

Russell Squire
Chair

Will Brightbill
District Manager



505 Park Avenue, Suite 620
New York, N.Y. 10022-1106
(212) 758-4340
(212) 758-4616 (Fax)
www.cb8m.com – Website
info@cb8m.com - E-Mail

The City of New York
Community Board 8 Manhattan
Full Board Meeting
Wednesday, March 17, 2021 - 6:30 PM
This meeting was conducted via Zoom

MINUTES

Community Board Members Present: Vanessa Aronson, Elizabeth Ashby, P. Gayle Baron, Lowell Barton, Michele Birnbaum, Lori Ann Bores, Taina Borrero, Lorraine Brown, Alida Camp, Barbara Chocky, Sarah Chu, Anthony Cohn, Saundra Coleman, Brian Correia, Rebecca Dangoor, Felice Farber, Billy Freeland, Edward Hartzog, David Helpern, Wilma Johnson, Takako Kono, Craig Lader, Rebecca Lamorte, May Malik, Valerie Mason, Gregory Morris, Jane Parshall, Peter Patch, Harrison Pierson-Panes, Sharon Pope-Marshall, Rita Popper, Margaret Price, Elizabeth Rose, Barbara Rudder, Abraham Salcedo, William Sanchez, M. Barry Schneider, Tricia Shimamura, Cos Spagnoletti, Russell Squire, Lynne Strong-Shinozaki, Marco Tamayo, Carolina Tejo, Adam Wald, Elaine Walsh, Charles Warren, Sharon Weiner, and Jack Zimmerman.

Community Board Members Absent (Excused): Lowell Barton, Sarah Chu, Elaine Walsh, Shari Weiner

Community Board Members Absent (Unexcused): Dorothea Newman,

Total Attendance: 44

Chairman Russell Squire called the meeting to order at 6:30 PM.

1. Public Session - Those who wish to speak during the Public Session must register to do so by 6:45 pm.

- Susan Peters spoke in opposition of telecom antennas.
- John H. McClement spoke in opposition of speeding bicycle riders endangering pedestrians.
- Stephen J. Beninati spoke in opposition of unsafe bicycle riders riding on sidewalks and between outdoor dining spaces.
- Nan Richardson spoke in favor of new facilities for the NY Harbor School on Governors Island
- Jessica Gilo spoke in opposition of the utilization of Petaluma's street space for outdoor dining.
- Wendy Machaver spoke in favor of a 210-foot height capacity on all new construction.
- Andrew Ravaschiere spoke in favor the creation of a Zoning plan by CB8M.
- Lexington Pizza and Restaurant spoke in favor of a waiver for liquor license renewal.
- Ruth Brodsky spoke in opposition of the proposed New York Blood Center project.

2. Adoption of the Agenda – Agenda Adopted

3. Adoption of the Minutes – Minutes Adopted

4. Manhattan Borough President's Report

Manhattan Borough President Gale Brewer reported on her latest initiatives.

5. Elected Officials' Reports

Elected officials or their representatives reported on their latest initiatives.

1. State Senator Liz Krueger
2. Assembly Member Dan Quart
3. Assembly Member Rebecca Seawright
4. Comptroller Scott Stringer
5. Council Member Keith Powers
6. State Senator Jose Serrano
7. Council Member Ben Kallos

6. Chair's Report – Russell Squire

Chair Russell Squire gave his report.

7. District Manager's Report – Will Brightbill

District Manager Will Brightbill gave his report.

8. Committee Reports and Action Items

A. Housing Committee, joint with Zoning & Development Committee – Barbara Chocky, Ed Hartzog, and Gregory Morris, Housing Co-Chairs; Elizabeth Ashby and Anthony Cohn, Zoning and Development Co-Chairs

HCZD-1: Item 1 – Approval

Item 1: Opposition of Part L of the New York State Executive FY 2022

WHEREAS, On January 19, 2021, Governor Andrew Cuomo proposed an amendment to the New York State Multiple Dwelling Law with the stated purpose of “authorizing flexibility in zoning to address high commercial vacancy rates and underutilized hotel properties located within specified areas in New York City, particularly in response to the COVID-19 pandemic;” and

WHEREAS, if enacted, the proposal as recently amended would allow certain Class B and C office buildings located south of 60th Street, and between Park Avenue and 9th Avenue built prior to 1977 to be converted to residential use; and

WHEREAS, if enacted, the proposal would allow hotels located south of Chambers Street and north of 110 Street, and in all of the Bronx, Brooklyn, Queens and Staten Island with fewer than 150 rooms to be converted to residential use; and

WHEREAS, the proposal would take effect immediately upon enactment and would expire according to its terms on December 31, 2024; and

WHEREAS, to be eligible, a property as converted would need to meet one of the following conditions:

- a) be part of a state affordable housing plan or in agreement with the State Department of Home and Community Renewal “(DHCR)” to provide a minimum of twenty percent (20%) of such housing units created as affordable housing, or
- b) is to be operated as a supportive housing facility that is under a contract with any state or city agency to provide housing and supportive services for any population, or
- c) will instead provide an amount necessary to support the creation or preservation of affordable housing or prevent homelessness as determined by the commissioner of the DHCR; and

WHEREAS, the proposal expressly overrides “any state law ... local zoning law, ordinance, resolution, or regulation” that would have the effect of limiting the conversions allowed by the proposed amendment, including but not limited to the NYC Zoning Resolution, the Energy Code and the NYC Building Code; and

WHEREAS, instead of going through a proper legislative process, this sweeping legislation was included in the FY 2022 New York State Executive Budget, without input from the communities it would affect or the state and local legislators whose laws it would override; and

WHEREAS, The Memorandum of Support for the legislation, states as follows: “New York State has an urgent and significant interest in addressing the high commercial vacancy rates and underutilized hotel properties, all of which contribute to the lack of affordable housing that currently exists in certain locations in the State, particularly in response to the COVID-19 pandemic;” and

WHEREAS, while the State does have an “urgent and significant interest in addressing the high commercial vacancy rates and underutilized hotel properties,” we find it contradictory that the Governor proposes this legislation to purportedly address high commercial vacancy rates while simultaneously promoting the creation of thousands of commercial units pursuant to Penn Station and other development plans; and

WHEREAS, although few of the affected class B and class C commercial properties are to be found within Community District 8; and

WHEREAS, the requirement “c” of the conditions would enable conversion of numerous properties, while not giving those communities the benefit of much-needed affordable and supportive housing because of the “loophole” allowing developers to a building’s full conversion in one location and a contribution to a fund managed by the State to spend anywhere and anyhow the DHCR chooses; and

WHEREAS, while neighborhoods may benefit from a use change allowing residential conversions, such changes must be done in a thoughtful and comprehensive way, following the SEQRA and CEQR technical manuals, with full evaluation of environmental impacts, with community input and guidance from local stakeholders and legislators, and with proper mitigations, such as the creation of school seats, open space, hospital beds and other services a new residential population would require; and

WHEREAS, this provision constitutes a direct assault on Home Rule; and

WHEREAS, zoning is the responsibility and prerogative of local municipalities, and changes like this need to go through proper local legislative process, including ULURP, to ensure that they are done thoughtfully, equitably, transparently, and with consideration of all environmental impacts; and

WHEREAS, while we agree that addressing high commercial vacancy rates, underutilized hotel properties, as well as addressing the lack of affordable and supportive housing are essential priorities, we are deeply concerned that this bill will not address its stated goals, may exacerbate an already high market-rate residential vacancy rate and does not require desperately needed affordable housing in our district; therefore

BE IT RESOLVED, that Community Board Eight Manhattan **opposes** the Article 7 proposed PART L: “REPURPOSING UNDERUTILIZED COMMERCIAL SPACE FOR HOUSING”; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that Community Board Eight Manhattan urges our State and City legislators to oppose the proposed bill and demands that Governor Cuomo withdraw this bill from the State budget.

Community Board 8 Manhattan approved this resolution by a vote of 43 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.

B. Landmarks Committee – David Helpern and Jane Parshall, Co-Chairs

L1-Item 1: Approval

L2-Item 2: Approval

L3-Item 3: Approval

Item 1: 201 East 65th Street (Individual Landmark)

WHEREAS 201 East 65th Street is an international style building constructed in 1951;

WHEREAS there is an original stair leading to a lower level;

WHEREAS the space on the lower level is occupied by medical offices;

WHEREAS the stair was originally designed as a stair open to the sky;

WHEREAS the stair is currently open to the sky;

WHEREAS the applicant seeks to cover the stair with a canopy to provide protection from the weather;

WHEREAS the color of the canopy material and the color of the aluminum supports is bright blue;

WHEREAS the canopy has an L shape in that the entrance portion of the canopy extends over the sidewalk and the stair portion of the canopy is parallel to the sidewalk;

WHEREAS the stair portion of the canopy follows the slope of the stair;

WHEREAS the building is set in a garden behind stone walls;

WHEREAS the color of the building is muted in that the major material is an off-white brick;

WHEREAS the shape of the canopy is awkward and ungainly;

WHEREAS the canopy visually blocks the view across the low stone wall and garden to the building;

WHEREAS the blue color is in such sharp contrast to the muted colors of the building and the walls that the canopy has a jarring appearance;

WHEREAS some of the signage appears to be an advertisement and not just an announcement of medical offices;

WHEREAS the canopy is an intrusion on the quiet presence of the building in the garden;

WHEREAS the proposed canopy is not in character with the minimalist architecture of the building and the site;

WHEREAS the canopy is not contextual with the modernist aesthetic of the building and grounds;

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, this application is **DISAPPROVED** as presented.

Community Board 8 Manhattan approved this resolution by a vote of 43 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.

Item 2: 14 East 60th Street (Upper East Side Historic District)

WHEREAS 14 East 60th Street is a beaux-arts style 12-story building designed by R. C. Gildersleeve and constructed in 1902.

WHEREAS 14 East 60th Street was originally designed as a hotel; in 1969, it was converted to offices with restaurants on the ground floor;

WHEREAS the canopy for the entrance into the restaurant space was approved at the staff level;

WHEREAS adjacent to the entrance is an existing arched window which is now fixed; the applicant proposes to replace this fixed window with an operable window that presents as French doors that swing out so that the doors (formerly a fixed window) may be opened to the outside when the weather is nice;

WHEREAS the applicant proposes to retain the fixed transom above the window;

WHEREAS the detail for the window will match exactly the detail on the window to the right of the opening; (This window belongs to a different restaurant.)

WHEREAS the applicant proposes to install a canopy above the window — a straight retractable awning with arch filler over the window— the filler piece will match the curvature of the arch;

WHEREAS the retractable awning will mimic the curve of the window arch and will be similar to the awning to the right of the new French-door style window;

WHEREAS the applicant also proposes an understated sign to the right of the new operable window for the new restaurant; brass lettering will be mounted onto the stone from behind;

WHEREAS the design for the new awning is consistent with the awning over the entry (to the right) of the proposed new restaurant and with the awning at the window to the left of the proposed new restaurant; both awnings for the new space (entrance and window) will be the same color — a marine blue with gold colored lettering;

WHEREAS the applicant's proposal is consistent, understated, and restrained;

WHEREAS the applicant is to be commended for showing images of the Landmarks Preservation Commission staff level approval for the entrance and entrance awning in order to provide context for the applicant's proposal for a Certificate of Appropriateness for the window, the awning over the window and the signage;

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that this application is **APPROVED** as presented.

Community Board 8 Manhattan approved this resolution by a vote of 43 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.

Item 3: Ruin Among the Gardens Memorial Day

WHEREAS the Smallpox Hospital was designed by, James Renwick, an American architect in the 19th century and one of the most successful American Architects of his time;

WHEREAS the history of the Smallpox Hospital, situated on what was then known as Blackwell's Island and intertwined with the social and economic history of the city, should be preserved for generations to come;

WHEREAS the hospital structure is located on the southernmost tip of the island — to the south is the human rights memorial Four Freedoms Park and to the north is the Cornell Technion campus;

WHEREAS the project to create a memorial and a ruin among gardens open to the public is in the very initial planning and fundraising stages;

WHEREAS the Landmarks Committee of Community Board 8M supports creating a memorial and a garden at the "Ruins" that will remind New Yorkers of the history of the hospital, the history of the island and the history of the pandemics that the city has faced over the years, including Smallpox, AIDS, HIV, and COVID;

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that this resolution is **APPROVED** as presented.

Community Board 8 Manhattan approved this resolution by a vote of 43 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.

C. Parks and Waterfront Committee – Barry Schneider and Tricia Shimamura, Co-Chairs

PW1-Item 1: Approval

Item 1: Updated Presentation by Central Park Conservancy on Re-envisioning the Pool/Rink and the Harlem Meer

BE IT RESOLVED Manhattan Community Board 8 approves the updated presentation of the Central Park Conservancy on this project: Pool/Rink and Harlem Meer

Community Board 8 Manhattan approved this resolution by a vote of 43 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.

D. Environment and Sanitation Committee – Cos Spagnoletti and Barbara Rudder, Co-Chairs

ES-1: Item 1-Approval

Item 1: Public Trash Cans on the Upper East Side

WHEREAS: Public trash cans along the streets of the upper eastside are overflowing, the can doors are not closed, the hinges are broken, the plastic bins are not replaced nor cleaned, causing an overflow of garbage, and increase in rodents, and

WHEREAS: East 86th Street is a major commercial thruway that has seen an increase of garbage since Sunday pickup has been eliminated, and

WHEREAS: Trash is not picked up when sanitation trucks are used to plow, garbage accumulates on the streets, therefore:

BE IT RESOLVED that Community Board 8 Manhattan urges DSNY makes certain that trash bins are emptied and cleaned, replaced properly, and excess refuse is swept, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that Community Board 8 Manhattan urges DSNY to fix or replace faulty bins, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that Community Board 8 Manhattan urges DSNY to restore Sunday pickup, especially on commercial streets such as East 86th Street, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that Community Board 8 Manhattan requests that DSNY continue trash pickup while performing snow plowing.

Community Board 8 Manhattan approved this resolution by a vote of 43 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.

E. Arts Committee joint with Small Business Committee – Alida Camp, Arts Chair; Alida Camp and Valerie Mason, Small Business Co-Chairs

ASB-1: Item 1-Approval

Item 1: Art on the Ave on the Upper East Side

WHEREAS art brings joy, ideas, beauty and other positive impact to communities; and,

WHEREAS Community District 8 has many vacant storefronts along each of its commercial corridors; and,

WHEREAS the number of vacant storefronts has been increasing, with the COVID-19 crisis leading to more vacancies; and,

WHEREAS vacant storefronts are a blight on the streets, leading the sense of community to diminish, and pedestrians to have safety concerns; and,

WHEREAS Community District 8 has local artists, student artists, and artists residing in NYCHA Housing; and,

WHEREAS artists in Community District 8, residents and other pedestrians would benefit from increased public exposure; and,

WHEREAS small businesses and landlords would benefit from art displays in vacant storefronts; and,

WHEREAS artists are small businesses; and,

WHEREAS, in 2019, the NYS Comptroller's study assessed the financial impact of the arts as \$70 billion, demonstrating that the arts are a critical economic engine; and,

WHEREAS thriving small businesses and artists would improve the quality-of-life for those in Community District 8; therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED that Community Board 8:

1. Supports bringing Art on the Ave to the Upper East Side, and Roosevelt Island including working with local BIDS and Neighborhood Associations;
2. Will facilitate relationships between the BIDS/NAs and Art on the Ave, and other individuals/entities as appropriate and necessary;
3. Shall endeavor to create gallery or other exhibits for local artists, student artists, and NYCHA artists using the Art on the Ave, or another, model

Community Board 8 Manhattan approved this resolution by a vote of 43 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.

F. Street Life Committee – Abraham Salcedo, Chair

SL-1: Items 1a, 1b, 2a, 2b, 2c, 3a, 3b, 3c, 3d-Approval

SL-2: Item 4a-Approval

1. New Applications to the New York State Liquor Authority for Liquor Licenses:

a. LPB7 LLC, dba La Pecora Bianca, 1562 Second Avenue (Between 81st and 82nd Streets)

– New Application for Liquor, Wine, Beer, & Cider

WHEREAS this is a New application for a Liquor, Wine, Beer, and Cider License; and

WHEREAS no one from the public objected; and

WHEREAS the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8’s stipulations concerning delivery bikes and bar crawls; therefore

BE IT RESOLVED that the application is **APPROVED**, **subject to the stipulations above.**

b. Copri Corp, dba Cacio E Pepe, 1479 York Avenue (Between 78th and 79th Streets) - New Application for Liquor, Wine, Beer, & Cider

WHEREAS this is a New application for a Liquor, Wine, Beer, and Cider License; and

WHEREAS no one from the public objected; and

WHEREAS the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8’s stipulations concerning delivery bikes and bar crawls; therefore

BE IT RESOLVED that the application is **APPROVED**, **subject to the stipulations above.**

2. Change Applications to the New York State Liquor Authority for Liquor Licenses:

a. Heidi's House, LLC, dba Ed's Elbow Room, 308 East 78th Street, #3 (Between First and Second Avenues) - Corporate Change

WHEREAS this is a corporate change application to change partners and

WHEREAS no one from the public objected; and

WHEREAS the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8’s stipulations concerning delivery bikes and bar crawls; therefore

BE IT RESOLVED that the application is **APPROVED**, **subject to the stipulations above.**

b. Heidi's House, LLC, dba Heidi's House by the side of the road, 308 East 78th Street (Between First and Second Avenues) - Corporate Change

WHEREAS this is a corporate change application to change partners and

WHEREAS no one from the public objected; and

WHEREAS the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8's stipulations concerning delivery bikes and bar crawls; therefore

BE IT RESOLVED that the application is **APPROVED, subject to the stipulations above.**

c. PK & Son LLC, dba Match 65, 29 East 65th Street (aka 755 Madison Avenue) – Corporate Change Application

WHEREAS this is a corporate change application to change partners and

WHEREAS no one from the public objected; and

WHEREAS the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8's stipulations concerning delivery bikes and bar crawls; therefore

BE IT RESOLVED that the application is **APPROVED, subject to the stipulations above.**

3. 30-Day Waiver Applications to the New York State Liquor Authority for Liquor Licenses:

a. Foremost Glatt Kosher Caterers, Inc., 125 East 85th Street (Between Lexington and Park Avenues) – 30-Day Waiver for Renewal Application

WHEREAS this is a renewal application for a Liquor, Wine, Beer, and Cider License; and

WHEREAS no one from the public objected; and

WHEREAS the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8's stipulations concerning delivery bikes and bar crawls; therefore

BE IT RESOLVED that the application is **APPROVED, subject to the stipulations above.**

b. ARC 74th Street LLC, dba INES, 417 419 East 74th Street (Between York and First Avenues) – 30-Day Waiver for Renewal Application

WHEREAS this is a renewal application for a Wine, Beer, and Cider License; and

WHEREAS no one from the public objected; and

WHEREAS the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8's stipulations concerning delivery bikes and bar crawls; therefore

BE IT RESOLVED that the application is **APPROVED, subject to the stipulations above.**

c. Harlem Sam, Inc., dba AOC East, 1590 First Avenue (Between 82nd and 83rd Streets) – 30-Day Waiver for Renewal Application

WHEREAS this is a renewal application for a Liquor, Wine, Beer, and Cider License; and

WHEREAS no one from the public objected; and

WHEREAS the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8's stipulations concerning delivery bikes and bar crawls; therefore

BE IT RESOLVED that the application is **APPROVED, subject to the stipulations above.**

d. 1268 2nd Ave LLC, dba Serena's, 1268 Second Avenue (Between 66th and 67th Streets) – 30-Day Waiver for Renewal Application

WHEREAS this is a renewal application for a Liquor, Wine, Beer, and Cider License; and

WHEREAS no one from the public objected; and

WHEREAS the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8's stipulations concerning delivery bikes and bar crawls; therefore

BE IT RESOLVED that the application is **APPROVED**, subject to the stipulations above.

Community Board 8 Manhattan approved this resolution by a vote of 44 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.

Item 4a: Renewal Applications to the New York State Liquor Authority for Liquor Licenses:

a. 811 Lexington Pizza Corp, dba Ray's Pizza, 811 Lexington Avenue (Between 62nd and 63rd Streets) - Renewal Application for Wine, Beer, & Cider

Community Board 8 Manhattan approved this resolution by a vote of 44 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.

9. Old Business – No items of old business were discussed.

10. New Business – No items of new business were discussed.

The meeting was adjourned at 10:30 PM.

Russell Squire, Chair

Name	Attendance	Housing/Z on. + Dev. Item 1	Landmar ks 1	Landmar ks 2	Landmar ks Item 3	Parks Item 1	Env. + San. Item 1	Arts and Small Business Item 1	Street Life Item 1a, 1b, 2a, 2b, 2c, 3a, 3b, 3c, 3d	Street Life Item 4a
ARONSON, VANESSA	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
ASHBY, ELIZABETH	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
BARON, P. GAYLE	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
BARTON, LOWELL	Respond									
BIRNBAUM, MICHELE	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
BORES, LOBI ANN	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
BORRERO, TAINA	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
BROWN, LORAINE	Present								Yes	Yes
CAMP, ALIDA	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
CHOCKY, BARBARA	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
CHU-KABAH	Respond									
COHN, ANTHONY	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
COLEMAN, SAUNDREA	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
CORREIA, BRIAN	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
DANGOOR, REBECCA	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
FARBER, FELICE	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
FREELAND, BILL	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
HARTZOG, EDWARD	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
HELPERN, DAVID P.	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
JOHNSON, WILMA	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
KONO, TAKAKO	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
LADER, CRAIG	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
LAMORTE, REBECCA	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
MALIK, MAY	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
MASON, VALERIE	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
MORRIS, GREGORY	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
NEWMAN, DOUGHERA	Absent									
PARSHALL, JANE	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
PATCH, PETER	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
PIERSON-PANES, HARRISON	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
POPE-MARSHALL, SHARON	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
POPPER, RITA	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
PRICE, MARGARET	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
ROSE, ELIZABETH	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
RUDDER, BARBARA	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
SALCEDO, ABRAHAM	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
SANCHEZ, WILLIAM	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
SCHNEIDER, M. BARRY	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
SHIMAMURA, TRICIA	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
SPAGNOLETTI, COS	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
SQUIRE, RUSSELL	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
STRONG-SHINOZAKI, LYNNE	Present	Yes	NVPC	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
TAMAYO, MARCO	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
TEJO, CAROLINA	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
WALD, ADAM	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
WALSH, ELAINE	Respond									
WARREN, CHARLES	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes