th Street 315 E. 68 Street, NY 10065	RSWinNYC@gmail.com harrietbell@verizon.net	In opposition to the application	There have also been some notable near-misses (a power failure during a lightning storm at a CDC lab that shut down the negative pressure system) and examples of astonishing human error (a U.S. Government lab that "unknowingly shipped live anthrax spores on 575 separate occasions to laboratories worldwide in the course of a decade.") It is therefore unfathomable that the city would so blithely allow such a lab in immediate proximity (in fact, within yards) of thousands upon thousands of neighborhood residents and additional thousands of children at the schools. This seems to be rank environmental malpractice. (1) New York City Department of Health memorandum, as attached to the February 22, 2021 letter from Borough President Gale Brewer. All facts asserted here are documented and carefully footnoted therein. As a member of this community, who grew up playing in St. Catherines Park and who is raising her son to play outside in our wonderful park as well, I can't possibly support any project that would remove the sunlight and life from our neighborhood. Additionally, I believe that this rezoning would set a dangerous precedent for our neighborhood and the city at large, putting our precious outdoor space in danger of extinction. Three major hospitals, one educational complex with schools, crosstown buses on 67th and 68th, ambulances every hour on those same streets, infrastructure that can't handle more people. These are just some of the reasons that this absurd building shouldn't be erected. The main purpose of this building is for office space, not additional room for the blood bank. Please get this store to the media. Clearly the developers have are interested only in filling their pockets with no regard to how this monstrosity will make living here unsafe. It will over stress already stressed bus and subway lines. The additional car and pedestrian traffic will impede ambulance response putting lives in jeopardy. St. Catherine's Park is already overflowing with hospital workers and school children. Agai	
5/11/2021 12:37:17 Rebecca Weintraub 5/11/2021 12:38:40 Harriet Bell	401 East 74th Street 315 E. 68 Street, NY 10065	RSWinNYC@gmail.com harrietbell@verizon.net	In opposition to the application	There have also been some notable near-misses (a power failure during a lightning storm at a CDC lab that shut down the negative pressure system) and examples of astonishing human error (a U.S. Government lab that "unknowingly shipped live anthrax spores on 575 separate occasions to laboratories worldwide in the course of a decade.") It is therefore unfathomable that the city would so blithely allow such a lab in immediate proximity (in fact, within yards) of thousands upon thousands of neighborhood residents and additional thousands of children at the schools. This seems to be rank environmental malpractice. (1) New York: City Department of Health memorandum, as attached to the February 22, 2021 letter from Borough President Gale Brewer. All facts asserted here are documented and carefully footnoted therein. As a member of this community, who grew up playing in St. Catherines Park and who is raising her son to play outside in our wonderful park as well, I can't possibly support any project that would remove the sunlight and life from our neighborhood. Additionally, I believe that this rezoning would set a dangerous precedent for our neighborhood and the city at large, putting our precious outdoor space in danger of extinction. Three major hospitals, one educational complex with schools, crosstown buses on 67th and 68th, ambulances every hour on those same streets, infrastructure that can't handle more people. These are just some of the reasons that this absurd building is for office space, not additional room for the blood bank. Please get this store to the media. Clearly the developers have are interested only in filling their pockets with no regard to how this monstrosity will make living here unsafe. It will over stress already stressed bus and subway lines. The additional car and pedestrian traffic will impede ambulance response putting lives in jeopardy. St. Catherine's Park is already overflowing with hospital workers and school children. Again, profits over people. The blood bank needing a few e	
5/11/2021 12:37:17 Rebecca Weintraub 5/11/2021 12:38:40 Harriet Bell	401 East 74th Street 315 E. 68 Street, NY 10065	RSWinNYC@gmail.com harrietbell@verizon.net	In opposition to the application	There have also been some notable near-misses (a power failure during a lightning storm at a CDC lab that shut down the negative pressure system) and examples of astonishing human error (a U.S. Government lab that "unknowingly shipped live anthrax spores on 575 separate occasions to laboratories worldwide in the course of a decade.") It is therefore unfathomable that the city would so bilthely allow such a lab in immediate proximity (in fact, within yards) of thousands upon thousands of neighborhood residents and additional thousands of children at the schools. This seems to be rank environmental malpractice. (1) New York City Department of Health memorandum, as attached to the February 22, 2021 letter from Borough President Gale Brewer. All facts asserted here are documented and carefully footnoted therein. As a member of this community, who grew up playing in St. Catherines Park and who is raising her son to play outside in our wonderful park as well, I can't possibly support any project that would remove the sunlight and life from our neighborhood. Additionally, I believe that this rezoning would set a dangerous precedent for our neighborhood and the city at large, putting our precious outdoor space in danger of extinction. Three major hospitals, one educational complex with schools, crosstown buses on 67th and 68th, ambulances every hour on those same streets, infrastructure that can't handle more people. These are just some of the reasons that this absurd building shouldn't be erected. The main purpose of this building is for office space, not additional room for the blood bank. Please get this store to the media. Clearly the developers have are interested only in filling their pockets with no regard to how this monstrosity will make living here unsafe. It will over stress already stressed bus and subway lines. The additional car and pedestrian traffic will impede ambulance response putting lives in jeopardy. St. Catherine's Park is already overflowing with hospital workers and school children. Agai	
5/11/2021 12:37:17 Rebecca Weintraub 5/11/2021 12:38:40 Harriet Bell	401 East 74th Street 315 E. 68 Street, NY 10065	RSWinNYC@gmail.com harrietbell@verizon.net	In opposition to the application	There have also been some notable near-misses (a power failure during a lightning storm at a CDC lab that shut down the negative pressure system) and examples of astonishing human error (a U.S. Government lab that "unknowingly shipped live anthrax spores on 575 separate occasions to laboratories worldwide in the course of a decade.") It is therefore unfathomable that the city would so blithely allow such a lab in immediate proximity (in fact, within yards) of thousands upon thousands of neighborhood residents and additional thousands of children at the schools. This seems to be rank environmental malpractice. (1) New York City Department of Health memorandum, as attached to the February 22, 2021 letter from Borough President Gale Brewer. All facts asserted here are documented and carefully footnoted therein. As a member of this community, who grew up playing in St. Catherines Park and who is raising her son to play outside in our wonderful park as well, I can't possibly support any project that would remove the sunlight and life from our neighborhood. Additionally, I believe that this rezoning would set a dangerous precedent for our neighborhood and the city at large, putting our precious outdoor space in danger of extinction. Three major hospitals, one educational complex with schools, crosstown buses on 67th and 68th, ambulances every hour on those same streets, infrastructure that can't handle more people. These are just some of the reasons that this absurd building shouldn't be erected. The main purpose of this building is for office space, not additional room for the blood bank. Please get this store to the media. Clearly the developers have are interested only in filling their pockets with no regard to how this monstrosity will make living here unsafe. It will over stress already stressed bus and subway lines. The additional car and pedestrian traffic will impede ambulance response putting lives in jeopardy. St. Catherine's Park is already overflowing with hospital workers and school children. Agai	
5/11/2021 12:37:17 Rebecca Weintraub 5/11/2021 12:38:40 Harriet Bell	401 East 74th Street 315 E. 68 Street, NY 10065	RSWinNYC@gmail.com harrietbell@verizon.net	In opposition to the application	There have also been some notable near-misses (a power failure during a lightning storm at a CDC lab that shut down the negative pressure system) and examples of astonishing human error (a U.S. Government lab that "unknowingly shipped live anthrax spores on 575 separate occasions to laboratories worldwide in the course of a decade.") It is therefore unfathomable that the city would so bilthely allow such a lab in immediate proximity (in fact, within yards) of thousands upon thousands of neighborhood residents and additional thousands of children at the schools. This seems to be rank environmental malpractice. (1) New York City Department of Health memorandum, as attached to the February 22, 2021 letter from Borough President Gale Brewer. All facts asserted here are documented and carefully footnoted therein. As a member of this community, who grew up playing in St. Catherines Park and who is raising her son to play outside in our wonderful park as well, I can't possibly support any project that would remove the sunlight and life from our neighborhood and the city at large, putting our precious outdoor space in danger of extinction. Three major hospitals, one educational complex with schools, crosstown buses on 67th and 68th, ambulances every hour on those same streets, infrastructure that can't handle more people. These are just some of the reasons that this absurd building shouldn't be erected. The main purpose of this building is for office space, not additional room for the blood bank. Please get this store to the media. Clearly the developers have are interested only in filling their pockets with no regard to how this monstrosity will make living here unsafe. It will over stress already stressed bus and subway lines. The additional car and pedestrian traffic will impede ambulance response putting lives in jeoporary. St. Catherines's Park is already overflowing with hospital workers and school children. Again is over people. The blood bank needing a few extra floors for the work is understandable. Bu	
5/11/2021 12:37:17 Rebecca Weintraub 5/11/2021 12:38:40 Harriet Bell	401 East 74th Street 315 E. 68 Street, NY 10065	RSWinNYC@gmail.com harrietbell@verizon.net	In opposition to the application	There have also been some notable near-misses (a power failure during a lightning storm at a CDC lab that shut down the negative pressure system) and examples of astonishing human error (a U.S. Government lab that "unknowingly shipped live anthrax spores on 575 separate occasions to laboratories worldwide in the course of a decade.") It is therefore unfathomable that the city would so bilthely allow such a lab in immediate proximity (in fact, within yards) of thousands upon thousands of neighborhood residents and additional thousands of children at the schools. This seems to be rank environmental malpractice. (1) New York City Department of Health memorandum, as attached to the February 22, 2021 letter from Borough President Gale Brewer. All facts asserted here are documented and carefully footnoted therein. As a member of this community, who grew up playing in St. Catherines Park and who is raising her son to play outside in our wonderful park as well, I can't possibly support any project that would remove the sunlight and life from our neighborhood park as well, I can't possibly support any project that would remove the sunlight and life from our neighborhood such citical to a discovery of the sunlike that can't handle more people. These are just some of the reasons that this absurd building shouldn't be erected. The main purpose of this building is for office space, not additional room for the blood bank. Please get this store to the media. Clearly the developers have are interested only in filling their pockets with no regard to how this monstrosity will make living here unsafe. It will over stress already stressed bus and subway lines. The additional car and pedestrian traffic will impede ambulance response putting lives in jeopardy. St. Catherines's Park is already overflowing with hospital workers and school children. Again, profits over people. The blood bank needing a few extra floors for the work is understandable. But that's not what this. This is greed and lack of humanity in the extreme. No	
5/11/2021 12:37:17 Rebecca Weintraub 5/11/2021 12:38:40 Harriet Bell 5/11/2021 12:53:13 Charles Allenson	401 East 74th Street 315 E. 68 Street, NY 10065	RSWinNYC@gmail.com harrietbell@verizon.net	In opposition to the application	There have also been some notable near-misses (a power failure during a lightning storm at a CDC lab that shut down the negative pressure system) and examples of astonishing human error (a U.S. Government lab that "unknowingly shipped live anthrax spores on 575 separate occasions to laboratories worldwide in the course of a decade.") It is therefore unfathomable that the city would so bilthely allow such a lab in immediate proximity (in fact, within yards) of thousands upon thousands of neighborhood residents and additional thousands of children at the schools. This seems to be rank environmental malpractice. (1) New York City Department of Health memorandum, as attached to the February 22, 2021 letter from Borough President Gale Brewer. All facts asserted here are documented and carefully footnoted therein. As a member of this community, who grew up playing in St. Catherines Park and who is raising her son to play outside in our wonderful park as well, I can't possibly support any project that would remove the sunlight and life from our neighborhood and the city at large, putting our precious outdoor space in danger of extinction. Three major hospitals, one educational complex with schools, crosstown buses on 67th and 68th, ambulances every hour on those same streets, infrastructure that can't handle more people. These are just some of the reasons that this absurd building shouldn't be erected. The main purpose of this building is for office space, not additional room for the blood bank. Please get this store to the media. Clearly the developers have are interested only in filling their pockets with no regard to how this monstrosity will make living here unsafe. It will over stress already stressed bus and subway lines. The additional car and pedestrian traffic will impede ambulance response putting lives in jeoporary. St. Catherines's Park is already overflowing with hospital workers and school children. Again is over people. The blood bank needing a few extra floors for the work is understandable. Bu	
5/11/2021 12:37:17 Rebecca Weintraub 5/11/2021 12:38:40 Harriet Bell	401 East 74th Street 315 E. 68 Street, NY 10065 315 E 68th St, New York, NY 10	RSWinNYC@gmail.com harrietbell@verizon.net	In opposition to the application	There have also been some notable near-misses (a power failure during a lightning storm at a CDC lab that shut down the negative pressure system) and examples of astonishing human error (a U.S. Government lab that "unknowingly shipped live anthrax spores on 575 separate occasions to laboratories wordwide in the course of a decade.") It is therefore unfathomable that the city would so blithely allow such a lab in immediate proximity (in fact, within yards) of thousands upon thousands of neighborhood residents and additional thousands of children at the schools. This seems to be rank environmental malpractice. (1) New York City Department of Health memorandum, as attached to the February 22, 2021 letter from Borough President Gale Brewer. All facts asserted here are documented and carefully footnoted therein. As a member of this community, who grew up playing in St. Catherines Park and who is raising her son to play outside in our wonderful park as well, I can't possibly support any project that would remove the sunlight and life from our neighborhood. Additionally, I believe that this rezoning would set a dangerous precedent for our neighborhood and the city at large, putting our precious outdoor space in danger of extinction. Three major hospitals, one educational complex with schools, crosstown buses on 67th and 68th, ambulances every hour on those same streets, infrastructure that can't handle more people. These are just some of the reasons that this absurd building shouln't be erected. The main purpose of this building is for office space, not additional room for the blood bank. Please get this store to the media. Clearly the developers have are interested only in filling their pockets with no regard to how this monstrosity will make living here unsafe. It will over stress already stressed bus and subway times. The additional car and pedestrian traffic will impede ambulance response putting lives in jeepardy. St. Catherines Park is already overflowing units. The additional car and pedestrian traffic w	

stamp	Name	Address	Email Address	Are you	In my capacity as President of 333 Tenants Corp., a 114-unit residential co-op building located at 333 East 69th Street, New York, I write this letter of opposition to the New York Blood Center's proposed rezoning and expansion.	Sumbission Number
					As you are aware, the proposal seeks to allow a 600,000 square foot commercial building in a residential midblock street. The proposed 334-foot-tall building is expected to have floor areas the size of the Empire State Building and would be 4.5 times taller than the 75-foot height limit allowed by the current R8B zoning—zoning that was designed to preserve access to light and air, especially considering that the location is directly across from six schools in the Julia Richman Educational Complex and St. Catherine's Park, one of the only open spaces in the neighborhood. This structure would diminish the light in the park during the afternoon that is essential to the health and safety of neighborhood children playing in the park. Inasmuch as this application would set precedent that is highly detrimental to mid-block R8B zoning, we must strongly oppose its	
					application. Finally, I note that the Blood Center would occupy just 35% of the proposed building space. Accordingly, the expanded space, as proposed, is not essential to the Blood Center's core mission which certainly could be adequately served by a much smaller "as of right"	
5/11/2021 13:28:01		333 East 69th Street		In opposition to the application	development.	18
5/11/2021 13:38:30 5/11/2021 13:43:36		301 East 66 Street #8P		In opposition to the application	How come Longfellow is having their application approved when they do not bother to show up to committee meetings. Unless they are	11
5/11/2021 13:43:36		355 East 72nd street apt 3J 326 E 78th St New York NY 100	Monettem97@gmail.com		present to show their case they should not be allowed to build MSK patients and employees rely on the park for a reprieve from hospital life. It wouldn't be the same without the sunshine. Please don't put up a tower that would ruin our park.	19
5/11/2021 14:15:08		301 E 66 ST	Akaye1@gmail.com		I will be speaking at the event to show my strong displeasure with the proposal to destroy the one playground this community has. I'm not opposed to NYBC upgrading and expanding its facility, including additional floor space, but I strongly object to their project proposal, made along with developer Longfellow, for a Life Science "hub" above the NYBC site.	19
					The granting of midblock rezoning in a residential area to allow for the 334 foot commercial tower sets an unfortunate precedent not only for our community but for all residential neighborhoods in the Upper East Side. The commercial labs will likely bring increased traffic and the height of the building will reduce natural light in the area.	
					Less than 1% of the UES land area is park and open space which is so precious to residents here and needs to be preserved. I have great concern that the very tall NYBC "hub" will cast a shadow on St. Catherine's Park for a good part of the afternoon when people, especially children, use the park. I'm also concerned about the adverse effect such a shadow will have on the trees and other vegetation in the park.	
5/11/2021 14:20:43	Esther Frederiksen	404 E. 66th St., apt 8B, NYC	efrederiksen1@gmail.com	In opposition to the application	I am surprised and disappointed that the up-until-now nonprofit NYBC has decided to go for profit with commercial partners in the "hub". Hello. I did write an earlier paragraph regarding this project and the rezoning of the mid-block and my opposition to permitting this tower to	1!
					flout the rules to be built. The re-zoning should not be allowed. Period. End of story. Yet, I have another issue In addition to the zoning laws I would like to also make known. This tower (if built) will also produce light pollution in a predominantly residential community. From the presentations by the developer we have learned that life science buildings, and the researchers and labs they contain, will require lighting in those labs to be continuous. That is a lot of light being thrown by a 33 story tower next to many, many small, medium and large apartment houses — a lot of light to be shrining 24/T. Has there been an impact study done now find wide those lights will travel - at one Community Board 8 meeting someone mentioned that it will be seen for 30 blocks. That's a lot of folks being affected by this one building and disrupting lots of tax paying citizens. A lot of fight. So, the plan by Longfellow - and I think I have this right - is to shade a park and school by day and light up the skies by night. Seems fair to do to a large number of hard-working students, teachers, seniors,	
5/11/2021 14:42:17	Alison Bell			In opposition to the application	families, kids, all citizens of a city that folks say "may never sleep" but hey they might actually want to!! Dear Community Board 8, You have requested public comment on the plan submitted for the expansion of the blood bank, which we object to or a number of	19
					reasons. First of all, the expansion would violate current zoning laws. It creates a host of new issues for the current neighborhood, including increased traffic on the southeast corner of 2nd Avenue and 67th Street, which is a disaster area by itself already. The plan would also increase the bumper to bumper east-west traffic along 67th Street that serves fire trucks, police vehicles, ambulances, Fox TV trucks plus a	
					bus line that links the east-west side of the City. The illustration showing the proposed front of the Blood Bank is misleading. It shows a wide 67th Street when it is really a single lane most of the time with cars parked on both sides of 67th Street. It recently took us nearly a half hour to drive from York Avenue to Third Avenue. The illustration also fails to show the entrance-exit plans for the building or the plans for waste management, garbage and fuel	
					delivery. The illustration showing the building as a whole is also misleading in that it doesn't show its linkage to the proposed Hunter College Medical School and its Nursing Building. Most worrisome, perhaps terrifying, is the plan for the blood center to advance its research into diseases such as Covid-19 and other infectious diseases. We do not deserve such a	
					program in such a dense area of Manhattan. The potential for a disaster of epic proportions is too great. Consider why Plum Island is located out at Montauk in Suffolk County. It's not because these diseases are a playground as some people wish you to believe. We have lived in this neighborhood for more than 40 years. We have witnessed the degradation of the quality of life in this area. The project as proposed will be controlled by a semi-governmental operation known as Hunter College. They are not a good neighbor. They never clear their sidewalks if it snows on a weekend, for example. They block the	
					streets with food trucks and vendors This project must not go forward. Respectfully, Bruce and Janetta Lee	
/11/2021 15:02:44	Bruce and Janetta Lee	115 East 67th Street, New York,	janettamlee@gmail.com	In opposition to the application	115 East 67th Street Tel: 212 861 2984 Tel: 212 861 2984	
					I am strongly opposed to the NY Blood Center's current proposal to build a 334-feet structure to replace its current structure with the "for-profit" real estate developer, Longfellow. My position is predicated on the significant impact that this proposed structure will have on our community as well as Julia Richmond Education Complex and Saint Catherine's Park. The current traffic congestion is fraught with danger as emergency vehicles (including ambulances, fire trucks, and police) are currently challenged to respond to many calls in a timely manner which place many of us at risk. We have already had 2 fires on 68th Street where I live in the past 4 years due to electrical fires. Having a 25 year in Risk Management, I don't view the New York Blood Center immune to this risk. Should an accident occur that necessitates immediate action at the New York Blood Center, timeliness will not be on their side and this is particular critical given the fact that they plan to have BSL-3 Labs as part of the proposed structure. Should such an event happen (which is plausible) the impact will be catastrophic for residents in this community due to the inability to quickly respond to the emergency.	
					The New York Blood Center has many options other than the one that is proposed. They can build an as-of-right structure which would give them more building space then currently proposed in a shorter time. They could also relocate to a premises in NYC or elsewhere that is more in keeping with a commercial tower. Unfortunately, the residents, students, and children that play in Saint Catherine's Park have no options other than to relocate. As elected officials ponder the loss of residents to NYC and the NY State to other locations in the country, they should think clearly that their decision is one of "Quality of Life" for Residents over Commercial interests. A vote in favor of this project is clearly a win for Commercial interests as this project will open up the door to other infractions of other mid-block zoning at the expense of the residents of the community not only here but other parts of the Upper East Side as well as Upper West Side.	
/11/2021 15:32:16	Daniel Goldhagen	333 East 68th Street, Apt 5F; N	dcg10065@nyc.rr.com	In opposition to the application	Thank you for your consideration. The block/ park will become heavily shaded. I choose to move to this block/ apartment because of the great natural light and city views it	1
/11/2021 15:32:50	meghan coyne	301 E 66th st #7N, New york, N	Y 10065	In opposition to the application		1
5/11/2021 15:48:56		188 East 64 St., Apt.2704	ruthkilstein@verizon.net		the sun from the nearby park that's such a haven for children and people of all ages. There is NO NEED for the proposed zoning change! I am TOTALLY opposed to the proposed structure when there are excellent options	1:
5/11/2021 15:49:24	Kate Sheahan	399 E. 72nd Street	ksheahan710@gmail.com	In opposition to the application	to improve the blood bank facility without ruining this neighborhood!!	1:
					To Whom it May Concern: We are Julie McMahon and John Sorensen, residents in apartment building 301 East 66th Street. We are writing to you in opposition to the proposed expansion of the NY Blood Center for the following reasons:	
					This is a residential neighborhood. This building is not a mid-block building; rather it is a mid-town building. Adding 3000 daily employees would change the nature of this lovely section of town.	
					2. As an employe of Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center and the increase traffic will make it more difficult to navigate the street, creating a dangerous commute back and forth to work. We already have a firehouse, police department, Hunter College and multiple hospitals in our neighborhood. We do not need larger buildings!!! The area is dense enough as it is.	
					3. East 66th Street, already suffers from the smells and dangers of the current Blood Center waste removal and now fears a catastrophic increase in waste products will render this street and the general area dangerous and virtually unlivable.	
					4. The proposed Tower is projected to take more than 4 years to build, requiring the Blood Center to operate for many years from another site, demonstrating that any claims the Blood Center desperately needs expanded space are false and misleading.	
					5. The proposed tower lights that will be illuminated 24 hours per day is not acceptable in a residential neighborhood.	
					The noise from the mechanical floors will effect my husband's work-from-home comfort/environment. We love our apartment for the abundance of sunlight, and the lack of sunlight from the additional floors will depreciate the value of our	
					apartment. 8. Finally, there is absolutely no benefit to be found from this Tower for anyone who lives on the UES. The only beneficiaries are the developers, the Blood Center and, unless any one is unaware, the mayor.	
/11/2021 15:40:37	Julie McMahon Ilohn Sorencon	301 East 66th Street NV NV 04	impmcmahon@mac.com	In opposition to the application	Sincerely, Julie McMahon John Sorensen	2
(11/2021 15:49:37 Julie McMahon, John Sorense	ONGINE	. 237. 220. Odd Greet, N1, N1. Ut	,panonemas.com	эррээлий о ше арриолий	If the Blood Bank presently has all the needed space for its use, why asking for more just to turn it into rentals!? As we look around, several blocks on 1st Avenue are being razed, no doubt for residential buildings while large empty spaces on Second Avenue beg for rentals. This neighborhood has preserved what it is supposed to be : a neighborhood, which gives it its character of next-door -small community to its residents. Small shops are being patronized daily in lieu of mammoth galleries. Hotels in the neighborhoods are offering	
					special promotions to fill their empty rooms. Other residential and office buildings around advertise spaces for rents. Our own apartments, without doubt have lost value with the Covid-19 pandemic and the exodus of UES families to their Summer residences! It	
:/4//2024 45 55 55	VIM II TURT	201 E 60th 01 A-1 05	Vimburt@cal	In opposition to the control of	seems shortsighted, reckless and totally against all reason and logic to want to add more living quarters to rent out and high floors to a street that had succeeded for all those years to maintain a cozy ambiance while squeezed between several Avenues of high intensity traffic. Is it for additional space just to rake in rent money that the Blood Center seeks to impose its selfish, frivolous cupidity on the rest of hundred thousands of in-residence occupants?!?Let's leave room for air and sun when climate is finally being studied and modified, with third behit addited when fore any unpresence remaider. Thouk we'll	_
5/11/2021 15:52:28	KIM H HURT	301 E, 66th St Apt 2F	Kimhurt@aol.com	In opposition to the application	street that had succeeded for all those years to maintain a cozy ambiance while squeezed between several Avenues of high intensity traffic. Is it for additional space just to rake in rent money that the Blood Center seeks to impose its selfish, frivolous cupidity on the rest of	21
5/11/2021 15:52:28	KIM H HURT	301 E, 66th St Apt 2F	Kimhurt@aol.com	In opposition to the application	street that had succeeded for all those years to maintain a cozy ambiance while squeezed between several Avenues of high intensity traffic. Is it for additional space just to rake in rent money that the Blood Center seeks to impose its selfish, frivolous cupidity on the rest of hundred thousands of in-residence occupants???Let's leave room for air and sun when climate is finally being studied and modified, with living habits adjusted away from any unnecessary crowding Thank you My name is Antoinette Gregg. I am the Communication Manager for The Knowledge House. We are a nonprofit organization that focuses on expanding employment opportunities and access to the tech field for high school students and young adults across the city. Since 2014, we've served over 1,750 students. We see the Blood Center's proposal to expand its facility not just as a project that positions New York City as a leading life science hub, or generates thousands of new jobs but, as a major workforce development opportunity for young people interested in the STEM fields like those we serve. The Blood Center's Center East proposal would double the Blood Center's capacity for research and blood collection at a time when our city desperately needs space for both, but it would also enable the Blood Center form more workforce partnerships with organizations like ours that create access to high quality jobs for our students, helping	20
5/11/2021 15:52:28 5/11/2021 17:15:10		301 E, 66th St Apt 2F	Kimhurt@aol.com agregg@theknowledgehouse.com		street that had succeeded for all those years to maintain a cozy ambiance while squeezed between several Avenues of high intensity traffic. Is it for additional space just to rake in rent money that the Blood Center seeks to impose its selfish, frivolous cupidity on the rest of hundred thousands of in-residence occupants???let's leave room for air and sun when climate is finally being studied and modified, with living habits adjusted away from any unnecessary crowding Thank you My name is Antoinette Gregg. I am the Communication Manager for The Knowledge House. We are a nonprofit organization that focuses on expanding employment opportunities and access to the tech field for high school students and young adults across the city. Since 2014, we've served over 1,750 students. We see the Blood Center's proposal to expand its facility not just as a project that positions New York City as a leading life science hub, or generates thousands of new jobs but, as a major workforce development opportunity for young people interested in the STEM fields like those we serve. The Blood Center's Center East proposal would double the Blood Center form more workforce partnerships with organizations like ours that create access to high quality jobs for our students, helping bring more diverse representation to the professional tech and science communities in New York City. Having access to a diverse field of talent is essential for all successful industries. Students and young professionals from diverse racial and socioeconomic backgrounds will play a pivotal role in the future development of the STEM fields — and a campus like Center East provides essential space for nutruring his talent. The Blood Center's proposal as our students career-building opportunities in a state-of-the-art facility with world-class practitioners, strengthen our city's STEM workforce, and help to alleviate the inequality of opportunity that currently exists for far too many young people in our city's STEM workforce, and help to alleviate the in	20

Timestamp 1	Name	Address	Email Address	Are you	Comments on the application The New York Blood Center is a premier scientific institution that saves and improves lives every day. While working in 'archaic' conditions, its scientists refine treatments for everything from leukemia to COVID-19, and serve as leaders in medicine on a local, national and global level. They forge new paths and make discoveries while working in labs that date back decades. Imagine the progress they might make if allowed to work in state-of-the art facilities. We in the community should be proud that the Blood Center wants to stay and grow right here on the UES. We should support the institution and work with it in a collegial and accommodating manner, not an adversarial one. While I ENTIRELY understand the zoning issues involved—and indeed support this zoning for other construction—this situation is an exception. Yes, it would set a precedent, 'but not all precedents need to be followed. The ULURP applications of future projects would need to be reviewed —and not 'rubber stamped' simply because an exception was granted to one of this City's top	Sumbission Number
5/11/2021 17:51:20	Jennifer Ratner	525 E 86th St New York, NY 10	jir2705@gmail.com	In favor of the application	institutions. This proposal will have only serious and lasting harmful effects on an already busy neighborhood that is lacking open space. There are no	204
5/11/2021 18:10:11	Orah Massarsky	225 east 86 street	orahmont@yahoo.com	In opposition to the application	gains other than the income for the Blood Center from the commercial space. The arguments that there is a need for this space for the effective functioning of the Blood Center are laughably absurd and highlight the hypocrisy and speciousness of this proposal. This unnecessary structure is an attempt to create a precedent for high rise mid-block zoning which should be defeated.	205
5/11/2021 18:10:54	Stacey Froelich	363 East 76th Street, 11C New	sjf@compass.com	In opposition to the application	Mid block zoning should not be allowed. This will set a horrible precedent for the neighborhood. This project in a congested area near the 59th Street Bridge and NY/Cornell Presbyterian is totally unnecessary and detrimental to the	206
5/11/2021 18:13:53 H	Katherine Posner	75 East End Avenue, NYC 1002	kposner@condonlaw.com	In opposition to the application		207
					can't be justified, especially with so much commercial space vacant now. The Blood Bank should be asked to revisit it's needs with its place in our neighborhood. As proposed, it will hover over a school supported by the community and will deprive our park and	
5/11/2021 18:18:05 F 5/11/2021 18:24:15 N		315 East 68th Street 301 e 66th St, Apt 11D	Pollymccaffrey@aol.com mdavid584@gmail.com	In opposition to the application In opposition to the application	neighborhood of light. Approving this project opens up our residential community to "the sky's the limit", develop as you please. STOP IT NOW. 66th and 67th streets cannot sustain a building of this size and capacity.	208
3/11/2021 10:24:13 1	Wattiew David	301 e dour St, Apt 11D	mavid30+@gmail.com	in opposition to the application	The NY Blood Bank's proposed mid block 67th Street building is so out of scale for our neighborhood, it can't possibly be approved. It can't be justified, especially with so much commercial space vacant now. The Blood Bank should be asked to revisit it's needs with its	203
,,,,,,					place in our neighborhood. As proposed, it will hover over a school supported by the community and will deprive our park and neighborhood of light. Approving this project opens up our residential community to "the sky's the limit", develop as you please. STOP IT	
5/11/2021 18:25:37 F 5/11/2021 18:36:15 F		315 East 68th Street	Pollymccaffrey@aol.com	In opposition to the application In opposition to the application	NOW. I do not agree with the size of this project	210
5/11/2021 18:39:55	Michael Posner	75 East End Avenue New York	nycposner@aol.com	In opposition to the application	None, right now	212
5/11/2021 19:21:03 /	Andrea Lee Diamond	321 East 66 Street	Andrealee10021@yahoo.com	In opposition to the application	No light or air for 66Street block between First and Second Aves. Non-profits control a lot of the remaining desirable developable plots in Manhattan, therefore it's no surprise profit-driven developers are making proposals to co-develop large buildings on such lots where the non-profit gets benefits of new space. These developers seek to build the biggest/tallest building possible and push the non-profit to ask for excessive variances for the purpose of adding more floors where the highest floors above neighboring buildings sell for super-premium prices. The community board should not approve variances that wouldn't otherwise be available to private developers just because a non-profit is involved. The economics of the added top floors is so profitable, that the developers lie about the need/purpose and compromise the integrity of everyone. There is no justification to make exceptions in spite of the fact that non-profits are benefiting. If variances are given, there is no end to what will be requested. The	213
5/11/2021 19:24:03	Tom Blum	111 east 85th St.	tblum@yahoo.com	In opposition to the application	developers expect some reduction from the request, but they still win. In my opinion these projects should not provide these profit-driven variances that are hidden behind a facade of a non-profit.	214
5/11/2021 19:54:25	-	315 E. 65th Street Apt. 7B ny ny	-	In opposition to the application	The scale and scope of the project gives me great concern especially since it is mid-block. It will negatively impact st. Catherine's and 66th street and doesn't seem appropriate to put what is essentially an office building in a residential neighborhood.	215
5/11/2021 20:12:52 F	Roberta Troilo	1060 Park Avenue New York, N	rrrollo14d@gmail.com	In opposition to the application	There is already an overcrowding of high rise buildings in this area. A monster office building does not belong on a residential upper East side block across from a school, school yard, and playground. The building does	216
					tower will create shade on the school property and playground. The biotech companies Longfellow plans to occupy this tower will create dangerous waste on a residential street. Blood Bank - build your modern facility as a 5 story building - we value your work.	
5/11/2021 20:13:33		333 ESt 66th Street	Gtavelman@nyc.rr.com		A biotech office building does not belong on this street in this family friendly neighborhood. I am not against supporting the development of life sciences, but I am completely against doing so in a residential community!! This will	21
5/11/2021 20:19:13 F	Penelope Auchincloss	315 East 68th Street, NY, NY 10	penelopenoble@gmail.com	In opposition to the application	affect everyone in the neighborhood. This building cannot, and should not, be built here. I vehemently oppose the proposed building of this new blood center. It will create tremendous congestion at an already congested area	218
5/11/2021 20:44:42 F	Rhonda Friedman	215 East 68th St, Apt. 31 B New	rhondafriedman12@gmail.com	In opposition to the application	and shade the beautiful park across the street. There should not be such an extremely large building mid-block. There is no reason to have a blood center so tall. It will destroy the integrity of the neighborhood. This is the only park in the neighborhood and it will be ruined, as will the school and library on the block as well.	219
	-	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	I beg you not to build such an extremely high building mid-block, near a school, library and children's playground. This is the only public playground in the neighborhood and it will be ruined with the shadows from such an extremely high building. The construction will be	
5/11/2021 20:52:59	Jeffrey Friedman	215 East 68th St, Apt. 31 B, Ne	jpf1227@gmail.com	In opposition to the application	extremely disruptive and create more traffic in an already congested area. There is no need to have a blood center be so tall. This type of unnecessary, ridiculous tall mid-block building will ruin the neighborhood.	220
5/11/2021 21:02:38	Jenna Becker	401 East 60th Street, Apt 7K, No	jenna.d.becker@gmail.com	In opposition to the application	My daughter goes every day to the playground across the street as well as all her friends and it will be covered in shade and destroy the one place the kids in the neighborhood can play. I am very opposed to the Blood Banks facility in this location. It is already a crowded area with the crosstown buses on 67th street.	22
5/11/2021 21:24:15 [Diana Murray	501 East 79th Street Apt. 17A	acddm@aol.com	In opposition to the application	Crosstown traffic on 66th street is already congested and one can only imagine the effect this facility would have with construction and the physical danger of the toxic work in the lab. The enormous shadow cast by this proposal would darken the park and deprive this residential community of a place where children can play and residents can relax and enjoy the sun and the outdoors. The quality of life and people must come before what seems to be a real estate grab.	22:
		,			Although I believe it would be good to have a blood center, I seriously think the location on Park Avenue is totally wrong for the neighborhood. Lenox Hill has acquired a lot of real estate in the area, and should use it for the blood center. I am completely opposed to	
5/11/2021 22:13:49 E	Brigitte Osborne	876 Park Avenue	bmio51@aol.com	In opposition to the application	this project on Park Avenue. The building will only have detrimental effects to the surrounding neighborhood including significant increased traffic nose and congestion	223
5/11/2021 22:28:42	Jenna Fidellow	301 East 66th Street	Jfid16@gmail.com	In opposition to the application	affecting the schools, residential and businesses there. Additionally, the proposed building height and non-blood bank square footage is unnecessary and the proposal is being presented in a deceiving way for commercial gain for the developer, not for the greater good of the actual Blood Center needs.	224
5/11/2021 23:01:01 F		876 Park Avenue	rjjosborne@aol.com	In opposition to the application	Totally opposed to this project in our residential area. We have paid top \$ to belong to this neighborhood. Thank you for respecting and keeping this area completely residential.	225
				.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	As a senior citizen I often use St Catherine Park to sit outdoors and get some sunshine. The proposed tall building would block much of the sunlight and deprive senior citizens of a comfortable place to sit. The park is also used by children in the playground and local	
5/11/2021 23:44:23 F	Frances Stillman	165 East 66 Street, NY NY 1006	Fstill66@aol.com	In opposition to the application	workers, all of whom would lose the benefit of a sunny day at the park. Tall buildings should be kept in avenues and not be built mid block, as the zoning rightly says. Additional noise and congestion will also make the park and the school across the street unpleasant places to be	226
5/12/2021 1:29:08 H	Kim	359 East 68 st NY NY 10065	ruth	In opposition to the application	Its already crowded, only open space with natural light is by St. Catherine's. There's enough buildings surrounding this area. Oppose any further large complexes coming in that jeopardizes the natural light into st. Catherine's.	227
					Over the forty-plus years that I have lived on the UES, I have watched with dismay as the local medical facilities have expanded their collective footprint. Residential Yorkville has become increasingly populated by institutional buildings, to the detriment of its residents. Some of these facilities have been very inconsiderate neighbors, the source of street-clogging traffic by day and uncontrolled light pollution by night. The most appalling among them exist in their current forms only because, by dint of their status as non-profits or "community facilities" or just plain political connections, they were built much larger than zoning rules should have allowed.	
					The zoning rules exist for a reason. They determine the scale, the density, the amount of light and air that a given zoning district will have. They determine what uses - residential or commercial - will be allowed. And among other things, they decree that building height relates to street width: tall buildings on the avenues, short buildings on the narrower cross streets; also that commerce will be on the avenues, and residences on the cross streets.	
					The NYBC application stands out as particularly egregious. The requested zoning change is not only a matter of scale, but also of use: from residential to commercial, in mid-block. The Blood Center only needs five floors of space. The remainder, controlled and marketed by the developer as a "Life Sciences Hub," comes across as a case of form searching for function. The applicants' claim that biotech firms will benefit from physical proximity to one another does not hold water when you consider that these firms already collaborate globally via the web. Nor have any tenants other than the Blood Center committed to the project. In summary, what we have here is a request for a zoning change to build a massively overscaled commercial tower in a residential neighborhood ON SPEC! If this isn't an easy no, what is?	
					We need to say NO to overdevelopment if we are to retain the integrity of our residential neighborhoods. NO to powerful institutions, NO to developers with dollar signs in their eyes, NO to city agencies that would roll over for them. Respect the zoning. Reject this application.	
5/12/2021 2:11:43	Kathleen Sullivan	401 E. 74th Street	kathleenosullivan@gmail.com	In opposition to the application	Let the Blood Center build as-of-right if it wants to build on its present site. Blood Center tower represents a commercial real estate development weakly masked behind a supposed not-for-profit entity. As proposed, it is a blantant and dangerous abuse of long-standing and critical zoning rules. It appears to financially benefit developers, executives, politicians and lawyers and would do little for the community, other than increase congestion in a relatively narrow corridor. The project would set an outrageous and unacceptable precedent and must NOT go forward.	228
					Jack Riordan Past President	
5/12/2021 4:18:42	Jack Riordan	71 East 77th Street Apt 2-C	jackriordan@yahoo.com	In opposition to the application	71 East 77th Street cooperative The application is disingenuous. The Blood Center's claim that proximity is a requisite for life science firms to thrive is disproven by their	229
		200 5 4 5 2 1 5			own history of collaborations. Months ago, DeBlasio intimated the zoning change, throwing his support to Longfellow which is represented by Kramer Levin, a law firm to which he is indebted, with no consideration for the neighborhood involved. The building as proposed would be egregiously out of context and its shadow would devalue the park - an irreplaceable neighborhood resource. Life Science firms receive tax incentives to develop in New York. There is no exigent reason for Longfellow to receive additional special treatment especially when there are many other buildings/sites in the city that could benefit from redevelopment. The Blood Center has no reason beyond easy funding to build other than as of right. While I value the Blood Center's work (and support it as a blood donor!), I oppose allowing a variance that is counterproductive to the long-term health of the neighborhood and exploits the community merely for the short-term profit	
5/12/2021 4:46:29 [periise noguet	209 East 66th Street New York	четивенодиет@yanoo.com	In opposition to the application	of a few. I don't feel this is the right place for it. With so many vacancies in the city, I feel there is a better spot. This is a neighborhood with many children. We don't need the extra volume of people commuting here. It would be better placed in midtown where there is more volume	23
5/12/2021 7:15:37	Tova Itzkovitz		tovitz22@aol.com	In opposition to the application	and businesses. While the Blood Center is an asset to this community, this proposal is not acceptable due to potential safety issues and the negative	23
5/12/2021 7:25:17 \$ 5/12/2021 8:06:12 (Steven and Jennifer Greenblatt Gianna Mincone	420 east 72 Street 201 E 61st St	gmm011@bucknell.edu	In opposition to the application In opposition to the application	impact on the quality of life on the Upper East Side. Thousands of people are fleeing New York City, this will drive more away. Let's maintain our residential neighborhoods. Don't ruin my lovely neighborhood The New York Blood Center and Longfellow's proposal is an egregious request for corporate welfare at the expense of the community.	23.
					Their proposal, which attempts to gain valuable air rights where none currently exist, attempts to create, in the words of the 1985 Dire Straits hit, "money for nothing." Clearly, this 334 foot, 30-story equivalent building will negatively impact the neighborhood—disrupting sunlight, increasing traffic flow, building a dangerous biolab, and endangering R8B contextual zoning going forward. But to make matters worse, Longfellow and the Blood Center want to get PAID to do so. They are asking for free air rights from which they will profit (clearly, the Blood Center is either getting free office space or a percentage of the building's future rents), yet are not attempting to compensate those whose lives they will negatively impact. And there's a reason for this: if you add up the tens, if not hundreds, of millions of dollars of what economists call negative externalities, the project would no longer be economically viable. The only reason that the project currently works on Longfellow's spreadsheets is that, like a 1950s factory spewing poison into the air and water, they do not have to factor in the proposal's deleterious effects. If they did, this project never would have gotten off the drawing	
5/12/2021 9:12:55	Keith Gudhus	301 E. 69th Street Apt 19C	kgudhus@gmail.com	In opposition to the application	board. This building would clog our streets, block our light and destroy the character of the neighborhood. It can be constructed elsewhere.	23
5/12/2021 9:33:01 \	Virginia Gerst	315 E. 68th Street	virginiagerst@yahoo.com	In opposition to the application	This building would clog our streets, block our light and destroy the character of the neighborhood. It can be constructed elsewhere. There is no legitimate reason for it to be here. The illegitimate reason is financial gain for a Canadian developer and the Blood Bank. It must not be allowed.	23
5/12/2021 9:34:46		315 E. 68th Street	garygerst@gmail.com	In opposition to the application	Denying this application seems like a no-brainer. There is nothing to be gained by this building except money for the developer and the Blood Bank. The Blood Bank's excuses for choosing the site are bogus. DO NOT allow this permit to proceed.	23
					I am totally against this application. Our neighborhood is too congested and this would only increase a horrific traffic issues. In addition it will block natural sunlight from St. Catherine's Park and from the very limited open air spaces in the neighborhood. With all the "empty" office buildings in Manhattan from COVID-19-the applicant should either buy an empty building or rent-I am sure they can get a good deal	
5/12/2021 9:44:37	eileen lyons	315 east 65th street apt. 6H Ne	eileen.lyons@verizon.net	In opposition to the application	Eileen Lyons This project poses multiple, serious dangers to the neighborhood. There is absolutely no way to accommodate any more traffic or road blockage on 66th or 67th Streets. Ambulances cannot pass through those streets now, so this project will put many more lives in danger. The congestion, noise, and pollution will be hazardous to adults and children living in and visiting the neighborhood. It's outrageous that	237
5/12/2021 9:45:02	Cathy Wallach	404 East 66th Street, New York	cwallach@gmail.com	In opposition to the application	the city would even consider such a proposal and put so much at risk! Most of the proposed new building will provide financial benefits to people who do not live here and will not enhance the services of our blood bank.	238

Timestamp	Name	Address	Email Address	Are you	Comments on the application	Sumbission Number
					I am a founding member of the Committee to Protect Our Lenox Hill Neighborhood, a group formed to protect and promote the unique residential nature and historical character of our neighborhood in New York City. For more than two years, we have been opposing the outrageous proposal by Northwell Health to redevelop Lenox Hill Hospital by building the second tallest hospital in the world in our residential neighborhood. That Northwell proposal seeks to expand the existing hospital by surpassing the existing zoning limits by more	
					Itesucerital inegrophic of the August 2018 of the Month o	
					The Northwell site at Lenox Hill is just ten blocks from the New York Blood Center site on East 67th Street. If both of these projects were to be built as they are proposed in the midst of our residential East Side neighborhood, it would be a devastating signal of the future of	
					residential life in New York City during the 21st Century. While the Lenox Hill project is far different from the proposed Blood Center project, both share some common egregious traits. Both are	
					proceeding despite the vehement objections of the neighborhood residents and their Community Board. In our case, CB8 voted 38 to 3 against the wholesale zoning changes being sought by Northwell. We have collected over 1800 signatures from the neighborhood on our petition to force the project back to the drawing board. We have alerted the media and received extensive coverage for our fact-based opposition to the project: that this expansion is not needed to serve the health care needs of New Yorkers; that a massive in-patient care	
					facility is contrary to all trends in the delivery of medical care in the 21st Century; and the size of this facility cannot be supported by the local infrastructure—our streets are not wide enough, the truck and ambulance traffic is overwhelming, our subway station is already overcrowded, there is no parking, and many other factors. Northwell's massive Lenox Hill project is projected to take up to a decade to	
					complete, during which time the pollution, noise and traffic disruptions would ruin our landmarked neighborhood. What is true in both cases is that large sponsors, with expensive lobbyists and outside political support, are trying to bulldoze zoning	
					regulations and ignore local quality of life issues in favor of their own economic benefit and prestige. It is not accidental that DeBlasio is speaking so strongly in favor of the Blood Bank commercial project despite being a lame duck as Mayor. His debt to real estate developers is greater than his respect for his voters, whom he no longer needs. Allowing these projects to go ahead as proposed will destroy a part of New York City the zoning code was meant to protect.	
					We want to be clear—we are not against development. On the contrary, we would support projects which responsibly improve our neighborhood, and favor residents as well as the workers and people who come to the neighborhood to use the facilities. Development	
					needs to consider the neighborhood and the context. Northwell, not for one moment, has considered the impact on its neighbors. Yet one block away on Lexington and East 78th Street, a developer is constructing a new residential building designed by Robert A.M. Stern that is a first-class residential building of reasonable height with setbacks to allow light on the streets. It will have the same type of small retail	
5/12/2021 9:57:54	Andrew Soussloff	830 Park Avenue, New York, NY	Andrewsoussloff@gmail.com	In opposition to the application	stores on the ground floor serving the neighborhood that were in the buildings that have been replaced. By the same token, we would support a responsible redevelopment of the NYBB's East 67th Street site. However, in its present form, this Blood Bank project presents health and environmental hazards and zoning law violations that we vehemently oppose.	239
5/12/2021 9:59:54	Micheline Lakah	324 East 66 Street, NY, NY 1006	michelinelakah@yahoo.com	In opposition to the application	I live across the street from the Blood Center for years and strongly oppose this project as it would block all my natural light, bring dust and debris for years to come during construction. Please do not move forward with this!!! This is going to hurt our neighborhood. It is going to disrupt the surrounding streets, create traffic	240
5/12/2021 10:09:53	Lisa Rader	315 East 68th Street	lisarbader@gmail.com	In opposition to the application	and noise and block our views of the city. This is a neighborhood with a lot of kids who enjoy the school and playground and it will create noise and dark shadows on the playground. Please listen to the residents of the area and try to understand how this will negatively impact our daily life. Please also understand that it will lower the value of the apartments in the area which is terrible, especially after COVID and the real estate market is already suffering, thank you	241
5/12/2021 10:33:18			Vicgirl1@aol.com		Not in favor My name is Monica Malowney and I am an Associate Director for Industry and Campus Engagement, and the Health Sector Innovation	242
					Specialist in the Department of Continuing Education and Workforce Programs at the City University of New York. Thank you for allowing me the opportunity to speak about a project that could have a significant impact for our students interested in pursuing STEM careers, particularly in the life sciences industry.	
					CUNY enrolls close to 275,000 degree-seeking students, and over 250,000 adult and continuing education students, each year. Nearly half of our students are of the first generation in their families to attend college and 80% of our students are non-white. The overwhelming majority of our students continue to live and work in the Greater New York City Area after attending CUNY, making CUNY the largest, as well as one of the most diverse, talent pipelines in New York City.	
					The Blood Center is a unique resource in our community. As one of the premier facilities of its kind, often working in partnership with the world-class health care organizations on the Upper East Side, it can open up tremendous career opportunities for students and young professionals. For years, the Blood Center has served as a gateway to the life science field through mentoring and internship programs and partnerships with New York schools.	
					By doubling the Blood Center's capacity for research and blood collection, and providing space for additional institutions and innovative biotechnology firms, the Center East proposal would enable CUNY to deepen our relationship with the Blood Center and expand the professional development opportunities available to our students. And by creating more space for professional development opportunities within the Blood Center and throughout this proposed campus, we can create a robust talent pipeline from our diverse student population that broadens representation in the life science industry.	
					Post-COVID, the opportunity to cultivate talent for life science on this scale has never been more imperative. If we look to the 2008 recession as a guide, we know that our students are particularly vulnerable to the economic impacts of COVID-19. A 21st-century research campus like Center East offers New York the chance to enhance our city's reputation as a capital for life science innovation and	
					strengthen our ability to provide employment opportunities for students pursuing STEM careers. I support the Blood Center's proposal to expand its capacity for life-saving research as a project that will simultaneously open up	
					invaluable career pathways for new generations of aspiring professionals in life science. Sincerely,	
5/12/2021 10:38:17 5/12/2021 10:39:57	Monica Malowney Deborah S Newman	315 East 68th St NY, NY 10065	monica.malowney@cuny.edu debnewman@earthlink.net	In favor of the application In opposition to the application	Monica Malowney none	243 244
5/12/2021 10:42:10	Paco Tolson	1720 2nd Ave, NY, NY 10128	paco.tolson@gmail.com	In opposition to the application	My daughter is a student at The Julia Richman Complex. The Blood Center construction will thrust the school neighborhood into chaos and the finished building will be wildly detrimental to the quality of life inside the school and the neighboring playground, to say nothing of the unnecessary height and proportions. It is simply unnecessary to make an exception to exceed the very reasonable current height limitations. The height of the proposed building will completely block sunlight, trap exhaust from traffic, and increase the temperature of the neighborhood. I could not be more strongly opposed.	245
5/12/2021 10:46:49	Elizabeth Shah	405 E 63rd Street, 10065	liznunz@gmail.com	In opposition to the application	Building a commercial tower on a mid block street is irresponsible given the already many abandoned commercial properties in the region. Additionally, it informs the traffic patterns on a bus route and impacts the sunlight in the ONLY park in the region available to both PS 183, PS 267, and Ella Baker School. Use the available abandoned commercial properties in the District, do not build new ones.	246
5/12/2021 10:52:02	Rachel Karr		rachelkarr@aol.com	In opposition to the application	This would set a dangerous precedent. The area is already swamped with construction sites and allowing this project to proceed in its current form would only open the door to further towers, blocking out the sun for everybody. We are losing the sky on the Upper East Side!	247
					Has the pandemic taught us nothing about caring for one another? This is a greedy, unjust and completely unnecessary proposal that puts thousands of children's lives in harm's way. It will negatively impact the air they breathe, the sunlight they need, and what little space they already have to learn, grow and play. The children attending school in the Julia Richman Education Complex and who play in the adjacent park – the only one in the area – come from all over the city, many from underserved neighborhoods, many with special needs. Our city is already deprived of sunlight. If the purpose of a blood center is to help communities, this one should think more holistically	
					about its approach. The Blood Center has already stated that its operations would not be affected if it built a new building that complies with the existing zoning law; it could expand its current space and build a modern facility. There is no need whatsoever for the enormous commercial tower to be built on top.	
					My two children have attended school in the Julia Richman Education Complex for more than five years. The schools, the adjacent park and the library are a respite. This area is already congested — but it will no longer throw with the increased traffic congestion and pedestrian density, deafening noise, and dangerous, hazardous chemical air pollution that building this tower would cause. Sunlight and	
F/40/0004 40:F0:00	Chause OlDaille	200 F+ 00 Ot NIVO			clean air are the blood of our environment. Huge commercial towers are a disease, especially on residential streets. This is an opportunity to care for and protect those who live and work and learn and play in this residential area. This is an opportunity to do the right thing and preserve the integrity of this neighborhood by NOT letting Longfellow Real Estate and The Blood Center get away with this negligent	
5/12/2021 10:53:32	Steve O'Reilly	333 East 92nd St. NYC	czelling@gmail.com	In opposition to the application	project. Having spent my working career in NYC Construction in the field as an electrician and in the office as project and contract management, I see these requests for zoning changes to be nothing more than an effort by NYBC to monetize air rights to which, by long established zoning laws, they are not entitled.	248
					While in its past presentations, NYBC has detailed their need to have this mammoth skyscraper built in order to modernize their facility, I understand that in a separate submittal, NYBC has detailed that by renovating/building within the existing zoning laws, the square footage allowed to them in them the new structure by their out-of-town "partner" would be exceeded and also provide room for the additional	
					NYBC personnel they say they require. Through my past experience in working with developers, I have found that projects, on which developers unfamiliar with the construction	
					requirements of NYC that have been established due to its dense population, have taken longer than estimated and generally have had more safety issues than those built by local developers. This is extremely important due to the nature of the now hazardous materials that were used in building the existing 1930's structure which is, if the changes requested to the established zoning laws are going to be approved, going to be demolished. Our community should not be subjected to the one error that will release of this hazardous material	
					into the air we breath. At the Zoning and Development Committee Meeting of 4/27/21 at which while invited, both NYBC and its Co-conspirator in their monetizing of air rights scheme refused to attend despite their continued instance on wanting to hear from, and work with, the community,	
					a speaker wondered why Mayor De Balsio, who proclaims his devotion to community and especially students of our school system, so enthusiastically supported this proposed gutting of the zoning laws to the clear detriment of both. Later a speaker wondered if it was due to the fact that Law Firm representing NYBC and its developer partner is the same Law Firm that represented the Mayor in his defense in	
					the investigations by the US Southern District and Manhattan DA's office regarding fraud and is still owed about \$300K which the Mayor owes personally for that defense.	
5/12/2021 10:55:38	Steven Smith	333 East 66th Street, Apt 10N	ssmith075@aol.com	In opposition to the application	As a community member and grandfather of a one year old granddaughter who I take to St. Catherine's Park to enjoy the fresh air and sun, I oppose the granting of the requested multiple zoning changes. Mr. Russell Squire Community Board 8 Chair	249
					505 Park Ávenue - Suite 620 New York, NY 10022	
					RE: New York Blood Center's Center East Proposal Dear Mr. Squire,	
					On behalf of the leaders of New York City's tech economy, I'm writing to voice Tech:NYC's strong support for the New York Blood Center's proposal to create a 21st century life science research campus to replace its current outmoded facility.	
					A few decades ago, no one thought our city could compete with Silicon Valley; today New York is a global hub for tech innovation. That success was the result of concerted efforts and serious investments to make New York a tech powerhouse. It's time for our city to make a similar commitment to becoming a national leader in life science.	
					Center East will go a long way toward addressing New York's staggering lack of space for biotech companies to do business here. With the Blood Center anchoring a world-class research facility in the heart of the world's most concentrated clusters of health care institutions, New York's ability to attract talent we're currently losing to Boston or San Francisco will improve dramatically. This is critical to New York's	
					innovation economy, its long-term economic health, and, most importantly, the advancement of crucial medical and scientific innovations. COVID has highlighted what was already true: that the biotech industry is an important growth sector for the coming decades. New York	
					cannot afford to lose out on the economic development potential of this wave of innovation. We must make our city a home to this industry. And we cannot do that when we have a tiny fraction of the space other cities offer for this kind of work. This is the right project at the right time for New York. We're greateful for your consideration and current in making it a reality.	
					This is the right project at the right time for New York. We're grateful for your consideration and support in making it a reality. Sincerely,	
					Julie P. Samuels Tech:NYC	
5/12/2021 10:56:30	Julie Samuels			In favor of the application	Executive Director It is an illegal structure that would forever change — in a negative way — the entire Upper East Side community, including the health and	250
5/12/2021 11:09:59 5/12/2021 11:46:25		315 East 68th Street, Apt 2-G, N			safety of its residents and workers. It would also seriously impact the learning environment for students at Julia Richmond education complex and enjoyment of the adjacent park for children and their families. I live across the street from the Blood Center for years and strongly oppose this project as it would block all my natural light, bring dust	251
5/12/2021 12:23:06	NEIL H. OSBORNE	324 East 66 Street, NY, NY 1006 301 E 66 ST, 17H, NEW YORK,	NEIL10021@AOL.COM	In opposition to the application	and debris for years to come during construction. This proposed massive mid-block building would shadow the park, cause round-the-clock light and noise pollution and add to the noisy traffic jams in already seriously overcrowded streets.	252 253
5/12/2021 12:33:01	Mary Ann Callahan	360 East 65 St 18G, NY 10065	macallahan2015@gmail.com	Want info	None so far	254

T:	N	A -l-l	F: Add	A	Commands on the confliction	O
Timestamp 5/12/2021 13:55:38	Name Samuel Knowles	Address 315 E 68th Street #16E New Yo	Email Address samuelmartinknowles@gmail.co	Are you In opposition to the application	Comments on the application I am a resident of 315 E 68th Street and am writing in opposition to the Center East Expansion Proposal by the Blood Center. The project as currently envisioned will have a negative impact on the Julia Richman Education Complex and St. Catherine's Park. There is no need for an expansion in this form.	Sumbission Number
5/12/2021 13:59:44	Sarah L Wilkins	1601 Third Avenue, #13E	slwplace@gmail.com	In opposition to the application	I have a class on May 12th at 6:30pm. If I didn't, I would be attending this meeting. There are many very good reasons why residential side streets are zoned for smaller, lower density buildings. There is no good reason to cast this zoning aside so the Blood Center and developer Longfellow can build a 16 story, 334-foot glass tower right in the middle of a residential block. This must be opposed not only because this building will be disruptive to a residential area, but because allowing exceptions to zoning like this to go forward only makes it easier to do again in somebody else's neighborhood.	250
					Thank you for the opportunity. I would like to express my objections to the present projects are base on; 1) Lack of respect for the residential quality of the neighborhood 2) Its Height 3) Its Bulk I'd like to express to my regret for the Architects inheritors of a very prestigeus firm for lending themselves to a project so detrimental to	
5/12/2021 14:10:35	Luis Moreno	310 E 70th. Street 9C NY NY 10	luisr.moremo12@gmail.com	In opposition to the application	the interests of the neighborhood and the city fabric. Thank you Luis Moreno	25
5/12/2021 14:40:03	Eugene Kim	315 E 68th Street Apt 15F	dubrosis@gmail.com	In opposition to the application	Unnecessary commercial expansion in a residential mid block. A renovation of the blood center within zoning rules would be fine, but the current proposal is not that.	258
5/12/2021 14:41:29	Ellio Loo	315 E 68th Street 15F	ellieseunghyunlee@gmail.com	In apposition to the application	As a mother, this is a horrible idea to put the community through several years (minimum of 5, maximum of ??) of construction, and subject our community playground and park to increased congestion and shadows after construction is complete (and dust, debris, pollution in the several years of construction)	259
5/12/2021 14:41:49		333 East 66th St	jens_eriksen@execs.com	In opposition to the application	Do not waste time and resources on opposition of a good improvement for our neighborhood. Put back heating in the building instead, as the cold season is still ongoing, up to May 31st. Evenings, nights and mornings are too cold. Heating is needed.	260
					My question is for Dr. Hillyer the CEO of the NYBC With school buses and the M66 cross-town buses on E. 67th, and with people wanting to go to the UWS and turning onto E. 66th street to get to the transverse through Central Park, both E. 67th and E. 66th Streets are already among the most crowded in the City.	
					According to the filings with the City, the Blood Center currently has 230 employees.	
					The proposed Tower will have 2,630 employees, that's an increase of 2,400 more employees, more than 10 times the number of employees, going to a mid-block site. And there will presumably be a proportional increase in deliveries.	
					I don't think anyone could argue that this dramatic increase in people and deliveries won't have a huge adverse impact on traffic, really adding to the delays people already experience.	
					As you know, immediately to the East is the so called bed-pan alley, with many hospitals and numerous ambulances bays. I live on 68th and I hear ambulances sirens all day long as they rush to get critically ill people to the hospitals in time.	
					With the dramatically increased traffic congestion that will result from the proposed tower, those ambulances will experience the extra delays the same as everyone else, and certainly, because of that increased traffic as a result of the Blood Center Tower, there will be times that ambulances won't be able to get failing patients to the hospital in time.	
5/12/2021 14:42:29	Alison Bell	315 East 68th St. Apr. 13K	alisondbell@gmail.com	In opposition to the application	Dr. Hillyer, you took an oath "to do no harm" – my question to you is, what is the acceptable number of deaths from the additional traffic that will result from the Tower you want to build?	26
5/12/2021 14:44:24	Persanna	333 East 66th St	333e66stop.crime@gmail.com	The projected Blood Center is a	Do not waste time and resources on opposition of a good improvement for our neighborhood. Put back heating in the building instead, as the cold season is still ongoing, up to May 31st. Evenings, nights and mornings are too cold. Heating is needed. Much of Corporate America has embraced the concept of social responsibility to better integrate into communities homogeneously, and to compensate for the sacrifices of that surrounding community. I ask, "What is Longfellow doing" to compensate the community for their sacrifices? Certainly not the jobs DiBlasio cites, as they are not directly beneficial to the surrounding community. Not to mention, that property values for residential owners will almost certainly go down, likely dramatically. Consider that comparable partments five blocks north will remain in their attractive residential communities when compared with our homes in this transformed, congested new commercial district in the shadows of a new Tower. Many purchased with faith in the protection of residential preservation inherent in air right restrictions.	26:
					Here are several of many additional questions to be answered for us, and most importantly, for all City Council Members.	
					-Does the blood center have right of refusal over projected tenants or partners? This is very important and would give some measure of	
					assurance to the city, and neighbors alike that the future structure will house vital health science partners as promoted by the applicants. -Will we be granted guarantees that a hedge fund, or advertising agency, etc., won't be there in 5 years? Spaces can easily be repurposed.	
					-Who are present day partners who can give testimonials to the importance and added potential of proximity and why have we not heard from them in addition to construction workers and interning students? The whole premise of the project rests on the fact that the build out will be for an holistic, interconnected health science initiative with, oddly, convenience cited as a key non-science component. The applicants owe it to the community and the city council to convince us that this is so important in a world that has long established global virtual platforms which have rendered proximity so much less critical and shrinking in importance every day. This type of gargantuan building, and its purpose, may be a pre-historic relic before very long. Hence, non-science tenants become the fallback to help Longfellow recoup their losses.	
					-From past presentations, it appears that the genuine and most important partners for the Blood Center are already here, i.e. Sloan Kettering, Rockefeller and already in close proximity. That is why we need to get specifics and guarantees that any future tenants are partners that will actually be part and parcel of an integrated and forward moving agenda. In other words, strategically centered around any future direction the Blood center needs to take. This level of specificity has been conspicuously absent.	
					These are some of many questions the applicants have not been pressed to answer. I truly believe that even on its own merits, setting aside the permanent grave consequences to the community, this proposal isn't as virtuous, and as "humanity advancing" as they'd have us believe. It seems to be a land/air grab. The result is the Blood Bank receives a free renovation and Longfellow a large profit while destroying the 40 year long mid-block zoning that's preserved as a residential community.	
					The tenants, not partners, will be pitched the cache of the Upper East Side in a convenient campus like setting all at the severe expense of the community. We can't kid ourselves. Longfellow will not do this project anywhere else.	
5/12/2021 15:14:20	Rick Bellusci	333 East 66th street	rickbellusci@yahoo.com	In opposition to the application	None of us would have opposed the Blood Bank modernizing with a reasonable expansion at its present address but why 334 feet? Is this the level below which the project is not profitable enough for Longfellow, making it an all or nothing proposition for them? Seventy-five feet sufficed for The Blood Bank all these years. We would, in fact, accept temporary sacrifices to the neighborhood, as necessary for progress, in science and medicine and welcomed doing our part, but within reason.	
5/12/2021 15:15:49	Evelyn Finster	136 East 76 St., NY, NY 10021	finster.evelyn@gmail.com	In opposition to the application	The Blood Center's request for zoning changes and their proposal to build a 334' tower should be swiftly rejected. This enormous building would be completely out of scale for this residential neighborhood and would severely impact the light and air for the surrounding community. Moreover, the increased traffic and congestion on the streets would significantly harm the neighborhood's quality of life. Lastly, the proposed overdevelopment is completely unnecessary as the Blood Center could carry on its important mission by constructing a modern facility within its current as-of-right zoning. Thank you! Thank you for the opportunity to voice my support for the Blood Center.	
					The NYC Employment and Training Coalition works to ensure that every New Yorker has access to the skills they need to succeed in today's economy.	
					The Blood Center has for a long time been an excellent partner to its community in providing pathways for career development for local students interested in pursuing life science professionally.	
					I wholeheartedly lend our organization's support to the chorus of voices in the education and workforce development nonprofit sector who know that the Blood Center's vision for Center East means not only many more full time jobs in New York City, but many more pathways for professional development for students right here on the Upper East Side.	
					The Blood Center offers aspiring professionals unparalleled hands on opportunities for learning in a clinical setting. I believe you've already heard directly from some of its past interns whose lives were deeply impacted by the education they received through the Blood Center. Center East will dramatically increase the Blood Center's ability to offer these opportunities.	
					The project will be more than a hub for life science innovation, but a training center for the next generation of life science professionals, right here in New York City, right here in the heart of the city's greatest center of health institutions.	
		110 Wall Street			Setting the next generation up for success sets New York up for success. Center East is a no brainer for the students and young professionals of our city. For their sake, on top of the many other important reasons others are discussing today, let's find a way to make this project happen.	
5/12/2021 15:18:51	Jose Ortiz Jr.	New York, NY 10005	jortiz@nycetc.org	In favor of the application		269
5/12/2021 15:19:16	Stephen Wessley	360 E. 72nd St C2400	stephenwesslev@vahoo.com	In opposition to the application	The construction project should not happen as planned, the zoning laws exist to preserve the positive qualities of the neighborhood, and it is a neighborhood with families and children who do not wish to live in the shadow of a mascive industrial factory building that will block out the sun. Please consider converting some of many already vacant storefronts around the area. We live here - you can join the neighborhood, but please don't ruin it with this enormous and illegal construction project. I do not support allowing the zoning laws to be changed to permit this to happen.	260
S	p	300 E. I Elia Ot 02400	p	sppco.com to the application		200

Timestamp	Name	Address	Email Address	Are you	Comments on the application	Sumbission Number
					12 May '21 Blood Center	
					The City Club advocates for the success of New York City. We believe this is best achieved through participatory planning which balances the equities among residents, businesses, and politics.	
					The City Club opposes the application for a rezoning of the Blood Center in the midblock between 66 and 67 Streets east of Second Avenue to allow development of a substantially larger building that will shadow 67 Street and the park and school on its north side.	
					The midblocks of the upper east side of Manhattan are largely zoned R8B. This is a contextual district that allows buildings that are approximately five or six stories tall, which is about the width of the narrow east-west streets and allows light and air into the streets. R8B allows residential and community facility uses. The current Blood Center is a community facility use and occupies a three story building that is in scale with its midblock neighbors. The application would change the zoning of the site to C2-7 which would allow commercial use in a building three times as large as currently allowed.	
					The City Club views the application as violating three principles of good urban design: •The City's regulatory regime should be based on comprehensive planning. •Changes to the regulatory regime should not be distorted by avarice of the applicant. •Open space should be protected.	
					Comprehensive Planning: NYS law requires NYC to base its land use regulations on a comprehensive plan. However, the law allows two versions of a comprehensive plan: statutory and common law. (See Zoning and the Comprehensive Plan for a discussion of the distinction between the two approaches http://occainfo.org/wp-content/uploads/2015/01/Zoning_and_the_Comprehensive_Plan.pdf) The statutory approach calls for the adoption of a defined comprehensive plan on which zoning is based; the common law approach accepts the existing regulations and their history as the comprehensive plan. The latter approach allows the City to treat the Zoning Resolution as its comprehensive plan.	
					Using the Zoning Resolution as a comprehensive plan is, therefore, legally permissible but fundamentally wrong. The Zoning Resolution addresses only a portion of our urban environment and is therefore not comprehensive. It deals only with land use and building density and form. It does not address matters outside of zoning, such as providing schools or parks. A comprehensive plan would address much more than the Zoning Resolution does.	
					However, City Planning claims that the Zoning Resolution is our comprehensive plan. If so, the plan for the upper east side of Manhattan is density and tall buildings on the avenues and less density and lower buildings on the narrow east-west streets. The application is grossly inconsistent with that plan.	
					Comprehensive planning would consider where the uses and bulk being proposed might best be located. This might include the disbenefits of shadows and congestion to properties neighboring the Blood Center as well as the benefits of investment in other locations.	
					Zoning-for-Dollars: Spot or contract zoning is defined as "rezoning of a parcel of land to a use category different from the surrounding area, usually to benefit a single owner or a single development interest". (See Zoning and the Comprehensive Plan). Presumably there is economic value to the Blood Bank, or someone, of building approximately three times more on the site than is currently allowed and allowing commercial use.	
					When changes to the City's regulatory regime are motivated by profit to an applicant or to the municipality rather than the interests of the citizens the result is likely to be averse to the public's benefit.	
					Open Space: The charm of the mid blocks currently being zoned R8B is that it lets light and air into the narrow streets — it provides sky exposure. This mutually constrains and benefits all of the properties in the midblocks; it also benefits the taller buildings on the avenues which enjoy light, air, and views above the midblocks.	
					The proposed project would not 67 Street of sunlight and would reduce exposure to the sky on 66 Street. It would also cast property on the north side of 67 Street into shadow. The west portion of the north side of 67 Street is a public school and the east portion is St. Catherine's Park. What is the advantage to the public realm of casting them, and the children who use them, into shadow? 1266 Second Avenue would also loose substantial value because its light, air, and views to the east would be blocked.	
					If New York City had a comprehensive plan it might include an explicit goal that sunlight needs to be maintained in the public realm. This would then be reflected in regulations such as zoning and would constrain the zoning change proposed for the Blood Center. (For an example see: https://statici.squarespace.com/static/54d8cc78e4b003ad1dc6a0f7/l/5ba41c57e79c70a3acdbfe52/1537481815613/Sunshine+Zoning+with+Links.pdf)	
5/12/2021 15:25:04	John West	250 W 94 St, NY, NY 100256	john.west.iii@gmail.com	In opposition to the application	Conclusion: For these reasons the City Club objects to the proposed rezoning of the Blood Center site.	267
5/12/2021 15:46:02	Frances Wessley	229 E. 79 St. NY NY 10075	fwessley@yahoo.com	In opposition to the application	With all of the excess development and empty buildings on the UES it is offensive and destructive to change the character of our neighborhood with this huge building that has very little to do with health and more to do with expensive apartments and profits. There is no rationale to permit this requested rezoning that would change a narrow residential side street located in a R8B residential mid-	268
5/12/2021 16:17:34 5/12/2021 16:21:10		425 E 79 st 229 E 79th Street, NYC	maggielehman2@gmail.com sewessley@yahoo.com		block zone into a commercial zone in order to allow this massive 334' tower and commercial complex to be built. It is disproportionately destructive to an entire neighborhood community. Only detracts from the neighborhood.	269 270
					I am against this application, for all the reasons that others will explain in depth during the hearing: that the Blood Center can construct all the new space that it needs without exceeding its as-of-right height limitations; that the height of the proposed new building beyond that point will keep the Julia Richmond Educational Complex in perpetual shade; that the additional floors will keep St. Catherine's Park, and the many young families that use the park, in shade during the most popular hours of the afternoon; that the already densely used streets around the proposed new building will not be able to handle the 2,000+ additional workers and the surge of trucks and cars that the project will bring, and on and on.	
					My particular point is this: I think I've attended every public meeting on this issue, and I can't recall ANYONE from the public who has said anything in favor of this project. The opposition is so fierce and uniform that the project's developer and the Blood Center have attended only two public meetings that I'm aware of. In fact, they stopped coming several meetings ago because they have no answers to the objections and no willingness to compromise.	
					The neighborhood opposition here isn't nimbyism of the normal kind. It isn't opposition to affordable housing or a methadone clinic or some other embodiment of a social good that the locals have decided is not good enough for them. This is nimbyism of the right kind — opposition to a project that doesn't belong in anyone's back yard. It is a plea to enforce the seence of mid-block zoning and the critical protections it provides to keep residential neighborhoods alive and thriving by drawing certain lines around such neighborhoods so that they don't become overwhelmed by urban density and complexity.	
					There are plenty of appropriate alternate sites in this city for a building of this size and purpose. If it is wed to the project as currently envisioned, the New York Blood Center can easily find one of those sites and relocate there — it doesn't have to be within walking distance of its research partners to accomplish its work. Many of its partners aren't even located in New York.	
					The problem is that this is the only site that the Blood Center controls, making it the only site that it can try to leverage into a "free" new building — by in effect "selling" air rights it doesn't own to a developer that has no roots here and no interest in protecting this or any other New York neighborhood.	
					This project is first and foremost a money grab, wrapped in the public relations guise of a life sciences hub and enabled somehow by certain mysterious city processes and officials. The Blood Center can stay put and build what it needs as of right, and has the funds to do that, but chooses not to. The project developer is under no obligation to fill the higher floors of the building with life sciences companies and may well choose not to for any number of reasons. The applicants have simply not made their case to waive the zoning prohibition against such a proposal.	
5/40/0004 40:07:40	Dans D Adlan	045 Fact 00th 0t Act 71	h		If the applicants get their way, a hugely inappropriate and highly disturbing building will descend on a neighborhood that had the zoning laws on its side and fought with unanimity and passion — and still somehow lost.	074
5/12/2021 16:27:12	Barry B Adler	315 East 68th St. Apt. 7L	barryb.adler@gmail.com	In opposition to the application	Don't let that happen. Don't make a mockery of the zoning laws. Don't ignore us. Opposition to this proposal is not a NIMBY issue. The surrounding blocks already support a massive medical and public health infrastructure, which the community knows is a vital resource for the neighborhood and the city at large. This proposal is inappropriate because of its scale — both footprint and height — as well as its direct and adverse impact on the Julia Richman Educational Complex and St. Catherine's Park, including increased traffic on the adjacent streets, the additional pollution from the traffic and blocked sunlight at the park during high-use times. The proposal is also inappropriate because of its scope. For example, the need/demand for additional labs is purely speculative and does not address the impact of building those labs in a dense urban setting. In addition, this is a proposal driven by	271
5/12/2021 16:27:48	David Hales	340 E. 83rd Street	dkhalesnyc@gmail.com	In opposition to the application	the profit motives of the real estate developer, not by the broader needs of the city or the neighborhood. If we have learned anything during the COVID era, it is that NYC suffers when urban planning is done - or held hostage by developers who have no incentive to think in the interdisciplinary and sustainable ways that are essential to the city's future.	272
5/12/2021 16:43:14 5/12/2021 16:43:24		401 east 60th street, ny ny 1002 301 e 66	Jennadbecker@gmail.com Sfried21@gmail.com		Opposition This will damage the neighborhood greatly and continue to drive out young families (who have already left in droves due to covid). It's clear the goal for this change of zone is to allow the applicant, a not-for-profit, to monetize and maximize its property and potential DCP created air rights as opposed to answering a clear need for additional medical space in the area. The only recent example of a medical facility constructed mid-block in the immediate area is the MSK building on 64th Street b/t 1st and 2nd Ave. That building, in addition to being much smaller is scale, abuts a parking garage and is across the street from a Con Ed substation as opposed, as found	273 274
5/12/2021 16:45:30	Charles	321 East 66th Street	Charlesedwardgary@gmail.com	In opposition to the application	here, to being surrounded by existing residential buildings and a public school. In my view, the applicant should be proposing a development whereby it can maintain its important presence in the area, while allowing for some clearly justifiable development on the remainder within the context of a more typical UES mid-block zoning.	275
5/12/2021 16:48:46		315 E 68th St	maryam.lisa@gmail.com		I am against the application of this new building. It would be one thing if the Blood Center was just building a new building that fit within the current zoning. However, this application is wrong on so many levels. It negatively affects so many people, students, etc. There should be no special cases for changing zoning. They were put in place for a reason. Let's revisit why we have zoning laws. In this instance, they exist in order to maintain quality of life in a residential area. If I were to	276
5/12/2021 17:05:54 5/12/2021 17:16:04		360 east 72nd street	nuyawwka@gmail.com latilling@gmail.com		propose constructing a big, beautiful mall directly across from Central Park, zoning laws would prevent that (and rightfully so). Modernize, but please do so within the guidelines currently in place. I strongly oppose such a large building being put up on a side street. Also, it will shade out the park where kids need to play.	277 278
3/12/2021 17:10:04	Lauren milingriast	500 east / 211d street	auming@gman.com	in opposition to the application	•My name is Adriane Castillo. I'm the Director of the Corporate Work Study Program at Cristo Rey New York High School in East Harlem. We're dedicated to serving students with limited financial means as part of the national Cristo Rey college-prep school network.	276
					•Our school has enjoyed working with the New York Blood Center as one of the NYC-based nonprofit partners of our Corporate Work Study program for freshman, sophomore and junior students since the start of the 2018 academic year.	
					•The Blood Center has provided invaluable professional development and educational opportunities to our students, all of whom come from an underserved background.	
					•Our program with the Blood Center offers our students the opportunity to build an understanding and appreciation of the Center's mission as a complement to their regular college prep curriculum. The center and our other CSWP partners in turn provide critical financial support for our operating budget.	
					•We consider it a privilege to be able to offer our students the ability to work with an institution of the Blood Center's caliber, one of the foremost institutions of its kind in the world, which happens to be conveniently located near our building, at the center of a strong network of health and research entities. We look forward to sustaining and growing our relationship with NYBC in future.	
EMOIDOOM 47 00 CT	Adriana Costilla		accetilla@crists	The eventsion of the Division	•The expansion of the Blood Center's facilities would allow for the opportunity to better serve the community, particularly the UES and East Harlem, including our program and the students we educate.	272
5/12/2021 17:30:03	Auriane Cásállo		acastillo@cristoreyny.org	The expansion of the Blood Cer	A "Life Sciences" 334 ft tower does not belong MID-BLOCK IN A RESIDENTIAL NEIGHBORHOOD, which includes the 6 schools located in the Julia Richman Education Complex, a well-used NY Public Library, and St. Catherine's Parkthe only natural respite from the urban environment and children's playground within many blocks. R8B limited height zoning was inplemented by New York City years ago specifically to protect the people who live there from monolithic structures. As Jane Jacobs stated in her classic book, The Death and Life of Great American Cities (1961), "a city is about the people and communities who live in it." The proposed Blood Center Tower would shadow the schools all day and throw shade on the park during it's busiest use by neighborhood children, elders, and even hospital	279
5/12/2021 17:43:06	•	315 E 68 St, Apt. 12K, NY NY 1	floykamin@gmail.com		workers who lunch there. During the 40 years I have lived in this neighborhood, we have seen the blocks between 1st and York become filled with huge medical institutions and research centers. From 1st to 2nd Avenues, human scale buildings dominate the street-scape. THIS IS OUR NEIGHBORHOOD—protect our livability!!	280
5/12/2021 17:49:04	Felicia Williams Omari William	s 521 West 42nd Street	Omarifelicia134@gmail.com	In opposition to the application	We do not want any buildings built in my son school area	281

Timestamp	Name	Address	Email Address	Are you	Comments on the application I live with my two young children nearby the blood center. Now more than ever, we have realized the crucial importance of outdoor spaces in the city, particularly ones where children can play. During this pandemic, and really, at all times, parks are one of the only places where children in the city can gather for unstructured play and physical activity. As we know, New York is cold for many months during the year, and it is only warm enough to be comfortable utilizing a park during sunlight hours. The construction of this new blood center building will cast a shadow over St Catherine's park for a large part of the afternoon, during the after school hours when the park is most heavily used. In addition, the noise, dust, and necessary street closures associated with the construction may make it unpleasant to be outdoors anywhere in the proximity of that building. I have no doubt that the construction will affect the adjacent NY Public library, further harming	Sumbission Number
					the neighborhood children. There are no other parks in this neighborhood, and this park is already overcrowded. We need more parks in the neighborhood, so compromising the only existing one makes no sense.	
					The positive effects of sunlight in the winter as well as the detriments of lack of sunlight are well documented. And this park is many of the neighborhood children's only opportunity to spend time in the sun unless the blood center literally steals their sunshine.	
					Such an enormous building will also change the residential quality of this neighborhood, creating a huge amount of traffic on both 66 and 67 street, which are already congested, and negatively affect the function of the M66 crosstown bus which stops on that block.	
					In addition, I am very concerned about the impact of such a huge demolition and construction project on the air quality in the area, particularly children's inhalation of particulate matter.	
5/12/2021 17:56:21 5/12/2021 17:56:42		301 East 66th Street #14L New 315 E 68 St, Apt. 12K			Of course we believe in the mission of the blood center and have no problem with a renovation or even slight increase in the size of the blood center, but the height they have proposed will have a profound negative impact on the neighborhood. I have lived in the neighborhood for 50 years. PROTECT OUR LIVABILITY!!	282 283
5/12/2021 18:06:58	Marcia Lowe	301 E.66th St. , NY, NY 10065	marcia@lowebiz.com	In opposition to the application	Consider not only the shadows on the Park, the School and the traffic, hazardous waste, safety and the illegal spot zoning but the loss of sunlight and light for thousands of residents and students. This loss is known to affect the ability for students to learn. It also affects our mental health. Daylight, or the lack thereof, affects in no small way the psychological and physiological health of building occupants and their overall well-being. The city, the mayor, the NYBC and Longfellow have ignored these issues. Clearly they don't want to acknowledge the effects of these significant issues and prefer to counter with untrue facts. I am in opposition to the proposed blood bank development tower. This building does not belong on 67th street at a mid-block site. It	284
					belongs at one of the multiple sites the City has proposed for this type of large scale development or on an avenue site. It would be a colossal mistake for the City to grant the blood bank a zoning variance, which would set a terrible precedent for out of control building on mid-block sites for the future. The Blood Bank can easily build an as of right building that will more than accommodate its operations. What they want to do is nothing	
					more than a money grab. It is incomprehensible that Friends of St. Catherine's are supporting this out of scale development that will cast a permanent shadow on	
5/12/2021 18:15:57	Emily Baller	315 E. 68th St.	eballer@msn.com	In opposition to the application	the park and on JREC. The board members of St. Catherine's have no concerns at all for the children that use their park everyday as part of their school day or the children from PS 183 who use the park everyday. JREC, PS 183 and any organization that uses the park is against this development. Yet Friends of St. Catherine's stick to their perverse agenda of not opposing it.	285
					The tower will cast a shadow over St. Catherine's park where my children play several times a week Will cause air and noise pollution Cause huge traffic issues	
5/12/2021 18:19:04	Evan Lorch	201 East 66th Street	evanlorch@yahoo.com	In opposition to the application	Ruin the residential character of the neighborhood by adding a building with the footprint so large. There is no place for a building like this on the upper east side The capacity and reach with this new facility will have direct impact for youth in a traditional public high school through partnership in	286
5/12/2021 18:26:22	Staven Serling	Life Sciences Secondary Schoo	eserting@schools nyc gov	In favor of the application	internships and career exploration. It will be able to offer hands on STEM experiments that will enhance what they are learning in the classroom with real scientists and practitioners in multiple focuses within the Life Sciences. This facility that's easily accessible for our students and the continued partnership with the Blood Center will be invaluable for students who traditionally do not enter the STEM fields.	287
5/12/2021 18:26:38	_	310 E 70 Street 9C NY NY 1002			I oppose to the application because the building will substantially reduce the amount of sun received by the only park we have in the surroundings. This Park is an essential part of our lives,	288
					This massive project will cause traffic jams and block the streets construction will cause air and noise pollution affect the library next door radically change the character of the neighborhood	
5/12/2021 18:27:07	jonathan korn md	150 east 69 st	jk@jkornmd.com	In opposition to the application	hurt the people who believed that city zoning rules would be enforced boefore they put their life savings into buying apartments affected by this Destroys the family oriented community of the UES. Some reasons include significantly impacting the quality of life of the residents, i.e.,	289
5/12/2021 18:29:16	John Teng	315 East 68th St.	jthc329@gmail.com	In opposition to the application	blocking of sun for residents and families using the local park (St. Catherine's), blocking of sun for apartment owners of local residents, increase of congestion/traffic just to name a few. Overall there are more negative repercussions than benefits of having the Blood Bank expansion in the local community.	290
					I fell strongly if this application goes through then the midblock zoning laws will soon be pushed to the wayside on other streets. The project gives nothing back to the community but take - light from the park even on hour a day is to much in a neighborhood that has so little park space, added congestion to subways and street parking, quality of life to all the neighboring building as lights in labs will be on 24/7 and disruption to the Julia Richmond complex of Schools. The fact that this project is so over and beyond the space needs of the blood bank and is more about finical gain only added to my conviction that this should not be passed. I also have concerns about the	
5/12/2021 18:31:01	Donna Sbriglia	336 east 67 street	dsbriglia@gmail.com	In opposition to the application	request for the a variance on the move theater that was mentioned at a previous meeting and not explanations was given as to why this is being requested in fact I found the dismissal of the request a bit deceptive May 12, 2021	291
					CIVITAS CITIZENS' INC Statement on the New York Blood Center Proposal James T. B. Tripp and Sharon Pope, CIVITAS Board Members CIVITAS is a community-based organization founded in 1981 that works on zoning, land, parks and infrastructure issues important for the quality of life on the Upper East Side of Manhattan and East Harlem. We have fundamental concerns about the proposal that the Blood Center has put forward. The New York Blood Center is an important NYC bio-medical institution located at 310 East 67th Street in the mid-block that has been zoned R8B since 1985. In the 1980's CIVITAS was an ardent advocate for the R8B rezoning in that it would protect community characteristics in terms of building size and configuration in Upper East Side mid-blocks while allowing for taller and large buildings along the avenues that are comparatively wide. At the same time, CIVITAS understands that education, cultural and health-related institutions play a vital role in maintaining and enhancing the quality of life. We therefore strive to consider the legitimate needs of institutions that seek to expand, the degree to which any expansion and associated zoning change is essential to the basic needs of an institution and the feasibility of alternatives to achieve institutional goals. The zoning change that the New York Blood Center is seeking is not some minor or even modest adjustment of the R8B zoning. It is a dramatic change that will have overwhelming impacts on the surrounding community. It demonstrates a wholesale disregard for the mid-block zoning. As such, the proposal would constitute a highly disruptive and incompatible intoxion into the 67th Street mid-block because of the proposed bulk, floor plate and height of the proposed structure. The anticipated height is over 330 feet with a building floor plate that would exceed 30,000 square feet. The proposed structure would therefore be huge and massive with a looming, scarring and disruptive presence. In terms of institutional needs, as we understand the proposed	
5/12/2021 18:34:24 5/12/2021 18:36:27		1457 Lexington Ave, New York,			opposes the zoning change requested. Concerns about increased shadows over the block esp the park and massively increased traffic on nearby streets esp as there is a school. Also environmental hazards and waste.	292
					TESTIMONY On behalf BUILDING AND CONSTRUCTION TRADES COUNCIL OF GREATER NEW YORK AND VICINITY In Support of the New York Blood Center East May 12, 2021	
					Good afternoon. I am Santos Rodriguez, I am here to testify on behalf of Gary LaBarbera, President of the Building and Construction Trades Council of Greater New York & Vicinity, in support of the New York Blood Center East's project. The Building and Construction Trades Council is an organization of local building and construction trade unions that are affiliated with 15 International Unions in the North American Building Trades Union. Our local union affiliates represent approximately 100,000 union construction workers. The Building Trades mission is to raise the standard of living for all workers, to advocate for safe work conditions and to collectively advance working conditions for our affiliates' members, as well as all workers in New York City.	
					The expansion of New York Blood Center East's 310 East 67th street headquarters will allow the Blood Center to expand its research facilities, improve collaboration among project teams, provide space for life science startups, while continuing to provide life-saving blood products and services for the New York City Area. This is an important project as the Blood Center's research facilities are utilized for research and development in the field of blood related diseases including potential treatment for COVID-19 as well as research into regenerative medicine. The Building and Construction Trades Council testified in support of this project in November 2020 and we continue to support it today. The ability to provide space to start ups, private institutions, and partners will only improve and facilitate the important research conducted at the Blood Center.	
					In addition to assisting the Blood Center in fulfilling its public health mission, the project will provide an economic stimulus to our City as it is anticipated to spur the creation of 2,600 new jobs on site, an estimated 3,000 indirect jobs, and a total new economic output of \$1.1 billion annually. These jobs will provide wages and benefits that will support a middle-class lifestyle for workers and their families, creating a much needed stimulus to our City's economy. Now is the right time to take advantage of opportunities to invest in our City and put people back to work. The Building and Construction Trades Council of Greater New York and Vicinity supports projects like the Blood Center East project that will improve the lives of many New Yorkers, increase the resiliency of our City, and create middle class jobs for our members in the process.	
5/12/2021 18:38:36	-	350 West 31st Street, Suite 700			We thank you again for this opportunity to testify in support of this project.	294
5/12/2021 18:43:36		NY, NY 10065	Cjb_223@yahoo.com		Will cause huge traffic issues and air and noise pollution. I favor renovating the existing space and the expansion required for the Blood Center ONLY. However, I am not in favor of the unnessary expansion that will take up the majority of this development. This space will go to the highest bidder - not necessarily related to the blood	295
5/12/2021 18:43:41 5/12/2021 18:44:20	Malcolm Auchincloss Judy	315 East 68th Street Belle	mauchin@gmail.com judybelle1122@gmail.com	In opposition to the application In opposition to the application	center or its partners. Outrageous! To ask to change the mid block zoning for this use when this bio-science center could be located in any number of other favorably zoned locations is an abuse of residential neighborhoods and sets a terrible precedent.	296 297
5/12/2021 18:48:50 5/12/2021 18:48:52	Katie Kenigsberg Marietta	400 East 70th Street, #3602, Ne Meyers	katherine.kenigsberg@gmail.co mariettamm@meyersreg.com	In opposition to the application In opposition to the application	Please protect St Catherine's Park! the development does not conform to existing zoning. It is midblock. development is entirely to expansive.	298 299
5/12/2021 18:49:26 5/12/2021 18:53:02	Barbara Dubin	360 east 72 street	barbarapeirez@gmail.com		In reading about the need for this major change in policy I see absolutely no reason why the Blood Center should need a building of this size. I am totally against changing the neighborhood zoning for this application.	300
5/12/2021 19:00:31	Gordon Z Novod	1175 York Ave. #18J	gnovod@hotmail.com	In opposition to the application	This project is inappropriate for a cross street. It will cause major traffic and is problematic to the community (and St. Catherine's park).	302

Timestamp	Name	Address	Email Address	Are you	Comments on the application I'm writing to express my opposition to the proposed Blood Bank Tower on East 67th Street. I have been a resident on the Upper East Side since 1992 and am committed to our community. I have two teenage daughters who have attended the local public schools and have spent many years enjoying our beloved neighborhood park, St. Catherine's Park, and the East 67th Street Library. East 67th Street is a wonderful residential block with a school complex, library and community park. The impact this proposed project would have on this block and community is devastating. The increase in traffic and reduced light in our neighborhood would be so disruptive to the quality of life of its residents.	Sumbission Number
					I am a commercial real estate appraiser in New York and have been appraising commercial real estate in the five boroughs for 26 years. I am not opposed to new development as long as it is appropriate and conforms to the zoning and character of the neighborhood. The zoning for this site is a R8B residential district and as of right FAR is 4.0. Granting a zoning variance to construct a commercial building almost double the size mid-block is outrageous and irresponsible. This is a residential community, not a medical office park! Granting a zoning variance for a commercial tower between First and Second Avenues would set a terrible precedent on the Upper East Side. It is shameful that the city would even consider granting a variance for a project of this size.	
					Office vacancy in New York is presently rising and is expected to continue to rise for the foreseeable future since companies need less office space due to a work culture change as more employees work from home. This excess office space could be repurposed for this proposed use. It is just so shocking to think that a massive commercial tower is being proposed mid-block in a residential community predominantly for the sake of "convenience" to the other medical institutions when there is available space a short distance away.	
					I ask that you please vote against this project as proposed and protect our community. I understand the Blood Bank's need for a new facility. A smaller building in conformance with the present zoning requirements should be built on the current site and not a commercial tower!	
5/12/2021 19:05:18	Cathy Donnelly	315 East 68th Street, 10E, NY, N	cathyadonnelly@gmail.com	In opposition to the application	Thank you for your consideration.	303
5/12/2021 19:11:54	Steven Elizabeth McAndrew	215 Fact 69th Street New York	amandrau01@amail.com	In opposition to the application	l oppose the out of scale commercial development in our neighborhood Please vote against this proposed commercial tower mid-block in a residential zone! This building if built as proposed will ruin our wonderful residential neighborhood.	304
5/12/2021 19:11:55	Elizabeth McArturew	315 East 68th Street, New York,	enicantrewo r@gman.com	In opposition to the application	As the parent of two children at the school across the street, I am strongly opposed to having a BSL-3 lab which the NIH defines as: "Biosafety level 3 (BSL-3) is applicable to clinical, diagnostic, teaching, research, or production facilities where work is performed with agents that may cause serious or potentially lethal disease through inhalation, to the personnel, and may contaminate the environment.}". There is no place for this in such a densely populated area as Manhattan no matter how profitable the rent.	303
5/12/2021 19:13:16 5/12/2021 19:14:45		34-40 79th Street, #5F, Jackson 4710 vestal parkway e	dantruman1888@gmail.com Kpodesz1@binghamton.edu	In opposition to the application In opposition to the application	Establishment of Biosafety Level-3 (BSL-3) laboratory: Importanthttps://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov > articles > PMC421649 Bad	306 307
					We are strongly opposed to this multi-purpose plan. The plan is not appropriate for the location and it would have a significant adverse impact on the neighborhood, its inhabitants, school, green space, traffic flow, safety and sets a dangerous precedent to zoning protection. The rationale for the plan is without any reasonable basis. As an example, to suggest that it has to be within walking distance to Cornell and Rockefeller is a bizarre statement. This statement belies modern communications technology and transportation options. Cornell has expanded to Roosevelt Island and there is the East River that separate it from the hospital. There is sufficient space in New York which is available to have such a project. Further, there are other neighborhoods that would welcome the economic opportunity that the applicant offers in their presentation. We urge the Board to oppose the plan and uphold the Board's mission to protect, maintain and improve the	
5/12/2021 19:17:19	CL Henderson			In opposition to the application	social welfare and quality of life with the communities encompassed within Community Board 8. I oppose this proposed massive commercial tower mid-block in a residential zone. I grew up in this neighborhood going to St. Catherine's	308
5/12/2021 19:26:15	Evelyn D.	315 East 68th Street, NY, NY 10	0065	In opposition to the application	Park and the East 67th Street Library. Friends of mine would come from over 15 blocks away to use St. Catherine's Park. It would be terrible for the children in our neighborhood and beyond to be impacted by this tower. I grew up in the neighborhood at 404 East 66 Street in the 1960's-1970's, where my mother still resides. I attended PS 183, JHS 167, Julia Richman HS, and Hunter College, and got my Ph.D. at Columbia. When I go to pick up my elderly mother (who is a cancer survivor), it can take 10-15 minutes just to get around the block. PS 183 has reserved parking on the north side of 66th Street, Memorial Sloan Kettering has a garage on 66th and York where the line is around the block, York Avenue at 62-63 Street has construction right where you get on the East River Drive north or south, and the brownstones on First Avenue are about to be demolished. St. Catherines, where I played as a child, is the only playground for the children in the neighborhood and now it will be shaded during the afternoon hours. And we all know that the workers in the blood center will congregate there and eat their lunches.	309
					I cannot imagine having thousands of people working on 66th Street at the blood center. I cannot fathom the amount of truck traffic that will clog up 66 St. How many more fast food restaurants can be built on First Avenue to feed the people at the blood center? I think our	
	ELIZABETH M BOTVIN	26 Heathcote Road Scarsdale, I		In opposition to the application	neighborhood has done enough to service the sick this city. Expand somewhere else. I love St. Catherine's Park and the East 67th Street Library. There aren't many parks in my neighborhood. Please don't ruin my	310
5/12/2021 19:30:35	Kate D.	315 East 68th Street, NY, NY 10	0065	In opposition to the application	neighborhood with this huge tower which would put the park in shade for much of the day. The NYCB's developer Longfellow's (first 334 foot tower building in NYC) massive building will affect: (1) Julia Richmond High School students by 2400+ employees on the sidewalks at morning school afternoon drop off and pick up,	311
					(2) traffic impact on M66 on 67th Street, (3) St. Catherine's playground sun from 2pm on from May to October, including school months, when children play in the playground after school, (4) NYCB has the option to redo their building within current zoning regulations or go somewhere else where they are wanted, (5) I listened and heard problematic lab work on poisonous chemicals "none planned" simultaneously unspoken "at present," (6) I have concerns about conflict of interest. Is it true that a member of CB8 works at Kramer Levin? I would appreciate confirmation of	
5/12/2021 19:54:52	Rose A. Haché	333 East 68th Street, Apt. 9B	Rose.Hache8@gmail.com	In opposition to the application		312
					All I can add is that it is totally unacceptable to ruin a zoned residential area by a building that is not needed and/or could be built in many areas that a zoned for their structure and purpose. Zoning is designed for a valuable and purposeful reason. It is not designed to be altered for financial gain. I cannot express my own	
5/12/2021 19:58:30	Zenaide Reiss	444 East 82 street 10028	zenaiden@verizon.net	In opposition to the application	opposition to changing the zoning any more strongly than all my neighbors have done so eloquently. But I want my opinion to be heard and noted. Zenaide Reiss, Upper East Side resident	313
5/12/2021 20:11:06	Cassandra Ritas	3352 81st street #21	critas@gmail.com	In opposition to the application	I greatly appreciate the work of the Blood Center. However, as the parent of a child at Talent Unlimited and another child at Ella Baker School, I oppose the Blood Center's current proposal for expansion and rezoning. The schools in the Julia Richmond Education Complex are some of the few schools on the Upper East Side that reflect the racial and economic demographics of the wider city. They are citywide schools with children from all around the city. It is an environmental justice issue to subject these children and future children from around the city to the construction, during an ongoing pandemic that requires open windows, and the ongoing increased traffic, reduced light, increased pollution, and the risk of airborne pathogens once the project is completed. There are many other places in the city, where labs are being incubated and built, as well as a venue sites that would allow large buildings that would be more appropriate for this project, since the Blood Center seems unwilling to make any adjustments to their proposal.	
5/12/2021 20:20:50		254 East 68th Street	Scott@productequities.com	In opposition to the application	Children need a park that isn't dwarfed by shadows and subject to even more noise and sound pollution. It has been a lifeline for people in	315
5/12/2021 20:24:45	Nicole Vartanian			In opposition to the application	the neighborhood and needs to remain a joyful space for children to gather and play. Good evening. I'm Jessica Walker, President and CEO of the Manhattan Chamber of Commerce. We represent and support businesses across the borough. Our biggest priority right now is the city's economic recovery in the wake of the COVID-19 crisis.	316
					We are so grateful that the vaccine rollout is going well and that Mayor de Blasio has set a date for the city to fully reopen. Both of these are leading to increased economic activity. But the long road to recovery continues. Economists predict that New York City will not see a return to its pre-pandemic levels of employment until the end of 2023 or even into 2024. That is why I come before you tonight in support of the Blood Center's proposal to create a state-of-the-art life science center in place of	
					its existing facility. The proposed Center East project holds significant economic development potential for New York City, both in the near term and for years	
					to come. The life sciences sector is one of the few industries that is booming in our city right now. It attracted venture capital investments totaling \$2.3 billion last year—nearly tripling since 2019. The state's universities and research institutions also attracted \$3.2 billion in public funding last year—of which the metro area alone accounted for \$2.9 billion.	
					These businesses are here now and we hope that more are coming, but the biggest threat to keeping them here is a shortage of the specific types of labs they require. They need somewhere to go. The Center East project will help address this shortage and help the city retain these companies which are growing and creating jobs at a time when we desperately need them.	
					The project itself is estimated to create approximately 6,000 jobs — that includes union construction jobs during build out, induced jobs in the surrounding neighborhood, and life science and administrative jobs once the campus itself is complete.	
					It will generate more than \$1 billion annually for New York City. And it will do so in a sector that's important not just for our economy, but for the health of New Yorkers and our city's post-pandemic infrastructure and stability.	
5/12/2021 20:48:51	Jessica Walker	575 Fifth Avenue, 14th FI; New	iwalker@manhattancc.org	In favor of the application	I hope that the Community Board will work together with the Blood Center to find a way to get this critical project done. We need it. Thank you.	317
	Jacqueline Calderone	399 east 72nd st, New York, ny		In opposition to the application	Do not ruin our children's playground. I am a parent of a 2nd grader at the Ella Baker School in the Julia Richman Educational Complex. NYBC's presentation is rife with an	318
					amount of obfuscation and propaganda rarely seen outside of major political campaigns. I do not want my son's education hampered by construction for the next 5 years. I do not want the sun blocked from his school in perpetuity. I am a tenured college chemistry professor. The NYBC plot of land is NOT "uniquely" positioned for such a project. While I don't fear a lab breach worthy of "Gremlins 2," if the pandemic has shown us anything, it's that proximity is NOT a necessity for collaboration. No one is carrying lab samples between buildings on the Upper East Side. With millions of square feet of office space available in Manhattan available to retrofit (likely more cheaply), what this comes down to is hubris on the part of the NYBC board. I've seen this before In the early 2000s, the Board of The Cooper Union decided to demolish 2 existing buildings and consolidate operations in a single (smaller, but headline-grabbing) new building. It nearly bankrupted the school, and the financial dealings involved were deemed "improper" though not illegal. The Board left a legacy to the school, indeed. This project is no different. The goals of an otherwise wonderful organization (my wife organized their Queens blood drives during the pandemic) are	
5/12/2021 22:42:55	Kevin Kolask	4841 43rd Stroot Ant 41/ 14/-	s kevin@kevinkalask sa	In appareition to the application	being tarnished by Board members wanting to leave a legacy. The project does not need to happen here. If the partnership with Longfellow really NEEDS to happen, it can happen elsewhere. They	040
5/12/2021 22:12:55		4841 43rd Street Apt 4K, Woods	NGVIII WAGVIII KUIACK.COM		don't need to break the law and set an awful zoning precedent to put it on 67th street. I am really concerned about the effect it will have on St Catherine's Park (where both of my children play frequently), and the disruption it will bring to the residential character of the neighborhood. We moved to the area because of the quiet, family oriented nature of the	319
5/12/2021 22:22:10	Annie Lee			In opposition to the application	neighborhood. Please do not take this away from us and our children by allowing this building to be constructed! I am vehemently opposed to the proposal to build a 334-foot monstrosity in a space zoned for a 75-foot building. There are numerous quality of life issues that have not been addressed by the Blood Center. Traffic, parking, retail congestion, emergency vehicle access, noise and air pollution have not been addressed at all. In fact, the Blood Center seems to have no concern for neighborhood residents. Many misleading statements were made. The Blood Center stated that the building is not a commercial office building, but moments later, their own representative mentioned commercial occupants would be in the building. So, while it is not a commercial "office building," it is a commercial alboratory. The Blood Center emphasized that they are a non-profit organization, but it is clear that this new project is a for-profit, commercial enterprise. If it was a non-profit venture, Paul Selver would not refuse to answer the questions that were asked about the financial structure of the project. The Blood Center stated that the new building would house their scientific collaborators, but my understanding is that Longfellow and not the Blood Center would be renting the space, so the Blood Center would have limited control on who occupies the space. Numerous Blood Center representatives insisted that the BSL-3 Lab is safe because it's been there and there haven't been any problems. First, the proposal is for a newly constructed lab and not the one that's already there. Second, just because there hasn't been an issue up to now, doesn't mean there couldn't be one in the future. Paul Selver repeatedly states that this is simply a "Land Use Issue," but I'm not sure why that would mean the residents' resistance and opposition to the project shouldn't matter. We have land, too. And our use of it is within the law. Why should the Blood Center's desire to change the current law outweigh the overwhelming opposition to the	320
5/12/2021 22:54:05	Craig Shemin	333 E. 66th St. New York, NY 10	craigshemin@me.com	In opposition to the application	talking about a non-profit teaming up with a commercial entity to change the law to make money). The Blood Center's insistence that they are doing good work is only there to mask the fact that this is a blatant moneymaking scheme - commercial greed clad in an on-profit overcoat. Finally, a question that should be asked of Paul Selver: According to a February 11, 2021 article on LexisNexis' "Law360" Mayor DeBlasio owes \$300,000 to Paul Selver's law firm, Kramer Levin. How is this not a conflict of interest and an ethics issue for an elected official who is involved in this decision-making process. (https://www.law360.com/articles/1353260/nyc-mayor-s-unpaid-kramer-levin-tabraises-ethics-worries)	

Timestamp	Name	Address	Email Address	Are you	Comments on the application I was unable to attend the Zoom meeting tonight, but my husband was, and suffice it to say that with every meeting that transpires regarding the monstrosity that is the new proposed Blood Center building, the more enraged I get.	Sumbission Number
					There seems to be shady dealings, shady people, and shady money all over this proposal; which, frankly, doesn't even seem like a proposal at this point. A proposal actually takes the neighborhood (and city) into consideration and this ridiculousness has zero consideration for the added traffic, lack of infrastructure to support the influx of new employees, stress on the water and electrical systems in the immediate area, air and noise pollution, shadows, and most importantly, zoning precent. Not only that, but the people behind this	
					project are acting like it's a done deal. The last decade has slapped New Yorkers in the face with its callous disregard for its residents in favor of mid-block behemoths like the	
					glass middle fingers of Billionaire's Row that do nothing for tax revenue or neighborhood businesses, and only line the pockets of developers. I don't understand why zoning laws seem to be so easily bent and broken in this city. They are there for a reason: to preserve our livability. Without livability, New York City is in big trouble. I have lived in this neighborhood since 1993. I have seen it rise, and I am starting to see it fall. The Memorial Sloan-Kettering rezoning 15 years ago did not help the neighborhood. This Blood Center monstrosity promises to actively hurt it.	
					There is no good reason why the Blood Center, which currently stands on land that is zoned for 75 feet, needs to be 334 feet tall unless the reason is money pouring into the pockets of a carpetbagging developer named Longfellow.	
					I am vehemently opposed to this application.	
					Thank you for allowing me to share my opinion. Sincerely,	
	3:22 Stephanie D'Abruzzo Shemin 3:48 Elizabeth Keizner	333 E. 66th Street #6L New Yor 306 E 82nd Street			Stephanie D'Abruzzo Shemin Please do not take away the sunshine at our neighborhood playground	322 323
	5:29 Steven Gee	301 East 64th Street			The character of our neighborhood is extremely important and that is heavily defined right now by St Catherine's. Let's not destroy the character of that park and its family environment by throwing it into shadow.	324
			5 55		My daughter and all her friends use St Katherine's park every day it has been there only source of social interaction through this pandemic. They have been going for years since they are infants we are all in public school and this is their playground both during the week and weekends we have hardly had school due to restrictions and this park has saved all of them both emotionally and physically since they are super careful with masks and run around all the traffic noise and obstruction this project will bring will greatly affect them	
5/13/2021 7:0	7:00 Jill fastenberg	215 east 68 th street . Apt 14w,	I jfastenberg@btig.com	In opposition to the application	we strongly oppose I am vehemently opposed to the proposal to build a 334-foot monstrosity in a space zoned for a 75-foot building. There are numerous	325
					quality of life issues that have not been addressed by the Blood Center. Traffic, parking, retail congestion, emergency vehicle access, noise and air pollution have not been addressed at all. In fact, the Blood Center seems to have no concern for neighborhood residents. Many misleading statements were made. The Blood Center stated that the building is not a commercial office building, but moments later, their own representative mentioned commercial occupants would be in the building. So, while it is not a commercial "office building," it is a commercial laboratory. The Blood Center emphasized that they are a non-profit organization but it is clear that this new project is a for-profit, commercial enterprise. If it was a non-profit venture, Paul Selver would not refuse to answer the questions that were asked about the financial structure of the project. The Blood Center stated that the new building would house their scientific collaborators, but my understanding is that Longfellow and not the Blood Center would be renting the space, so the Blood Center would have limited control on	
					who occupies the space. Numerous Blood Center representatives insisted that the BSL-3 Lab is safe because it's been there and there haven't been any problems. First, the proposal is for a newly constructed lab and not the one that's already there. Second, just because there hasn't been an issue up to now, doesn't mean there couldn't be one in the future. Paul Selver repeatedly states that this is simply a "Land Use Issue," but I'm not sure why that would mean the residents' resistance and opposition to the project shouldn't matter. We have land, too. And our use of it is within the law. Why should the Blood Center's desire to change the current law outweigh the overwhelming opposition to the project by the neighborhood's residents? (I prefer to use the term "law" rather than zoning, because that's what we're talking about a non-profit teaming up with a commercial entity to change the law to make money). The Blood Center's insistence that	
					they are doing good work is only there to mask the fact that this is a blatant moneymaking scheme - commercial greed clad in a non-profit overcoat. Finally, a question that should be asked of Paul Selver: According to a February 11, 2021 article on LexisNexis' "Law360" Mayor DeBlasio owes \$300,000 to Paul Selver's law firm, Kramer Levin. How is this not a conflict of interest and an ethics issue for an elected official who is involved in this decision-making process. (https://www.law360.com/articles/1353260/nyc-mayor-s-unpaid-kramer-levin-tab-	
	2:02 Craig Shemin	333 E. 66th St. New York, NY 1		In opposition to the application	raises-ethics-worries) I strongly oppose this project as I see no reason for its existence in my neighborhood, it is strictly an exercise in greed with no concern for	326
5/13/2021 9:3	3:21 Trev Jones	233 E 69th St		In opposition to the application	the children to play in the park, for the neighborhood, for anyone on the upper Eastside I am Rachel Levy, ED of FRIENDS of the Upper East Side, and we are working closely with the Coalition to Stop the Blood Center Tower. FRIENDS was founded in 1982 with a mission to preserve the architectural legacy, livability, and sense of place in the neighborhood.	327
					The rezoning sought here effectively reverses the most basic planning principle governing the built environment on the Upper East Side – the idea that towers belong on avenues while side streets are dominated by lower scale residential and associated uses. The campaign for contextual zoning, which resulted in the R8B zoning district, reinforced that existing context, and has done so consistently and remarkably well for 36 years.	
					This project introduces exactly the kind of building R8B was designed to prevent – the midblock tower – and it would be the first rezoning of an R8B district since it was established. FRIENDS' founding President Halina Rosenthal articulated the threat: "If unchecked the proliferation of assorted skyward oriented structures will totally destroy New York City's mid-block residential streetscape." To be sure, if the R8B zoning is dismantled here, it will set a dangerous precedent for contextual districts throughout the Upper East Side and citywide – a land use and visual character impact that the DEIS fails to consider.	
					Not only does the applicant seek to map an avenue zoning district on the midblock, it also then seeks permission to violate almost every aspect of that new zoning district by special permit.	
					And the resulting building is truly massive. With floor plates of nearly 33,000 square feet, the bulk of the tower is more akin to midtown commercial buildings like One Vanderbilt, and the Empire State Building than any tower that has ever been built on the Upper East Side. Across from a heavily used park in a park-starved neighborhood, a busy educational complex housing 6 schools, and next door to a 1905	
					Carnegie library building, this block exemplifies dense urban life. New afternoon shadows on St. Catherine's Park would be substantial during much of the year, casting most of the park into shadow for hours at a time. But shadows do not only fall on parks – the schools, street and sidewalk on 67th Street would lose more than 50% of their	
					light, as would portions of 66th Street. The only possible mitigation for this kind of loss is a significantly smaller building, an alternative which the DEIS refuses to consider. Let's be clear – the Blood Center is a non-profit that has operated in the neighborhood for many years. But this project is not about the Blood Center's ability to continue its good work. It's about a private for-profit developer seeking development rights the Blood Center is not	
					entitled to, in order to build a massive commercial building in a residential neighborhood – at a moment when the City is facing a massive glut of commercial space. The fact that the Blood Center itself will occupy only the lower third of the building, leaving the rest available for speculative commercial tenants, demonstrates the unnecessary nature of this project. Indeed, the Blood Center could build 10% MORE community facility space	
					in the as-of-right scenario. And there may be other, more sensitive alternatives that could be developed to lessen the impact on the neighborhood, such as the full coverage alternative that George Janes has raised. There are also still significant questions about the proposed BSL-3 lab, a use that the City's own Board of Health states poses the potential for "catastrophic consequences." In fact, in response to a FOIL request, the Health Department responded it couldn't disclose	
					existing lab locations because it would endanger public health and safety. In light of this, it is inexcusable that the DEIS is silent on whether the labs will be available to commercial tenants, and if not, the programmatic means of restricting such uses to the Blood Center only, and the measures in place to protect the public health in this dense residential neighborhood. The aggressive proposal demonstrates disregard for the community in every way – it requires the community to bear the impact of an	
					egregious building in order to benefit a private developer. It sets an irreversible land use precedent for the Upper East Side and what makes our neighborhoods livable. FRIENDS urges the Community Board to reject this proposal.	
5/13/2021 10:1	5:01 Rachel Levy	FRIENDS of the Upper East Sic	rievy@mends-ues.org	In opposition to the application	Thank you. I have already submitted comments on 5/12/21, however after participating in the 5/12/21 Zoom Meeting I feel the need to add to my previous comments.	328
					As I listened to presenters, commenters and CB#8 Members, I heard expressions of disappointment from Chairman Squire regarding the lack of change in the presentation despite the communities negative comments as well as disappointment in the applicants delay in minor requests like timey posting. Some, like CB8 Member Alida Camp, described disbeller in how quickly this application navigated its way through the municipal process. Others like the the representatives the various Julia Richman Schools disputed Paul Selber's claims of reaching out to them with no response. Still others commented on the lack of responses from the applicants to previous questions.	
					My comment at about 10:00pm was that according to articles I have read, this is all part of the Kramer Levin Playbook. Minimize responses, don't make changes and let time run out. Responding to the question of how did this proposal move so quickly through government, it is Mayor de Blasio's "enthusiastic support" for this project which, despite overwhelming opposition by educators and community (two groups that our Lame Duck Mayor proclaims he supports), appears to have swayed the the good sense of all who have sanctioned this application to its current status, with "the clock that ticking".	
					On 4/29/21, in response to questions made at the Zoning Committee Meeting on 4/27/21, I sent the articles I had read to info@cb8m.info to be provided to that Anthony Cohn, the committees co-chair and the rest of the Zoning Committee.	
					I see no way to attach those articles to this comment posting. I will therefore resend the articles to the same address with the request that they be provided to this Land Use Committee Members for their reading.	
					The articles are summarized as follows: 2/43/47 NV Poet by Editorial Board: The Corruption Cloud Over Mayor de Blasio, Just Got Darker	
					 -2/13/17 NY Post by Editorial Board; The Corruption Cloud Over Mayor de Blasio Just Got Darker -2/23/17 NY Times by William K. Rashbaum; Mayor de Blasio Will Meet With Federal Prosecutors on Friday 	
					**-3/4/17 NY Daily News by Greg B. Smith; Law Firm's Lobbying Unit Sees Big Income Boost After de Blasio hires its attorneys for his corruption defense	
					•6/17/18 NY Daily News by Greg B. Smith; Law Firm Owed \$300G by de Blasio Lobbied Mayor's Top Aides, Won Big Favor for High-Rise	
					Developer •11/20/18 POLITICO by Sally Goldenberg; De Blasio Approves His Own Contract for Legal Fees After City Comptroller Rejects It	
					•11/20/18 Wall St Journal by Katie Honan; Mayor OK's Contract to Pay Off His Legal Fees Tied to Probes	
					•2/11/21 LAW360 by Anna Sanders; NYC Mayor's Unpaid Kramer Levin Tab Raises Ethics Worries These articles may close up the question as to why this proposed approach by the community and educators allike has moved through the	
					These articles may clear up the question as to why this proposal, opposed by the community and educators alike, has moved through the system so quickly. I owe nearly \$300K on my home to a bank. It's called a loan/mortgage. Each month I pay a portion to the bank, who technically owns my	
					residence until I payoff what I owe. The largest amount of the payment is the interest. It seems to me in light of these facts, regarding the Mayor's unpaid debt to Kramer Levin, one might ask what the interest payments are and when will the payoff occur. Is it perhaps "Zoning for Dollars"?	
	1.57 Ohnus O. W	000 5-4 0011 01 11 11		January 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	I leave it to this Committee and Community Board to read these articles and draw their own conclusions. I for one oppose this proposal to approve changes to the r8b zoning law for this "spot location"	
5/13/2021 10:2	1:57 Steven Smith	333 East 66th Street Apt 10N	ssmith075@aol.com	In opposition to the application		329

Timestamp	Name	Address	Email Address	Are you	Comments on the application	Sumbission Number
				.,-	I am a native New Yorker and have lived on the Upper East Side for the last 28 years. I recently renovated my apartment to create the home of my dreams. But now, for the first time ever, I want to leave New York. In recent years, it has become glaringly apparent that the concerns of the residents of New York are no longer relevant to the decisions made about their communities and living circumstances. It's the developers and their investors and the politicians corrupted by money and power that decide. For them, the residents are a nuisance to be tolerated until they can be swept aside with construction equipment.	
					This could not have been more evident in the meeting with the New York Blood Center's representatives at the Community Board 8 Meeting on May 12, 2021. The suggestion that St. Catherine's Park would have sun for most of the day because the shade caused by the proposed tower would not hit until the "late" hour of 2:15 pm was offensive. The lack of interest in the testimony of community members on the part of Paul Selver was palpable. His failure to prepare for the meeting was apparent. His assertion that the financing of the project is not germane is an insult. After hearing witness after witness in opposition, Mr. Selver's condescending response was that there are others who would disagree. If that's right, where were they? They didn't show up to testify. Why? Because, as someone at the meeting said, the fix is in.	
					Those in favor of the project are non-residents who will profit to our detriment. They have always profited (and will always see fit to profit) at the expense and to the detriment of ordinary working people. They don't need to fight for this proposal at a community board meeting because they know that this project will go forward. So, they aren't going to waste their valuable time listening to our concerns. Instead, they are going to pay Paul Selver to do that. In fact, here's an article that says as much.	
					https://commercialobserver.com/2014/07/the-negotiator-land-use-tactician-paul-selver-helps-real-estate-bigs-build-big/ Our concerns obviously have no bearing. All the benefit will go to non-residents at the expense of our communities — those surrounding the New York Blood Center and all the other communities who will suffer monstrous midblock construction in the future as a result of this precedent.	
					The Upper East Side is no longer livable and it's because the developers and their investors have been allowed, and will continue to be allowed, under increasingly disingenuous pretenses to destroy it. The construction is endless and all we ever get is ugly, excessively tall commercial towers, with hundreds of tiny, overpriced, cookie cutter apartments (or, in the case of the NYBC, labs) and a few empty penthouses, that steal the sun and open air and offer nothing to the communities in return but huge, empty storefronts or national chains – another Verizon, another Sprint, another Walgreens, another CVS, another Target, another Chase, another TD Bank, another Taco Bell, another Dunkin' Donuts, another Starbucks – nothing worth having in the neighborhood.	
5/13/2021 20:20:19	Nazmiye Gokcebay	201 E 83rd St, New York, NY 10	nazmiye@gmail.com	In opposition to the application	The NYBC's proposal is an abomination and should not be allowed to go forward, but I already know that it will. Don't you? I don't know where I'm going yet, but I am NOT staying in New York.	332
5/13/2021 21:59:21	Lorna Weiner	1623 3rd Ave. 22B	Lornaweiner@yahoo.com	In opposition to the application	I oppose the Blood Center expansion. Sorry I couldn't be at your meeting. I strongly oppose the building of that monstrosity over the Blood Bank. Just what we don't need is	333
5/14/2021 0:21:14		215 East 68th Street 1136 First Avenue, 10065	janettegautier@verizon.net		another huge building looming over our schools (in this case, Julia Richmond) and playgrounds (St. Catherine's Park). We have tall hospital buildings all over First and York Avenues. Please leave some air and light for the residents of this area. Thank you.	334 335
5/14/2021 2:40:10	_	1755 York Avenue	SWGall@nyc.rr.com Gogirl423@aol.com		Utterly inappropriate in every respect. If the blood center is allowed to skirt the current laws of mid block building size it wont be long before other builders are doing the same and ruining what is left of the upper east side. Absolutely NO to this project.	336
					As it was well put by someone Wednesday night, TBC has gone rogue. Here we are as a community painting this practically dystopian, not exaggerated, world of added darkness in day, light at night, pollution, toxic waste, kids health and learning at risk, gridlock for ambulances not arriving in time with the direst of consequences, school buses sitting in traffic, awful commutes, noise, and on and on And they sit on their hands never acknowledging any of it, giving any second thought or possible signal of compromise. They're facing nearly unanimous opposition in their community yet they're ploughing on a harmanimous opposition in their community yet they're ploughing on a harmanimous opposition in their community yet they're ploughing on a harmanimous opposition in their community yet they're ploughing on a harmanimous opposition in their community yet they're ploughing on a harmanimous opposition in their community were not only the property of the propert	
					The question I'd ask them to consider; If all goes their way, what kind of work environment and culture will they be creating for their new world-class hires? Those people will be here and go out to the surrounding community, endure the hardships described above and especially learn that their employer met with vehement, fierce opposition to their very presence in the community. They will most certainly find out and may begin to resent TBC because their conscience will compel it.	
					Also, getting lost in all of this are the credentials of Longfellow. Please look at their website. Considering the magnitude of this enormous project, look to see if anything they've done with their existing properties, many of which are renovations, even approaches a fraction of the size of this Tower. I saw nothing. They have no experience managing a project like this, especially not in NYC. We must challenge City Planning to reconsider their competence to pull off such a challenge. Their resume is not just thin for this work, but rather shows them to be unqualified. They'll be doing it with "training wheels" given that we all know even the most savvy Manhattan builders go over budget and time due to unforeseen circumstances.	
					Furthermore, there must be a threshold of acceptable capacity City Planning considers when growing a community by such a scale. How is it this community sitting in a vital city artery has not already reached capacity for services, emergency and otherwise? If not already, this project most certainly will far exceed that threshold and break any limits that should exist if they don't already. Please tell me with whom I can be in touch to help inform city officials, especially city council members, of our side of this story.	
5/14/2021 13:41:11	Rick Bellusci	333 East 66th Street	rickbellusci@yahoo.com	In opposition to the application	Please tell me with whom I can be in touch to help inform city omicials, especially city council members, or our side of this story. I am AGAINST the proposal to put a 334-foot tower mostly dedicated to commercial space in the midblock between East 66th and East	337
5/14/2021 14:18:07	Jennifer Oberstein	200 East 66th Street	obersteinjen@gmail.com	In opposition to the application	67th Street and First and Second Avenues. It will endanger long-held mid-block zoning and casting a shadow on St. Catherine's Park.	338
5/14/2021 15:45:13	Adam Baker	1740 Second Ave, Apartment 1	l baker.adam.n@gmail.com	In favor of the application	The building does not even scratch the skyline in the area. If we oppose a scientific hub and blood bank expansion but favor tall towers for super rich, what kind of neighbors are we? This stinks if NIMBY grouchiness, and this attitude only increases our cost of living, doing business, and progress in our neighborhood.	339
5/14/2021 19:53:23	Susan Cooper	333 E. 66th St	sjhcoop@gmail.com	In opposition to the application	whistles announcing the coming loud noise pollution. These examples and more are all culprits. The question is what will be the lasting impact on the over 2300 children attending schools in the neighborhood? The Sam School is on the corner of 67th and 2nd Ave, the Urban Academy, the Talent Unlimited high school. Manhattan International high school, vanguard high school, the Ella Baker school for Ave, the Urban Academy, the Talent Unlimited high school. Manhattan International high school are all located in the Julia Richmond building that houses more than 2000 students and is directly across the street from the proposed construction. In addition that building includes a library, a cafeteria, an auditorium, a culinary arts round, a dance studio and program, a theater and program, an Art Gallery, a swimming pool and gymnasiums. These ancillary facilities are used at many hours during the school day and at many other times. Children in proximity to noise pollution for over 4 years will have irreparable damage according to an information piece published by The Environmental Protection Agency entitled: "Noise and Its Effects on Children' https://mepis.epa.gov/Exe/ZyPDF.cgil/P100736S.PDF? Drokey=P100736S.PDF? This fiver identifies the adverse health effects of noise on children, they say that noise" poses a serious threat to a child's physical and psychological health including learning and behavior." They go on to say that repeated exposure to noise during critical periods of development may affect a child's acquisition of speech language and language related skills such as reasoning and listening. The inability to concentrate in a noise yenvironment can affect a child's capacity to learn. Tinnitus often described as a ringing or buzzing sound in the ear, is a symptom associated with many forms of hearing loss. The physical result of noise pollution can be elevated blood pressure and other cardiovascular aliments for children and adults (like teachers and steff and parents). "NiHLi is a permanent hearing impairme	
5/15/2021 12:46:55	Amy Sklar	239 Central Park West, NY, NY	Amysklar@aol.com	In opposition to the application	jeopardizing the health of children at the schools as well as neighborhood residents. Said development would also block sunlight from reaching the school and the playground for the entirety of the afternoon. Lastly, consider the terrible precedent set by allowing any such mid block rezoning to go forward. Shame on Mayor DiBlasio and his supporting cast of characters.	341
5/15/2021 21:25:01 5/17/2021 9:59:31	Jessica Youdim Margaret m Sharkey	301 east 66 street 1040 Park Avenue @ 86 Street	jyoudim@yahoo.com margshark@hotmail.com		This is not a good idea (Too tall for the neighborhood! This is a residential area.	342 343
					Older Adults have only one park accessible with sunlight and happiness in our densely populated 10021 zip code. It is so important for us to see happy children at play while getting the required Vitamin D from the sunlight at Saint Catherine's Park. It is an oasis given the density of concrete and steel which has robbed us of places to sit in the sunshine. There is no reason to build a building taller than what the current zoning is today. We need to protect the current midblock zoning to protect the character of our neighborhood. The Blood Center does not need the proposed building as it would only occupy ½ the height of the new building! The only reason to make a building that high is GREED. There is certainly enough growth for the Blood Center in a New Building restricted to the current zoning height. Remember the Blood Center will only have ½ of the mega building proposed by Longfellow. Shortening the building to the current zone will give the Blood Center what they need and have enough extra space for expansion and to make a profit without infringing on the right to have sunshine for the school, the park and other buildings where its shadow will be cast. We do not need rezoning which will set a new precedent for mid-block-construction! It is not known who Longfellow will lease the other 2/3 of the building to or whether it will be all Commercial Space. If it is leased to independent labs who is going to control those labs. What will be studied in those labs? Currently the Blood Center has total control of their current whole building and everyone in it keeping strict protocols. It only takes one mistake to create another PANDEMIC. It is unconscionable/outrageous to build this Mega Structure in the most DENSELY POPULATED zip code in NYC. We need to be more concerned about our living environment. Our streets need more clean air and sunlight not less. There are three sites available today in the correct zoning where the Blood Center can build on: East Harlem Site (adjacent to NY Proton Center), Kips Bay Si	
					building which would alleviate these issues from Longfellow and the Blood Center since this went public? SHAME on the BLOOD CENTER. SHAME on LONGFELLOW DEVELOPER. SHAME on our MAYOR and all the NYC AGENCY	
5/17/2021 21:35:21	Bernadette A. Nader	360 East 72 Street	banader@aol.com	In opposition to the application	PERSONNEL who are involved in this latest NYC SCANDAL!	344

5/20/2021 10:22:48	Name Andrea Dacquino	Address 1320 York Ave. Apt. 35B, New Y	Email Address adacquino@gmail.com	Are you In opposition to the application	Comments on the application Our community cannot allow this massive construction project to happen. Our community resources are already too stretched. We will suffer very negative consequences for our local community if this project is allowed to happen.	Sumbission Numb
5/20/2021 10:22:48		345 east 68th streer	Helena.yu@gmail.com		This building proposal will ruin the one green space we have around here (st Catherine's park) and cause congestion which is already bad because of local hospitals. We have some control and we must stop the building	
5/20/2021 13:15:10	Andrea Heaney	201 E66th St 3g NY, NY 10065	Andreajheaney@gmail.com	In opposition to the application	Greed, loopholes and our mayor have come together for this highly unethical project. Truly awful from our city government. The Building Congress has for 100 years advocated for infrastructure investment, pursued job creation and promoted preservation and growth in the Greater New York region. Our association is made up of over 525 organizations comprised of more than 250,000 skilled professionals and tradespeople. Through our members, events and various committees, we seek to address the critical issues of the	
					building industry and promote the economic and social advancement of our city and its residents. With that in mind, Building Congress proudly supports the Blood Center's Center East proposal. As we emerge from the COVID-19 pandemic, the building industry will provide an immediate and essential boost to our city and state's economy while bringing thousands of people back to work. We are the backbone of our economy, as evidenced in the fact that construction spending was \$61 billion in 2019 and is a key driver of employment throughout the metropolitan area. Our road to recovery must follow a path based on investments that	
					will build New York back better and continue to make the city an attractive hub for all industries, including the life sciences sector. While New York City boasts industry-leading life science institutions, we have yet to reach our full potential. New York continues to lag behind Greater Boston, Raleigh-Durham, San Diego and the Washington, D.CBaltimore area. Given the heightened need for expanded medical care following the COVID-19 pandemic, the Center East proposal will help advance the life Sold Center's important mission by transforming its current space into a state-of-the-art facility and in turn enable the growth of the city is life sciences capabilities.	
					Additionally, this project has the potential to be a key part of the City's pandemic recovery plan. This project will support 2,400 construction jobs and long-term life science jobs. It would also have a \$1.1-billion impact on the state's tax revenue and a multiplier effect by activating countless businesses in its construction and operation. We are also proud of their collaboration with the Building and Construction Trades Council of Greater New York to ensure that union workers are hired.	
					The New York Building Congress is proud to support the Blood Center's plan in order to aid the city and state's economic recovery by creating thousands of jobs while also enhancing our city's life science industry. We urge Manhattan Community Board 8 to support this application.	
5/20/2021 14:57:15	Charlie Samboy	1040 Ave of the Americas	csamboy@buildingcongress.c	o In favor of the application	Very truly yours, Carlo A. Scissura, Esq. President & CEO	
5/20/2021 18:38:06	Puchika Anand	360 East 72nd Street, New york	anand ruchika@gmail.com	In opposition to the application	The Blood Center building is breaking zoning laws. The real-estate company is taking cover with the pretense of renovating the blood center is building high rise residential. It will block afternoon sun in St Catherine's park - which has been a lifeline of hundreds of residents, especially kids of all ages during (and before) this pandemic. Please do not destroy the neighborhood place our kids love.	
3/20/2021 10.30.00	Nuclina Allanu	500 Last / 21d Silvet, New York	anandinacinkaegyman.com	п оррознот о не аррисаноп	The park is such an important space between the direct and indirect interaction between nature and a cities inhabitants. A rare oasis for relaxation, play and sun stimulation. There is something special with a place where old and young can meet. A parent sharing an ice cream with his son in the evening sun while enjoying the the small simple things in life. The buildings surrounding the park are mostly a built in a reasonable height with an understanding for the human scale, which allows us still to appreciate the suns rays of light. It provides people in the park a mesure of privacy and sens of freedom. Allowing people to breath.	
5/20/2021 18:55:39	Cecilia Dupire	359 east 68st ph 10065 New Yo	cecilia@cezign.com	In opposition to the application	I am therefore totally in opposition of the blood tower building since its is not a good urban design proposal. I am a physician grateful for the clinical mission of the NY Blood Center. I am also from a family of research scientists who work in the	
					area (but live in an area not impacted by this application). I would question the assumption of the Blood Center that immediate physical proximity to other large academic centers is needed to increase impact of NYBC research efforts. In the modern era (even before Covid) such physical proximity of research collaborators is less common. I looked up recent papers by NYBC scientists in journals like BLOOD and LEUKEMIA and see collaborations with labs in China, Italy, New Haven Ohio, and Philadelphia for example. Physical proximity to go to meetings and conferences at most NY institutions such as where I work has been supplanted by Zoom conferences. Finally, spot zoning in a residential area for creation of commercial laboratory space for for-profit start ups is also not an obvious public health need.	
5/20/2021 21:34:16	Emily Sonnenblick, M.D.	125 East 74 Street	ebsmd3@aol.com	In opposition to the application	"Incubator" space for for-profit lab start ups are readily available at other NYC facilities already zoned for this purpose. The park is such an important space between the direct and indirect interaction between nature and a cities inhabitants. A rare oasis for	
5/21/2021 8:54:09	Cecilia Dupire	359 east 68st ph 10065 New Yo	cecilia@cezign.com	In opposition to the application	relaxation, play and sun stimulation. There is something special with a place where old and young can meet. A parent sharing an ice cream with his son in the evening sun while enjoying the the small simple things in life. The buildings surrounding the park are mostly a built in a reasonable height with an understanding for the human scale, which allows us still to appreciate the suns rays of light. It provides people in the park a mesure of privacy and sens of freedom. Allowing people to breath. I am therefore totally in opposition of the blood tower building since its is not a good urban design proposal.	
					There are so many alternatives to this ridiculous project in its current state. One idea-the Blood Center might consider looking at the countless vacant nearby commercial spaces for their new center. Many of these spaces are on avenues and on corners (not mid-block residential streets) and NYBC can build there while they continue to be fully operational at their current location instead of having to shut	
5/21/2021 13:32:05	Lauren Glenn	333 East 66th Street	lr1867@gmail.com	In opposition to the application	down while they rebuild at the current site. We live in a city that is already in a permanent state of noise and congestion with a tremendous lack of recreational green space for children and adults alike. For the sake of a healthy upbringing with dignity and with the few places left with open space and natural light I	
5/21/2021 16:18:51	Nezih Antakli				urge you to not go through with the proposal of this construction project. Thank you The noise of the Volkswagen Golf is plainly audible at a distance of 150 feet or more on streets where the speed limit is 35 mph or less, in	
5/21/2021 23:19:33		333 East 66th St.			Hospitals area, every night around 11:10pm, Monday to Friday, therefore is violating the NYC Noise Code. Enforce the Code. Strongly opposed to this proposal as a long-term UES resident and a family with kids who frequent St. Catherine regularly and go to	
5/22/2021 14:01:00	Aradhana Dugar	315 east 65th st, apt 3c, Ny 100	Arad359@yahoo.com	In opposition to the application	school in the neighborhood. The NYC Administrative Code, The NYC Noise Code, Vehicle and Traffic Law, Smoke-Free Air Act, NYC Health Code, etc. must be enforced. They are violated by the Volkswagen Golf car, ice cream truck, smokers in the parks, idle engines, internal combustion	
5/22/2021 14:12:08 5/22/2021 21:16:46	·	333 East 66th St. 215 east 68 street New York, N	joangoldfield@gmail.com		y engines on bike paths and parks and organizers of gatherings during covid, such as the "rally" without verifying vaccination and masks. There is a zoning law that should be kept in place- no high buildings mid-block. Besides blocking out the sun on a school and a public playground, the construction (noisy with additional traffic) will be across from a school entrance. A better area should be found for this construction. It does not belong there. The blood center should relocate. There are better more suitable locations.	
5/22/2021 22:13:35	Jan 0-146-14	OdFt CO -tt Nov. Vl- NN	:	la annualità de de annulli adian	There is a zoning law that should be kept in place- no high buildings mid-block. Besides blocking out the sun on a school and a public playground, the construction (noisy with additional traffic) will be across from a school entrance. A better area should be found for this	
5/24/2021 10:25:57		215 east 68 street New York, NY 315. E. 68th Street, 10P	wood.misc@gmail.com	In opposition to the application In opposition to the application	construction. It does not belong there. The blood center should relocate. There are better more suitable locations. don't let greedy "non-profit" ruin the neighborhood for citizens and school children	
					How is it that every single resident of the Upper East Side and every local elected official opposes this tower and it's still moving forward? My children play in St Catherine's Park every day and this project would not only cast a shade on the park in the afternoons but would	
5/24/2021 10:42:42	Jane Lehman	315 east 68th		In opposition to the application		
					Unfortunately, I cannot be at the meeting. But I want to express my STRONG opposition to the proposal. If you attended the rally on Sunday, you saw the vast crowd of protesting neighbors. But where was press coverage? I've seen nothing on TV news or in the NYTimes. Can someone let us know if/when there will be media coverage? Of course, there may have been a good reason for the absence of media at the rally – but some of the attendees considered this a sad missed opportunity. Most critical: East 67th St is a major, one-lane, X-town bus route. The proposed plan will result in traffic tie-ups beyond belief. Access to the 67th St library will be seriously compromised during construction.	
5/24/2021 10:45:01 5/24/2021 10:47:48		315 East 68th 315 68th St	therword@yahoo.com daved315@gmail.com	STRONGLY opposed In opposition to the application		
5/24/2021 13:08:00	J G Giller	315 East 68th Street	jggiller@yahoo.com	In opposition to the application	Many reasons including light, zoning violation, no need due to 100M sq ft of available commercial space in NYC, 2500 additional workers traffic in the neighborhood, dangerous lab and Blood Center can easily accommodate future needs with 1/4 of the space requested in their plan.	
					Opposed to this project because it will disrupt the quality of life in this neighborhood, the zoning that keeps mid-block buildings at 5 story walkup height is the fabric of this community. The Blood Center should renovate and build the proposed part of the structure that they themselves will occupy; the tower does not belong here. The developer is clearly exploiting the non-profit status of the Blood Center in	
5/24/2021 15:26:24	Stephen Wessley	360 E. 72nd St	stephenwessley@yahoo.com	In opposition to the application	order to build a mid-block skyscraper. As a member of the Upper East Side community I am strongly opposed to The New York Blood Center's plan to rezone its site in order to develop a new, 334-foot mixed-use tower at 310 East 67th Street/303-319 East 66th Street. The size and scope of the project is unreasonable. In fact, The New York Blood Center only plans to occupy the first five floors of the building. The proposed project is unnecessary to support the New York Blood Center's operations and will have a severely negative impact on our community both during construction and when completed. The construction process will negatively impact the residents, students, and children of our community. Construction will disturb students'	
					learning and raises safety concerns for children in St. Catherine's Park. Once the building is constructed it will continue to negatively impact the community. The building will increase traffic, cause shadowing in the park and on nearby buildings, and set a terrible precedent for mid-block commercial buildings. It will ruin the neighborhood and quality of life for residents.	
5/24/2021 16:31:21	Corey Walker	333 East 66 St	Coreyebeck@gmail.com	In opposition to the application	There is no benefit to the existing community from this building as presented and I strongly believe there shouldn't be zoning changes to accommodate this project. As a native New Yorker, U.S. Army veteran, retired NYC Dept of Correction Director of Materials Management employee, and UES resident for over 50 years, I am appalled that there is not already enough evidence and support from residents and elected officials to	
5/24/2021 16:38:06	MARTY EDELMAN	333 EAST 66 ST	mpe1217@gmail.com	In opposition to the application	"kill" this project already. I am also disturbed to learn that many of the 11 gallons of blood that I have donated, has been sold by the NY Blood Center to NYC hospitals at high rates. I no longer hold the Blood Center in high esteem. Whether the Blood Center builds "as of right" (yet alone gets rezoning to build "as of wrong,") it should be forbidden by law to include a DRIA the advanced by the project of	
					BSL3 lab so dangerously close to residential apartments, schools and a playground/ park. Further, I ask again why no one has forced them to reveal the exact mechanics by which they would contain the airborne pathogens they	
5/24/2021 17:20:14		301 East 66	e-line @earthlink.net	In opposition to the application	plan to work with. Keeping in mind that accidents happen in even the best "fail safe" labs. There are building codes for a reason. We do not need a huge tower above and beyond the code. Keep the neighborhood in human	
5/24/2021 18:18:26 5/24/2021 18:26:32		875 Park Avenue, New York, NY	анненатт@аог.com		scale. Thank you. Will affect traffic, playground light, school and increase biohazards This building disease this to the scale build an inheadness. It will be all light from the playground assets upgrate able traffic	
5/24/2021 19:09:30	adele desantis	200 East 94 St	adeledesantis@aol.com	In opposition to the application	This building dies not fit into the residential neighborhood. It will block light from the playground, create unsustainable traffic, negatively impact a school and disrupt an entire community. This makes no sense on any level and is unnecessary. There are thousands of square feet EMPTY COMMERCIAL SPACES AVAILABLE, there is no need to destroy a residential neighborhood to create commercial space. This is a land grab and we cannot let this happen. The proposed development would be out of place on East 67th—our neighborhood is not zoned for such a building for a reason. The building would block the qualitable is our belowed \$1. Cathorison park and disput the traffic on a ctreat housing the building lightness of the place of the proposed development would be not for place on East 67th—our neighborhood is not zoned for such a building lightness of the place of the pla	
5/24/2021 21:03:08	Deborah Bennett	205 East 69	dabennettnyc@gmail.com	In opposition to the application	building would block the sunlight in our beloved St. Catherine's park and disrupt the traffic on a street housing the Julia Richmond complex and its six schools. We don't need more commercial space in this area where many nearby spaces remain empty. And we just lived through a major construction project on Second Avenue—don't put us through that for another several years.	
					Apart from everything written above, I'd like to stress that this tower is going to bring traffic situation in the neighborhood into a collapse. 1) As the plan of the building shows, there is no parking space designed, which means that all those 2K or 3K of new workers will have to park their cars somewhere. If you walk around the block and check the parkings, they are usually full by midday on a working day. 2) Five years of construction means blocking E 67th and E 66th streets for a long time - with the latter being the main artery that connects the traffic coming from Queensboro Bridge all the way to UWS through Central Park. 3) Because this neighborhood has so many hospitals, there are dozens of ambulances rushing through E 66th and E 67th every day. Blocking these streets will also mean more difficulties in	
5/25/2021 0:06:41	Victoria Masterchuk	301 E 66th	masterchuk@gmail.com	In opposition to the application		
5/25/2021 0:07:27	E. A.	301 E66th Street	peacht7@yahoo.com	In opposition to the application	break from work at the local hospitals, to just get some fresh air) will lose sunlight making it less enjoyable for thousands of its daily visitors. Why should we break existing city zoning rules to put this monstrosity across the street from a school and a city playground? Who is really benefiting from this???? For so many reasons, I oppose this application. But having lived on this block for so many years, I know that 67th Street cannot handle the years of construction nor the aftermath due to the traffic that it can barely handle now. Between the crosstown busses, , the garbage pick ups, the ambulances from the local hospitals, and the school busses for Julia Richmond, the street has all the traffic it can handle.	
5/25/2021 1:37:55 5/25/2021 6:28:59		301 East 66th Street 301 East 66th Street, 12 B New	Irlieberman@gmail.com pratley@aol.com	In opposition to the application I oppose the construction	We cannot afford to lose these services during this unnecessary construction and the street certainly can't handle the new traffic it will bring post construction. There are many sites in NYC where this building project can go, and not create all the issues it will on 67th and 66th Streets.Why here?? The noise and disruption and blocking lights in my apartment will reduce quality of my live	
5/25/2021 7:45:42	William Gagstetter	300 East 68th Street		In opposition to the application	As a principal on the Julia Richman Educational Complex, I am writing in opposition to the Blood Center ULURP Application. The added congestion on 67th street, the shadows blocking out all natural sunlight on the campus and on St. Catherine's park, as well as the immediate shift from residential neighborhood to commercialized zone, are only some of the reasons for Talent Unlimited High School's opposition. This project would be detrimental to the over 2,500 students on the Julia Richman Educational Complex, and the hundreds of thousands of future students who hope to one cay call JREC their school.	
		22.2.2.3.500		по арричини	With the incredible amount of empty commercial real estate spaces available in NYC, it is almost unbelievable that construction of a new tower in a solidly residential area is under consideration. Neighborhoods lose their sense of community & unique character when	
5/25/2021 8:08:43	Carrie Alexander	96 Arden Street; Apt 2D	carrie.alexander10@verizon.n	e In opposition to the application	commercial buildings are inserted in their center. The impact on an overloaded subway system on the east side should also not be minimized. Having lost our zoning fights on the Far Upper West Side of Manhattan should be a lesson in what not to do vis a vis zoning	

Timestamp	Name	Address	Email Address	Are you	Comments on the application The blood center should work within the current zoning or find another location. Even after only a short time, the Q train has platform overcrowding like we on the 6 train at 77th and 86th streets which clearly indicates that we're already past appropriate density in the neighborhood. Meanwhile, new high rise towers continue to grow along 1st, 2nd and 3rd avenue. Let's work within the current zoning rules and pump the breaks a bit on further increasing density. With regard to the jobs argument That's pretty short sighted. Construction will occur within zoning rules and supply jobs or construction will occur within zoning rules and supply jobs or construction will occur within zoning rules and supply jobs or construction will occur within zoning rules and supply jobs or construction will occur within zoning rules and supply jobs or construction will occur within zoning rules and supply jobs or construction will occur within zoning rules and supply jobs or construction will occur at another location approvide jobs. Furthermore, we can't simply	Sumbission Number
5/25/2021 8:40:46 5/25/2021 8:45:34			pbschon@gmail.com slaterbaby10@gmail.com	In opposition to the application In opposition to the application	build things that we don't want and have to live with for decades in order to create jobs that last a few years. I am opposed to the new Blood Center Dear CB8, this application is wrong on so many levels. It will be a travesty to our residential neighborhood. The protection of our mid block zoning is essential to keeping the UES a livable environment for our residents. Please let's not allow them to take away our sunlight,	380 381
					add more traffic to our already clogged streets, and add thousands more people to our already crowded neighborhood. This rezoning would set a terrible and dangerous precedent for the UES and for NO good reason! The shadow that this monstrosity would cast over the Julia Richmond EC and the children who play daily in St Catherine's Park would be terribly harmful to their mental health. And I can't imaging what 5 yrs of construction will do to them, particularly the population of students	
					on the spectrum. I can't think of a worse place to site a building of this size. Additionally E67th St is a block with a small neighborhood library and a cross town bus that is a critical conduit between the east and west sides. We don't need our little library dwarfed by this monstrosity, and our bus service disrupted.	
5/25/2021 10:09:38	April Gallo	333 East 69th Street, 10B, NYC	aprilagallo@icloud.com	In opposition to the application	And what about the 24 hr light pollution this tower will cast over our homes??? This building can and should be sited elsewhere! We know there are options and we know the Blood Center can raise the money to redo it's headquarters within-rights and through normal means. This is just another greedy developer trying to take advantage. This is not appropriate on so many levels. This is our neighborhood, our homes, and must be stopped!	382
					I am in opposition to the applications to amend the Zoning Laws being proposed in order that NYBC & and Co-Conspirator Longfellow Real Estate monetize air rights to which they are not entitled. Having attended Zoom Meetings on 12/8/20, 3/23/21, 4/27/21 & 5/12/21, while I respect the review process, it is clear that the proposing group does not and in fact are in defiance of CB#8 and the Community as highlighted by Chairman Squire on 5/12/21 when he questioned the delay by NYBC in making a requested posting. This delay existed until NYBC was "reminded" of the request. There has been a choice by the proposing team not to attend all meetings, as well as a choice not to amend their presentation to address the multitude of	
					Community comments except to "soft sell" the use of BSL3 Labs that are included in the Mega Towers proposed design. Clearly, through articles I have read and provided to both the Zoning Committee and Land Use Committee, these delays, lack of attendance and lack of any changes in the proposal is merely a page in the Kramer Levin playbook to "run out the clock" and restrict any meaningful discussions. In fact in answer to many questions, Paul Selber, described by Anthony Cohen who was a participant during the Land Use Committee Meeting, as the Preeminent Land Use Attorney, merely forestalls answering direct questions by stating he would review and present the answers at a later date.	
					At both the Zoning and Land Use Committee Meetings, there have been expressions of amazement from members regarding the speed at which this proposal has made it through the NYC process, as well as the overwhelming project approval of the Mayor and his staff in the face of their statements of support for communities and especially the students of our City. This was further emphasized by Congresswoman Maloney at the 5/23/21 Rally when it was shared that a development in Queens was recently rejected by the Mayor for the very reasons of theft of sunlight and negative comments by the community.	
					Based on the articles I have read and provided to both committees, one needs to wonder if perhaps it is due to the \$300K of nearly \$3million in fees that Mayor DeBlasio continues to owe Kremer Levin for their representing him during fraud investigations several years ago by the US Southern District, Manhattan DA and a NYS Committee. I myself owe a bank nearly that amount for a mortgage. I pay a portion each month with largest part going to interest. Does anyone need	
5/25/2021 10:12:28	Steven Smith	333 East 66th Street Apt 10N	ssmith075@aol.com	In opposition to the application	to wonder what the Mayors interest is? Do not allow the gutting of our zoning laws created to protect the integrity of our neighborhoods. Say No the Kramer Levin Model of "Zoning for Dollars"!	383
					I STRONGLY OPPOSE the proposed rezoning of the New York Blood Center to allow the construction of a 16-story, 334-foot-tall building between East 66th-67th Streets. This huge mid-block building will be vastly out of scale and completely out of character for this residential community. Additionally, it will cast enormous shadows on the surrounding area, including an elementary school and active park where community children and elderly currently enjoy bright green space. The increase commercial tenancy will escalate local foot and automotive traffic, a problem further compounded by the fact that this affects one of the few vital crosstown bus routes and critical ambulance access to the surrounding hospitals. Additionally, the light pollution from the 24/7 operation of this massive tower will further harm the area.	
					Several alternative sites which are much more appropriate for this research center project, than our densely-populated residential area, were offered by the City but they were refused by NYBC/Longfellow. If this up-zoning proposal is approved, I am deeply concerned that it will hugely harm the neighborhood, by altering residential mid-block zoning to allow towering commercial space, with a size and height normally reserved for avenue locations. I also fear will set a dangerous precedent for our UES neighborhood space and across the city.	
5/25/2021 11:12:20	-	360 East 72nd Street, Apt C250		In opposition to the application		384
5/25/2021 11:28:38		301 E 66 Street 15L, New York,			The proposed BB will limit sunlight, congest the area, affect parks and overall make the neighborhood pretty much unlivable. As a longtime donor at Center East, I need to agree with the other respondents who are saying the structure is too large and out of	385
5/25/2021 11:38:12 5/25/2021 11:45:20	·	151 First Avenue #139, New Yor 315 East 68th Street	ivy@bannister.org		context with area. Also, if this is "a commercial venture disguised as a research facility," it has no place on the Upper East Side. It would be a shame to break the rules and erect a high rise like this in the middle of 67th Street. It would set a terrible precedent, and open a free for all, all over the city. What kind of city do we want ours to be? One where giant buildings overwhelm and suffocate? Or one where decent lives for all, where humanity, remains a priority. In the immediate area, it would have a terrible effect on the very important children's playground between 67th and 68th Street, a playground where I myself played nearly seventy years ago, and where I hope my own grandchildren and their children will play.	386
5/25/2021 11:58:13	SHARON R. KAHN	1619 Third Avenue, #23B	drsrkahn@gmail.com	In opposition to the application	I am opposed to going beyond a fifth floor renovation for the Blood Center-and only for the Blood Center. I am vigorously opposed to floors above that, as the Blood Center makes it clear they will be renting to others scientists. The Blood Center's work is important and thus they deserve a more modern setting—but they don't require a high rise in order to continue their work. And again, they are very clear that floors above the fifth are to be rented out for work that does not involve them.	388
5/25/2021 12:19:46	James Hart	432E85th St	jhart13@nyc.rr.com	In opposition to the application	The overall size and height is not in anyway homogeneous with the neighborhood The excessive and inappropriate height of this midblock building will have serious and deleterious impacts on the streetscape, adjacent	389
5/25/2021 13:13:03		155 East 76 Street NYC 10021		In opposition to the application	park, and quality of life in the neighborhood. Most of the building will not be used by the Blood Center but will be leased to other entities. I am gravely concerned that if they build this very tall building in the middle of the block it will open the door for many more tall buildings to	390
5/25/2021 13:37:01	Lynn Perrone	310 East 75 Street	Llp965@gmail.com	In opposition to the application	be built mid block which I think is now prohibited! This a totally inapprooriate development in our residential family oriented neighborood and there is no redeeming reason that would be beneficial to our community particularly the youth and elders who spend majority of their daylight hours here. The actual bloid bank does not require a 40 story towers, but this is a blatant grab of real estate that will only profit the developersshame on the blood bank!!	391
	Jacqueline Sferra Rada	233 East 69 Street. 6M	jjsrada@gmail.com	In opposition to the application	STOP THIS TRAVESTY!!! I stand opposed to the construction of the tower. I do not want a huge tower in my neighborhood, I do not want an experimental lab with the potential of polluting the environment and I do not want a change in the mid block zoning that will impact the character of the upper	392
5/25/2021 14:27:44 5/25/2021 14:38:45		325 East 79th Street, Ny, ny 100 325 East 79th Street	Jg.murth@gmail.com	In opposition to the application In opposition to the application	Eastside. I am completely opposed to the mid-block tower in our neighborhood. We are being overwhelmed by new development.	393 394
5/25/2021 14:39:55	llAna Ben Zvi	420 E 64th Street	llanabenzvi13@gmail.com	In opposition to the application	Please no. We do not need a tower casting a shadow on our local park and on the happiness of all of the children in the neighborhood. Children and hospital workers use this park daily. It is ALWAYS busy when the sun is out. Do not take away their sunlight. Please no. With every meeting, every "response" from Kramer Levin this project only sounds more ridiculous and more unacceptable. How any government official at any time could allow this project to go forward, especially now after a global pandemic that left this city with a surplus of commercial real estate is truly despicable. There is no benefit to this community using this site to erect a mid block commercial tower on a residential street and there is no detriment to NYBC to erect it elsewhere. In fact, there are many benefits to NYBC moving their space to another location, even a location very close by so that they can remain in this neighborhood that they feel is so necessary to	
5/25/2021 14:40:53	Lauren Glenn	333 East 66th Street	lr1867@gmail.com	In opposition to the application	their research and development. One major benefit is that they can continue to operate during construction. One would think this would be important to NYBC and to their important work. But the longer this goes on, the clearer it is seeming that this is not about the work, but about the profit at the expense of people. Our elected officials need to really get behind us, and take on our corrupt mayor who allowed for this to get this far.	
5/25/2021 14:47:00	Nicholas Hansinger	333 E 66th Street		In opposition to the application	This massive mid-block building would ruin the neighborhood and create rampant congestion for years to come. It is a shame it is even being considered. To build this 16 story monstrosity in the middle of the block (67th Street between 1st and 2nd Avenues) would require rezoning which should not be allowed. The zoning laws as they now exist re: mid-block building limits the height of buildings to 75 feet in order to	397
5/25/2021 15:01:01			rswmd1234@gmail.com	In opposition to the application	maintain the integrity of side streets. This must continue!!! Re-zoning cannot be allowed! It will ultimately destroy every neighborhood in the UES.	398
5/25/2021 15:19:40 5/25/2021 15:44:28		145 East 92nd Street, Ny, ny 10 339 East 90th Street Apt GE Ne	_	In opposition to the application In favor of the application	I oppose the tower I do not want to change the midblock zoning I support the proposal and NYC needs to move past NIMBY opposition to any project.	399 400
5/25/2021 15:52:38	sheila kendrick	10 West 66th Street	savecentralparknyc	In opposition to the application	Don't allow additional shadows on our limited park green space. Afternoons when the Children of our City use the parks need sunlight. This is an issue for mental and physical health as well as safety. Air and Light were protected in the original 1916 zoning resolution. It is more important now than then. Our air and light tis being obliterated by Super towers. Please rein this abusive developer in.	401
					it is clear to me that the NY Blood Center is acting in bad faith, they have their eyes and ethics clouded by getting a three story building for free and in turn they allow a more than 30 story building go up mid-block in a residential area. I used to support this organization, I am deeply disappointed by this proposal and their actions. as a trained professional architect, a leader in my chosen profession and a neighbor, I strongly oppose this project. the NY Blood Center should be a shamed of the part they are attempting to play in destroying the neighborhood.	
5/25/2021 16:24:06	dale cohen	525 east 89th st, apt 2b, ny, ny 1	dalebcohen@yahoo.com	In opposition to the application	Long before the Julia Richman High School was built or St. Catherine's Park created, my father's father's family lived a block north. Before the New York Blood Center was created, my father moved us a block west, where I still live today. The Park has provided generations of my family and my neighbors an oasis of light and air, increasingly precious commodities as towers on the avenues have hemmed it in atop Lenox Hill. The playground has provided recreation for students, its outdoor setting consolation for family and friends	402
					visiting loved ones in the adjacent hospitals. St. Catherine's is a vital escape valve for children and the infirm for whom Central Park is just too far away. In Dad's final months I would wheel him there to bask in the warmth of the sun and revel in the play of children and their pets. The park always brought a smile to his face as it colored his complexion. St. Catherine's gift is to span the arc of life. The proposed expansion of the Center threatens to deny my community those fundamental resources by creating a permanent afternoon solar eclipse. It selfishly imposes a massive midtown tower midblock into a residential community starved for open breathing space. If enacted, this Brobdingnagian rezoning poses a dangerous precedent for other neighborhoods as well. Ironically an institution devoted to saving lives, one that claims to "proudly serve as a vital community lifeline dedicated to serving patients and advancing global public health," wants to figuratively turn its back on the surrounding village by throwing up a towering glass wall that would drape Julia Richman's classrooms and St. Catherine's playground and benches in darkness. It's not just the shadow that would be oppressive, but the psychological imprisonment the building's bulk would create. And for what greater good? It's all for research facilities that could easily locate elsewhere in the city without disrupting a residential community and the health of children who come to study and play every single day.	
					I was surprised to discover that my neighbor which I had perceived since its launch to be the city's local blood bank has now morphed by merger into an organization in at least a dozen states serving nearly a quarter of the country's population. If NYBC has outgrown its original conception and location, why would it still only occupy five floors? The solution is not to stack others' additional facilities into a vertical tower obliterating the needs of this long-established neighborhood below. The solution is not to add commercial space in an area already overrun with vacant space. If the Blood Center truly understands the Hippocratic Oath, it would respect the health and welfare of Lenox Hill residents, workers, and	
5/25/2021 16:34:15	Rick Cohen	215 E 68th St, 10065	rickohen@aol.com	In opposition to the application	visitors and withdraw this inappropriate zoning grab. I urge the Community Board and others in the process to recognize that if the sanctity of air and space and light is ignored in Lenox Hill, no residential neighborhood anywhere is safe.	403
5/25/2021 16:43:23	Laura Ann Jackson	438 E. 66th St. New York, NY 1	0065	In opposition to the application	Once it's built, it can't be unbuilt. Please stop the degradation of our community. A mid-block skyscraper is 'not' ok.	404

mestamp	Name	Address	Email Address	Are you	Comments on the application	Sumbission Number
					May 25, 2021 CB8 Meeting New York Blood Center Development Plan Comments Comments to CB8 in Opposition to the Development by Bill Angelos	
					I am a resident and the Board member of 301 E. 66th Street Condo Corp. The building I reside in is located on the east side of 2nd Avenue between 66th and 67th streets and is immediately adjacent to the New York Blood Center ("NYBC") on the west. The New York Blood Center at 310 E67th St (between 1st and 2nd avenues) seeks to redevelop its site to build a massive 334' tall tower in place of its existing 67' tall 3 to 5 story structure.	
					While board of my building supports the Blood Center rebuilding their facility under the current R8B zoning as-of-right, our building, which is included in the proposed rezoning, is absolutely opposed to this action.	
					We are deeply disturbed that such an undertaking could be taking place for nearly two years without our knowledge. We are even more disturbed that our building is included – along with only one other property at 1261 2nd Avenue – within this rezoning proposal without our consultation or consent. We are thoroughly outraged that our property's inclusion is solely to facilitate the construction of a massively out-of-scale building which will thoroughly ruin the quality of life of not only our condominium but of the entire neighborhood, including (immediately across the street) a major public school complex and the only public park of any size on the Upper East Side between Central Park and the East River, rare assets at total risk due to this proposed project.	
					As one of only three parcels included in this spot rezoning – and the main building(s) that the developer and the Department of City Planning are consistently using to compare their project in order to minimize its effects in their presentations – we demand that our building be removed from this rezoning proposal immediately. We will not be used in this fashion to enable a massive out-of-scale building that is, in essence, a \$1.1 billion speculative for-profit real estate development thinly disguised (at best) as something necessary and for the betterment of New York City.	
					The proposed tower is not needed by the blood center to meet its mission. While developing and advocating for new construction, facilities and buildings is commonplace in NYC, the Blood Center by its own admission can build a larger facility to meet its need as-of-right than what is being proposed for its use as part of this commercial tower project. This is clearly just a real estate deal for their own profit at the expense of area residents, all other adjacent property owners and the residential neighborhood in general.	
5/25/2021 16:48:04	Rill Angelos	301 E66th St	vcangelos@yahoo.com	In opposition to the application	Despite a claimed need for additional space, NYBC will occupy approximately the same area of space in the new building, with the rest of the building rented out at market rated by an out of state development company, Longfellow. It appears likely the NYBC redevelopment involves two condo units one will be community facility and non-profit NYBC; and the other a For-Profit use for which the developer/NYBC provided no justification.	40
5/25/2021 16:48:04		167 East 67 Street Apt 4E	Debbieslevin7@gmail.com	In opposition to the application	This enormous building will change the character of our neighborhood. It will deprive the school and park of valued sunlight. It will bring additional traffic to a major crosstown bus route and fire department access road, 24/7 noise and light pollution, not to mention unusual pathogens. Most importantly, the zoning laws exist for a purpose: to maintain the neighborhood atmosphere. There is no need to put this building here when the same services can be located in the medical district two blocks over	40
3/23/2021 10:40:04	Debble	Apt 4E	Debblesieviii/ @gmail.com	in opposition to the application	The construction of the Memorial Sloan Kettering building in the middle of the block behind my apartment on 64th street (in 2015) destroyed the quality of life in my building. For a year, my apartment was unlivable. Every morning began with blaring sirens and dynamite blasts. I ended up having to move out of NYC temporarily and could not sublease my unit. The apartment is on the 4th floor, once sundrenched with Southern exposure. Now, with a taller building blocking the sunlight, I need to turn on the lights at noon. I cannot stress	
5/25/2021 16:50:22	Adam Reiner	338 East 65th Street Apt 15	adamreiner@me.com	In opposition to the application	enough how disruptive a construction project like this will be for residents and park dwellers. Please consider limiting the scope of the construction to cause as little disruption to the lives of neighborhood residents as possible.	40
5/25/2021 17:19:09	Carole Mandel	360 E 72 St Apt B1106	cmandel1@icloud.com	In opposition to the application	The building is uneccesary and would harm the neighborhood. It would cast shadow over a park and children's playground. There are sufficient noise, traffic congestion and tall buildings at the 2 ends of these relatively quiet E .66th and E. 67th Streets where the	40
					Avenues run. With the pandemic many stores have gone out of business. To contribute to New York "return to Normalcy, the Blood Bank Partners should look at those places for useful renovation & transformation. Again, creating a Pseudo Sciences Space/ Club in a new, larger ,uncalled for and unneeded Blood Bank Buildingl? Look around at the multiple, cluttered, segmented medical institutions, schools, laboratories, conference halls, residences and other related dependencies. Science is there! Around here, give residents some living	
5/25/2021 17:19:47	KIM HURT (Mrs)	301 E. 66th St Apt 2F New York	Kimhurt@aol.com	In opposition to the application	space, healthy air of their own! The blood center is a much needed facility. What isn't needed is a 334 foot tall tower to replace it. This is a residential neighborhood with families - Families that go to the library, the park, the schools. They work and play here, eat at the restaurants, shop at the stores. Such a large commercial building like this doesn't belong in a residential area - period. You're changing the face of a neighborhood. The building is going to block the sun from hitting the park, putting that whole area in a constant shadow, not to mention the increase in automobile traffic because of deliveries and people in the new building driving to work, which is going to create air pollution - a health	40
5/25/2021 17:21:00 5/25/2021 17:25:57		321 East 66 Street, New York, N	dkostulas@hotmail.com		According to the sound of the s	41
E/0E/0004 47:04:00	KINAUUDT	204 5 2011 04	(Carbort Oct Laws	N	I came from Europe and bought in this neighborhood because it reminds me of some parts of the Latin Quarter of Paris, with small shops, take- out places, convenience stores, groceries, markets and restaurants, a church, an Open air market on week endsThese trends should be preserved to attract same residents and businesses. That the Blood Bank should erect new offices and labs is perfectly understandable and reasonable. To build a Tower for a Pseudo Sciences-minded Space is ridiculous and totally unnecessary when many vacant large lots all around are or will be housing tall residential buildings joining other tall "towers". I hear zoning laws in the US	
5/25/2021 17:34:06	KIM HUKI	301 E. 66th St	Kimhurt@aol.com	Not opposed to progress and de	are quite strict 117 To the Community Board 5/25/21	4
					I am vehemently opposed to the new Blood Center Tower !!! Let me start by saying that this is our community and our neighborhood !! Who the hell is Longfellow to drop in here for a land grab ?? !!!! I find the Blood Center and Longfellow's reasoning absolutely disingenuous Disingenuous A disingenuous remark might contain some superficial truth, but it is delivered with the intent to deceive or to serve some hidden purpose. I think the following three points need to be emphasized:	
					I) Improved Building No one disagrees that they need a new facility, their current headquarters building is very old and run-down. But the Blood Center admits that they could build a new "as of right" facility at their current location that would give them all the space they require (in fact, more space than they would occupy in the proposed Towerl).	
					2) Signage The Blood Center is proposing to have total signage more than six times the signage permitted under applicable law and a gigantic 14x the illuminated signage permitted under applicable law, placed at a height 60% higher than permitted under applicable law. WHY IS THIS ENORMOUS SIGNAGE NECESSARY?	
					3) Collaborating researchers require "close physical proximity" This argument is false!! Research collaborations have essentially moved to highly efficient virtual platforms like Zoom and Microsoft Teams An analysis (done by Marty Bell @ 315 E 68) indicates that of the last fifty-four research papers by the Blood Center, researchers revealed that only two of the fifty-four, or 3.7%, showed collaborations by Blood Center researchers exclusively	
					with researchers at the three nearby world-class institutions. Further, Marty has letters from Mass General (Boston), Mayo Clinic and Johns Hopkins School of Medicine – which say close proximity is no longer needed !!! Thank you for all you are doing in trying to stop this Blood Center	
5/25/2021 17:37:58	Ruth Lee Brodsky	315 East 68 St	ruthlb57@yahoo.com	In opposition to the application	Tower !!! From: Ruth Brodsky 315 East 68 St ruthlb57@yahoo.com I once again voice my opposition to the Blood Center proposal. I and many other residents have expressed concerns about traffic,	4
5/25/2021 17:46:27	Craig Shemin	333 E. 66th St. #6L	craigshemin@me.com	In opposition to the application	emergency vehicle access, infrastructure, shadows, noise, pollution and other problems which this project will cause in our neighborhood, threatening the lifeblood of our community and the Blood Center has not sufficiently accommodated concerns. They have not changed any of their plans to address community concerns. Zoning regulations are there for a reason, and I don't understand why a request to build a 330-foot tower in a residential neighborhood limited to 75-foot buildings would even be considered. I respectfully urge the Community Board to vote against the proposed project. I would support a Blood Center expansion within the current zoning regulations.	4
5/25/2021 17:57:10	Emily Baller	315 E. 68th St.	eballer@msn.com	In opposition to the application	I am strongly opposed to this application. The Blood Bank can easily build an as of right building that will fulfill its needs for space. The Blood Bank prefers to get a free building rather than use its endowment or fund raise which is how most not-for-profits raise money. The Blood Bank has plenty of money though, evidenced by its buying up of blood banks around the country. Solow is putting up a Life Sciences hub on it's property in the east 30's. We certainly don't need this huge Life sciences building here. If a Life Sciences Site was really so critical in this area as the Blood Bank would have us believe, why aren't any of the other hospitals in the area advocating for this building? None of them are making statements supporting this development. Clearly, it's not a necessity for the medical community in this neighborhood. It's outrageous that this proposal has gotten as far as it has.	4
					I am opposed to this application for the many reasons so many have expressed. We absolutely need to protect our zoning laws. The damage the building will cause to the park and JREC are also major considerations. In addition, the traffic on these narrow side streets,	
5/25/2021 18:00:12	William Markstein	315 E. 68th St.	wemarkstein@gmail.com	In opposition to the application	where there is already a crosstown bus and many school busses would be a disaster. My name is Errol Bakal, unit owner at 301 E 66th St, the building adjacent to the proposed development. This is to voice opposition to the proposed expansion of the Blood Center. Currently the Blood Center is ~159,000 GSF. The proposed development is a whopping ~596,000 GSF, which still understates the size	4
					of the development given the high ceilings for ventilation on each floor. The final height of the building would be equivalent to a 33 story residential building - located on an RESIDEN.TIAL mid-block, with a commercial loading dock mid-block on a congested side street that is a east-west traverse to cross Central Park. The idea is logistically ludicrous, on top of the fact that this is a precedent that would attack all R8B zoned mid-blocks throughout the City. Expansion is misleading, and a good point to start off with.	
					What is most insulting is that this "Expansion" is not a material expansion of the Blood Center itself. The proposed development would allocate ~206,000 GSF to the Blood Center. As of right, the existing zoning would allow the Blood Center ~229,000 GSF, more than enough for their needs. As such this is not a zoning request for the Blood Center's need itself. The Blood Center is acting as a Trojan Horse, to allow for a very profitable real estate deal for them alongside Longfellow, the developer - at the expense of the community and at the risk of all R8B protected mid-blocks. This would be a handout to a private real estate deal - looking for an exception to R8B to lease out and landlord every additional square foot beyond their existing zoning. This is not the blood center's need - it is the blood	
					center's greed. It is not even believable that the Blood Center needs new facilities. They claim the location is critical to providing service, however they are fully ready to relocate for 5+ years during construction? If they can operate for 5 years from another location, it indicates this is not where they have to be. And they are bringing this development forward when there is a glut of commercial real estate available. The appetite to develop this property in today's reality is a loud indication of what a land-grab they are going for with the Blood Center "Expansion" waiverfre-zone. A Trojan Horse.	
					To be so selfish as to want to develop a skyscraper with a footprint the size of the Freedom Tower on a block that is home to the Julia Richman Educational Complex and an independent nursery school (at the base of my building), is wrong. To subject these children to 5 years of construction and the associated air quality, noise, and traffic, and at the end, when the dust has settled, to sit in a permanent shadow, is wrong. To rob the community of comfort of the only park in the area (St. Catherine's) for 5 years of construction, and again, have it sit in a shadow, is wrong.	
					Wrong, because they can do this somewhere sensible, that needs this development. We do not. We are strongly a residential neighborhood, with obvious development on Avenues, where sensible, but the midlocks are off limits because it is logistically unsound. The loading docks to manage biohazardous waste and dangerous chemicals in and off itself is a nightmare that does not take much imagination to envision. It is also negligent in the case of an emergency. And again, do we want a 33-story waste and chemicals factory on the same block as a huge school complex and neighborhood park? One of the only parks? Priorities must be made and to put some private enterprises' taste to personally profit off the opportunity to more than triple their zoning with NOTHING in return to the community. If the Blood Center can relocate for 5 years during construction, please let them relocate forever. There is no excuse to give special	
					treatment as the case is presented and I do not believe in a handout to private companies with no promises in exchange. They do not even know who their tenants might be. And frankly, if the zoning is approved, there would be nothing to have them pivot the project into standard commercial or even residential purposes. Please stop this ridiculous ask now. The blood center has been trying at this since 1985 when the R8B was passed. The Blood Center says they are in the community's interest but they are and have been behaving in a most predatory manner. Thank you for your time and consideration in this matter.	
5/25/2021 18:01:24	Errol Bakal	301 E 66TH ST APT 9P, NY, NY	errolbakal@gmail.com	In opposition to the application	Sincerely, Errol Bakal	4
					I am writing to voice my opposition to the proposed expansion of the New York Blood Center. I live in this neighborhood which at this point still has a neighborhood feel.	
		340 East 66th street			But that won't last long if this building were to be erected. The area is already quite congested and the traffic is horrible which will only increase if this plan is approved. Most of all, it isn't necessary and would only open the field for other over achieving developers who clearly care only for profits and not for people.	
5/25/2021 18:03:07	Laurie S Sanchez	340 East 66th street Apt 6C	laurie.sanchez@gmail.com	In opposition to the application	Please consider the lives of the residents who live in this neighborhood and call it home. I am shocked that this project has gotten as far as it has. Zoning laws should be respected like other laws instead of developers thinking	41
5/25/2021 18:04:23	Charlotte Markstein	315 E. 68th St.	crmarkstein@gmail.com	In opposition to the application	I am shocked that this project has gotten as far as it has. Zoning laws should be respected like other laws instead of developers thinking that zoning rules are there to be broken and changed to suit their fancy. We need to protect our midblock zoning. It's distressing that we have a mayor who is pushing this project to pay off his legal bills, with zero concern for our community.	4

	Name	Address	C	A	Commands on the confliction	Overhieries Northern
•	Name	Address		Are you	Comments on the application I oppose the request for a variance on current zoning limits for this commercial project. The proposal will harm the community and the environment. The neighborhood is currently home to several busy hospitals with emergency vehicles, schools with school buses, a park and a crosstown bus route. Adding extra vehicle and pedestrian traffic to a busy neighborhood would be harmful. This project would introduce harmful exhaust and light pollution in the evening to the neighborhood. In addition, during the day, the proposed tower would block sun light to a school, park and the local community. Re-zoning would only introduce harmful impacts to the community and the city. I have heard that the current Mayor is a client of the law firm representing the Blood Center/Longfellow Project.	
5/25/2021 18:24:10	Kathy O'Connor	315 East 68th Street, New York,	kocfa@yahoo.com	In opposition to the application	If so, he should not participate in any meeting, vote or have any voice regarding this project. The NYBC, the Mayor and City Planning are despicable, inhuman beings! Not only will JREC & their students be terrorized by this terrible zoning change but hundreds or perhaps thousands of residents will be subjected to the dangerous noise, dirt and VERY important is "There won't be any SUNSHINE anymore" if built. The aforementioned is serious and can and likely will cause irreparable damage both psychological and physiologically to students and residents. If I were the NYBC and/or Longfellow I would be very careful what they wish for!!!	
					Just wait when they start requesting special work permits for weekends and all through the night because they can't do demolition or construction when school is open. Just wait until the crosstown bus is constantly rerouted!	
5/25/2021 18:25:00	Marcia Lowe	301 E.66th St. Apt.9C, New York	marcia@lowebiz.com	In opposition to the application	Hopefully they won't be dumb enough to put mechanicals 20 feet from my apartment because as they know I am the NYBC noise abatement ENFORCER.	421
					How much more congestion has to be added to a residential neighborhood already crowded with hospitals, schools and businesses. Subways and buseses are already crowded, residents and children need their space, their sunshine and some quiet and quality living areas! Enough is enough!	
5/25/2021 18:25:21	Robin K Adam	333 East 68th Street NY 10065	robinkadam@yahoo.com		Stop the tower for our health and sanity!!! The DEIS including exhibits is 1,368 pages, more than 100,000 words, yet there are only 91 words, in three sentences, dealing with the loss of sunlight in St. Catherine's Park. It says that "potential mitigation measures being explored include replacing vegetation and additional maintenance workers." That's like saying you're going to add more crew hands and put flowers in the staterooms of the Titanic. One might say the Blood Center is not focusing on the right thing. There is no mitigation for the loss of sunlight. Putting St. Catherine's	422
5/25/2021 18:27:11 5/25/2021 18:29:45		NYC 301 E66th St 15C NY, NY 10065	marty1035@aol.com		park in shadow all afternoon, as well as putting Julia Richman in darkness the entire school day, is the iceberg that should sink this project. Impact to park, pollution, noise pollution, car and pedestrian traffic, natural light impact	423 424
3/23/2021 10.29.40	Autom Majumuai	SULEDULI SULED NI, NI 10000	Autonimaj@gman.com	in opposition to the application	The attorney for the Blood Center said, at the Land Use Committee meeting on May 12th, that, "if anyone asked us to agree, we would agree to make that [i.e., use for something other than life sciences] what I call a major modification, subject to ULURP to change". This offer is, as a practical matter, meaningless. It's ice in winter in the Arctic.	424
					If they can get ULURP approval to build a massive 334' commercial tower in a mid-block location zoned for 75', which will put JREC in darkness and most of St. Catherine's in shadows all afternoon, then how hard would it be to get ULURP approval to convert that already existing 334' life science tower to a 334' luxury condo! It's like asking someone who runs 50 mile ultra-marathons to run around the block! It's like asking someone who's sinking baskets from mid-court to make a lay-up!	
					If the Blood Center wants to show they're serious, they could put a deed in escrow and say that if they don't use the space in the Tower for life sciences, then the deed gets automatically transferred to Friend of the UES, or to the Cityl Time to put up or shut up! If the Blood Center is getting on their high horse and trying to sell this project and get the site up-zoned by saying "Life Sciences!, Life Sciences!, Life Sciences!", then they have to be willing to take the hit if that's all B.S and it's not longer used for Life Sciences (or, even worse, if it's never used for Life Sciences, and they want it approved for some other use even before the Tower is opened!).	
5/25/2021 18:31:20	Martin A. Bell	NYC	marty1035@aol.com	In opposition to the application	And if the Blood Center is not willing to "put up or shut up" and take the hit of a total loss of the building, then, at a minimum, would they agree that if they ever want to go for ULURP to make a "major modification" for the use of the building, while ULURP gives both CB8 and the Manhattan Borough President the opportunity to render an "advisory opinion", for such a "major modification" of the use of the building they would agree the both CB8 and the MBP would have a veto right.	425
					To the Community Board 5/25/21 am vehemently opposed to the new Blood Center Tower !!!	
					Let me start by saying that this is our community and our neighborhood!! Who the hell is Longfellow to drop in here for a land grab ??!!!! Ifind the Blood Center and Longfellow's reasoning absolutely disingenuous Disingenuous A disingenuous remark might contain some superficial truth, but it is delivered with the intent to deceive or to serve some hidden purpose. I think the following three points need to be emphasized:	
					1) Improved Building No one disagrees that they need a new facility, their current headquarters building is very old and run-down. But the Blood Center admits that they could build a new "as of right" facility at their current location that would give them all the space they require (in fact, more space than they would occupy in the proposed Towert).	
					2) Signage The Blood Center is proposing to have total signage more than six times the signage permitted under applicable law and a gigantic 14x the illuminated signage permitted under applicable law, placed at a height 60% higher than permitted under applicable law.	
					WHY IS THIS ENORMOUS SIGNAGE NECESSARY? 3) Collaborating researchers require "close physical proximity"	
					This argument is falsel! Research collaborations have essentially moved to highly efficient virtual platforms like Zoom and Microsoft Teams An analysis (done by Marty Bell @ 315 E 68) indicates that of the last fifty-four research papers by the Blood Center, researchers revealed that only two of the fifty-four, or 3.7%, showed collaborations by Blood Center researchers exclusively with researchers at the three nearby world-class institutions. Further, Marty has letters from Mass General (Boston), Mayo Clinic and Johns Hopkins School of Medicine — which say close proximity is no longer needed!!!	
					Thank you for all you are doing in trying to stop this Blood Center Tower !!!	
5/25/2021 18:31:48	Ruth Lee Brodsky	315 East 68 St	ruthlb57@yahoo.com	In opposition to the application	From: Ruth Brodsky 315 East 68 St ruthlb57@yahoo.com This is a ridiculously out of proportion project which violates existing mid block zoning regulations which were established for good reason We already suffer from abuse ignored by the 19th precinct from fox 5 congesting 68th street with blatant parking abuse and double parking never resolved. We don't need a huge construction project and associated congestion a block away and loss of resident parking during construction and no doubt change in parking rules after construction that effects local residents. The additional nonsense caused by an enormous blockhouse bringing more pressure on local resources in an already over congested neighborhood will result in locals fleeing the neighborhood.	. 426
5/25/2021 18:34:51	Martin Fox Ana Alzaga Fernandez	1157 3rd Avenue 1320 York Ave Apt 35B, New Yo	Mbi077@aol.com	In opposition to the application	My main concern is the overwhelming the traffic and the resources for this area, more specifically, the public school PS183.	427 428
3/23/2021 10:30:33	Alla Alzaga i emanuez	1320 TORKAYE APT 33B, NEW TO	anaaizagai@gmaii.com	in opposition to the application	It is a lie to say that Longfellow has the expertise to build a 334" Tower. Almost all, if not all of the life science properties developed by Longfellow are suburban 2 or 3 story, campus-like buildings. In fact, Longfellow was not even involved in any of the buildings in Kendall	420
5/25/2021 18:47:14 5/25/2021 18:47:27		NYC 399 E 72 St	marty1035@aol.com Elainelinet@gmail.com	In opposition to the application In opposition to the application	Square which is always cited as the prime example of a life science hub even though it is 2.4 miles from Longfellow's offices in Boston. The potential shadows would be a disaster! If a smaller building won't do, find another location.	429 430
5/25/2021 18:53:52		NYC	marty1035@aol.com		The discussion of the collaboration by the Blood Center is fine, but the Blood Center could maintain those collaborations if they built an "as of right" facility.	431
5/25/2021 19:26:32		360 east 72 street ny ny 10021			i do not understand why we need more commercial space in this area while so much is already vacant The building is far, far, far too tall for the street or wider area; there is no compelling reason a residential community should have to host	432
5/25/2021 19:37:03	Lauren Tillinghast	360 East 72nd St	latilling@gmail.com	In opposition to the application	such a massive commercial center; the rezoning would set a terrible precedent. This development is unconscionable. I'd like to know why Mayor DiBlasio isn't being held accountable for allowing this to slide through before he leaves office. This seems like the latest foray into corruption for a man who doesn't care about this city or its people. Shame on	433
5/25/2021 19:38:24	Kate Ward	201 E66th St	ny.greenisle@gmail.com	In opposition to the application	DiBlasio, Longfellow and the city agencies who have looked the other way and let this happen. We all support the work of the NYCB. During the presentation, notice how much time was spent on the worthy NYCB work versus addressing the impact of the gigantic commercial Institution requiring a mid-block waiver (35 years of history) on our community. Zoning may not be immutable, but there was	434
					and is a reason for it. During the last CB8 8meeting, NYCB's counsel countered concerns about additional BSL-3 biohazard labs by committing to add language	
					to space leases prohibiting it. (Or, condo residences?)Yet, contracts can be amended. It is hypocritical considering the zoning waiver application.	
5/25/2021 20:02:38 5/25/2021 20:08:49		333 East 68th Street, Apt. 9B 220 East 67th Street, NY NY 10	-		Thank you CB8 Members, I appreciate your devotion of time and consideration to this community issue that affects us all. The quality of life in this area will be forever ruined by a Tower that will block air flow, increase traffic on an already congested street, generate noise and filth from fans/exhaust systems, etc., block light. The Tower does not contribute anything to the neighborhood. It is just a money-making project that is leaching quality of life from the area and I strongly OPPOSE it. I am on the Board in my Coop and I can imagine that our property values will be greatly affected by a giant Tower just on the next block.	435 t 436
5/25/2021 20:11:47	Stephan Scinto	801 Amsterdam Ave	stephan.scinto@gmail.com	In favor of the application	Please allow this wonderful building! It provides jobs in a transit-rich area, reduces pollution and climate change, and looks great. Credit to the city! Thank you for your time. The construction of the Memorial Sloan Kettering building in the middle of the block behind my apartment on 64th street (in 2015)	437
					destroyed the quality of life in my building. For a year, my apartment was unlivable. Every morning began with blaring sirens and dynamite blasts. I ended up having to move out of NYC temporarily and could not sublease my unit. The apartment is on the 4th floor, once	
5/25/2021 20:22:54		338 East 65th Street Apt 15	adamreiner@me.com		sundrenched with Southern exposure. Now, with a taller building blocking the sunlight, I need to turn on the lights at noon. I cannot stress enough how disruptive a construction project like this will be for residents and park dwellers. Please consider limiting the scope of the construction to cause as little disruption to the lives of neighborhood residents as possible.	438
5/25/2021 21:00:35	Barbara J Schoetzau	167 E. 67th St	barbara.schoetzau@gmail.com	In opposition to the application	It is amazing to hear people talking about diminishing a neighborhood that they do not live in. This is a neighborhood, not a cluster The CDC manual for BSL3 Labs, Biosafely in Microbiological and Biological Laboratories, says "a BSL3 Lab's "exhaust air [should be] dispersed away from occupied areas". (pg 50). How can the Blood Center comply with the manual when the exhaust air from the Blood	439
5/25/2021 21:27:37	Martin Bell	NYC	marty1035@aol.com	In opposition to the application	Center is immediately adjacent to 301 E. 66th St., and, with a northerly wind, blows right onto JREC? I strongly oppose the proposed new Blood Center Tower as currently outlined. The zoning variance that would be required to build this tower could set a dangerous precedent and change the whole complexion of the UES and ultimately other neighborhoods as well. We do not need a commercial tower on a residential street that also happens to be home to a school for children pre-K through high school and children with special needs. Construction of the building and the attendant traffic congestion would opse a safety threat. School buses and local buses already fill this street. Imagine the nightmare that would be created with the additional traffic from people going to the Blood	i
5/25/2021 21:58:54	Gail Benjamin	360 East 72nd Street	gbenjamin2@nyc.rr.com	In opposition to the application	Center. Additionally, the tower would cast shadows on St. Catherine's Park, of the few playgrounds and green space in the neighborhood. Children as well as our senior neighbors go there for the warmth and sun. Approval of this project would be a tragedy.	441
					Life Sciences is good for NYC but the Longfellow 334' life sciences tower and massive commercial complex is wrong on this small, cramped site. The 360 degree rezoning from residential to commercial, and the layer upon layer of additional zoning waivers and amendments that the Blood Center and Longfellow must get approved in order for the massive project to be built is, itself, evidence that a project of this size should not be built on this narrow side street. The truly terrible environmental dangers 1) of the tower's high velocity exhaust fans and mechanicals which will be on the 7th floor as well as the roof, running 24/7; 2) of this glass tower that will be electrically lit 24/7; 3) of the enormous signage that will also be lit 24/7; 4) of the level 3 bio labs that the De experimenting with lethal pathogens; 5)	
					putting Julia Richman school in darkness all day, everyday and St. Catherine's Park in the afternoons; all demonstrate there is no justification for approving this resolvent with that has happened to responsible, careful urban planning that is supposed to fairly balance residential heighborhoods and the sense. What has happened to the residential development that a	
5/25/2021 23:16:26	Margaret Lehman			In opposition to the application		442
5/25/2021 23:16:26 5/26/2021 13:09:49	-	445 East 80th Street	nyclauramorgan@gmail.com		justification for approving this rezoning. What has happened to responsible, careful urban planning that is supposed to fairly balance residential neighborhoods and the safety of their residents, with real estate development. What has happened with the requirement that a development must demonstrate a credible need and purpose for a rezoning request not one that is contorted and artificial. Let's not stop places that do groundbreaking medical research from coming to NY and making advances that help all. Some sunlight may be lost, but that will be fine on hot days. The park can still be enjoyed. This is not the kind of project that the neighborhood should be rallying against. We should want to draw innovative companies to NY, especially ones that are furthering important research. It is clear to me that the NY Blood Center is acting in bad faith, they have their eyes and ethics clouded by getting a three story building for free and in turn they allow a more than 30 story building go up mid-block in a residential area. Lused to support this organization, I am deeply disappointed by this proposal and their actions.	442
	Laura Morgan	445 East 80th Street 525 east 89th st, apt 2b, ny, ny			justification for approving this rezoning. What has happened to responsible, careful urban planning that is supposed to fairly balance residential neighborhoods and the safety of their residents, with real estate development. What has happened with the requirement that a development must demonstrate a credible need and purpose for a rezoning request not one that is contorted and artificial. Let's not stop places that do groundbreaking medical research from coming to NY and making advances that help all. Some sunlight may be lost, but that will be fine on hot days. The park can still be enjoyed. This is not the kind of project that the neighborhood should be rallying against. We should want to draw innovative companies to NY, especially ones that are furthering important research. It is clear to me that the NY Blood Center is acting in bad faith, they have their eyes and ethics clouded by getting a three story building for free and in turn they allow a more than 30 story building go up mid-block in a residential area.	442
5/26/2021 13:09:49	Laura Morgan dale cohen Brian Martin			In favor of the application In opposition to the application In opposition to the application	justification for approving this rezoning. What has happened to responsible, careful urban planning that is supposed to fairly balance residential neighborhoods and the safety of their residents, with real estate development. What has happened with the requirement that a development must demonstrate a credible need and purpose for a rezoning request not one that is contorted and artificial. Let's not stop places that do groundbreaking medical research from coming to NY and making advances that help all. Some sunlight may be lost, but that will be fine on hot days. The park can still be enjoyed. This is not the kind of project that the neighborhood should be rallying against. We should want to draw innovative companies to NY, especially ones that are furthering important research. it is clear to me that the NY Blood Center is acting in bad faith, they have their eyes and ethics clouded by getting a three story building for free and in turn they allow a more than 30 story building go up mid-block in a residential area. I used to support this organization, I am deeply disappointed by this proposal and their actions. as a trained professional architect, a leader in my chosen profession and a neighbor, I storngly oppose this project, the NY Blood Center	442 443

Timestamp	Name	Address	Email Address	Are you	Comments on the application	Sumbission Number
					I have worked in medical research since 1997 starting out as a research technician in Boston and currently as a veterinarian here on the UES. I have lived and worked in this neighborhood over 6 years, and currently my 4 year old son and 4 year old daughter attend Pre-K at Ella Baker School, across E 67th St. from the NYBC. I understand the importance of the NYBC, their mission, and the need for the research ongoing, but I must stand up for the quality of life and education my children, and all neighborhood and school children, that would be negatively impacted by these rezoning efforts.	
					My children started their education during a pandemic. Initially it was alternating days at home in "Zoom school", and some days actually in school. They learned to wear masks all the time, and bundle up throughout the cold months even during in-school learning, to accommodate the opening of windows to help with ventilation. They hated going to school because it was unpredictable day to day, and they needed a set routine. I became frustrated thinking back to how I loved going to school when I was little. Why did they hate it? Fast forward to 2021 when they started going 5 days a week. Now they love school, have made great friendships with the other students, and talk lovingly of their teachers.	
					This new building will take ~5 years to build. That is longer than my children have been on this earth. How will that 5 years on top of the 1 year during the pandemic affect their development and their education? That is a very long time in the life of a child. Unfortunately all I can see are negatives for them and I don't want any of our children to be involved in this experiment. The noise pollution, especially if windows in classrooms are open every day, the air pollution; I've lived in apartment buildings during construction and the quality of the air is so poor you can see the wall paint blacken over time; the shadows in the park at the optimal time when children emerge from school to bee-line straight to the columpios (swings) in the park as my daughter calls them.	3
					I would like to know if any of the NYBC employees live in this neighborhood and have children that play at St. Catherine's Park and/or go to school at JREC. How do they feel about this rezoning project? If they aren't directly affected, how do they think this will impact the children of the neighborhood and JREC schools? Are we supposed to find alternate places to live and go to school? That is the alternative am facing. I do not want my children to suffer for the sake of the NYBC Tower. I work extremely hard to provide for my children and pay a pretty penny to live near my work and the high quality schools in this neighborhood. It will be hard to justify if this project is approved. At a time when families are already leaving the city, this will just add to the exodus.	
					There are several other reasons to oppose this project that others have eloquently laid out including the dangerous precedent this would set for other neighborhoods.	
6/23/2021 13:42:1	Heather Martin	430 E 63rd St	hrmartin@gmail.com	In opposition to the application		449

APPENDIX D





TABLE OF CONTENTS

01

NYC Life Science Market

03

Market Supply: Pre-Built Lab Space

05

NYC Life Science Comps 02

Market Demand

04

Market Supply: NYC Lab Development

06

CBRE Life Science Incubator Overview

NYC LIFE SCIENCE MARKET



















	125 WEST END AVENUE	525 WEST 57TH STREET	TAYSTEE LABS 450 WEST 126TH STREET	ALEXANDRIA CTR 430-450 EAST 29TH STREET	CURE 345 PARK AVENUE SOUTH	HUDSON RESEARCH CENTER 619 WEST 54TH STREET	INNOLABS - LIC 45-18 COURT SQ WEST	BINDERY - LIC 30-02 48TH AVENUE	MINK BUILDING 1361 AMSTERDAM AVENUE
BUILDING RSF	400,000	454,726	350,526	746,734	309,000	318,110	266,791	186,012	217,200
VACANCY RSF	400,000	207,684*	350,526	0	188,650**	78,072	266,791	93,000***	~47,000
CONSTRUCTION COMPLETION	H1 2023	Q3 2021	Q2 2021	Complete	Q2 2021	Complete	Q2/Q3 2021	Q1 2021	Complete
AVG ASKING RENT (NNN)	\$125	Mid/High \$90s	Low/Mid \$90s	\$106	\$145	Mid/High \$90s	Low/Mid \$80s	Mid \$70s	Low/Mid \$90s

^{*}Lease out for approx. 100,000 RSF

^{**}Lease out for approx. 15,000 RSF

^{***}Two leases out for approx. 7,500 RSF each

MARKET DEMAND

1,124,500 RSF TOTAL DEMAND

ACTIVE						
COMPANY	LOCATION	SIZE (SF)				
Charles River	Out of Market	95,000				
Cambridge Innovation Center	Out of Market	75,000				
Landos BioPharma	Out of Market	52,500				
OpenTrons	NYC	50,000				
Trailhead Bio	Out of Market	40,000				
IndieBio	Out of Market	24,000				
Explora BioLabs	Out of Market	20,000				
Ichnos Biosciences	NYC	20,000				
Envisagenics	Out of Market	15,000				
Helaina	NYC	15,000				
In8Bio	Out of Market	13,000				
Histowiz	NYC	10,000				
Hemogenyx	NYC	10,000				
Bridge Bio	NYC	10,000				
Lexeo Therapeutics	NYC	10,000				
Oxford Nanopore Technologies	NYC	10,000				
RenBio	NYC	7,500				

GEARING UP						
COMPANY	LOCATION	SIZE (SF)				
NY Blood Center	NYC	100,000				
Columbia University	Multiple	90,000				
NewLab	NYC	50,000				
United Therapeutics	Out of Market	50,000				
Confidential	NYC	30,000				
Nuvation Bio	NYC	22,500				
Click Therapeutics	NYC	20,000				
Loxo Oncology	NYC	20,000				
Trivecta Therapeutics	Out of Market	15,000				
Confidential Biotech	NYC	15,000				
Confidential	NYC	10,000				
Confidential	NYC	10,000				
Confidential	NYC	10,000				
Scorpion Therapeutics	Out of Market	5,000				

PRELIMINARY/RUMORED				
COMPANY	LOCATION	SIZE (SF		
Regeneron	NY	TBD		
Prevail Therapeutics	NYC	25,000		
Unknown Cancer Research Company	Out of Market	25,000		
Komodo Health	NYC	20,000		
Stealth Mode Chem Lab User	NYC	20,000		
Rgenix	NYC	20,000		
BenevolentAl	NYC	17,500		
United Neuro	Out of Market	17,500		
Turnstone Biologics	Out of Market	15,000		
Confidential Biotech	CT	15,000		
Confidential Biotech	СТ	15,000		
Confidential Biotech	NYC	10,000		

477,000 SF TOTAL

447,500 SF TOTAL

200,000 SF TOTAL

MARKET SUPPLY

PRE-BUILT LAB SPACE | DELIVERING 2021

Only 125,000 RSF of pre-built lab space coming online in 2021 to satisfy almost 500,000 RSF of demand













	ALEXANDRIA CTR 430-450 EAST 29TH STREET	CURE 345 PARK AVENUE SOUTH	HUDSON RESEARCH CENTER 619 WEST 54TH STREET	525 WEST 57TH STREET	INNOLABS - LIC 45-18 COURT SQ WEST	BINDERY - LIC 30-02 48TH AVENUE
BUILDING RSF	746,734	309,000	318,110	454,726	266,791	186,012
VACANCY	0	188,650	97,051	207,684	266,791	93,000
PRE-BUILT RSF (# SUITES)	~30,000 (2)	54,000 (4)	32,500 (2)	14,070 (1)	~12,000 (1)	~55,000 (4)
DELIVERY TIMING	Q2/Q3 2021	Q3 2021	Q3/Q4 2021	Q3 2021	Q3 2021	Q4 2020
AVG ASKING RENT (NNN)	\$106	\$145	High \$90s	Mid/High \$90s	Low \$80s	Mid \$70s

MARKET SUPPLY

NYC LAB DEVELOPMENT PIPELINE FOR LAB CAPABLE & LAB EXCLUSIVE SPACE

2,964,695 RSF TOTAL SUPPLY THROUGH 2024

- 1 619 WEST 54TH STREET HUDSON RESEARCH CENTER
 Developer/Owner: Taconic/Silverstein
 97,536 RSF
- 2 1361 AMSTERDAM AVENUE MINK BUILDING
 Developer/Owner: The Janus Property Company
 47,000 RSF
- 3 450 WEST 126TH STREET TAYSTEE LABS
 Developer/Owner: The Janus Property Company
 350,000 RSF
- 4 345 PARK AVENUE SOUTH CURE Developer/Owner: Deerfield 326,368 RSF
- 5 525 WEST 57TH STREET

 Developer/Owner: Himmel & Merringoff
 14,000 RSF
- 6 45-18 COURT SQUARE WEST INNOLABS Developer/Owner: King Street Properties 266,791 RSF
- 7 30-02 48TH AVENUE THE BINDERY BUILDING
 Developer/Owner: Alexandria R.E. Equities
 93,000 RSF

- 8 125 WEST END AVENUE
 Developer/Owner: Taconic/Nuveen
 400,000 RSF
- 2226 THIRD AVENUE UPPER MANHATTAN PROJECT Developer/Owner: Nightingale Properties 200,000 RSF
- 219 EAST 42ND STREET FORMER PFIZER BUILDING
 Developer/Owner: Alexandria R.E. Equities
 350,000 RSF
- 450 EAST 29TH STREET ALEXANDRIA CENTER FOR LIFE SCIENCE (NORTH TOWER)

Developer/Owner: Alexandria R.E. Equities 550,000 RSF

24-02 QUEENS PLAZA - BOTANIC PROPERTIES LIC Developer/Owner: Botanic Properties 270,000 RSF

LEGEND

- 2021: 1,194,695 RSF Total
- 2023: 950,000 RSF Total
- 2024: 820,000 RSF Total



NYC LIFE SCIENCE COMPS

DATE	TENANT	ADDRESS	FLOOR	RSF	TERM	RENT (PSF)	ANNUAL INCREASE	TI FREE RENT	TOTALS
Q1 2021	Confidential	Hudson Research Center 619 West 54th Street	P7	18,979	7	\$93.00 NNN	2.50%	Prebuild 5m	
Q1 2021	Mt. Sinai	787 Eleventh Avenue	P7, E8, E9	200,000* *(Approx. 85,000 SF Lab)	33	Low \$80.00's NNN	N/A	N/A	151.0001
Q1 2021	Confidential	Alexandria Center for Life Science	P11	12,288	7	\$97.00 NNN (Addl. 2.5% base rent each year for admin costs = \$2.43/SF year 1)	3.00%	Turnkey 7m	IN 2021: 129,367 RSF
Q1 2021	Confidential	Alexandria Center for Life Science	P9	5,300	7	Confidential	N/A	N/A	
Q1 2021	Confidential	Alexandria Center for Life Science	P5	7,800	7	Confidential	N/A	N/A	
Q4 2020	OpenTrons	ARE Life Science Factory - LIC Bindery Building		18,000		\$68.00 NNN - Add admin rent Science Hotel space?	3.00%	Turnkey Om	
Q4 2020	Confidential	Alexandria Center for Life Science	P11	18,120	10	\$97.00 NNN (Addl. 2.5% base rent each year for admin costs = \$2.45/SF year 1)	3.00%	Turnkey 12m	
Q4 2020	Confidential	CURE 345 Park Avenue South	Р3	10,252 - Office		\$109.00/4, \$119.00/3 (Gross Rent)	N/A	Prebuild 1m	
Q3 2020	Confidential	Alexandria Center for Life Science	E12	30,408		\$88.00 NNN	N/A	N/A N/A	
Q2 2020	Confidential	180 Varick Street	P5	9,289 - Office		\$59.00 NNN	3.00%	N/A 5m	IN 2020:
Q2 2020	Confidential	1361 Amsterdam Avenue	P5	11,000		\$90.00 NNN	3.00%	Prebuild N/A	141,925 RSF
Q2 2020	Confidential AAA-Credit Hospital Network	Alexandria Center for Life Science	Р9	1,674		\$103.75 NNN	3.00%	Prebuild 5m	
Q2 2020	NYSCF	Hudson Research Center 619 West 54th Street	P2	23,535	16	\$60.00/5, \$65.00/5, \$70.00/5, \$75.00/1 Gross numbers - Legacy deal from initial deal in building	N/A	N/A 12m	
Q1 2020	Confidential	Alexandria Center for Life Science	P16	19,647		\$96.50 NNN	3.00%	NBI 6m	
2019	Quentis Therapeutics	1361 Amsterdam Avenue	P5	10,000	10	\$85.00 NNN	N/A	Turnkey	
Q1 2019	Hibercell	Hudson Research Center 619 West 54th Street	P8	15,094	7	\$80.00 NNN	2.50%	Turnkey 3m	IN 2019: 83,394 RSF
2019	Kallyope	Alexandria Center for Life Science	Р9	15,000	N/A	~\$103.00 NNN	N/A	Turnkey	
2019	Cellectis	Alexandria Center for Life Science	P10	3,500	N/A	~\$103.00 NNN	N/A	Turnkey	
2019	Prevail Therapeutics	Alexandria Center for Life Science	P16	8,000	N/A	~\$96.50 NNN	3.00%	Turnkey	
2019	MeiraGtx	450 East 29th Street	P12	22,000	N/A	N/A	N/A		
2019	Inzen Therapeutics	Alexandria Center for Life Science	P8	3,800	N/A	~\$103.00 NNN	N/A		
2019	Tara Bio	Alexandria Center for Life Science	P8	6,000	N/A	~\$103.00 NNN	N/A		
Q4 2018	Renbio	Alexandria Center for Life Science	P6	3,413	7	\$103.75 NNN	3.00%	5 Month Free As-Is	
Q2 2018	Lodo Tx	Alexandria Center for Life Science	N/A	12,000	N/A	\$104.00 NNN	N/A	N/A	IN 2018:
2018	Intracellular Therapies	Alexandria Center for Life Science	P9	34,000 (15,000 Expansion + 19,000 Renewal)	N/A				49,413 RSF

CBRE LIFE SCIENCE INCUBATOR OVERVIEW

INCUBATOR GROWTH

TENANT INCUBATOR		~INCUBATOR SF	NEW LOCATION	NEW RSF	RSF MULTIPLE
LUEROCK THERAPEUTICS	JLabs	2,500	2,500 ARE West 430 East 29th Street		7.86x
QUENTIS THERAPEUTICS	JLabs	2,000 Mink Building 1361 Amsterdam Avenue		10,000	5.00x
OLASTRA THERAPEUTICS	JLabs	2,500 Mink Building 1361 Amsterdam Avenue		10,000	4.00x
C16 BIOSCIENCES	BioLabs	2,500	2,500 Hudson Research Center 619 West 54th Street		7.59x
BLACK DIAMOND THERAPEUTICS	Biolabs	2,000 ARE West 430 East 29th Street		18,120	9.06x
envisogenics	JLabs	1,500 In the Market		17,500	11.67x
IMMUNAI	Biolabs	1,500 ARE West 430 East 29th Street		12,288	8.19x
HELAINA	Biolabs	1,750	In the Market	15,000	8.57x
TOTAL		16,250		122,534	7.54x
WEIGHTED AVG		2,112		16,141	7.64x

