Russell Squire Chair

Will Brightbill District Manager



505 Park Avenue, Suite 620 New York, N.Y. 10022-1106 (212) 758-4340 (212) 758-4616 (Fax) www.cb8m.com – Website info@cb8m.com - E-Mail

The City of New York Community Board 8 Manhattan Full Board Meeting Wednesday, May 19, 2021 - 6:30 PM This meeting was conducted via Zoom

#### **MINUTES**

Community Board Members Present: Vanessa Aronson, Elizabeth Ashby, P. Gayle Baron, Lowell Barton, Michele Birnbaum, Lori Ann Bores, Taina Borrero, Lorraine Brown, Barbara Chocky, Sarah Chu, Anthony Cohn, Saundrea Coleman, Brian Correia, Rebecca Dangoor, Felice Farber, Billy Freeland, Edward Hartzog, David Helpern, Wilma Johnson, Takako Kono, Craig Lader, Rebecca Lamorte, May Malik, Valerie Mason, Gregory Morris, Jane Parshall, Peter Patch, Harrison Pierson-Panes, Sharon Pope-Marshall, Rita Popper, Margaret Price, Elizabeth Rose, Barbara Rudder, Abraham Salcedo, William Sanchez, M. Barry Schneider, Tricia Shimamura, Cos Spagnoletti, Russell Squire, Lynne Strong-Shinozaki, Marco Tamayo, Carolina Tejo, Adam Wald, Elaine Walsh, Charles Warren, and Sharon Weiner

Community Board Members Absent (Excused): Alida Camp

Community Board Members Absent (Unexcused): Dorothea Newman, Jack Zimmerman

**Total Attendance: 46** 

Chairman Russell Squire called the meeting to order at 6:30 PM.

- 1. Fire Safety Presentation by FDNY and Battalion 10 Chief John Sudnik
- **2. Presentation and Public Hearing:** Elevate Transit: Zoning for Accessibility (ZFA), ULURP Number: N210270ZRY. Deadline June 14, 2021
  - a. Project Description: The MTA and DCP are proposing a citywide zoning text amendment that will allow the MTA to work more efficiently with private developers to help achieve systemwide accessibility. The proposal includes a system-wide transit easement certification and an authorization for transit improvement bonuses in high density areas to facilitate the implementation of ADA access at stations throughout the city more quickly and efficiently.
- **3. Public Hearing**: BSA Cal. Nos. 2019-257-BZ/258-A, 179 East 79th Street (Block 1508, Lot 31), Deadline May 24, 2021

Project Description:

- a. Part 1: Multiple Dwelling Law ("MDL") waiver application with the Board of Standards and Appeals to waive MDL Sections 216.2(a), 216.2(b), and 216.2(e) and Housing Maintenance Code Sections 27-2085(a), 27-2085(b)(2), and 27-2085(d) in order to permit a 390 sf enlargement to an existing super's apartment located in the cellar of an existing building.
- b. Part 2: Special permit application with the Board of Standards and Appeals, pursuant to Zoning Resolution Section 73-621 to waive ZR section 12-10, 23-152 in order to permit a

390 sf enlargement to an existing 604 sf super's apartment located in the cellar of an existing building.

#### c. **Resolution**:

**Be It Resolved** that Community Board 8 approves the application for a Multiple Dwelling Law waiver and special permit to allow a 390 sf enlargement to an existing 604 sf super's apartment located in the cellar of an existing building located at 179 East 79th Street.

# Community Board 8 Manhattan approved this resolution by a vote of 43 in favor, 1 opposed, 0 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.

- **4. Public Session** Those who wish to speak during the Public Session must register to do so by 6:45 pm.
  - Jennifer Ziplow spoke in favor of street closure for The Allen-Stevenson event.
  - Marty Bell spoke in opposition of the proposed New York Blood Center project.
  - Andrew Ravaschiere spoke in opposition of the proposed New York Blood Center project.
  - Julianne Bertagna spoke in opposition of the application of a roof addition at 210 East 62<sup>nd</sup> Street.
  - Carter A Pottash spoke in opposition of the application of a roof addition at 210 East 62<sup>nd</sup> Street.
  - Vanita and James Solomon spoke in opposition of the application of a roof addition at 210 East 62<sup>nd</sup> Street.
  - Donna Messinger spoke in favor of zoning for accessibility, especially as it pertains to public transportation.
  - Lo van der Valk spoke in opposition of the MTA subway proposal.
- 5. Adoption of the Agenda Agenda Adopted
- **6. Adoption of the Minutes** Minutes Adopted

## 7. Manhattan Borough President's Report

Manhattan Borough President Gale Brewer reported on her latest initiatives.

## 8. Elected Officials' Reports

Elected officials or their representatives reported on their latest initiatives.

- 1. U.S. Senator Chuck Schumer
- 2. Assembly Member Rebecca Seawright
- 3. Council Member Ben Kallos
- 4. Assembly Member Dan Quart
- 5. State Senator Liz Krueger
- 6. State Senator Jose Serrano

#### 9. Chair's Report – Russell Squire

Chair Russell Squire waived his report.

#### 10. District Manager's Report – Will Brightbill

District Manager Will Brightbill waived his report.

#### 11. Committee Reports and Action Items

A. Congestion Pricing Taskforce - Craig Lader and Alida Camp, Co-Chairs

**CPTF-1: Item 1 – Did Not Pass** 

#### Item 1: Permanent Protected Crosstown Bike Lanes on East 61st/62nd Street

**WHEREAS** The 2019 New York State Budget signed into law included a Congestion Pricing Plan that would assess tolls on vehicles entering the Central Business District of Manhattan below 60<sup>th</sup> Street;

**WHEREAS** following delays by the Trump Administration, the Biden Administration has authorized an environmental assessment of the Central Business District Tolling Plan, which are expected to be completed in June 2021 and are expected to clear the path for implementation to move forward;

**WHEREAS** the long-term effects of Covid-19 on traffic volumes, New York City Transit ridership, commuting patterns, and funding for transportation infrastructure and operations is unknown;

**WHEREAS** passage of the American Rescue Plan Act of 2021 provided over \$6.5 billion in federal funding to support the MTA, raising questions as to the need for additional funding that would be generated through Central Business District Tolling;

**WHEREAS** the possibility of future state or federal tax increases targeting the wealthiest New Yorkers may lead to increased funding for the MTA;

**WHEREAS** the details of the congestion pricing plan, including rates, exemptions from the Plan's tolls, location and methodology of the tolls, hours of the tolls and other issues critical to implementation are unknown;

**WHEREAS** community outreach on the Plan, including, but not limited to, exemptions and implementation, has been minimal at best;

**WHEREAS** community input on the Plan has been neither sought nor included in formulating the Plan;

**WHEREAS** the needs of Community District 8, which lies adjacent to the Central Business District, differ in significant ways from those of community districts within the District;

**WHEREAS**, the Plan raises significant questions of equity, including equivalence to a regressive tax;

**WHEREAS**, the environmental impact of the Plan on communities adjacent to the 60<sup>th</sup> Street tolling boundary is unknown, raising questions of negative health and safety effects;

**WHEREAS** it is unknown whether the required traffic study, which would include areas surrounding the tolling zone including Community District 8, have been performed or the findings of the study if it has been completed;

**WHEREAS**, there are questions about whether the funds will go solely to improve and maintain New York City's subways;

**WHEREAS**, there is concern about the impact congestion pricing would have on MTA funding and MTA ridership resulting from fewer cars going into the Zone as a result of tolls;

**WHEREAS** tolling revenue is not currently mandated to be used to increase public transportation accessibility;

**WHEREAS** taxi passengers below 96th Street have been unfairly taxed as part of an initial phase of Congestion Pricing that was implemented in February 2019;

WHEREAS it is inherently unfair to tax residents for use of a city amenity;

**WHEREAS** there is concern about the impact Central Business Tolling would have on small businesses in Community District 8, particularly in areas surrounding the 60<sup>th</sup> Street tolling boundary;

**THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED** that Community Board 8 calls on the New York State legislature to repeal the Congestion Pricing law enacted as part of the 2019 New York State Budget.

This resolution failed to pass by a vote of 17 in favor, 28 opposed, 1 abstention, and 0 not voting for cause.

B. Landmarks Committee- David Helpern and Jane Parshall, Co-Chair

LC-1: Item 1 – Approval LC-2: Item 2 – Disapproval

#### Item 1: 4 East 66th Street

WHEREAS 4 East 66th Street (also known 845 5th Avenue) is a neo-Italian Renaissance-style 11-story, limestone clad apartment building designed by J.E.R. Carpenter with Cross and Cross as associated architects and constructed in 1919-20;

**WHEREAS** 4 East 66th Street, with a muted dignity that only could be conveyed by such prestigious residential architects, wraps into the middle of the block behind Temple Emmanuel which results in a courtyard with a south facing wall/indentation, an east facing wall and 2 west-facing indentations;

**WHEREAS** all windows to be altered/replaced are inside the courtyard at an 8th floor apartment — because of the courtyard, the windows are well setback from 5th Avenue;

#### **WHEREAS** the windows include:

- 1) at the east elevation, 2 windows
- 2) at the south elevation, 3 windows
- 3) at the west elevation, 2 windows (a bedroom window and a bathroom window with the masonry between them altered to create two new openings
- 4) at the west elevation, a new opening will be installed into the formerly blank wall;

**WHEREAS** in summary, there will be 8 new windows altogether — 7 existing windows will be replaced with new bronze glass doors, new stone sills and Juliette balconies in expanded openings; the head height and width of the existing masonry openings will be maintained; the sills will be lowered to floor level; materials for the balconies will match the adjacent balconies on the floor above;

**WHEREAS** while the head height for the 7 windows does not change, the applicant proposes to drop the openings to the floor level so that the new windows will now be approximately 2' taller than the existing 7' 71/8" tall windows;

**WHEREAS** thus, the proposed new height of the windows will be approximately 9'8" with the one exception of the new opening to be created to provide light for the kitchen [Note that interior rooms have a 12' ceiling height.];

**WHEREAS** at one of the west-facing indentations, there is now a window for a bathroom and a window for a bedroom; these 2 windows will result in 2 new enlarged windows;

**WHEREAS** the 8th window (on the other of the indentations on the west-facing elevation) will be a double-hung three-part window with divided lights in order to provide an opening into the kitchen — to be created from a new masonry opening (see #4 above); it will not resemble the other 7 altered windows:

**WHEREAS** the proposed Juliet balconies for the 7 altered windows provide visual decoration;

WHEREAS to summarize, 8 windows will be altered. Of the 8, 5 will have "French doors". The left opening at the east elevation will not be a "French door", and will present as narrower. The former bathroom window, now reconfigured, at the indentation on the west elevation will not be a "French door" and will present as narrower. The opening for the kitchen will be a simple wood-framed double hung window with divided lites;

**WHEREAS** the applicant's intent is to make the courtyard elevation symmetrical;

**WHEREAS** there is a precedent for such an approach to the fenestration on the courtyard — at the Landmarks Preservation Commission — a prior approval for another apartment at the 9th floor;

**WHEREAS** the west-facing windows are visible from 5th Avenue;

**WHEREAS** the applicant proposes to match the existing iron work at the front elevation for the Juliet balconies; the iron work will be painted black only;

**WHEREAS** it is unusual for an apartment building on a side street to have a visible secondary elevation;

**WHEREAS** the applicant's overall intent for the window alteration is to take advantage of this secondary elevation as it relates to Temple Emmanuel;

**WHEREAS** the alterations to the windows at the 8th floor take their cues from the already altered windows on the 9th floor;

**WHEREAS** the applicant is to be commended on the elegance of his thoughtful design; the alterations to the windows are symmetrical within the courtyard and add to the overall beauty of one of the great early 20th century residential apartment buildings;

**THEREFORE**, **BE IT RESOLVED** that this application is **APPROVED** as presented.

Community Board 8 Manhattan approved this resolution by a vote of 46 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.

#### Item 2: 210 East 62<sup>nd</sup> Street

**WHEREAS** a roof top addition and rear yard extension was approved by CB8 in 2016 and received a Certificate of Appropriateness from the Landmarks Preservation Commission in 2017:

**WHEREAS** the rooftop structure that has been built is larger than the rooftop addition that was approved by the Landmarks Preservation Commission;

**WHEREAS** the applicant seeks a Certificate of Appropriateness for the larger, built rooftop addition with possible minor adjustments;

**WHEREAS** the third, fourth, and roof levels built by the applicant are higher than the original floor and roof levels of the building, with the roof in front about 2'-6" higher than the height approved in the applicant's 2016 design;

**WHEREAS** the applicant represented that they have made minor adjustments to the front façade and the rear façade to find a compromise that will satisfy the Board, the Community, and the Landmarks Preservation Commission:

**WHEREAS** the adjustment in the rear include the elimination of a projection at the bottom of the rear wall of the enlargement so that the wall comes straight down to the fourth floor;

**WHEREAS** the adjustment in the front returns the proportion of the top of the façade to its original proportions;

**WHEREAS** the cornice in the front acted as the safety barrier at roof level, the higher roof level requires a glass rail that is higher than the top of the cornice but, according to the applicant's sight line diagram, is not visible from the street;

**WHEREAS** the roof top enlargement is much more visible from the Third Avenue direction than the originally approved roof top enlargement;

**WHEREAS** the adjustments do not achieve the scale and limited visibility of the currently approved design;

WHEREAS members of the community, including neighbors and the President of Treadwell Farm Historic District, have again written letters and/or testified about the sordid history of the construction of the building to include the following assertions: not constructing per the 2017 Certificate of Appropriateness; not protecting passersby in the front; demolishing the rear and leaving open the floors to the weather with consequent deterioration; underpinning adjacent structures without permits; building the enlargement so that it overlaps neighbors' properties; not addressing over 80 violations totaling over \$385,000 in fees; and not paying taxes amounting to over \$36,000;

**WHEREAS** the unsightliness of the site and the inconvenience to the Treadwell Farm Community has not been addressed sufficiently by the Landmarks Preservation Commission or the Department of Buildings;

**WHEREAS** the LPC has allowed the applicant to continue discussions at staff level with the goal of maintaining the incorrectly built condition rather than to insist on the applicant complying with the approved design;

**WHEREAS** the LPC is allowing 210 East 62<sup>nd</sup> Street to deteriorate by not acting to protect the building;

**WHEREAS** there are precedents for requiring construction that does not conform to the approved plans to be demolished and rebuilt as designed and approved;

**WHEREAS** the September 22, 2020 resolution of the CB8 Board that was sent to Chair Sarah Carroll brought many of the conditions cited above to the attention of the Landmarks Preservation Commission;

**WHEREAS** the September 2020 resolution of CB8 stated that the project warranted a full investigation under the enforcement provisions of the Landmarks Preservation Commission;

**WHEREAS** the October 8, 2020 letter to Chair Sarah Carroll from Council Member Keith Powers, State Senator Liz Kruger, and Manhattan Borough President Gale Brewer referenced the "derelict state of the building and "the instances of encroachment on neighboring properties";

**WHEREAS** the October 8<sup>th</sup> letter pointed out that the individuals living in the Treadwell Farm Historic District "care deeply about maintaining the historic integrity of their neighborhood and wish to preserve the distinct qualities that spurred one of the first landmark designations in the city";

**WHEREAS** the October 8<sup>th</sup> letter restated the position of the writers that the LPC disapprove any application submitted by 210 East 62<sup>nd</sup> Street "that goes beyond the height and bulk" of what LPC originally approved;

**WHEREAS** the October 8<sup>th</sup> letter also asked "that LPC investigate the dubious construction of the rooftop addition" and "further utilize the commission's enforcement capability if wrongdoing is found."

**WHEREAS** the applicant made clear in its statements that the intent is not to build per the approved Certificate of Appropriateness;

**WHEREAS** the applicant has made clear in its statements that the intent is to have LPC approve changes that are inappropriate and not contextual within the historic district;

**WHEREAS** the LPC appears not to have acted on the CB8 resolution or the letter from Powers, Kruger, and Brewer to investigate the status and conditions of the project;

**WHEREAS** the applicant has not committed to the LPC that it will demolish the inappropriate construction, resolve outstanding construction issues with the neighbors and the Treadwell Farm community, eliminate the violations, and pay the fines and taxes;

**WHEREAS** the actions of the applicant reflect a disregard for the community and the LPC;

**WHEREAS** the LPC should revoke the Certificate of Appropriateness due to the applicant's not building the approved design and the applicant's allowing the building to deteriorate;

**THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED** that this resubmission by the applicant is **DISAPPROVED.** 

Community Board 8 Manhattan approved this resolution by a vote of 46 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.

C. Youth, Libraries, and Education – Taina Borreo, Peter Patch, and Rami Sigal

YEL-1: Item 2 – Approval

YEL-2: Item 3 – Approval

#### Item 2: Resolution in Support of the Summer Youth Employment Program (SYEP)

**WHEREAS**: The SYEP budget was cut in 2020 due to the pandemic;

**WHEREAS**: Manhattan's Community Board 8 calls on The City of New York to utilize the billions of dollars from the state and federal governments to expand SYEP to all youth who would like a job for Summer 2021 and subsequent summers;

**WHEREAS**: The deadline to apply for SYEP is the 30th of April 2021; all youth who applied before the deadline should receive a paid position;

**RESOLVED**: Manhattan's Community Board 8 supports restoring and expanding funding for the Summer Youth Employment Program (SYEP).

Community Board 8 Manhattan approved this resolution by a vote of 45 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstentions, and 1 not voting for cause.

## **Item 3: Resolution to Address COVID-19 Related Learning Loss**

WHEREAS: The COVID-19 pandemic has created an education crisis;

**WHEREAS**: Due to the pandemic, students have lost 14 months of in-classroom time as of June 2021;

WHEREAS: Many students have fallen behind New York State education standards;

**WHEREAS**: The NWEA *Measures of Academic Progress* (MAP) growth assessments provide students, families, teachers, administrators, and school districts with specific, accurate, and actionable data on student academic progress;

**WHEREAS**: MAP growth assessments have been approved by the New York State Education Department for use under Education Law §3012-D;

**WHEREAS**: The New York City Department of Education (DOE) budgeted for Fiscal Years 2020 and 2021 to allow any DOE school to opt-in for the test;

**WHEREAS**: The New York State Exams fail to provide the detail needed by educators to assess growth among students;

**WHEREAS**: Manhattan's Community Board 8 supports expanding the use of MAP growth assessments and ensuring the tests are used effectively and solely for the purpose of addressing subject area growth rather than using the tests to address student value such as in admissions;

**WHEREAS**: Manhattan's Community Board 8 urges the New York State Department of Education and the New York City DOE to release data on learning loss during the COVID-19 pandemic;

**WHEREAS**: Manhattan's Community Board 8 urges the New York State Department of Education and the New York City DOE to address learning loss during the COVID-19 pandemic and present a recovery plan;

**RESOLVED**: Manhattan's Community Board 8 supports the above clauses and the use of MAP growth assessments.

Community Board 8 Manhattan approved this resolution by a vote of 44 in favor, 0 opposed, 2 abstentions, and 1 not voting for cause.

D. Health, Seniors, and Social Services – Rebecca Dangoor, Wilma Johnson, and Barbara Rudder, Co-Chairs

HSSS-1: Item 1 – Approval

#### **Item 1: Reopening the Senior Centers**

WHEREAS, COVID-19 has left many of our seniors in physical and emotional isolation;

WHEREAS, it has been projected that senior centers may open by July 1, 2021;

**WHEREAS,** seniors and staff are eager for centers to re-open because they provide emotional and medical health as well as meals, technological assistance, and other services; and

**WHEREAS,** New York City has a responsibility to re-open our senior centers safely and promptly and to restore these vital services and physical company to seniors after more than a year of isolation;

**WHEREAS**, our City's senior centers remain closed in stark contrast to other surrounding businesses;

**WHEREAS**, proposals to re-open our senior centers lack specificity in clear safety measures and official timelines;

**WHEREAS**, agencies know how to safely prepare their centers and have begun servicing them but will need time to implement any required changes;

**THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED,** Community Board 8 Manhattan calls on the relevant agencies and stake holders such as DFTA, DOHMH, NYCHA, Health + Hospitals to work with our senior centers directly as partners in creating the safety guidelines necessary to be able to open the centers as quickly as possible.

Community Board 8 Manhattan approved this resolution by a vote of 45 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstentions, and 1 not voting for cause.

#### E. Environment and Sanitation - Cos Spagnoletti and Barbara Rudder, Co-Chairs

ES-1: Item 2a and 2b – Approval Item 2a: Funding GrowNYC

**WHEREAS:** The City has eliminated funding to GrowNYC and therefore eliminated drop off sites at green markets, and

WHEREAS: Drop off sites at green markets will encourage individuals to compost, and

WHEREAS: Increased composting is important for the environment, and

**WHEREAS:** Increased composting will minimize garbage disposal and therefore decrease rats and strewn garbage, Therefore

**BE IT RESOLVED,** CB8M urges City Council to reinstate necessary funding to allow GrowNYC to reinstate the composting scrap drop-off sites at green markets.

#### **Item 2b: Composting Dropoff Sites**

**WHEREAS:** There is only one composting scrap drop off site on the most northern street of the CB8M district, and only on Friday mornings, and

**WHEREAS:** If there are more locations, it would be encouraging more people to compost, Therefore

**BE IT RESOLVED** that CB8M requests DSNY to provide additional convenient drop off sites throughout our district, at least one in the E. 60's, one in the E. 70's and one in the E.80's in addition to the E.96 St. site, and operating times of the sites should be coordinated such that at all times between early morning and late night, there is at least one site operating in CB8M.

Community Board 8 Manhattan approved these resolutions by a vote of 43 in favor, 1 opposed, 2 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.

F. Parks and Waterfront - Barry Schneider and Tricia Shimamura, Co-Chairs

**PW-1: Item 1 – Approval** 

#### Item 1: St. Catherine's Park and the proposed New York Blood Center

**WHEREAS** the proposed New York Blood Center project, located at 310 E 67<sup>th</sup> Street, would develop a 334 foot tower across the street from Julia Richman Education Complex and diagonally across from St. Catherine's Park, and

**WHEREAS** St. Catherine's Park is the second most visited park per square foot in New York City, and

**WHEREAS** St. Catherine's Park serves as an essential open space for children, families, seniors, and hospital patients from the surrounding medical institutions, and features several amenities like tables, benches, multipurpose courts, chess tables, and water features that service these populations of visitors, and

**WHEREAS** the Upper East Side has some of the least amount of open space per population size in the entire City, and

**WHEREAS** the proposed project would cast 70% of St. Catherine's Park in shadows during peak afternoon hours during the spring, summer, and fall months, and

**WHEREAS** the proposed project would bring an additional 2000 + workers to this midblock site, undoubtedly increasing the usage of this vital park space, and

**WHEREAS** the proposed project would significantly increase the amount of light pollution on this park, casting artificial light on the playground that will considerably detract from visitors' experiences, and

**WHEREAS** the developer partner, Longfellow Real Estate Partners, has failed to engage in direct community outreach with park users, and

**WHEREAS** the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) states that the applicant is in consultation with the Department of City Planning, NYC Parks, and Friends of St. Catherine's Park on mitigation measures that may include replacing "vegetation and additional maintenance of the Park features," but there are no mitigation measures that can replace the loss of light on St. Catherine's Park, and

**WHEREAS** Friends of St. Catherine's Park has failed to establish a transparent or responsive dialogue with other park users or surrounding residents regarding the impact of this project on the park, and

**WHEREAS** the New York Parks Department has stated that it agrees with the DEIS with the impact of the development, and

WHEREAS George M. Janes & Associates, hired by Manhattan Community Board 8 to consult on this development, has advised that "combination of time of day, time of year, and use make the loss of sunlight an even more significant impact than what is disclosed," therefore

**BE IT RESOLVED** that Community Board 8 opposes the proposed NY Blood Center development at 310 East 67<sup>th</sup> Street due to the significant adverse and unmitigable impacts on St. Catherine's Park.

Community Board 8 Manhattan approved this resolution by a vote of 41 in favor, 3 opposed, 1 abstentions, and 1 not voting for cause.

G. Street Fairs – Barbara Chocky and Wilma Johnson, Co-Chairs

SF-1: Items 1, 3 and 5 – Approval

SF-2: Item 2 – Approval

SF-3: Item 4 – Approval

**Item 1: Spring Festival on Museum Mile** (Church of the Heavenly Rest)—Full Street Closure at East 90<sup>th</sup> Street between Fifth Avenue and Madison Avenue. Tuesday, June 8, 2021 from 6pm to 8pm.

This event is an outdoor gathering with music and activities outside the Church of the Heavenly Rest on 90<sup>th</sup> Street. The official time of the event, including setup and breakdown, is 9:00am to 2:00pm.

**Item 3: Graduation Reception** (Yorkville East Middle School)—Full Street Closure at East 77<sup>th</sup> Street between York Avenue and Cherokee Place. Thursday, June 24, 2021 from 3pm to 6pm.

This event is to serve as an overflow ancillary space where refreshments will be served to ensure that social distancing can be maintained. There will be no amplified sound. It is not open to the public. The official time, including setup and breakdown, is 2:30pm to 6:00pm.

**Item 5: PS 290** (Manhattan New School PTA Block Party)—Full Street Closure at East 82<sup>nd</sup> Street between Second Avenue and First Avenue. Tuesday, June 8, 2021 from 9am to 2pm.

This event is an outdoor block part for students and the community. The official time, including setup and breakdown, is 9:00am to 2:00pm.

Community Board 8 Manhattan approved these resolutions by a vote of 46 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.

**Item 2: Nightingale Bamford Alumni Reunion** (The Nightingale Bamford School)—Full Street Closure at East 92<sup>nd</sup> Street between Fifth Avenue and Madison Avenue. Monday, June 7, 2021 from 3pm to 6pm.

This event's permitee must have a signed COVID-19 safety plan affirmation. Food will be served (pizza and donuts) and there will be security on-site. Non-essential gatherings of over 200 people are not permitted. The official time, including setup and breakdown, is 2:30pm to 6:30pm.

Community Board 8 Manhattan approved this resolution by a vote of 46 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.

**Item 4: The Allen Stevenson 2021 Graduation Celebration** (The Allen-Stevenson School)—Full Street Closure at East 78<sup>th</sup> Street between Park Avenue and Lexington Avenue. Tuesday, June 8, 021 from 4:30pm to 6:30pm.

This event is a celebration for the 2021 Allen-Stevenson Graduating Class that is open to all residents on the block. There will be food, music, and games. They were asked to reconsider the donut food truck vendor for a brick-and-mortar business that can also accommodate a nut allergy. The official time, including setup and breakdown, is 3:00pm to 8:00pm.

Community Board 8 Manhattan approved this resolution by a vote of 46 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.

E. Street Life Committee – Abraham Salcedo, Chair

SL-1: Items 1a, 1b, 1c, 1d, 1e, 2a, 2b, 2c, 3a, 3b

#### **Item 1: New Applications to the New York State Liquor Authority for Liquor Licenses:**

**a.** <u>Herm LLC, 1020 Lexington Avenue (Between 72nd and 73rd Streets)</u> – New Application for Liquor, Wine, Beer, & Cider

**WHEREAS** this is a New application for a Liquor, Wine, Beer, and Cider License; and **WHEREAS** no one from the public objected; and

**WHEREAS** the applicant agreed to prevent noise emanating from the establishment and to ensure that no crowds congregate in front of the premises; and

**WHEREAS** the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8's stipulations concerning delivery bikes and bar crawls; therefore

BE IT RESOLVED that the application is APPROVED, subject to the stipulations above.

# b. Michael Egan, dba Irregulars, 1152 First Avenue (Between 63rd and 64th

Streets) - New Application for Liquor, Wine, Beer, & Cider

WHEREAS this is a New application for a Liquor, Wine, Beer, and Cider License; and

WHEREAS no one from the public objected; and

**WHEREAS** the applicant agreed to prevent noise emanating from the establishment and to ensure that no crowds congregate in front of the premises and shall provide Community Board 8 with a copy of their place of assembly certificate; and

**WHEREAS** the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8's stipulations concerning delivery bikes and bar crawls; therefore

BE IT RESOLVED that the application is APPROVED, subject to the stipulations above.

## c. Maman UES LLC, dba Maman, 1424 Third Avenue (Between 80th and 81st

<u>Streets</u>) - New Application for Liquor, Wine, Beer, & Cider

WHEREAS this is a New application for a Liquor, Wine, Beer, and Cider License; and

WHEREAS no one from the public objected; and

**WHEREAS** the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8's stipulations concerning delivery bikes and bar crawls; therefore

**BE IT RESOLVED** that the application is **APPROVED**, **subject to the stipulations** above.

# d. J. Schaller, dba Jeremy's, 1652 Second Avenue (Between 85th and 86th Streets) -

New Application for Liquor, Wine, Beer, & Cider

WHEREAS this is a New application for a Liquor, Wine, Beer, and Cider License; and

WHEREAS no one from the public objected; and

**WHEREAS** the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8's stipulations concerning delivery bikes and bar crawls; therefore

**BE IT RESOLVED** that the application is **APPROVED**, **subject to the stipulations** above.

# e. Smashburger Acquisition-NY LLC, dba Smashburger # 1747, 804 Lexington

<u>Avenue (Between 62nd and 63rd Streets)</u> - New Application for Liquor, Wine, Beer, & Cider

WHEREAS this is a New application for a Liquor, Wine, Beer, and Cider License; and WHEREAS no one from the public objected; and

**WHEREAS** the applicant has agreed to provide Community Board 8 with a copy of its place of assembly certificate and certificate of occupancy; and

**WHEREAS** the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8's stipulations concerning delivery bikes and bar crawls; therefore

**BE IT RESOLVED** that the application is **APPROVED**, **subject to the stipulations** above.

#### Item 2: Change Applications to the New York State Liquor Authority for Liquor Licenses:

## a. Renzan Sushi Corp., dba Sushi Ren Japanese Cuisine & Bar, 1584 Second

Avenue (Between 82<sup>nd</sup> and 83<sup>rd</sup> Streets) – Renewal & Alteration

**WHEREAS** this is a renewal application for a Liquor, Wine, Beer, and Cider License and an alteration to the outside seating for expansion; and

**WHEREAS** no one from the public objected; and

**WHEREAS** the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8's stipulations concerning delivery bikes and bar crawls; therefore

BE IT RESOLVED that the application is APPROVED, subject to the stipulations above.

# b. <u>Sequoia Tree LLC, dba Le Moulin A Cafe, 1439 York Avenue (Between 76th and 77th Streets)</u> - Class Change

**WHEREAS** this is a class change application to add Liquor to the existing, Wine, Beer, and Cider License; and

WHEREAS no one from the public objected; and

**WHEREAS** the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8's stipulations concerning delivery bikes and bar crawls; therefore

**BE IT RESOLVED** that the application is **APPROVED**, **subject to the stipulations** above.

# c. 235 East Cafe Inc., dba Brandy's Piano Bar, 235 East 84th Street (Between

**Second and Third Avenues**) - Corporate Change

**WHEREAS** this is a corporate change application due to the death of a partner, for a Liquor, Wine, Beer, and Cider License; and

WHEREAS no one from the public objected; and

**WHEREAS** the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8's stipulations concerning delivery bikes and bar crawls; therefore

**BE IT RESOLVED** that the application is **APPROVED**, **subject to the stipulations** above.

# Item 3: 30-Day Waiver Applications to the New York State Liquor Authority for Liquor Licenses:

# a. <u>UES Coffee LLC, dba Stella and Fly, 1705 First Avenue (Between 88th and</u> 89th Streets) - 30-Day Waiver for Renewal Application

**WHEREAS** this is a 30 days waiver application for a Liquor, Wine, Beer, and Cider License; and

WHEREAS no one from the public objected; and

**WHEREAS** the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8's stipulations concerning delivery bikes and bar crawls; therefore

BE IT RESOLVED that the application is APPROVED, subject to the stipulations above.

# b. Chipotle Mexican Grill of Colorado, LLC, dba Chipotle Mexican Grill #1486, 1497 Third Avenue (Between 84th and 85th Streets) - 30-Day Waiver for Renewal Application

**WHEREAS** this is a 30 days waiver application for a Liquor, Wine, Beer, and Cider License; and

WHEREAS no one from the public objected; and

**WHEREAS** the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8's stipulations concerning delivery bikes and bar crawls; therefore

BE IT RESOLVED that the application is APPROVED, subject to the stipulations above.

Community Board 8 Manhattan approved these resolutions by a vote of 46 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.

**12. Old Business** – No items of old business were discussed.

**13.** New Business – No items of new business were discussed.

The meeting was adjourned at 10:30 PM.

Russell Squire, Chair

			Item 2 (Congest ion	Landmar	Landmar ks 2		YEL		Environmen		Street Fair	Street	Street	Street
Name	Attendance	Item 1	Pricing)	ks 1	(210)	YEL (SYE)	(testing)	HSSS	t 1-2	Parks	(1, 3, and 5)	Fairs (2)	Fairs (4)	Life
ARONSON, VANESSA	Present	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
ASHBY, ELIZABETH	Present	Yes	Abst	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
BARON, P. GAYLE	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
BARTON, LOWELL	Present	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
BIRNBAUM, MICHELE	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
BORES, LORI ANN	Present	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
BORRERO, TAINA	Present	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
BROWN, LORAINE	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
CAMP, ALIDA	<del>Excused</del>													
CHOCKY, BARBARA	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
CHU, SARAH	Present	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
COHN, ANTHONY	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
COLEMAN, SAUNDREA	Present	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
CORREIA, BRIAN	Present	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
DANGOOR, REBECCA	Present	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
FARBER, FELICE	Present	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
FREELAND, BILL	Present	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
HARTZOG, EDWARD	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
HELPERN, DAVID P.	Present	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
JOHNSON, WILMA	Present	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
KONO, TAKAKO	Present		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
LADER, CRAIG	Present	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Abst	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
LAMORTE, REBECCA	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
MALIK, MAY	Present	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
MASON, VALERIE	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Abst	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
MORRIS, GREGORY	Present	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	NVFC	Yes	NVFC	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
NEWMAN, DOROTHEA	Absent													
PARSHALL, JANE	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
PATCH, PETER	Present	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
PIERSON-PANES, HARRISON	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
POPE-MARSHALL, SHARON	Present	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
POPPER, RITA	Present	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
PRICE, MARGARET	Present	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
ROSE, ELIZABETH	Present	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Abst	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
RUDDER, BARBARA	Present	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
SALCEDO, ABRAHAM	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
SANCHEZ, WILLIAM	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
SCHNEIDER, M. BARRY	Present	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
SHIMAMURA, TRICIA	Present	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
SPAGNOLETTI, COS	Present	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
SQUIRE, RUSSELL	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
STRONG-SHINOZAKI, LYNNE	Present	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
TAMAYO, MARCO	Present	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
TEJO, CAROLINA	Present		No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
WALD, ADAM	Present	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
WALSH, ELAINE	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Abst	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
WARREN, CHARLES	Present	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	NVFC	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
WEINER, SHARON	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Abst	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
ZIMMERMAN, JACK	Absent													
Total Yes	46	43	17	46	46	45	44	45	43	41	46	46	46	46
Total No	-	1	28	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	0
Total Abstain		0	1	0	0	0	2	0	2	1	0	0	0	0
Total Not Vote For Cause		0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
Total Votes		44	46	46	46	46	46	46	46	46	46	46	46	
TOTAL VOICE		44	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	46