

**Alida Camp**  
**Chair**

**Will Brightbill**  
**District Manager**



**505 Park Avenue, Suite 620**  
**New York, N.Y. 10022-1106**  
**(212) 758-4340**  
**(212) 758-4616 (Fax)**  
**www.cb8m.com - Website**  
**info@cb8m.com - E-Mail**

**The City of New York**  
**Community Board 8 Manhattan**  
**Full Board and Land Use Meeting**  
**Wednesday, June 17, 2020 - 6:30PM**  
**Via Zoom**

**Community Board Members Present:** Vanessa Aronson, Elizabeth Ashby, P. Gayle Baron, Lowell Barton, Michele Birnbaum, Lori Ann Bores, Taina Borrero, Loraine Brown, Alida Camp, Barbara Chocky, Sarah Chu, Anthony Cohn, Saundra Coleman, Brian Correia, Rebecca Dangoor, Felice Farber, Billy Freeland, Edward Hartzog, David Helpert, Paul Higgins, Wilma Johnson, Takako Kono, Craig Lader, Rebecca Lamorte, May Malik, Valerie Mason, Gregory Morris, Jane Parshall, Peter Patch, Harrison Pierson-Panes, Sharon Pope-Marshall, Rita Popper, Margaret Price, Elizabeth Rose, Barbara Rudder, William Sanchez, M. Barry Schneider, Tricia Shimamura, Cos Spagnoletti, Russell Squire, Marco Tamayo, Carolina Tejo, Adam Wald, Elaine Walsh, Charles Warren, Sharon Weiner, and Jack Zimmerman.

**Community Board Members Absent (Excused):** Dorothea Newman, Abraham Salcedo, and Lynne Strong-Shinozaki.

**Community Board Members Absent (Unexcused):**

**Total Attendance: 47**

**Chairwoman Alida Camp called the meeting to order at 6:30PM.**

**1. Public Session** – Those who wish to speak during the Public Session must register to do so by 6:45 pm

- Gregory Hunchko spoke about the NYPL Reopening
- Emily Valentine spoke about the NYPL Digital Services
- Joli Golden spoke about the U.S. Census
- Clifford Hudis spoke in opposition to wrecked vehicles being stored by NYPD Precinct
- Sutana Riley spoke about Summer Reading at NYPL
- Andrew Ravaschiere spoke in favor of the 210' Height Cap and how it relates to climate change.

**2. Adoption of the Agenda** – Agenda adopted

**3. Adoption of the Minutes** – Minutes adopted

#### **4. Manhattan Borough President's Report**

Manhattan Borough President Gale Brewer reported on her latest initiatives.

#### **5. Elected Officials' Reports**

Elected officials or their representatives reported on their latest initiatives.

1. Assembly Member Dan Quart
2. Council Member Ben Kallos
3. Council Member Keith Powers
4. Assembly Member Rebecca Seawright
5. State Senator Liz Krueger

#### **6. Chair's Report – Alida Camp**

Chair Alida Camp gave her report.

#### **7. District Manager's Report -- Will Brightbill**

District Manager Will Brightbill gave his report.

#### **8. Committee Reports and Action Items:**

##### **A. Landmarks Committee – David Helpern and Jane Parshall, Co-Chairs**

**LM-1: Items 1 - Unanimous Approval**

**LM-2: Item 2A - Approval**

**LM-3: Item 2B - Unanimous Approval**

**LM-4: Item 3 - Unanimous Approval**

**Item 1: 5-7 East 62nd Street (between Fifth and Madison Avenues) — Upper East Side Historic District** — *Arthur Chabon, Architect* — A modern style synagogue designed by Percival Goodman originally constructed in 1956. Application is to extend an elevator bulkhead.

**WHEREAS** 5-7 East 62nd Street is a modern-style synagogue designed by Percival Goodman and constructed in 1956;

**WHEREAS** Percival Goodman, who also taught at the Columbia University School of Architecture, designed more than 50 synagogues and religious buildings around the United States that were assertive, modernist structures;

**WHEREAS** the applicant proposes to extend the elevator bulkhead;

**WHEREAS** the elevator now stops at the 5th floor; the applicant would like to extend the elevator to the 6th floor;

**WHEREAS** the applicant is also proposing a substantial alteration of the 6th floor Sabbath apartment

**WHEREAS** the proposed elevator extension would provide access to both the 6th floor Sabbath apartment and the outdoor play yard for the synagogue preschool;

**WHEREAS** because of the proposed renovation of the 6th floor Sabbath apartment, the Building Code requires the extension of the elevator bulkhead;

**WHEREAS** the proposed bulkhead would be setback 10' from the front elevation behind the building's strong cornice line and would rise 9'2" above the roof of the Sabbath apartment, with an overall height of 19' from the roof;

**WHEREAS** the proposed new bulkhead would be 12' 10" wide x 19' 1" long

**WHEREAS** the proposed bulkhead, although visible from the public way, disappears or fades into into the rooflines of the adjoining buildings;

**WHEREAS** the applicant proposes to clad the bulkhead with a concrete based stucco that would match the cladding of the rest of the building;

**WHEREAS** the proposed position of the new bulkhead on the roof respects the adjacent building's air shaft, is set back for the street wall, is sensitive within the neighborhood and presents as geometry consistent with the rest of the building and is minimally visible from the public way;

**WHEREAS** even though the height of the proposed bulkhead is problematic, the applicant's proposal is a functional requirement and an acceptable solution within the constraints of the existing roof top;

**THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED** that this application is approved as presented.

**Community Board 8 Manhattan approved this resolution by a vote of 46 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.**

**Item 2: 793 Madison Avenue – Upper East Side Historic District – *Joseph Tarella, Architect*** – A Neo-Grec building designed by Thom & Wilson, originally constructed in 1881. Application is for elevator and lobby additions, facades, and replacement of HVAC equipment, internal stairs and upper story windows.

**WHEREAS** this five-story, red brick building fronts on Madison Avenue, with its retail storefronts, but also faces East 67<sup>th</sup> Street, with its residential character, this application will be divided into two parts.

#### **PART A**

**WHEREAS** the existing building is 22'-6" wide in the north-south direction and 84 feet long in the

east-west direction;

**WHEREAS** the five floors are named, starting at grade, basement through four;

**WHEREAS** the existing two-story storefront faces Madison Avenue and wraps the corner to also face East 67<sup>th</sup> Street;

**WHEREAS** the predominant element in the two-story storefront is a white horizontal plastic fascia at the level of the first floor;

**WHEREAS** the existing two-story storefront will be demolished;

**WHEREAS** the two-story storefront will be replaced with a new storefront with a bronze fascia at the first and second floor levels;

**WHEREAS** the new storefront will have full height glazing on each of the two floors subdivided with vertical bronze mullions;

**WHEREAS** there is a wood bow window facing Madison Avenue on the second floor;

**WHEREAS** there is a stair along East 67<sup>th</sup> Street rising in the easterly direction and leading to a projected wood entrance vestibule with vertical glazing on the first floor;

**WHEREAS** there is a projected wood window area above the vestibule on the second floor;

**WHEREAS** the bow window and the projected window are subdivided into vertical panels of insulated glass with narrow transom windows above;

**WHEREAS** the windows within the bow window configuration, wood entrance, and projected window area above the wood entrance will be replaced with new, painted wood, insulated windows;

**WHEREAS** the one over one windows set in masonry openings on the Madison Avenue and the 67<sup>th</sup> Street facades will be replaced with new, painted wood, insulated windows;

**WHEREAS** the new storefront and new windows are contextual within the historic district;

**THEREFORE**, Part A is **APPROVED** as presented.

**Community Board 8 Manhattan unanimously approved this resolution by a vote of 45 in favor, 1 opposed, 0 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.**

## **PART B**

**WHEREAS** there is a 10' wide yard at the easterly end of the building that enables a view through to the north;

**WHEREAS** the applicant proposes to place a new elevator shaft in the north east portion of the yard;

**WHEREAS** the elevator shaft would be made of brick to match the existing brick;

**WHEREAS** the applicant proposes to build a one-story entrance lobby about 10' wide, by 16' deep, by 9' high to lead to the new elevator and an internal stairway on the north side of the building;

**WHEREAS** the existing fire escape on the east façade would terminate on the top of the new, one story lobby without a way to descend to grade;

**WHEREAS** the existing easterly portion of the south face of the basement has vertical masonry openings with an entrance into a store, show windows, and a projected, elongated show window;

**WHEREAS** the applicant proposed to replace the full length of the basement with a continuous glass storefront that starts at the easterly end of the proposed lobby, extends to the east face of the existing projected structure with the first floor entry vestibule, and extends to the south across the east face of the entry vestibule structure;

**WHEREAS** the proposed storefront would have bronze mullions and a bronze fascia;

**WHEREAS** the proposed lobby structure and elevator shaft will fill the existing yard with a one-story addition in the front and a building high blank wall at the rear of the yard;

**WHEREAS** the design is unresolved due to the termination of the fire escape at the roof of the lobby with no provision for continuing to grade;

**WHEREAS** the yard creates the kind of serendipitous experience that happens in residential neighborhoods;

**WHEREAS** building in the yard will eliminate the opening between 793 and the building to the east, thereby eliminating the view;

**WHEREAS** the existing basement level façade on 67<sup>th</sup> Street makes a transition in scale from the Madison Avenue retail to the residential along the block;

**WHEREAS** the proposed continuous storefront has a slickness and an architectural language that does not acknowledge the residential character of the block;

**WHEREAS** the proposed lobby and elevator addition and new storefront system along 67<sup>th</sup> street are not contextual and not appropriate within the historic district;

**THEREFORE**, Part B of this application is **DISAPPROVED**.

**Community Board 8 Manhattan unanimously approved this resolution by a vote of 46 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.**

**Item 3: 680 Park Avenue (NW corner of East 68<sup>th</sup> Street and Park Avenue) — Upper East Side Historic District** — *Ivan Brice, Ivan Brice Architecture* — A neo-Federal building designed by McKim Meade & White Architects originally constructed in 1909-1911. Application is for replacement of stonework.

**WHEREAS** 680 Park Avenue, also known as the Percy R. Pyne House, is a neo-Federal townhouse designed by McKim Mead and White and constructed 1909-1911;

**WHEREAS** the building was occupied by the Soviet Mission to the United Nations from 1948-1963;

**WHEREAS** today the Americas Society uses the building as its New York City headquarters;

**WHEREAS** together with the buildings at 684 Park Avenue and 690 Park Avenue, 680 Park Avenue forms one of the last intact architectural ensembles on Park Avenue;

**WHEREAS** the building was designated as a New York City landmark in 1970;

**WHEREAS** the applicant is proposing a comprehensive restoration of the building including slate roof replacement and facade repair;

**WHEREAS** all restoration work has been approved at the staff level of the Landmarks Preservation Commission except for stone work replacement;

**WHEREAS** over the years the stonework has badly deteriorated; facade elements have cracks and severe deterioration (including balusters, scroll brackets, lintels and at the building entrance portico, dentals, capitals on existing columns) — elements that are so badly eroded that decorative detailing has been erased;

**WHEREAS** most of the stonework work repair and replacement will take place at the top of 680 Park Avenue;

**WHEREAS** the most severe deterioration presents at the underside of the cornice at the modillion brackets [NB: A modillion bracket is an ornamental and functional bracket that is placed horizontally instead of vertically. Typically modillions are placed at the roofline and are regularly spaced and present as a support projecting from a wall — as to hold a shelf.];

**WHEREAS** while almost every one of the modillion brackets will need to be repaired, approximately 15% will need to be partially replaced;

**WHEREAS** the modillions cannot be removed since they are set under and 20” into the walls of 680 Park Avenue; removal would completely destabilize the building;

**WHEREAS** in most instances where the modillions have been damaged, they will be repaired;

**WHEREAS** where the original shape and form of the modillion has been so badly damaged that all detail on the projecting scroll of the modillion has been lost — in these instances part of the modillion will be replaced;

**WHEREAS** the applicant's proposal for partial replacement of the modillions that cannot be repaired represents an effort to try to preserve to the greatest extent possible, the historic fabric of 680 Park Avenue;

**WHEREAS** since the modillions cannot be removed to their full depth, the applicant proposes to remove each badly damaged modillion to a depth of 6" into the outside wall, drill into the marble behind and set a cast stone replacement element in front of the remaining marble modillion;

**WHEREAS** the intent is to replace the cantilevered element in a structurally sound way without removing the parapet;

**WHEREAS** when the cast stone replacement element is fabricated, a rod would be threaded through the cast stone; the rod would extend out so that it could be fitted into a hole drilled into the marble section of the original modillion that remains as part of the original historic fabric (The full piece of each replacement element with the rod/anchor through it would be fabricated at the factory.);

**WHEREAS** cast stone must be used as the replacement material since if marble were used, it would splinter on drilling the holes and the color cannot be matched; the existing marble modillions will never be "white white" again; the cast stone replacement elements can be fabricated to match the color of the cleaned marble;

**WHEREAS** since the applicant cannot duplicate the original marble stone construction; the applicant has presented a unique and aesthetically pleasing solution for the restoration of the most badly damaged modillions;

**WHEREAS** a "cathedral stone" product will be used as the replacement cast stone and will present as having the same physical characteristics as marble;

**WHEREAS** this application is before the Landmarks Committee of Community Board 8M only for the use of cast stone as a replacement material; the restoration of the modillions is not an in-kind marble replacement;

**WHEREAS** the architect's intent in using the cast stone as the replacement material is to bring back, as authentically as possible, the original detail of 680 Park Avenue;

**WHEREAS** the applicant is to be commended for his lucid and compelling presentation of a very complicated and ultimately effective solution for the deteriorated modillions that cannot be repaired;

**WHEREAS** the applicant’s proposal is contextual and appropriate for the **INDIVIDUAL LANDMARK**, 680 Park Avenue;

**THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED** that this application is **APPROVED** as presented.

**Community Board 8 Manhattan approved this resolution by a vote of 46 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstention, and 0 not voting for cause.**

**B. Transportation Committee – Charles Warren and Craig Lader, Co-Chairs**

**TR-1:** Item 1A - Approval

**TR-2:** Item 1B - Approval

**TR-3:** Item 2 - Approval

**TR-4:** Item 4 - Approval

**Item 1: Revocable Consent Application Public Hearing: Proposed fenced-in area including steps, planters, together with snowmelt system in front of 106 East 78th Street**

**PART A:** Snow Melt System

**WHEREAS**, the property owner of 106 East 78<sup>th</sup> Street is requesting a revocable consent for a snow melt system; and

**WHEREAS**, the snow melt system benefits the public when operating as intended;

**THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED**, that Community Board 8 Manhattan approves a request for a revocable consent for a snow melt system in front of 106 East 78<sup>th</sup> Street.

**Community Board 8 Manhattan approved this resolution by a vote of 36 in favor, 10 opposed, 0 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.**

**PART B:** Sidewalk Extension:

**WHEREAS**, the property owner of 106 East 78<sup>th</sup> Street is requesting a revocable consent for a fenced-in area; and

**WHEREAS**, the existing fenced-in area currently extends into sidewalk of East 78<sup>th</sup> Street within the public right-of-way; and

**WHEREAS**, the proposed sidewalk extension would result in an additional 1’8” of sidewalk space being eliminated; and

**WHEREAS**, the sidewalk clearance in front of 106 East 78<sup>th</sup> Street would be reduced down to 6’ 4” due to the presence of a tree pit in front of the property; and

**WHEREAS**, there is no public benefit that would result from this request;

**THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED**, that Community Board 8 Manhattan disapproves a request for a revocable consent for a fenced in area in front of 106 East 78<sup>th</sup> Street.

**Community Board 8 Manhattan approved this resolution by a vote of 41 in favor, 5 opposed, 0 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.**

**Item 2: Discussion of potential use of street space and additional sidewalk space for outdoor dining to support restaurants impacted by Covid-19 (Joint item with Street Life and Small Business Committees)**

**WHEREAS**; the restaurant industry is vital to the economy and community character of Community District 8 and New York City; and

**WHEREAS**; the Covid-19 pandemic and resulting public health measures to stop the spread of the virus have limited restaurants to delivery and take-out service only; and

**WHEREAS**; many restaurants have gone out of business or are struggling to survive after 3 months of reduced or no revenue, resulting in tens of thousands of restaurant industry employees now furloughed or unemployed; and

**WHEREAS**; ongoing social distancing practices prescribed by City and State reopening plans will not permit restaurants to allow limited indoor seating until Phase 3, but will allow outdoor seating upon entering Phase 2; and

**WHEREAS**; New York City may enter Phase 2 of its reopening as soon as late June or early July;

**WHEREAS**; in anticipation of Phase 2 reopening, restaurants are actively seeking assistance from local governments to be able to provide outdoor seating as soon as possible; and

**WHEREAS**; expanded use of sidewalks and the use of streets for outdoor seating as a temporary measure can provide an immediate boost to restaurants; and

**WHEREAS**; various proposals have been put forward by locally elected officials to provide additional temporary outdoor seating space on sidewalks and streets and to expedite application processes; and

**WHEREAS**; any program to provide restaurants with outdoor seating should not discriminate based on the location of a restaurant, since not every restaurant may be situated in a location where sidewalk and street space adjacent to the business may not be feasible from a safety or operational standpoint; and

**WHEREAS**; the New York City Department of Transportation has demonstrated a willingness to accommodate outdoor seating by temporarily modifying street design to create safe locations for restaurants to serve customers;

**WHEREAS**; outdoor seating availability must comply with social distancing and public health guidance and timeframes;

**THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED;** that Community Board 8 Manhattan supports legislation to allow rapid sidewalk café license approvals and access to street space, including parking spaces, as determined appropriate by NYCDOT, as long as clear pedestrian sidewalk lanes are maintained and other social gathering and social distancing guidelines are followed;

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED;** that legislation to allow temporary outdoor seating include a provision to allow any bars or restaurant to come forward to Community Boards to request inclusion in the program; and

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED;** that any restaurant participating in the program be required to refuse service to anyone not wearing a mask or other protective face covering; and

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED;** that this program remain in effect until restaurants are permitted to operate at full indoor capacity.

**Community Board 8 Manhattan approved this resolution by a vote of 45 in favor, 0 opposed, 1 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.**

**Item 4: Requests for additional Open Streets within Community District 8**

**WHEREAS;** Community Board 8 Manhattan passed a resolution in April 2020 requesting an Open Street on Park Avenue by a vote of 30 in favor, 4 opposed, 3 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause; and

**WHEREAS;** New York City Department of Transportation has not granted Community Board 8 Manhattan's request for a Park Avenue Open Street due to the request being infeasible from an operational standpoint;

**WHEREAS;** the presence of Covid-19 and the continuing need to practice social distancing to prevent the spread of the virus is expected to endure for the foreseeable future;

**WHEREAS;** Community District 8 residents continue to express concerns about crowded parks, sidewalks, and streets that make it challenging to maintain 6 feet in separation from other people continue;

**WHEREAS;** East End Avenue within Community District 8 exemplifies how Park Avenue as an open street can be successfully implemented and operated in a manner that does not result in significant community impacts; and

**WHEREAS;** East End Avenue is not in close proximity for much of Community District 8's residents, especially those living south of 79<sup>th</sup> Street and west of 2<sup>nd</sup> Avenue; and

**WHEREAS;** Community Board 8 is open to a scaled-down solution that would be more feasible for NYCDOT to make Park Avenue an Open Street;

**THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED;** that Community Board 8 Manhattan requests New York City Department of Transportation's Open Streets program be initiated along Park Avenue between 60th Street and 72<sup>nd</sup> Street between the hours of 8AM and 8PM on weekdays; and

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED;** that Community Board 8 Manhattan requests New York City Department of Transportation's Open Streets program be initiated along Park Avenue between 60th Street and 72<sup>nd</sup> Street between the hours of 10AM and 8PM on weekends.

**Community Board 8 Manhattan approved this resolution by a vote of 39 in favor, 6 opposed, 1 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.**

**9. Old Business** – No items of old business were discussed.

**10. New Business** – Two items of new business were discussed.

**Item 1: Restart Flyer**

**Whereas;** Former CB8 Small Business Committee Chair Mick Mellamphy, with support from current board members, created a flyer for sharing with the community about Doing Their Part for the Restart;

**Be it resolved;** that Community Board 8 Manhattan approves the content of the flyer for distribution

**Community Board 8 Manhattan approved this resolution by a vote of 47 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.**

**Item 2: Participation of Community Boards on City Coronavirus Panels and Committee**

**Whereas;** Community Board Members have not been invited to participate in the various panels and committees the City has formed in response to the Coronavirus and restarting our local economy, schools, and communities;

**Therefore be it resolved;** that Community Boards should be included in any of the Administration's various panels and committees related to the Coronavirus response.

**Community Board 8 Manhattan approved this resolution by a vote of 46 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.**

**The meeting was adjourned at 10:20 PM.**

**Alida Camp, Chair**

Name	LM-1	LM-2	LM-3	LM-4	TR-1	TR-2	TR-3	TR-4	NB-1	NB2
ARONSON, VANESSA	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
ASHBY, ELIZABETH	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
BARON, P. GAYLE	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
BARTON, LOWELL	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
BIRNBAUM, MICHELE	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Abst	N	Y	Y
BORES, LORI ANN	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
BORRERO, TAINA	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
BROWN, LORAINÉ	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
CAMP, ALIDA	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
CHOCKY, BARBARA	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
CHU, SARAH	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
COHN, ANTHONY	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
COLEMAN, SAUNDREA	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
CORREIA, BRIAN	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
DANGOOR, REBECCA	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
FARBER, FELICE	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
FREELAND, BILL	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
HARTZOG, EDWARD	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
HELPERN, DAVID P.	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	N	Y	Y
HIGGINS, PAUL	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
JOHNSON, WILMA	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
KONO, TAKAKO	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
LADER, CRAIG	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y
LAMORTE, REBECCA									Y	Y
MALIK, MAY	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
MASON, VALERIE	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
MORRIS, GREGORY	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
NEWMAN, DOROTHEA										
PARSHALL, JANE	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	N	Y	Y
PATCH, PETER	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
PIERSON-PANES, HARRISON	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y
POPE-MARSHALL, SHARON	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
POPPE, RITA	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
PRICE, MARGARET	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
ROSE, ELIZABETH	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
RUDDER, BARBARA	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
SALCEDO, ABRAHAM										
SANCHEZ, WILLIAM	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
SCHNEIDER, M. BARRY	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y
SHIMAMURA, TRICIA	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
SPAGNOLETTI, COS	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
SQUIRE, RUSSELL	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
STRONG-SHINOZAKI, LYNNE										
TAMAYO, MARCO	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Abst	Y	Y