Alida Camp Chair

Will Brightbill District Manager



505 Park Avenue, Suite 620 New York, N.Y. 10022-1106 (212) 758-4340 (212) 758-4616 (Fax) www.cb8m.com - Website info@cb8m.com - E-Mail

The City of New York Community Board 8 Manhattan Full Board and Land Use Meeting Wednesday, May 20, 2020 - 6:30PM Via Zoom

**Community Board Members Present:** Vanessa Aronson, Elizabeth Ashby, P. Gayle Baron, Lowell Barton, Michele Birnbaum, Lori Ann Bores, Taina Borrero, Loraine Brown, Alida Camp, Barbara Chocky, **S**arah Chu, Anthony Cohn, Saundrea Coleman, Brian Correia, Rebecca Dangoor, Felice Farber, Billy Freeland, Edward Hartzog, David Helpern, Paul Higgins, Wilma Johnson, Takako Kono, Craig Lader, Rebecca Lamorte, May Malik, Valerie Mason, Jane Parshall, Peter Patch, Harrison Pierson-Panes, Sharon Pope-Marshall, Rita Popper, Margaret Price, Elizabeth Rose, Barbara Rudder, Abraham Salcedo, William Sanchez, M. Barry Schneider, Tricia Shimamura, Cos Spagnoletti, Russell Squire, Lynne Strong-Shinozaki, Marco Tamayo, Carolina Tejo, Adam Wald, Elaine Walsh, Charles Warren, Sharon Weiner, and Jack Zimmerman.

Community Board Members Absent (Excused): Gregory Morris and Dorothea Newman.

#### **Community Board Members Absent (Unexcused):**

#### **Total Attendance: 48**

#### Chairwoman Alida Camp called the meeting to order at 6:33PM.

1. Public Session – Those who wish to speak during the Public Session must register to do so by 6:45 pm

- Sophia James gave a brief farewell to the board
- Julie Menin spoke about the U.S. Census
- Wendy Machaver spoke about the pandemic and development, including the threat of climate change and pollution
- Jordan Wouk spoke in support of opening Park Avenue on weekends
- Sharon Pope- spoke about Bike New York and Bike safety
- Larry Parnes suggested the board add a resolution to Plaza Athenee Hotel to have the restaurant place a commemorative plaque at the table where the Scheiders had their first date
- Rosanne Alberts spoke in support of opening Park Avenue for pedestrians
- Stephanie Reckler spoke in opposition of the Lenox Hill Hospital proposed plans
- Andrew Rosenthal spoke in support of opening a lane for bike and pedestrian traffic on the 59th Street Bridge

- Meredith Ballew gave updates about the Friends of the East River Esplanade
- Andrew Ravaschiere spoke about climate change and in support of 210 foot height caps for new developments
- Lo van der Vaulk spoke about the Jewish Museum banner application and the LPC decision
- Valerie Mason spoke about making the sidewalk more accessible to pedestrians

# 2. Adoption of the Agenda – Agenda adopted

# 3. Adoption of the Minutes – Minutes adopted

# 4. Manhattan Borough President's Report

Manhattan Borough President Gale Brewer reported on her latest initiatives.

#### 5. Elected Officials' Reports

Elected officials or their representatives reported on their latest initiatives.

- 1. State Senator Liz Krueger
- 2. Council Member Ben Kallos
- 3. Assembly Member Dan Quart
- 4. Mayor's Office
- 5. Assembly Member Rebecca Seawright
- 6. Comptroller Scott Stringer
- 7. NYC Public Advocate

# 6. Chair's Report – Alida Camp

Chair Alida Camp gave her report.

#### 7. District Manager's Report -- Will Brightbill

District Manager Will Brightbill gave his report.

#### 8. Committee Reports and Action Items:

#### A. Landmarks Committee – David Helpern and Jane Parshall, Co-Chairs

LM-1: Items 1 - Approval LM-2: Item 2 - Unanimous Approval LM-3: Item 3 - Unanimous Approval

Item 1: 63-69 East 83rd Street (NW corner of Park Avenue)-Park Avenue Historic District Schickel & Ditmars, Architects/Builders – A Renaissance Revival building originally constructed in 1899-1900, housing the Loyola School. Application is for a 6-story enlargement at the rear yard and for a handicapped accessible ramp at the entrance.

**WHEREAS** the proposed six story enlargement in the rear yard is within a courtyard surrounded by the existing complex of buildings of Loyola School and St. Ignatius Loyola Church;

**WHEREAS** the Church is an individual landmark on the northern side of the site, extending west from Park Avenue along East 84th Street;

**WHEREAS** the Parish House on Park Avenue and the School Building on the corner of East 83rd Street and Park Avenue are within the historic district;

**WHEREAS** the historic district is on the southern side of the site extending from Park Avenue 100 feet to the west, to the juncture of the School Building and the Residence;

**WHEREAS** the proposed enlargement is within the historic district, within the existing courtyard;

**WHEREAS** the proposed enlargement is six stories high matching the floor to floor heights of the existing school building;

**WHEREAS** the enlargement abuts the School Building to the south and the Parish House to the east;

**WHEREAS** the enlargement is 34'-1" in the north-south direction and 40'-2 <sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>" in the east-west direction;

**WHEREAS** the north face of the proposed enlargement is 44'-0" from the south face of the Church;

WHEREAS there is one story below grade under the enlargement and the courtyard;

WHEREAS the courtyard has a skylight to bring natural light into the floor below;

WHEREAS the enlargement is conceived of as a background building;

WHEREAS the building will be about 77'-8" high from grade to top of roof;

**WHEREAS** the proposed enlargement will be red brick to match the red brick within the courtyard;

**WHEREAS** the north face of the enlargement and the east face of the gym, which is on the western edge of the courtyard, will have a stainless steel trellis system with climbing hydrangea;

**WHEREAS** the masonry window openings will be 13'-4" wide and range in height from 12'-0" at the second floor to 8'-0" at the sixth floor, following the floor to floor reductions in height from the lower to the upper floors;

WHEREAS windows will be combinations of fixed and operable sash;

**WHEREAS** the fifth and sixth floors will have a cantilever to the west to tie into an existing egress stair in the Residence Building;

**WHEREAS** the existing cooling tower on the roof of the Residence Building, which is to the west of the School Building, (and which is not in the landmark district) will be removed;

WHEREAS new mechanical equipment will be placed on the roof of the enlargement;

WHEREAS this mechanical equipment will not be visible;

**WHEREAS** the height of the new elevator penthouse will be slightly higher than the top of the Parish House but lower and smaller than the existing chimney for the boiler plant;

**WHEREAS** the new elevator and a proposed exterior ramp will provide access for the disabled through the existing school building and the enlargement;

**WHEREAS** the new ramp will be located at the easterly end of the School Building, along the south face of the building;

**WHEREAS** the new ramp will be in the areaway behind a decorative cast iron fence along East 83rd Street;

WHEREAS the ramp will be 12 feet long by three feet wide;

**WHEREAS** the ramp will descend to an entry area with an existing doorway into the School Building;

**WHEREAS** the ramp will have a 14-foot-long stainless-steel railing, also set behind the decorative fence;

**WHEREAS** the existing gate at the existing entry will remain and a new gate at the start of the ramp to match the existing gate will be installed;

**WHEREAS** the proposed enlargement is invisible except for two oblique views of the top of the new elevator shaft;

**WHEREAS** the proposed enlargement and proposed ramp are contextual within the historic district;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that this application is APPROVED as presented.

Community Board 8 Manhattan approved this resolution by a vote of 47 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstentions, and 1 not voting for cause.

Item 2: 10 East 92nd Street (between Fifth and Madison Avenues) – Expanded Carnegie Hill Historic District—Pietro Cicognani, Architect—A Renaissance Revival building originally constructed in 1890-1892 by Thomas Graham. Application is for rooftop addition.

**WHEREAS10** East 92nd Street is a Renaissance revival house originally designed by Thomas Graham and constructed in 1890-1892;

WHEREAS the rooftop addition is not visible from the public way;

**WHEREAS** normally a rooftop addition not visible from the public way is approved at the staff level at the Landmarks Preservation Commission;

**WHEREAS** however, if there was a previous LPC-approved application for a rear yard addition and that addition was completed, LPC approval is required for any further enlargement;

**WHEREAS** at 10 East 92nd Street, there is a previously approved and constructed rear yard addition; thus triggering a requirement for a Certificate of Appropriateness for the enlargement at the roof;

**WHEREAS** at the roof, at the existing stair bulkhead, now 14' 7 1/2" wide, the applicant proposes removing a non-structural beam; the existing 142 sq. ft. bulkhead is being widened and converted to livable space;

**WHEREAS** at the roof, while applicant is maintaining the mass of the stair bulkhead, the applicant is increasing its width by 8'11 1/4" so that 170 sq. ft. will be added to the footprint of the penthouse space that will replace the stair bulkhead;

WHEREAS the new space will now have a total of 312 sq.ft.;

**WHEREAS** the addition at the roof will be clad in white stucco to match the rest of the structure (formerly the stair bulkhead) with steel casement windows;

**WHEREAS** the applicant also proposes to increase the height of the former stair bulkhead, now widened, by 8 1/2" inches by increasing the height of the parapet; the overall height will now be 9' 9 3/4" above the roof level;

**WHEREAS** the applicant proposes to eliminate mechanical equipment now located on the south side of the roof and relocate to the top of the penthouse that will now replace the to-be-widened stair bulkhead structure [The overall height above the roof increases to 13' with the inclusion of the relocated bulkhead.];

WHEREAS the addition is discreet and not visible from the public way;

**THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED** that this application is **unanimously APPROVED** as presented.

Community Board 8 Manhattan unanimously approved this resolution by a vote of 48 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.

Item 3: 1107 Fifth Avenue – Expanded Carnegie Hill Historic District—Pietro Cicognani, Architect—A Neo Renaissance Style building originally constructed in 1925 by Rouse & Goldstone. Application is for window replacement and enlargement at the 14th floor.

**WHEREAS** 1107 Fifth Avenue is a neo-Renaissance style apartment building designed by Rouse & Goldstone and constructed in 1925;

**WHEREAS** 1107 Fifth Avenue is one of the grandest prewar apartment buildings on 5th Avenue;

**WHEREAS** Marjorie Merriweather Post owned a mansion on the building site, known as the Burden mansion;

**WHEREAS** Mrs. Post agreed to give up her home but only if the developer, George Fuller, agreed to recreate her 54 room mansion on the building's top three floors, creating New York City's first penthouse;

**WHEREAS** 1107 Fifth Avenue was converted to a co-op in the mid-fifties; the top three floors were converted into 6 apartments;

**WHEREAS** the application being presented to the committee is for the apartment on the south half of the 14th floor, Apt.14S;

**WHEREAS** this apartment has 3 elevations: the west-facing elevation on 5th Avenue, the southfacing elevation and the east-facing elevation;

**WHEREAS** the applicant is proposing changes to the windows at the south and east elevations which are considered to be secondary elevations;

**WHEREAS** at both non-primary elevations there is a series of windows that do not align with the programming of the interior space and are inconsistent in organization;

**WHEREAS** at the south elevation, the applicant proposes to regularize the windows — there are now 8 windows, a void which presents as a window opening and a door on a recessed terrace so that the existing south elevation has 10 openings;

**WHEREAS** at the south elevation, the 10 openings will be retained but reconfigured: — a window will be added at the recessed terrace and two small openings east of the recessed terrace will be combined to create a new tripartite window;

**WHEREAS** at the east elevation, the applicant also proposes to regularize the windows — there are now 4 windows; of the 4, two have mullions and present as "hot cross buns";

**WHEREAS** at the east elevation, the applicant proposes that three of the 4 window openings windows retain their original dimensions and that one window be enlarged;

**WHEREAS** the applicant proposes that all of the windows on both the south and east elevations present as whole glass lights framed in bronze with in-swing casements;

WHEREAS 1107 Fifth Avenue implemented a Master Plan in 2009 for the windows;

**WHEREAS** the applicant proposes departing from the building's Master Plan with his application for the windows on the south-facing and east-facing elevations by proposing whole glass lights;

WHEREAS 1107 Fifth Avenue stands out visually and stands out historically;

**WHEREAS** 1107 Fifth Avenue is surrounded by low-in-scale buildings which enhance significantly the south-facing elevation; the south-facing elevation assumes an importance within the historic district that cannot be ignored;

**WHEREAS** the windows on south-facing elevation would be improved by the introduction of some kind of division of lights instead of using the whole glass lights proposed by the applicant;

**WHEREAS** the applicant was unable to reference the original historic windows; images from mid-century tax photos appear to show double hung windows;

WHEREAS the applicant's presentation to the committee lacked clarity;

**WHEREAS** the applicant's proposed changes to the windows at the south-facing elevation and the east-facing elevation for Apt. 14S are out of context and inappropriate within the Historic District;

**THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED** that this application is **unanimously DISAPPROVED** as presented.

Community Board 8 Manhattan approved this resolution by a vote of 48 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstention, and 0 not voting for cause.

**B.** Transportation Committee – Charles Warren and Craig Lader, Co-Chairs

**TR-1:** Item 3 - Approval **TR-2:** Item 4 - Approval

# Item 3: A discussion regarding potential short-term bike/pedestrian safety improvements across the Queensboro Bridge in response to COVID-19

**WHEREAS**; Community Board 8 has expressed support for NYCDOT providing improved bike and pedestrian access on the Queensboro Bridge and studying a long-term conversion of the south outer roadway to an ADA-accessible pedestrian or bike pathway; and

**WHEREAS**; the Covid-19 outbreak has resulted in significant reductions in vehicular traffic and increased capacity on roadways in New York City; and

**WHEREAS**; in addition to the constrained shared bike/pedestrian facilities on the Queensboro Bridge north outer roadway that present safety hazards for users, the existing space does not provide users with ample ability to follow social distancing guidelines to limit the spread of Covid-19; and

**WHEREAS**; changes in travel patterns and behaviors driven by reluctance to use public transportation during the Covid-19 outbreak are likely to result in increased demand for safe and efficient bike and pedestrian access between Queens and Manhattan; and

**WHEREAS**; New York City DOT has demonstrated flexibility to implement short-term solutions to address public health needs during times of crisis that do not require significant capital expenditures and are temporary in nature; and

**WHEREAS**; the Mayor has committed to a goal of opening up to 100 miles of streets to allow pedestrians and cyclists to practice adequate social distancing;

**THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED** that Community Board 8 Manhattan requests the New York City Department of Transportation develop an implementable short-term approach to providing additional bike and pedestrian access on the Queensboro Bridge, either via the south outer roadway or any other portion of the bridge roadway that DOT would achieve Community Board 8's access and safety objectives; and

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that any short-term bike-pedestrian improvements to the Queensboro Bridge remain in place until capacity limitations on public transportation related to the Covid-19 public health crisis are lifted.

Community Board 8 Manhattan approved this resolution by a vote of 47 in favor, 1 opposed, 0 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.

Item 4: Discussion regarding a temporary suspension of the \$2.50 congestion fee assessed on yellow taxis in Manhattan south of 96th Street

**WHEREAS**; Community Board 8 Manhattan has expressed support for a permanent elimination of the \$2.50 congestion fee on Yellow Taxis south of 96th Street, and

**WHEREAS**; the Covid-19 outbreak has resulted in significant changes to travel behaviors and decision-making due to health and economic factors;

**WHEREAS**; at-risk populations have exhibited especially poor outcomes upon contracting Covid-19, and thus in certain instances are directed to avoid public transportation; and

**WHEREAS**; the Covid-19 outbreak has resulted in significant reductions to public transportation service for the general public, making access to jobs, services and health-care more challenging, especially during overnight hours when the subway system is closed for cleaning; and

**WHEREAS**; the \$2.50 congestion fee on yellow taxis during an economic crisis is especially burdensome to vulnerable low-income populations, including many seniors residing in Manhattan Community District 8; and

**WHEREAS**; the \$2.50 congestion fee on Yellow Taxis is antithetical at a time when congestion is not occurring and social distancing guidelines discourage the use of subways and buses; and

**WHEREAS**; safe and efficient mobility must be preserved for all residents and visitors of Community District 8 without additional cost barriers that are regressive in nature to persons with limited ability to absorb such costs;

**THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED**; that Community Board 8 Manhattan requests the temporary suspension of the \$2.50 congestion fee on Yellow Taxis south of 96th Street in Manhattan

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**; that the \$2.50 fee remain suspended until public health experts in New York State declare public transportation use to be safe for at-risk populations.

Community Board 8 Manhattan approved this resolution by a vote of 45 in favor, 3 opposed, 0 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.

C. Street Life Committee – Abraham Salcedo, Chair

# SL-1: All SLA & DCA Approvals - Unanimous Approval

- 1. <u>Renewal Applications to the Department of Consumer Affairs and/or Department of City</u> <u>Planning for a Sidewalk Café:</u>
  - a. <u>The York Restaurant Bar Inc., dba Anassa Taverna, 200 East 60th Street (Between</u> <u>Second and Third Avenues)</u> - Renewal Application for a Sidewalk Café – Enclosed – 17 Tables and 34 Chairs

Deadline for Submission: April 17, 2020

WHEREAS this is a renewal application for a sidewalk cafe; and

WHEREAS no one from the public objected; and

**WHEREAS** the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8's stipulations concerning delivery bikes and bar crawls; therefore

**BE IT RESOLVED** that the application is **APPROVED**, **subject to the stipulations above**.

# 2. <u>New Applications to the Department of Consumer Affairs and/or Department of City</u> <u>Planning for a Sidewalk Café:</u>

a. <u>New York Sushi I Inc., 1406 Third Avenue (Between 90<sup>th</sup> and 91<sup>st</sup> Streets)</u> – New Application for a Sidewalk Café – Unenclosed – 18 Tables and 39 Chairs

**Deadline for Submission:** February 28, 2020

WHEREAS this is a new application for a sidewalk cafe; and

WHEREAS no one from the public objected; and

**WHEREAS** the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8's stipulations concerning delivery bikes and bar crawls; therefore

**BE IT RESOLVED** that the application is **APPROVED**, **subject to the stipulations above**.

 Blue Hospitality LLC, dba Gray Hawk Grill, 1556 Second Avenue (Between 80<sup>th</sup> and 81<sup>st</sup> Streets) – New Application for a Sidewalk Café – Unenclosed – 12 Tables and 24 Chairs

Deadline for Submission: May 18, 2020

WHEREAS this is a new application for a sidewalk cafe; and

WHEREAS no one from the public objected; and

**WHEREAS** the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8's stipulations concerning delivery bikes and bar crawls; therefore

**BE IT RESOLVED** that the application is **APPROVED**, **subject to the stipulations above**.

- 3. <u>New Applications to the New York State Liquor Authority for Liquor Licenses:</u>
  - a. <u>Chef Michael Barton Restaurants LLC, dba Table D'Hote, 44 East 92nd Street</u> (<u>Between Madison and Park Avenues</u>) – New Application for Liquor, Wine, Beer, and Cider

WHEREAS this is a New application for a Liquor, Wine, Beer, and Cider License; and

WHEREAS no one from the public objected; and

**WHEREAS** the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8's stipulations concerning delivery bikes and bar crawls; therefore

**BE IT RESOLVED** that the application is **APPROVED**, **subject to the stipulations above**.

# 4. <u>Changes:</u>

a. <u>Serenah Wah Inc., dba Jean Claude II, 1343 Second Avenue (Between 70<sup>th</sup> and 71<sup>st</sup> Street)</u> – Alteration & Class Change

**WHEREAS** this is an alteration and class change application to the liquor license to liquor, wine, beer and cider; and

WHEREAS no one from the public objected; and

**WHEREAS** the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8's stipulations concerning delivery bikes and bar crawls; therefore

**BE IT RESOLVED** that the application is **APPROVED**, **subject to the stipulations above**.

b. <u>Sushi Gamma Corp., dba Sushi Gamma, 1403 Second Avenue (Between 73<sup>rd</sup> and</u> <u>74<sup>th</sup> Streets)</u> – Alteration

**WHEREAS** this is alteration application to the liquor license to add the sidewalk café space; and

WHEREAS no one from the public objected; and

**WHEREAS** the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8's stipulations concerning delivery bikes and bar crawls; therefore

**BE IT RESOLVED** that the application is **APPROVED**, **subject to the stipulations above**.

c. <u>Plaza Athenee Hotel Co. Ltd, dba Hotel Plaza Athenee, 37 East 64<sup>th</sup> Street (Between Park and Madison Avenues)</u> – Corporate Change & Renewal

WHEREAS this is a corporate change and renewal application to the liquor license and

WHEREAS no one from the public objected; and

**WHEREAS** the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8's stipulations concerning delivery bikes and bar crawls; therefore

**BE IT RESOLVED** that the application is **APPROVED**, **subject to the stipulations above**.

d. <u>1616 Second Avenue Restaurant Inc., dba Dorrians, 1616 Second Avenue (Between</u> <u>83<sup>rd</sup> and 84<sup>th</sup> Streets)</u> – Corporate Change

WHEREAS this is a corporate change application and

WHEREAS no one from the public objected; and

**WHEREAS** the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8's stipulations concerning delivery bikes and bar crawls; therefore

**BE IT RESOLVED** that the application is **APPROVED**, **subject to the stipulations above**.

e. <u>Upnorth Burger LLC, dba Hero Certified Burgers, 453 East 78<sup>th</sup> Street (Between First and York Avenues)</u> – Class Change

**WHEREAS** this is a class change application to the liquor license to downgrade to wine, beer and cider and

WHEREAS no one from the public objected; and

**WHEREAS** the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8's stipulations concerning delivery bikes and bar crawls; therefore

**BE IT RESOLVED** that the application is **APPROVED**, **subject to the stipulations above**.

f. <u>Gracie Muse Restaurant Corp., Gracie Mews Diner, 401 East 80<sup>th</sup> Street (Between</u> <u>First and York Avenues)</u> – Alteration

**WHEREAS** this is alteration application to the liquor license to add the sidewalk café space; and

WHEREAS no one from the public objected; and

**WHEREAS** the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8's stipulations concerning delivery bikes and bar crawls; therefore

**BE IT RESOLVED** that the application is **APPROVED**, **subject to the stipulations above**.

# Community Board 8 Manhattan unanimously approved these resolutions by a vote of 47 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.

9. Old Business – No items of old business were discussed.

10. New Business – No items of new business were discussed.

A discussion was held regarding the use of Zoom in future meetings. The subject was tabled and will be discussed at a future meeting.

The meeting was adjourned at 9:34 PM.

Alida Camp, Chair

Name	LM-1	LM-2	LM-3	TR-1	TR-2	SL-1
ARONSON, VANESSA	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
ASHBY, ELIZABETH	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
BARON, P. GAYLE	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
BARTON, LOWELL	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
BIRNBAUM, MICHELE	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y
BORES, LORI ANN	NVFC	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
BORRERO, TAINA	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
BROWN, LORAINE	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y
CAMP, ALIDA	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
CHOCKY, BARBARA	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
CHU, SARAH	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
COHN, ANTHONY	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
COLEMAN, SAUNDREA	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
CORRE IA, BRIAN	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
DANGOOR, REBECCA	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
FARBER, FELICE	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y
FRE ELAND, BILL	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
HARTZOG, EDWARD	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
HELPERN, DAVID P.	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
HIGGINS, PAUL	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
JOHNSON, WILMA	Y	Y	Y	 Y	Y	Y
KONO, TAKAKO	Y	Y	Y	- Y	Y	Y
LADER, CRAIG	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
LAMORTE, REBECCA	Y	Y	Y	 Y	Y	Y
MALIK, MAY	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
MASON, VALERIE	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	-
MORRIS, GRE GORY	-	-	-	-	-	
NEWMAN, DOROTHEA						
,	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
PARSHALL, JANE PATCH, PETER	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
PIERSON-PANE & HARRISON	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
POPE-MARSHALL, SHARON	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y
POPPER, RITA	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
PRICE, MARGARET	v	v	v	v	v	Y
ROSE, ELIZABETH	Y	Y	Y	v	Y	Y
RUDDER, BARBARA	Y	Y	Y	v	v	Y
	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
SALCEDO, ABRAHAM SANCHEZ, WILLIAM	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
SANCHEZ, WILLIAM SCHNEIDER, M. BARRY	Y	Y	I Y	Y	I Y	Y
SHIMAMURA, TRICIA	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y Y	Y
SPAGNOLETTI, COS	Y	Y	Y	Y	I Y	Y
	Y	Y	Y	Y	I Y	Y
SQUIRE, RUSSELL	Y	I Y	v	v	ı V	I Y
STRONG-SHINOZAKI, LYNNE TAMAYO, MARCO	Y	Y	I Y	v	I Y	Y
TEJO, CAROLINA	Y	Y	I Y	Y	I Y	Y
	Y	I Y	I Y		_	I Y
WALD, ADAM				Y	Y	Y Y
WALSH, ELAINE	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	å
WARREN, CHARLES	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
WEINER, SHARON	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
ZIMMERMAN, JACK	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y