

Alida Camp  
Chair

Will Brightbill  
District Manager



505 Park Avenue, Suite 620  
New York, N.Y. 10022-1106  
(212) 758-4340  
(212) 758-4616 (Fax)  
www.cb8m.com - Website  
info@cb8m.com - E-Mail

**The City of New York  
Community Board 8 Manhattan  
Full Board Committee  
Wednesday, January 22, 2019 – 6:30PM  
New York Blood Center, Auditorium  
310 East 67<sup>th</sup> Street**

**Community Board Members Present:** Alexandria Abenson, Vanessa Aronson, Elizabeth Ashby, P. Gayle Baron, Lowell Barton, Lori Ann Bores, Loraine Brown, Alida Camp, Barbara Chocky, Sarah Chu, Anthony Cohn, Rebecca Dangoor, Billy Freeland, Edward Hartzog, David Helpern, Paul Higgins, Wilma Johnson, Greg Kirschenbaum, Craig Lader, Rebecca Lamorte, Valerie Mason, Michael Mellamphy, Gregory Morris, Jane Parshall, Peter Patch, Sharon Pope-Marshall, Rita Popper, Margaret Price, Barbara Rudder, Abraham Salcedo, M. Barry Schneider, Tricia Shimamura, Russell Squire, Marco Tamayo, Carolina Tejo, Adam Wald, Charles Warren, Sharon Weiner, and Jack Zimmerman.

**Community Board Members Absent (Excused):** Michele Birnbaum, Sophia James, Dorothea Newman, David Rosenstein, William Sanchez, Cos Spagnoletti, Lynne Strong-Shinozaki, Debra Teitelbaum, Meena Thever, and Elaine Walsh.

**Community Board Members Absent (Unexcused):**

**Total Attendance: 39**

**Chairwoman Alida Camp called the meeting to order at 6:33PM.**

**Public Session** – Those who wish to speak during the Public Session must register to do so by 6:45 pm

- Ruth Beesch from the Jewish Museum spoke about their proposed art installation and said they would be coming to a future Landmarks Committee meeting
- Hassan Elgarrath from Terminus Rest LLC spoke about their sidewalk cafe renewal application
- Jeanne Greenberg Rohatyn spoke on behalf of the application for 3 East 89th Street in support of their LPC application
- Stuart Beckerman from Slater Beckerman spoke on behalf of the application for 3 East 89th Street in support of their LPC application
- Sarah Sher from Higgins Quasebarth spoke on behalf of the application for 3 East 89th Street in support of their LPC application
- Jim Herr from Rafael Vinoly Architects spoke on behalf of the application for 3 East 89th Street in support of their LPC application
- Cristiana Pena from Geto and de Milly spoke on behalf of the application for 3 East 89th Street in support of their LPC application

- Lo van der Valk spoke on behalf of Carnegie Hill Neighbors against the application for 3 East 89th Street
- Kevin Hackett representing 17 East 89th Street spoke against the application for 3 East 89th Street
- Michael Weinsier representing 1080 Fifth Avenue spoke against the application for 3 East 89th Street
- Elise McVeigh spoke on behalf of Claire Marx in opposition to the application for 3 East 89th Street
- Peggy Crow spoke on behalf of 17 East 89th Street in opposition to the application for 3 East 89th Street
- Karl De Boer spoke on behalf of 14 East 90th Street in opposition to the application for 3 East 89th Street
- Stephanie Reckler spoke on behalf of the Committee to Protect the Lenox Hill Neighborhood in opposition to the Lenox Hill Hospital development plans
- Elizabeth Rose Daly spoke on behalf of the Frick Collection about their monthly programming
- Jordan Wouk spoke in support of CB8 continuing to live stream their Full Board meetings
- Andrew Ravaschiere spoke about overdevelopment and the impacts it has on climate change
- Wendy Machaver spoke about overdevelopment and the impacts it has on climate change

**3. Adoption of the Agenda** – Agenda adopted

**4. Adoption of the Minutes** – Minutes adopted

**5. Manhattan Borough President’s Report**

Manhattan Borough President Gale Brewer’s office reported on her latest initiatives.

**6. Elected Officials’ Reports**

Elected officials or their representatives reported on their latest initiatives.

1. Assembly Member Rebecca Seawright
2. Council Member Keith Powers
3. State Senator Liz Krueger
4. State Senator Jose Serrano
5. Assembly Member Dan Quart
6. Council Member Ben Kallos
7. Comptroller Scott Stringer
8. Public Advocate Jumaane Williams

**7. Chair’s Report – Alida Camp**

Chair Alida Camp gave her report.

**8. District Manager’s Report -- Will Brightbill**

District Manager Will Brightbill gave his report.

**9. Committee Reports and Action Items:**

**A. Landmarks Committee – David Helpern and Jane Parshall, Co-Chairs**

LM-1 - Item 2 Part A & B

LM-2 - Item 3 Part C

**Item 2: 3 East 89th Street (between Fifth Avenue and Madison Avenue) - Expanded Carnegie Hill Historic District-Jay Bargmann, Rafael Vinoly Architects-Neo Renaissance style residence originally designed by Ogden Codman and constructed between 1913 and 1915. Application for extensive restoration of brick and limestone facades, wood windows, ironwork, rooftop, and rear-yard additions.**

**THIS APPLICATION, DIVIDED INTO THREE PARTS, WAS ORIGINALLY HEARD AT THE JANUARY 13<sup>TH</sup> MEETING OF THE LANDMARKS COMMITTEE. PART A**, the required Section 74-711 Restoration and Maintenance Plan, was approved. **PART B**, for the introduction of a new awning at the ground floor of the front elevation and the replacement of the second egress door, was approved. **PART C**, for rear and side yard enlargements and rooftop additions, was disapproved.

## **DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY**

**WHEREAS** 3 East 89th Street is a 14,000 SF neo-Renaissance style house commissioned by Archer M. Huntington, designed by Ogden Codman, Jr., and constructed between 1913 and 1915.

**WHEREAS** 3 East 89th Street was built as a new wing for the existing mansion at 1083 Fifth Avenue and also designed by Ogden Codman, Jr. [1083 Fifth Avenue was purchased by Mr. Huntington in 1902 who, in 1914, commissioned Mr. Codman to redesign the original mansion PLUS add the wing at 3 East 89th Street.]

**WHEREAS** Mr. Huntington, the stepson of the railroad “Big Four” tycoon, Collis P. Huntington, was the founder of the Hispanic Society and Research Library on Audubon Terrace.

**WHEREAS** in 1941, Mr. Huntington donated the house along with 1083 Fifth Avenue to the National Academy of Design; 3 East 89th Street became part of a 3-building campus which included 5-7 East 89th Street in addition to 1083 Fifth Avenue.

**WHEREAS** in 1942 and in 1955 enlargements were made to the house; the original historic doors were replaced as part of the 1942 enlargement.

**WHEREAS** a rear yard and a side yard addition was added to the house; at the rear the addition extended to the lot line. [*For the original house, the first two floors extended to the width of the house; the two floors above were set back at the east elevation; in 1942, the 5 story fire stair was added to the east elevation using up part of the set back; in 1955, a one story side addition was added at the east elevation and a one story addition was added at the rear yard — this combined addition presents as L-shaped.*]

**WHEREAS** in 2019, the National Academy of Design, as part of a de-accessioning program for the 3 buildings, sold 3 East 89th Street to Jeanne Greenberg Rohatyn, the owner of Salon 94, to serve as the commercial art gallery’s headquarters and exhibition space.

**WHEREAS** the applicant is requesting a special permit for a zoning waiver, pursuant to Zoning Resolution Section 74-711 to allow for a commercial art gallery; height and rear yard waivers and a cyclical maintenance program.

**WHEREAS** a zoning waiver is required because 3 East 89th Street is situated on 2 adjacent zoning districts, R8B and R10. [*To clarify, the site is just over 40’ wide; about 23’ is zoned R10 — part of the Fifth Avenue zoning with no rear yard requirement and about 17’ is in an R8B district — with a 30’ rear yard requirement and a height limit of 75’.*]

**WHEREAS** since the site is under 45’ wide, a variance from the “Sliver Law” would also be required; this requested variance would also be included as part of the Section 74-711 special permit.

**WHEREAS** the applicant’s intention is to base all restoration work at 3 East 89th Street on the house as it looked when it was gifted to the National Academy of Design in 1941, not on the original historic design [*normally required for 74-711 waivers*].

**WHEREAS** the existing front elevation for 3 East 89th Street presents as 4 stories topped by a balustraded parapet —the height increases to 80’ 11 1/2” when the parapet is included.

**WHEREAS** behind the parapet is an existing 300 SF “partial floor” (“FIFTH FLOOR”) and 2 bulkheads — a stair bulkhead and an elevator bulkhead; most of this existing “5th floor” is uncovered roof.

**WHEREAS** at the front elevation, significant features include, at the first floor, a rusticated limestone base; at the second floor, a balcony with a wrought-iron railing and round-arched openings with multi-pane windows and the balustraded parapet atop the cornice.

At the Full Board meeting of Community Board 8 Manhattan held on January 22, 2020, the board **APPROVED PART A** of the following resolution by a vote of 38 in favor, 0 opposed, 1 abstention, and 0 not voting for cause

**PART A: The required Section 74-711 Restoration and Maintenance Plan**

**WHEREAS** at the front or south elevation, the applicant proposes a complete restoration including a) a comprehensive cleaning and patching of limestone, brick and granite b) restoration of the historic Porte Cochere configuration c) removal of non-historic windows to be replaced with historically accurate windows d) restoration of missing cast-iron detailing at the balcony.

**WHEREAS** at the front or south elevation at the 4th floor the applicant proposes to re-open and restore the now-bricked-in historic window openings and to remove the brick infill at the 4th floor balustrade; the applicant also proposes to restore the door at the center bay.

**WHEREAS** the applicant proposes a complete restoration of the west elevation and partial restoration of the east elevation, including repairing all deteriorated masonry, stucco and windows. [*See below with reference to the infill at the east elevation.*]

**WHEREAS** the west elevation, with blind arches and multi-panes windows, is visible from the public way because of a 10’ service alley between 3 East 89th Street and the apartment building on the corner.

**WHEREAS** the applicant has made a commitment to a comprehensive maintenance program going forward to sustain the integrity of the building.

**THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT PART A** of this application be **approved** as presented.

At the Full Board meeting of Community Board 8 Manhattan held on January 22, 2020, the board **APPROVED PART B** of the following resolution by a vote of 38 in favor, 0 opposed, 1 abstention, and 0 not voting for cause.

**PART B: The three openings and the introduction of a new awning at the ground level at the front elevation**

**WHEREAS** at the front elevation at the entrance, the application proposes to return the existing institutional entrance doors to the historic wooden configuration.

**WHEREAS** the applicant proposes that the second egress door to the west of the main entrance (east door) now relate to the existing window between the two doors so that there would now be 2 different systems of openings: the wood main door, the window with the grating removed and the new egress door that relates to the existing window.

**WHEREAS** the applicant is proposing a 2” thick bronze cantilevered awning that would project 4’ 11” from the face of the building; there would be a very discrete sign in a bronze finish to the right of the entrance door with a small dowlight above.

**WHEREAS** the window and doors present as in harmony at the street level.

**WHEREAS** the thin metal awning presents as modern and does not relate to the rest of the historic front elevation, but is a minimal intrusion within the historic district.

**THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT Part B** of this application is **approved** as presented.

At the Full Board meeting of Community Board 8 Manhattan held on January 22, 2020, the board **DISAPPROVED PART C** of the following resolution by a vote of 36 in favor, 2 opposed, 1 abstention, and 0 not voting for cause.

**PART C: Rear and side yard enlargements and rooftop additions**

**WHEREAS** the applicant proposes to extend the first two floors of the house to the rear lot line; the existing 13,870 SF of floor area will now increase to 19,910 SF.

**WHEREAS** at the rear elevation, at the rear yard, there now exists a one-story extension to the rear lot line that presents as L-shaped since the extension wraps around to the east elevation (added in 1955) — the applicant proposes infill or a 10' invasion into the rear yard for the 2nd and 3rd floors only with a two story glass studio box to be placed above the 3rd floor at the 4th and 5th floors.

**WHEREAS** the proposed infill at the 5th floor and the new 6th floor will increase the height of 3 East 89th Street from 78' 5 1/2" at the top of the existing mansard roof to 89' 5 1/2" to the top of the proposed new 6th floor, not including the two proposed bulkheads.

**WHEREAS** at the rear at 1083 Fifth Avenue, there is a distinctive "drum"-shaped structure clad in brick; the "drum" is now highly visible over the low-in-scale adjacent annex to the Church of the Heavenly Rest;

**WHEREAS** the applicant proposes that the view of the drum will remain visible because the proposed infill will only extend to the top of the 3rd floor (the infill at the rear will be placed on top of the already existing 1st floor infill which dates from 1955). **HOWEVER**, the only floors that match between the two houses, both designed Ogden Codman at the same time, is at the 2nd floor of each; this is also the floor where the "drum" has 3 identical tall windows; the rear addition at the second floor at 3 East 89th Street is only a few feet distant and 10' deep to the rear lot line and will block the east facing window — the main light source for the "drum".

**WHEREAS** the applicant proposes glass at the angled front elevation at the 5th floor.

**WHEREAS** angled glass of the front elevation of the new 5th floor matches exactly the slope of the existing mansard roof.

**WHEREAS** behind the mansard roof, glass will be inserted at the east and west elevations; the glass will be inserted into the slot in front of the fire stair on the east elevation and in a symmetrical location on the west elevation.

**WHEREAS** the applicant proposes a new glass studio 23' wide x 8'3" deep x 25' high at the 4th and 5th floor at the rear elevation.

**WHEREAS** the proposed glass studio will be set back from the north parapet wall by 18".

**WHEREAS** at the existing north elevation, three existing windows will be infilled to provide for the elevator shaft, including the large paned opening at the 2nd floor that matches exactly the large paned opening on the 2nd floor of the "drum" at 1083 Fifth Avenue.

**WHEREAS** at the third floor at the east-facing side yard the mechanicals will be slotted into the set back north of the fire stair. *[There will be infill at the 2nd floor and infill at the 4th floor; the existing first floor at the east elevation dates from the 1955 expansion and is part of the "L" that wraps around into the rear yard and extends to the rear lot line.]*

**WHEREAS** the mechanical equipment is being inserted at the 3rd floor to take advantage of a pre-existing light and air easement carried over from 5-9 East 89th Street with the purchase of 3 East 89th Street.

**WHEREAS** at the east elevation at the new masonry and stucco 5th and 6th floors, 4 new punched openings will be added - 2 on each floor; both the 4th and 5th floors step back from the existing parapet.

**WHEREAS** at the west elevation, the new 5th and 6th floors present as 18” from the face of the existing facade.

**WHEREAS** at the roof, the applicant is proposing to augment/fill-in the partial “5th floor”, the infill will measure 36’ 10” wide x 44’ 1” deep to the existing bulkheads x 12’ high; the existing bulkheads will be removed and the 5th floor will be extended.

**WHEREAS** the existing partial 5th floor will now present as an infilled full floor to be clad in limestone, with an angled glass front elevation.

**WHEREAS** the proposed new 6th floor will be set back 35’ from the front property line at an angle from the front elevation and will measure 36’8” wide x 54’1” deep x 10’6” high.

**WHEREAS** at the 6th floor roof, there will be a HVAC bulkhead and a stair bulkhead.

**WHEREAS** the proposed enlargements at the roof, at the rear and at the east-facing side present a substantial expansion of the building; two full floors are being added to the top of a 4-story building — a 1/3 increase in the height — adding 22’ of increased height.

**WHEREAS** the rooftop and rear yard enlargements are highly visible from both East 89th Street and Fifth Avenue

**WHEREAS** the rooftop, rear yard, and side yard enlargements impinge on the requirement that a 74- 711 project have no more than a minimal impact in terms of both scale and light and air;

**WHEREAS** if the rooftop, rear yard and side yard enlargements were to proceed as proposed, the applicant would receive a gift of free FAR or a public subsidy of a private enterprise with no compensating public benefit; all enlargements made after 1941 were made either by the National Academy of Design, or the National Academy School which resulted in benefits to the public.

**WHEREAS** the 10’ space at the rear yard must be maintained to honor 1083 Fifth Avenue’s “drum” and its east-facing rotunda window and to provide breathing room for the neighboring buildings.

**WHEREAS** both the rooftop addition and the intrusion into the rear yard are inappropriate and out-of-context within the historic district.

**THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT Part C** of this application be **disapproved** as presented.

**B. Transportation Committee – Charles Warren and Craig Lader, Co-Chairs**

**TR-1:** Item 1

**TR-2:** Item 3

**TR-3:** Item 5

**Item 1: Offset Crossings**

**WHEREAS;** 1st and 2nd Avenues within CB8 have protected bike lanes in which the majority of intersections have mixing zones; and

**WHEREAS;** NYCDOT is now featuring offset crossings as its standard intersection design for new protected bike lanes and converting existing mixing zones with offset crossings in conjunction with cyclical repaving; and

**WHEREAS;** Offset crossings are perceived as being a more comfortable and amenable design for cyclists than mixing zones, and may also increase the visibility of pedestrians; and

**WHEREAS;** perceived and actual safety upgrades to the transportation network should be implemented in an expeditious fashion and not be put on hold until other scheduled construction work is to commence;

**THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED** that Community Board 8 requests that NYCDOT accelerate the installation of upgraded bike turning zones and conversion to offset crossings along 1st and 2nd Avenues, except in locations where NYCDOT determines they are not feasible.

**Community Board 8 Manhattan approved this resolution by a vote of 33 in favor, 1 opposed, 5 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.**

**Item 3: 59th Street Bridge – Request for NYCDOT to Study Converting Outer Roadway from Vehicular Use to Bike/Pedestrian Use**

**WHEREAS;** the north outer roadway of the Queensboro Bridge is currently a dual bicycle and pedestrian pathway that provides a substandard 6 total feet of space for bicycles traveling in both directions; and  
**WHEREAS;** current design standards for 2-way bikeways call for 8-feet in width; and  
**WHEREAS;** the existing pedestrian lane does not meet the standards mandated under the Americans with Disabilities Act; and  
**WHEREAS;** pedestrian space on the bridge is limited to 4-feet in width, which creates a sense of danger for pedestrians sharing the roadway with faster moving bicycles; and  
**WHEREAS;** existing safety issues on the bike/pedestrian roadway require immediate attention to reduce risks to persons currently using the bridge to walk or bike; and  
**WHEREAS;** the upcoming rehabilitation of the upper roadway will result in operational changes on the bridge and provide opportunities to assess impacts of changes to the road network; and  
**WHEREAS;** vehicular traffic has declined in recent years on the Queensboro Bridge; and  
**WHEREAS;** increased development and employment activity in Long Island City has resulted in significant increases in bicycle trips across the Queensboro Bridge; and  
**WHEREAS;** the upcoming introduction of congestion pricing may further result in decreased vehicular traffic and may encourage more bicycling between Manhattan and Queens; and  
**WHEREAS;** eliminating vehicular traffic on the south outer roadway of the Queensboro bridge would allow bicyclists and pedestrians to each have a dedicated roadway that would meet current design guidelines, including ADA requirements for pedestrians;

**THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED** that Community Board 8 requests that NYCDOT conduct a study of the proposal to eliminate vehicular traffic on the south outer roadway of the Queensboro Bridge and convert it to a dedicated ADA accessible pedestrian walkway or bicycle route; and  
**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that Community Board 8 requests that NYCDOT complete this study as soon as possible, and conduct it in conjunction with the soon to commence project to rehabilitate the upper roadway of the Queensboro Bridge; and  
**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that NYCDOT assess opportunities to provide safer and improved pedestrian amenities on the Queensboro bridge that can be implemented in a short-term timeframe prior to any potential future closure of the south outer roadway to vehicular traffic.

**Community Board 8 Manhattan approved this resolution by a vote of 34 in favor, 4 opposed, 1 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.**

**Item 4: Congestion Pricing – Request for Manhattan Representation on the Traffic Mobility Review Board Representation**

**WHEREAS;** State law will allow congestion pricing to go into effect for vehicles operating on roadways south of 60<sup>th</sup> Street as early as January 1, 2021; and  
**WHEREAS;** no policy decisions regarding the implementation of congestion pricing have been made to date; and

**WHEREAS;** no city or state agency has presented a plan that describes preparations for implementation of congestion pricing, including any required infrastructure implementation or anticipated changes to roadway and transit operations or parking regulations; and

**WHEREAS;** Community Board 8 Manhattan is especially concerned that city and state governmental entities are very unprepared for the introduction of congestion pricing; and

**WHEREAS;** the Traffic Review Mobility Board will be responsible for developing policies regarding fees, fee offsets, exemptions and discounts; and

**WHEREAS;** the Traffic Review Mobility Board is to be comprised of six members, all of whom have yet to be appointed; and

**WHEREAS;** it is absolutely critical that the Traffic Review Mobility Board operate in a transparent manner, be representative of all types of communities that will be uniquely impacted by the policies that it formulates;

**WHEREAS;** the impacts of congestion pricing will be especially significant across Manhattan, with separate issues and likely impacts for communities north and south of the congestion zone boundary;

**WHEREAS;** it is in the best interest of all communities affected by congestion pricing that all essential policies and preparations are well thought out and carefully implemented to avoid a transportation network breakdown upon implementation;

**THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED** that Community Board 8 requests that there be two representatives from Manhattan appointed to the Traffic Review Mobility Board – one from above 60<sup>th</sup> Street, one from below 60<sup>th</sup> Street.

**Community Board 8 Manhattan approved this resolution by a vote of 39 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.**

### **C. Street Life Committee – Abraham Salcedo, Chair**

**SL-1:** Unanimous DCA & SLA Approvals

**SL-2:** Item 6D - Terminus Restaurant Associates LLC, dba Orsay Restaurant, 1057 Lexington Avenue (Between 75th and 76th Street)

**SL-3:** Items 6E and 6F

#### **1) Renewal Applications to the Department of Consumer Affairs and/or Department of City Planning for a Sidewalk Cafe:**

- a) **Salernitani Ltd, dba San Matteo Pizzeria Espresso Bar, 1739 Second Avenue (Between 89th and 90th Street)** - Renewal Application for a Sidewalk Cafe –unenclosed – 8 Tables and 16 Chairs

i) **Deadline for Submission:** January 18, 2020

**WHEREAS** this is a renewal application for a sidewalk cafe; and

**WHEREAS** no one from the public objected; and

**WHEREAS** the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8's stipulations concerning delivery bikes and bar crawls; therefore

**BE IT RESOLVED** that the application is **APPROVED, subject to the stipulations above.**

- b) **PQ East 83rd St INC., dba Le Pain Quotidien, 1592 First Avenue (Between 83rd and 84th Street)** - Renewal Application for a Sidewalk Cafe – unenclosed – 10 Tables and 22 Chairs

i) **Deadline for Submission:** January 18, 2020

**WHEREAS** this is a renewal application for a sidewalk cafe; and

**WHEREAS** no one from the public objected; and



**WHEREAS** the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8's stipulations concerning delivery bikes and bar crawls; therefore

**BE IT RESOLVED** that the application is **APPROVED, subject to the stipulations above.**

- c) **Sweetgreen New York LLC, dba Sweetgreen 61 & Lex, 137 East 61st Street (Between Park and Lexington Avenue)** - Renewal Application for a Sidewalk Cafe – unenclosed – 4 Tables and 10 Chairs

- i) **Deadline for Submission:** January 18, 2020

**WHEREAS** this is a renewal application for a sidewalk cafe; and

**WHEREAS** no one from the public objected; and

**WHEREAS** the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8's stipulations concerning delivery bikes and bar crawls; therefore

**BE IT RESOLVED** that the application is **APPROVED, subject to the stipulations above.**

- d) **Sato Inc., dba Mole by Taco Taco, 1735 Second Avenue (Between 89<sup>th</sup> and 90<sup>th</sup> Street)** – Renewal Application for a Sidewalk Café – enclosed – 8 Tables and 17 Chairs

- i) **Deadline for Submission:** January 27, 2020

**WHEREAS** this is a renewal application for a sidewalk cafe; and

**WHEREAS** no one from the public objected; and

**WHEREAS** the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8's stipulations concerning delivery bikes and bar crawls; therefore

**BE IT RESOLVED** that the application is **APPROVED, subject to the stipulations above.**

- 2) **New Applications to the Department of Consumer Affairs and/or Department of City Planning for a Sidewalk Cafe:**

- a) **Mission Ceviche UES INC., dba Mission Ceviche, 1400 Second Avenue (Between 72nd and 73rd Street)** - New Application for a Sidewalk Cafe – unenclosed – 11 Tables and 22 Chairs

- i) **Deadline for Submission:** February 2, 2020

**WHEREAS** this is a New application for a sidewalk cafe; and

**WHEREAS** no one from the public objected; and

**WHEREAS** the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8's stipulations concerning delivery bikes and bar crawls; therefore

**BE IT RESOLVED** that the application is **APPROVED, subject to the stipulations above.**

- 3) **New Applications to the New York State Liquor Authority for Liquor Licenses:**

- a) **Finnegan's Wake LLC, dba Finnegan's Wake, 1361 First Avenue (Between 73<sup>rd</sup> and 74<sup>th</sup> Street)** – New Application for a Liquor, Wine, Beer, and Cider License

**WHEREAS** this is a New application for a Liquor, Wine, Beer, and Cider License; and  
**WHEREAS** no one from the public objected; and

**WHEREAS** the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8's stipulations concerning delivery bikes and bar crawls; therefore

**BE IT RESOLVED** that the application is **APPROVED, subject to the stipulations above.**

- b) **JGL Restaurant Corp., dba Pending, 1494 First Avenue (Between 77<sup>th</sup> and 78<sup>th</sup> Street)** – New Application for a Wine, Beer, and Cider License

**WHEREAS** this is a New application for a Wine, Beer, and Cider License; and  
**WHEREAS** no one from the public objected; and  
**WHEREAS** the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8's stipulations concerning delivery bikes and bar crawls; therefore  
**BE IT RESOLVED** that the application is **APPROVED, subject to the stipulations above.**

- c) **BS1429 LLC, dba Beyond Sushi, 1429 Third Avenue (Between 80<sup>th</sup> and 81<sup>st</sup> Street)** – New Application for a Liquor, Wine, Beer, and Cider License

**WHEREAS** this is a New application for a Liquor, Wine, Beer, and Cider License; and  
**WHEREAS** no one from the public objected; and  
**WHEREAS** the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8's stipulations concerning delivery bikes and bar crawls; therefore  
**BE IT RESOLVED** that the application is **APPROVED, subject to the stipulations above.**

- d) **Meal Plan NY LLC & Graduate Island Lessee LLC, dba Graduate Roosevelt Island, 22 North Loop Road (Roosevelt Island)** – New Application for a Liquor, Wine, Beer, and Cider License\*

**WHEREAS** this is a New application for a Wine, Beer, and Cider License; and  
**WHEREAS** no one from the public objected; and  
**WHEREAS** the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8's stipulations concerning delivery bikes and bar crawls and agreed that they would not operate until they have obtained a valid Place of Assembly certificate of operation; therefore  
**BE IT RESOLVED** that the application is **APPROVED, subject to the stipulations above.**

- e) **Nacada LLC, dba Pending, 1589 Second Avenue (Between 82<sup>nd</sup> and 83<sup>rd</sup> Street)** – New Application for a Liquor, Wine, Beer, and Cider License

**WHEREAS** this is a New application for a Wine, Beer, and Cider License; and  
**WHEREAS** no one from the public objected; and  
**WHEREAS** the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8's stipulations concerning delivery bikes and bar crawls; therefore  
**BE IT RESOLVED** that the application is **APPROVED, subject to the stipulations above.**

4) **Corporate Change:**

- a) **1490 Restaurant Corp., dba Doc Watson's, 1490 Second Avenue (Between 77<sup>th</sup> and 78<sup>th</sup> Street)** – Corporate Change\*

**WHEREAS** this is a corporate change application; and  
**WHEREAS** no one from the public objected; and  
**WHEREAS** the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8's stipulations concerning delivery bikes and bar crawls and agreed to not operate the rear yard beyond 10pm and agreed to provide a valid certificate of operation; therefore  
**BE IT RESOLVED** that the application is **APPROVED, subject to the stipulations above.**

5) **30 Day Waiver Requests:**

- a) **Alfa 64 Inc, dba Altesi Restaurant, 26 East 64th Street (Between Fifth and Madison Avenue)** – Renewal Application for a Liquor, Wine, Beer, and Cider License

**WHEREAS** this is a renewal application for a Liquor, Wine, Beer, and Cider License; and  
**WHEREAS** no one from the public objected; and  
**WHEREAS** the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8's stipulations concerning delivery bikes and bar crawls; therefore  
**BE IT RESOLVED** that the application is **APPROVED, subject to the stipulations above.**

- b) **Jasper Hospitality LLC, dba East End Bar & Grill, 1664 First Avenue (Between 86<sup>th</sup> and 87<sup>th</sup> Street)** – Renewal Application for a Liquor, Wine, Beer, and Cider License

**WHEREAS** this is a New application for a Liquor, Wine, Beer, and Cider License; and  
**WHEREAS** no one from the public objected; and  
**WHEREAS** the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8's stipulations concerning delivery bikes and bar crawls; therefore  
**BE IT RESOLVED** that the application is **APPROVED, subject to the stipulations above.**

**Community Board 8 Manhattan approved these resolutions by a vote of 39 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.**

**Item 6D - Terminus Restaurant Associates LLC, dba Orsay Restaurant, 1057 Lexington Avenue (Between 75th and 76th Street)**

**WHEREAS** this is a renewal application for a sidewalk cafe; and  
**WHEREAS** no one from the public objected; and  
**WHEREAS** the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8's stipulations concerning delivery bikes and bar crawls; therefore  
**BE IT RESOLVED** that the application is **APPROVED, subject to the stipulations above.**

**Community Board 8 Manhattan approved this resolution by a vote of 37 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.**

**Items 6E and 6F:**

- e. **1483 First Avenue Restaurant, dba Maruzzella, 1483 First Avenue (Between 77<sup>th</sup> and 78<sup>th</sup> Street)** – Renewal Application for a Sidewalk Café – unenclosed – 8 Tables and 16 Chairs

**WHEREAS** the applicant was invited the January 7, 2020 Community Board 8 Manhattan Street Life Committee Meeting; and  
**WHEREAS** the applicant did not appear at the January 7, 2020 Community Board 8 Manhattan Street Life Committee Meeting; and  
**WHEREAS** the applicant was invited to the January 22, 2020 Community Board 8 Manhattan Full Board Meeting; and

**WHEREAS** the applicant did not appear at the January 22, 2020 Community Board 8 Manhattan Full Board Meeting; and

**WHEREAS** the public was not given an opportunity to comment on the application;

**BE IT RESOLVED** that the application is **DISAPPROVED**, subject to the stipulations above.

**f. Le Bon NY Corp, dba Corrado Bakery, 1361 Lexington Avenue (Between 90<sup>th</sup> and 91<sup>st</sup> Street)** – Renewal Application for a Sidewalk Café –enclosed – 5 Tables and 10 Chairs

**WHEREAS** the applicant was invited the January 7, 2020 Community Board 8 Manhattan Street Life Committee Meeting: and

**WHEREAS** the applicant did not appear at the January 7, 2020 Community Board 8 Manhattan Street Life Committee Meeting: and

**WHEREAS** the applicant was invited to the January 22, 2020 Community Board 8 Manhattan Full Board Meeting; and

**WHEREAS** the applicant did not appear at the January 22, 2020 Community Board 8 Manhattan Full Board Meeting; and

**WHEREAS** the public was not given an opportunity to comment on the application;

**BE IT RESOLVED** that the application is **DISAPPROVED**, subject to the stipulations above.

**Community Board 8 Manhattan approved these resolutions by a vote of 36 in favor, 0 opposed, 2 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.**

**10. Old Business** – Barry Schneider reported an update on the Lasker Rink and Harlem Meer project.

**11. New Business** – Alida brought up an item of new business. She asked for support on a resolution overturning the Community Board Member Term Limits that were implemented as a result of the 2018 Charter Revision Commission.

**WHEREAS** the 2018 Charter Revision Commission recommended to change how community boards throughout the city are run, by imposing term limits on appointees, changing the application and appointment process for community board members, and require the Civic Engagement Commission to provide resources to community boards; and

**WHEREAS** Community Board 8 Manhattan was opposed to these changes before the 2018 Charter Revision Commission finalized their ballot questions; therefore

**BE IT RESOLVED** that Community Board 8 Manhattan urges the City Council to introduce legislation and pass that would overturn term limits on Community Board members.

**Community Board 8 Manhattan approved this resolution by a vote of 24 in favor, 13 opposed, 1 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.**

**The meeting was adjourned at 9:10 PM.**

**Alida Camp, Chair**

Name	LM-1	LM-2	TR-1	TR-2	TR--3	SL-1	SL-2	SL-3	NB-1
ABENSHON, ALEXANDRIA	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N
ARONSON, VANESSA	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N
ASHBY, ELIZABETH	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
BARON, P. GAYLE	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
BARTON, LOWELL	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
BIRNBAUM, MICHELE									
BORES, LORI ANN	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N
BROWN, LORAINÉ	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y			
CAMP, ALIDA	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
CHOCKY, BARBARA	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
CHU, SARAH	Y	Y	ABST	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	ABST
COHN, ANTHONY	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
DANGOOR, REBECCA	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
FREELAND, BILL	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N
HARTZOG, EDWARD	Y	Y	ABST	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
HELPERN, DAVID P.	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
HIGGINS, PAUL	ABST	ABST	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	ABST	N
JAMES, SOPHIA									
JOHNSON, WILMA	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
KIRSCHENBAUM, GREGORY	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N
LADER, CRAIG	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N
LAMORTE, REBECCA	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N
MASON, VALERIE	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
MELLAMPHY, MICHAEL	Y	Y	ABST	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N

Name	LM-1	LM-2	TR-1	TR-2	TR--3	SL-1	SL-2	SL-3	NB-1
MORRIS, GREGORY	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	N
NEWMAN, DOROTHEA									
PARSHALL, JANE	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
PATCH, PETER	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
POPE-MARSHALL, SHARON	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
POPPER, RITA	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
PRICE, MARGARET	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
ROSENSTEIN, DAVID									
RUDDER, BARBARA	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
SALCEDO, ABRAHAM	Y	Y	ABST	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
SANCHEZ, WILLIAM									
SCHNEIDER, M. BARRY	Y	Y	Y	ABST	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
SHIMAMURA, TRICIA	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
SPAGNOLETTI, COS									
SQUIRE, RUSSELL	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N
STRONG-SHINOZAKI, LYNNE									
TAMAYO, MARCO	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N
TEITELBAUM, DEBRA									
TEJO, CAROLINA	Y	Y	ABST	N	Y	Y	Y	ABST	N
THEVER, MEENA									
WALD, ADAM	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
WALSH, ELAINE									
WARREN, CHARLES	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
WEINER, SHARON	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
ZIMMERMAN, JACK	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y