


Alida Camp
Chair

Will Brightbill
District Manager



505 Park Avenue, Suite 620
New York, N.Y. 10022
(212) 758-4340
(212) 758-4616 (Fax)
info@cb8m.com – E-Mail
www.cb8m.com – Website

The City of New York
Community Board 8 Manhattan
The City of New York
Community Board 8 Manhattan
LAND USE & FULL BOARD MEETING
New York Blood Center -- Auditorium
310 East 67th Street
Wednesday, March 20, 2019 - 6:30PM

Community Board Members Present: Elizabeth Ashby, P Gayle Baron, Michele Birnbaum, Lori Ann Bores, Alida Camp, Barbara Chocky, Sarah Chu, Anthony Cohn, Matthew Feather, Bill Freeland, Alexandra Harrington, Edward Hartzog, David Helpert, Sophia James, Lorraine Johnson, Greg Kirschenbaum, Craig Lader, Rebecca Lamorte, Valerie Mason, David Menegon, Jane Parshall, Peter Patch, Sharon Pope-Marshall, Rita Popper, Margaret Price, David Rosenstein, Barbara Rudder, Abraham Salcedo, William Sanchez, M. Barry Schneider, Tricia Shimamura, Lynne Strong-Shinozaki, Marco Tamayo, Debra Teitelbaum, Carolina Tejo, Adam Wald, Elaine Walsh, Charles Warren, Sharon Weiner.

Community Board Members Absent (Excused): Loraine Brown, Christopher Deluzio, Alexandra Harrington, Cecelia King, David Liston, Michael Mellamphy, Dorothea Newman, Cos Spagnoletti, Russell Squire, Meena Thever, and Nicholas Viest.

Community Board Members Absent (Unexcused): None

Total Attendance: 38

Chairwoman Alida Camp called the meeting to order at 6:38PM.

1. Public Session – Those who wish to speak during the Public Session must register to do so by 6:45 pm

- Michele Birnbaum spoke about hate speech in the UES.
- Devorah Baiz spoke about Amazon leaving LIC

A .Presentation by the Conflict of Interest Board.

2. Adoption of the Agenda – Agenda adopted

3. Adoption of the Minutes – Minutes adopted

4. Manhattan Borough President's Report

Manhattan Borough President Gale Brewer's office reported on her latest initiatives.

5. Elected Officials' Reports

Elected officials or their representatives reported on their latest initiatives.

1. Council Member Keith Powers
2. State Senator Liz Krueger
3. Assembly Member Dan Quart
4. Assembly Member Rebecca Seawright
5. State Senator Jose Serrano
6. Comptroller Scott Stringer
7. Council Member Ben Kallos

6. Chair's Report – Alida Camp

Chair Camp gave her report.

7. District Manager's Report -- Will Brightbill

District Manager Will Brightbill gave his report.

8. Committee Reports and Action Items:

A. Housing Committee - Barbara Chocky and Edward Hartzog, Co-Chairs

H-1: Item 1 Request for After Hour Variances at 301 East 80th and 81st Streets.

WHEREAS, the applicant has not provided an answer as to whether the AHVP requests are needed to expedite the project, catch-up with their building schedule or, are part of their overall plan; and,
WHEREAS, many members of the surrounding community expressed serious concerns about the applicant's past behavior and future likelihood of adhering to any agreement(s) to curtail or circumscribe activities,
THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT Community Board 8 Manhattan disapproves of the After-Hours Variance Permit requests by 301 East 80th Realty, LLC for the sites at 301 East 80th and East 81st Streets.

Community Board 8 Manhattan approved this resolution by a vote of 38 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.

B. Landmarks Committee - David Helpern and Jane Parshall, Co-Chairs

LM-1: Items 1, 2, and 3

Item 1: 103 East 75th Street (between Lexington and Park Avenues) – Upper East Side Historic District *Mike Robarge, Panorama Windows, LTD.* Application is for the replacement of windows.

WHEREAS the leaded windows for Apartment 8FE are to be removed and replaced;
WHEREAS the original windows for the building were double hung;
WHEREAS all the windows except for those for Apartment 8FE are aluminum matching the design of the original double hung windows;
WHEREAS the leaded glass windows, which were installed after 1940, are in poor condition;
WHEREAS the leaded glass windows will be replaced with new, aluminum windows to match the aluminum windows throughout the building;
WHEREAS the upper sashes of the replacement windows will have simulated divided lights to match the proportions of the upper sashes of the aluminum windows throughout the building;
WHEREAS the replacement of the leaded glass windows with aluminum windows will return the building to its original composition;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that this application is **approved** as presented.

Item 2: 105 East 64th Street (between Lexington and Park Avenues) – Upper East Side Historic District *Lisa Chow, Workshop Design and Architecture.* Application is for a new rooftop addition.

WHEREAS 105 East 64th Street was originally designed by W.P. And A.M. Parsons and constructed in 1881-1882;
WHEREAS the existing front elevation at 103 East 64th Street was designed by Adams and Prentice and constructed in 1941;
WHEREAS the applicant proposes a one-story rooftop addition on top of the existing 5-story house, which has a depth of approximately 60';
WHEREAS the proposed rooftop addition would have a depth of 27'10" and would be set back approximately 30' from the front property line. [There is a 6'9" front yard; the addition would be set back 23'3" from the front elevation.];

WHEREAS the proposed addition would be 11'6" above the existing roof; thus, the overall height of the house would increase from 55'9" to 67'3" above grade;

WHEREAS the applicant proposes an aluminum framed roof skylight at the top of the addition;

WHEREAS mechanical equipment would be placed on top of the addition adding an additional 5'6" to the overall height;

WHEREAS the proposed addition would contain 570 sq. ft. of enclosed space and would have a 42" pipe railing as required by the Building Code, at the roof of the addition (all 4 sides);

WHEREAS the front elevation of the penthouse, 20'10" wide, will contain a 14'2" wide x 9'6" high steel framed window and door glazing system utilizing multiple glass panes each measuring approximately 3'2";

WHEREAS the proposed pipe railing will be minimally visible from the public way on 65th Street when looking southwest and when looking at the house straight on;

WHEREAS at the front elevation, at the ground level, the applicant proposes to add additional details;

WHEREAS among other enhancements, the applicant proposes new ornamental window enframements for the painted wood entry door, the painted wood service door and the window in between that will match the enframements for the rest of the windows on the front elevation and removing the existing air conditioner above the service door and replacing with a transom window;

WHEREAS the applicant's proposed rooftop addition is set back from the property line by 30' and is not visible from the public way;

WHEREAS the proposed pipe trailing on top of the addition, required by the Building Code, while minimally visible from the public way, is the least obtrusive solution and is as simple as possible and will be painted black;

WHEREAS the proposed improvements at the front elevation at the ground floor are contextual and appropriate within the historic district;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that this application is **approved** as presented.

Item 3: Barbizon Hotel for Women, 817 Lexington Avenue (140 East 63rd Street) – Individual Landmark Stonehill Taylor Architects. Application is to replace the existing glass door with sidelight, block wall and overhead molding with new storefront glazing infill to match existing ground floor storefront, and new molding and curb to match existing conditions.

WHEREAS 817 Lexington Avenue (Barbizon Hotel) is an Italian Romanesque, Gothic and Renaissance ornament-style building designed by Murgatroyd & Ogden and constructed in 1927-28;

WHEREAS the ground floor space is now occupied by an Equinox sports club;

WHEREAS the applicant, at the Lexington Avenue elevation, plans to demolish an existing glass door with sidelight, block wall and overhead moulding; this now-existing condition is at the south end of the front elevation along Lexington Avenue and was formerly a storefront window;

WHEREAS the applicant proposes to reintroduce the storefront window;

WHEREAS the new storefront glazing infill will match the existing ground floor storefront system, with new moulding and curb to match existing conditions;

WHEREAS the reintroduced storefront window measures 8'3" wide x 8'9" high;

WHEREAS as presented in the architect's drawings, the proposed new window does not align with the adjacent storefront window to its left;

WHEREAS there may be an existing condition that prevents the desired alignment;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that this application is **approved** as presented **PROVIDED THAT** the applicant aligns the proposed new storefront window with the adjacent storefront window.

Community Board 8 Manhattan approved these resolutions by a vote of 38 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.

**C. Transportation Committee – Charles Warren and Craig Lader, Co-Chairs
TR-1 Items 1 and 2**

Item 1: 72nd Street Bus Bench

WHEREAS, a resident and regular passenger of the M72 has requested a bench be installed at the westbound M72 bus stop at 3rd Avenue; and

WHEREAS, other nearby M72 bus stops have benches or shelters with a bench;

WHEREAS, the sidewalk of the M72 bus stop is a public right-of-way under the jurisdiction of the New York City Department of Transportation;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that Community Board 8 Manhattan requests the New York City Department of Transportation install a bench in an appropriate spot adjacent to the westbound M72 bus stop at 3rd Avenue.

Item 2: Package of Legislation Under Review by the New York City Council Related to Parking Placards.

WHEREAS, the quality of life in Community Board 8 has been adversely impacted by inappropriate use and lack of enforcement of parking placards issued by the City of New York; and

WHEREAS, the presence of fake placards and inability to differentiate them from authentically issued placards further inhibits enforcement and oversight; and

WHEREAS, the already limited amount of available on-street parking is further restricted by the extensive use of parking placards; and

WHEREAS, the City Council of New York has put forward a package of bills that includes Intros 1393, 1394, 1395, 1412 and 1422 that would address fake placards, inappropriate use of placards, and aim to reduce the number of placards; and

WHEREAS, the proposed City Council package of bills regarding parking placards would create databases and install mechanisms to promote enforcement of placard abuse and prevent the transfer of placards;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that Community Board 8 Manhattan supports the City Council package of bills related to parking placards, comprised of Intros 1393, 1394, 1395, 1412 and 1422;

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that Community Board 8 Manhattan requests that the language of the package of bills be consistent to ensure that illegal parking with a placard in a bus stop for non-emergency purposes be subject to towing.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that Community Board 8 Manhattan requests the City Council package of bills include language requiring the permanent revocation of any placards that have been found to have been misused three times by the owner of the placard.

Community Board 8 Manhattan approved these resolutions by a vote of 37 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.

D. Environment and Sanitation Committee - Abraham Salcedo and Cos Spagnoletti, Co-Chairs

ES-1: Items 2a and 2c

Item 2a: The Need for the City Charter to increase fines on businesses who fail to keep adjacent areas clean of litter.

WHEREAS the mounting litter on sidewalks, in tree beds, on seating and along roadway curbs has become an acute problem on Manhattan's Upper East Side; and

WHEREAS this rising amount of litter is creating unhealthy as well as unsightly conditions throughout the community; and

WHEREAS businesses are legally required to remove litter from public spaces adjacent to their establishment or face a \$100 fine; and

WHEREAS that fine has been proving to be far too low to encourage businesses to maintain cleanliness around their establishment; therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED that Community Board 8-Manhattan urges the New York City Council to raise the fine to between \$250 and \$1,000 per violation on businesses that fail to keep areas adjacent to their establishment clean and litter-free.

Item 2c: The need for the Dept. of Sanitation to monitor specific sections of CB8M for cleanliness.

WHEREAS the rising amounts of litter on sidewalks, in tree beds, on seating and along roadway curbs on Manhattan's Upper East Side are creating environmental, health and safety hazards; and

WHEREAS these district-wide problems are most pronounced in certain areas, such as East 86th St. and along East 74th, 75th and 76th streets, among other areas; therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED that Community Board 8-Manhattan urges the New York City Dept. of Sanitation to launch an assessment program lasting at least a month, in which inspectors would regularly monitor designated target areas to determine both their cleanliness and if any further steps are needed to improve conditions.

Community Board 8 Manhattan approved these resolutions by a vote of 36 in favor, 1 opposed, 0 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.

E. Street Life Committee – Abraham Salcedo, Chair

1) Renewal Applications to the New York State Liquor Authority for Liquor Licenses – 30 Day Notice Waiver:

a) Gracie Muse Restaurant Corp, 401 East 80th Street (Between First and York Avenue) -
Renewal Application for a Liquor, Wine, Beer and Cider License

WHEREAS this is a renewal application for Liquor, wine, beer and cider; and

WHEREAS no one from the public objected; and

WHEREAS the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8's stipulations concerning delivery bikes and bar crawls; therefore

BE IT RESOLVED that the application is **APPROVED**, subject to the stipulations above.

b) Lenox Room Corp, dba T Bar Steak & Lounge, 1278 Third Avenue (Between 73rd and 74th Street) - Renewal Application for Liquor, Wine, Beer & Cider

WHEREAS this is a renewal application for Liquor, wine, beer and cider; and

WHEREAS no one from the public objected; and

WHEREAS the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8's stipulations concerning delivery bikes and bar crawls; therefore

BE IT RESOLVED that the application is **APPROVED**, subject to the stipulations above.

c) Dyliam Corp., dba Siena Cafe, 1580 Third Avenue - Renewal Application for Liquor, Wine, Beer & Cider

WHEREAS this is a renewal application for Liquor, wine, beer and cider; and

WHEREAS no one from the public objected; and

WHEREAS the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8's stipulations concerning delivery bikes and bar crawls; therefore

BE IT RESOLVED that the application is **APPROVED**, subject to the stipulations above.

2) New Applications to the New York State Liquor Authority for Liquor Licenses:

a) McGwyne Holdings LLC, dba O’Flanagan’s Bar, 1664 Third Avenue (Between 93rd and 94th Street) - New Application for a Liquor, Wine, Beer and Cider License

WHEREAS this is a new application for Liquor, Wine, beer and cider; and

WHEREAS there were members of the public concerned about possible noise from the establishment; and

WHEREAS the applicant agreed to the following stipulations:

- ensure all doors and windows are closed by 10pm daily;
- look to enhance sound proofing in the venue to limit noise and disturbance to neighbors;
- provide a 24hr contact number for complaints;
- ensure that the mezzanine level in the establishment will not be used for any DJ, or for placement of speakers or anything that will create noise to the apartment above;
- that any karaoke and live music will only be on Saturdays and last no later than 1am;
- ensure that patrons outside the establishment do not congregate in front of the venue or smoke in front of the venue;
- any music in the venue will not be excessively loud;

WHEREAS the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8’s other stipulations concerning delivery bikes and bar crawls; therefore

BE IT RESOLVED that the application is **APPROVED**, subject to the stipulations above.

b) An Entity to Be Formed by Roland Diamonte, dba TBD, 106 East 60th Street, Second Floor - New Application for a Liquor, Wine, Beer and Cider License

WHEREAS this is a new application for liquor, wine, beer and cider; and

WHEREAS no one from the public objected; and

WHEREAS the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8’s stipulations concerning delivery bikes and bar crawls; therefore

BE IT RESOLVED that the application is **APPROVED**, subject to the stipulations above.

c) F&B Associates of New York, Summer Stage – Best Beverage Catering, Rumsey Playfield at 72nd Street, Central Park - New Application for a Wine, Beer and Cider License

WHEREAS this is a new application for wine, beer and cider; and

WHEREAS no one from the public objected; and

WHEREAS the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8’s stipulations concerning delivery bikes and bar crawls; therefore

BE IT RESOLVED that the application is **APPROVED**, subject to the stipulations above.

Community Board 8 Manhattan approved these resolutions by a vote of 36 in favor, 1 opposed, 0 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.

**F. Charter Revision Task Force - Alida Camp and Billy Freeland, Co-Chairs
CR-1: Item 1**

WHEREAS Community Board 8 Manhattan has a number of topics that it asks the Charter Commission of 2019 to consider in determining which Charter provisions merit revision;
WHEREAS the Charter Review Commission of 2019 asked Community Boards to comment on the City Charter;
WHEREAS CB8M is concerned about the balance of power in New York City and the land use process;
WHEREAS CB8M is concerned about the lack of deference given to Community Board decisions;
WHEREAS in December 2018, CB8M articulated its views on what topics the Charter Commission of 2019 should consider, and CB8M attaches and reiterates the letter it sent to the Charter Commission of 2019 here;
THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that Community Board 8 Manhattan asks that the Charter Commission consider the following recommendations for modifications and revisions to the NYC Charter:

Variations and Zoning

- Require that developers must go before Community Boards prior to seeking construction variances from the Department of Buildings, and that where a variance is sought on an emergency basis, the developer must subsequently go before the Community Board; and
- Prohibit the use of Mayoral Zoning Overrides.

Board of Standards and Appeals:

- Amend the composition of the Board of Standards and Appeals (BSA) to add representation from other elected officials, such that the BSA, which currently has five members, be expanded to 11 members, with each Borough President appointing one member, the Speaker of the City Council appointing one member, and the mayor appointing five members; and
- That the appraiser used in BSA financial analyses must be made by a licensed appraiser, who will be assigned from a pool of licensed appraisers approved by the BSA;

City Environmental Quality Review (CEQR):

- Ensure that the CEQR includes displacement (from both rent-regulated and market-rate housing) and neighborhood demographic changes as impacts to address during CEQR;
- Require that the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) be prepared and reviewed by an impartial environmental agent, drawn from a pool approved by City Planning;
- Require that an EIS be released to the public.

Implement a process for comprehensive planning, including:

- Create an independent, long-term planning office to develop a comprehensive citywide plan (the “Citywide Plan”), to be ratified by the City Council;
- Require the Citywide Plan to be updated every ten years; and
- Establish the position of Deputy Mayor for Infrastructure and require annual assessments of the City’s infrastructure needs, including but not limited to schools, housing, parks, and transportation.

Landmarks Preservation Commission:

- Expand the Landmarks Preservation Commission (LPC) to thirteen members, and require that two of those members be preservationists; and
- Require an impartial entity vet appointees to the LPC.

Uniform Land Use Review Procedure (ULURP):

- Establish a “pre-ULURP” mechanism to require review of the City’s RFPs by communities, Community Boards, Borough Presidents, and other relevant stakeholders, prior to their issuance and prior to the beginning of the formal ULURP process;
- Require that the ULURP process ensure the mitigation of the impacts of construction and development, including but not limited to sociological, environmental, and health impacts;
- Expand and strengthen the ULURP process, including requirements that all sales of air rights and all City development, changes to the zoning text, and changes to deed restrictions must be subject to ULURP review; and
- Permit Borough Presidents to include an alternative ULURP application to be considered alongside an application originating with the City or City-affiliated entities.

As-of-right developments:

- Require that Community Boards and elected officials be notified of, and provided with, plans for as-of-right developments upon filing of plans with the Department of Buildings, and again upon the issuance of building permits;

Self-certification:

- Audit all self-certifications.

Agency communication and information-sharing:

- Require that City agencies, upon receipt from a developer or property owner of documents regarding developments, share those documents with all other relevant City agencies; and
- Establish a database to which all relevant City agencies have access, and to which all documents regarding developments are submitted.

City Planning Commission:

- That where any Community Board has disapproved an application, a supermajority of the City Planning Commission be required to approve an application.

Franchising:

- Creating a ULURP-like process for all City franchises.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that Community Board 8 opposes proposals to (1) compensate members of the LPC, (2) transfer the LPC to the City Planning Commission, and (3) require that a planning analysis or approval consider economic factors.

Community Board 8 Manhattan approved this resolution by a vote of 38 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.

9. Old Business – No old business was discussed.

10. New Business – Discussion to support the individual Landmark Designation of First Hungarian Reformed Church of New York. A resolution was voted on to ask the LPC to lay it over.

WHEREAS the Friends of the Upper East Side Historic Districts have submitted the First Hungarian Reformed Church to the Landmarks Preservation Commission for individual landmark status;

WHEREAS the Landmarks Preservation Commission intends to evaluate and hear testimony on the appropriateness of the Church for landmark status;

WHEREAS the Church is already calendared for a public hearing;

WHEREAS the Church has not been presented to or reviewed by the Landmarks Committee of Community Board 8;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that Community Board 8 Manhattan urges the Landmarks Preservation Commission hold over the application for landmark status of the Church and forward all pertinent information to Community Board 8 for its review and vote on a resolution to be submitted to the Commission so that the input of the Board can be considered in the evaluation.

Community Board 8 Manhattan approved this resolution by a vote of 38 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.

The meeting was adjourned at 9:12 PM.

Alida Camp, Chair

H-1

Community Board 8 Manhattan Vote Sheet

Full Board Meeting

Wednesday, March 20, 2019

Committee: *Housing*

Item:

Name	Yes	No	Abst	NVFC	Name	Yes	No	Abst	NVFC
ASHBY, ELIZABETH	✓				PATCH, PETER	✓			
BARON, P. GAYLE	✓				POPE-MARSHALL, SHARON	✓			
BIRNBAUM, MICHELE	✓				POPPER, RITA	✓			
BORES, LORI ANN	✓				PRICE, MARGARET	✓			
[REDACTED]					ROSENSTEIN, DAVID	✓			
CAMP, ALIDA	✓				RUDDER, BARBARA	✓			
CHOCKY, BARBARA	✓				SALCEDO, ABRAHAM	✓			
CHU, SARAH	✓				SANCHEZ, WILLIAM	✓			
COHN, ANTHONY	✓				SCHNEIDER, M. BARRY	✓			
[REDACTED]					SHIMAMURA, TRICIA	✓			
FEATHER, MATTHEW	✓				[REDACTED]				
FREELAND, BILL	✓				STRONG-SHINOZAKI, LYNNE	✓			
[REDACTED]					[REDACTED]				
HARTZOG, EDWARD	✓				TAMAYO, MARCO	✓			
HELPERN, DAVID P.	✓				TEITELBAUM, DEBRA	✓			
JAMES, SOPHIA	✓				TEJO, CAROLINA	✓			
JOHNSON, LORRAINE	✓				[REDACTED]				
[REDACTED]					VIEST, NICHOLAS				
KIRSCHENBAUM, GREGORY	✓				WALD, ADAM	✓			
LADER, CRAIG	✓				WALSH, ELAINE	✓			
LAMORTE, REBECCA	✓				WARREN, CHARLES	✓			
LISTON, DAVID					WEINER, SHARON	✓			
MASON, VALERIE	✓								
MELLAMPHY, MICHAEL									
MENEGON, DAVID	✓								
[REDACTED]									
PARSHALL, JANE	✓								

Yes: 38

No: 0

TR-1

Community Board 8 Manhattan Vote Sheet
 Full Board Meeting
 Wednesday, March 20, 2019

Committee: *Transportation*

Item: *102*

Name	Yes	No	Abst	NVFC	Name	Yes	No	Abst	NVFC
ASHBY, ELIZABETH	✓				PATCH, PETER	✓			
BARON, P. GAYLE	✓				POPE-MARSHALL, SHARON	✓			
BIRNBAUM, MICHELE	✓				POPPER, RITA	✓			
BORES, LORI ANN	✓				PRICE, MARGARET	✓			
BROWN, DOROTHY					ROSENSTEIN, DAVID	✓			
CAMP, ALIDA	✓				RUDDER, BARBARA	✓			
CHOCKY, BARBARA	✓				SALCEDO, ABRAHAM	✓			
CHU, SARAH	✓				SANCHEZ, WILLIAM				
COHN, ANTHONY	✓				SCHNEIDER, M. BARRY	✓			
COHEN, CHRISTOPHER					SHIMAMURA, TRICIA	✓			
FEATHER, MATTHEW	✓				SPAGNOLETTI, GOS				
FREELAND, BILL	✓				STRONG-SHINOZAKI, LYNNE	✓			
HARRINGTON, ALEXANDER					SQUIRE, RUSSELL				
HARTZOG, EDWARD	✓				TAMAYO, MARCO	✓			
HELPERN, DAVID P.	✓				TEITELBAUM, DEBRA	✓			
JAMES, SOPHIA	✓				TEJO, CAROLINA	✓			
JOHNSON, LORRAINE	✓				THOMAS, MEFNA				
JOSE, CECILIA					VIEST, NICHOLAS				
KIRSCHENBAUM, GREGORY	✓				WALD, ADAM	✓			
LADER, CRAIG	✓				WALSH, ELAINE	✓			
LAMORTE, REBECCA	✓				WARREN, CHARLES	✓			
LISTON, DAVID					WEINER, SHARON	✓			
MASON, VALERIE	✓								
MELLAMPHY, MICHAEL									
MENEGON, DAVID	✓								
NEWMAN, DOROTHY									
PARSHALL, JANE	✓								

Yes: 37

No: 0