

James G. Clynes
Chairman

Latha Thompson
District Manager



505 Park Avenue
Suite 620
New York, N.Y. 10022
(212) 758-4340
(212) 758-4616 (Fax)
info@cb8m.com- E-Mail
www.cb8m.com – Website

The City of New York
Manhattan Community Board 8

FULL BOARD MEETING

New York Blood Center
310 East 67th Street
Auditorium
Wednesday, October 21, 2015
6:30PM

Community Board Members Present: Elizabeth Ashby, Michele Birnbaum, Matthew Bondy, Lori Ann Bores, Loraine Brown, Alida Camp, Barbara Chocky, Sarah Chu, James Clynes, Christina Davis, Daniel Dornbaum, Jeffrey Escobar, Susan Evans, A. Scott Falk, Edward Hartzog, David Helpern, Sophia James, Andrew Kalloch, Allison Kopf, Craig Lader, Katherine LaGuardia, David Liston, Jacqueline Ludorf, David Menegon, Glen Pandolfino, Laurence Parnes, Jane Parshall, Peter Patch, Ellen Polivy, Sharon Pope, Rita Lee Popper, Margaret Price, Hattie Quarnstrom-Figueroa, David Rosenstein, Barbara Rudder, Abraham Salcedo, William Sanchez, M. Barry Schneider, Cos Spagnoletti, Marco Tamayo, Debra Teitelbaum, Carolina Tejo, Elaine Walsh, Charles Warren, Hedi White

Community Board Members (Excused): Gayle Baron, Lorraine Johnson, Zoe Markowitz, Nicholas Viest

Community Board Members (Unexcused): Albert Barrueco

Total Attendance: 45

Chairman James G. Clynes called the meeting to order at 6:30PM.

1. Public Session – Those who wish to speak during the Public Session must register to do so by 6:45 pm

- Member of the public, Fred Becker, spoke in opposition to the Hampton Jitney stop at 129 East 82nd Street.
- Member of the public, Patrick Mckenna, representing Hunter College, made an announcement about Poses Park.
- Member of the public, Trevor Magyar, representing Friends of JER Carpenter, spoke in opposition to 1143 Fifth Avenue.
- Member of the public, David Still, representing Friends of JER Carpenter, spoke in opposition to 1143 Fifth Avenue.
- Member of the public, Mary Diericky, representing Friends of JER Carpenter, spoke in opposition to 1143 Fifth Avenue.
- Member of the public, Ronda Wist, representing Friends of JER Carpenter, spoke in opposition to 1143 Fifth Avenue.
- Member of the public, Lo van der Valk, representing Friends of JER Carpenter/Carnegie Hill Neighbors, spoke in opposition to 1143 Fifth Avenue.
- Member of the public, Alan Hruska, representing Friends of JER Carpenter, spoke in opposition to 1143 Fifth Avenue.
- Member of the public, Nancy Lustgarten, spoke in favor of bike crosstown lanes.
- Member of the public, Wolcott B. Durham, Jr., representing Friends of JER Carpenter, spoke in opposition to 1143 Fifth Avenue.
- Member of the public, Michael Marks, spoke in opposition to the Hampton Jitney stop.
- Member of the public, Patrick Condren, representing Hampton Jitney, spoke in favor of the bus stops.
- Member of the public, Judy Schneider, spoke in favor of the Maz Mezcal application.
- Member of the public, Meredith Bergmann, representing the FDR Hope Memorial in Roosevelt Island, spoke in favor of the design of the memorial.
- Member of the public, Nora Daniel, representing Countable, spoke on civic engagement.

- Member of the public, Allsion Saxe, representing Friends of JER Carpenter, spoke in opposition to 1143 Fifth Avenue.
- Member of the public, Rachel Levy, representing Friends of the Upper East Side, spoke in favor of the Landmarks Committee – 412 East 85th Street application.
- Member of the public, Stanely Hochhauser, representing 1140 Fifth Avenue, spoke in opposition to 1143 Fifth Avenue.
- Member of the public, Eric Friedberg, representing Friends of JER Carpenter, spoke in opposition to 1143 Fifth Avenue.
- Member of the public, Raymond Wareham, representing Carnegie Hill Preservation, spoke in opposition to 1143 Fifth Avenue.
- Member of the public, Barry Salky, MD, representing 1148 Fifth Avenue, spoke in opposition to 1143 Fifth Avenue.
- Member of the public, Stanley Frankel, spoke in opposition to the Hampton Jitney.
- Member of the public, Michael Bergmann, representing the FDR Hope Memorial, spoke in favor of the design.
- Member of the public, Tim Cassidy, spoke in opposition to the Hampton Jitney stops.
- Member of the public, Ryan O’Neill, representing Iggy’s, spoke in regards to the peace disturbance.
- Member of the public, David Stoll, representing Carnegie Hill Neighbors and Friends of JER Carpenter, spoke in opposition to 1143 Fifth Avenue.
- Member of the public, Alan Ruska, representing 1148 Fifth Avenue, spoke in opposition to the 1143 Fifth Avenue application.
- Member of the public, Keren Davis, representing Friends of JER Carpenter, spoke in opposition to the proposed addition to 1143 Fifth Avenue.
- Member of the public, Barbara Julius, representing Friends of JER Carpenter, spoke in opposition to 1143 Fifth Avenue.
- Member of the public, Cynthia Brill, spoke in opposition to the 1143 Fifth Avenue application.
- Member of the public, Steven Brill, spoke in opposition to the 1143 Fifth Avenue application.
- Member of the public, William Manger, Jr., spoke in opposition to the 1143 Fifth Avenue application.
- Member of the public, Robert Gauche, President of 1148 Coop, spoke in opposition to the 1143 Fifth Avenue application.
- Member of the public, Stephen Gallia, representing 1143 Fifth Avenue, spoke in opposition to 1143 Fifth Avenue.
- Member of the public, Li/Saltzman Architects, spoke in opposition to the 1143 Fifth Avenue addition.
- Member of the public, Karen Meara, representing 1143 Fifth Avenue, spoke in opposition to the resolution denying the 1143 Fifth Avenue application.
- Member of the public, Bill Kilburn, representing Friends of JER Carpenter, spoke in opposition to the 1143 Fifth Avenue addition.
- Member of the public, Doug Watson, representing Friends of JER Carpenter, spoke in opposition to the 1143 Fifth Avenue expansion.
- Member of the public, Robert Watson, spoke in opposition to the 1143 Fifth Avenue application.
- Member of the public, James Milne, representing 1140 Fifth Avenue, spoke in opposition to the 1143 Fifth Avenue addition.
- Member of the public, Patricia M. Hynes, representing 1148 Fifth Avenue, spoke in opposition.
- Member of the public, Bruce Schultz, spoke in opposition to the Hampton Jitney stop.
- Member of the public, Susan Jordan, representing 412 East 85th Street, spoke in support of the landmark status for 412 East 85th Street.
- Member of the public, Timothy Clifford, representing 412 East 85th Street, spoke in support of land marking 412 East 85th Street.
- Member of the public, Catherine De Vida, representing 412 East 85th Street, spoke in support of land marking 412 East 85th Street.
- Member of the public, Geri Deluca, representing 129 East 82nd Street, spoke in opposition.

2. Adoption of the Agenda – Agenda adopted.

3. Adoption of the Minutes – June 17, 2015 and July 15, 2015 Full Board meeting minutes adopted.

4. Committee Reports and Action Items:

a. Street Life Committee – Laurence Parnes and Abraham Salcedo, Co-Chairs

1a. C.S.L.L. Rest. Corp., dba EJ’s Luncheonette, 1271 Third Avenue (@73rd Street) Renewal application for an enclosed sidewalk café with 12 tables and 24 chairs. DCA# 0970343-DCA. Due Date October 19, 2015

WHEREAS there are no changes to the café; and

WHEREAS no one from the public objected; and

WHEREAS the applicant was informed that delivery staff and their bicycles were blocking the sidewalk and applicant agreed to take measures to remedy the situation; and

WHEREAS the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8’s stipulations concerning delivery bikes and bar crawls; therefore

BE IT RESOLVED that the application is APPROVED

At the October 6, 2015 Street Life committee meeting of Manhattan Community Board 8, the committee recommendation was adopted by a vote of 6 in favor, 0 opposed, and 0 abstentions.

1b. Madison Global LLC, 696 Madison Avenue (62nd/63rd)-Renewal application for a small unenclosed sidewalk café with 3 tables and 12 chairs. DCA #1334619-DCA. Due Date October 17, 2015

WHEREAS there are no changes to the café; and

WHEREAS no one from the public objected; and

WHEREAS the applicant agreed to remove all planters and cones from the adjacent street; and

WHEREAS the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8’s stipulations concerning delivery bikes and bar crawls; therefore

BE IT RESOLVED that the application is APPROVED

At the October 6, 2015 Street Life committee meeting of Manhattan Community Board 8, the committee recommendation was adopted by a vote of 5 in favor, 1 opposed, and 0 abstentions.

1c. Gotham Yard Corp., dba Session 73, 1359 First Avenue (72nd/73rd)-Renewal application for an unenclosed sidewalk café with 13 tables and 27 chairs. DCA# 1389433-DCA. Due Date October 17, 2015

WHEREAS there are no changes to the café; and

WHEREAS no one from the public objected; and

WHEREAS there were several members of the public in support of the application; and

WHEREAS the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8’s stipulations concerning delivery bikes and bar crawls; therefore

BE IT RESOLVED that the application is APPROVED

At the October 6, 2015 Street Life committee meeting of Manhattan Community Board 8, the committee recommendation was adopted by a vote of 6 in favor, 0 opposed, and 0 abstentions.

1d. 1291 Pub Inc., 1291 Third Avenue (74th/75th)-Renewal application for an unenclosed sidewalk café with 7 tables and 14 chairs. DCA#0954466-DCA. Due Date October 17, 2015

WHEREAS there are no changes to the café; and

WHEREAS no one from the public objected; and

WHEREAS the applicant was informed that the current café arrangement was not in accordance with the approved DCA plans and the applicant agreed to correct the layout; and

WHEREAS the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8’s stipulations concerning delivery bikes and bar crawls; therefore

BE IT RESOLVED that the application is APPROVED, subject to the café layout being in accordance with DCA approved plans

At the October 6, 2015 Street Life committee meeting of Manhattan Community Board 8, the committee recommendation was adopted by a vote of 6 in favor, 0 opposed, and 0 abstentions.

1e. 1490 Rest. Inc., dba Watson’s, 1490 Second Avenue (77th/78th)-Renewal application for an unenclosed sidewalk café with 6 tables and 12 chairs. DCA #0952606-DCA. Due Date October 31, 2015

WHEREAS there are no changes to the café; and

WHEREAS no one from the public objected; and

WHEREAS the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8’s stipulations concerning delivery bikes and bar crawls; therefore

BE IT RESOLVED that the application is APPROVED

Manhattan Community Board 8 adopted the recommendation by a vote of 44 in favor, 0 opposed, and 0 abstentions.

1f. Maz Mezcal Inc., 316 East 86th Street (1st/2nd)-Renewal application for a small unenclosed sidewalk café with 6 tables and 24 chairs. DCA #1311123-DCA. Due Date October 29, 2015

WHEREAS there are no changes to the café; and

WHEREAS no one from the public objected; and

WHEREAS there was one member of the public in support of the application; and

WHEREAS the applicant was advised that it is not permitted to have an enclosure/barriers around the café and the applicant advised that it is going through the process to change its status and would keep Community Board 8 updated; and

WHEREAS the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8's stipulations concerning delivery bikes and bar crawls; therefore

BE IT RESOLVED that the application is APPROVED

Manhattan Community Board 8 adopted the recommendation by a vote of 42 in favor, 1 opposed, and 1 abstention.

1g. Café Focaccia, Inc., dba Felice Wine Bar, 1166 First Avenue (@64th)-Renewal application for an unenclosed sidewalk café with 9 tables and 18 chairs. DCA #0976266-DCA. Due Date October 17, 2015

WHEREAS there are no changes to the café; and

WHEREAS no one from the public objected; and

WHEREAS there was one member of the public in support of the application; and

WHEREAS the applicant agreed to double bag (or utilize other effective method) its garbage so that it does not leak and spill on the sidewalk; and

WHEREAS the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8's stipulations concerning delivery bikes and bar crawls; therefore

BE IT RESOLVED that the application is APPROVED

At the October 6, 2015 Street Life committee meeting of Manhattan Community Board 8 the committee recommendation was adopted by a vote of 6 in favor, 0 opposed, and 0 abstentions.

1h. L.E. Globe, LLC, dba Café D'Alsace, 1695 Second Avenue (@88th)-Renewal application for an unenclosed sidewalk café with 18 tables and 60 chairs. DCA #1214833-DCA. Due Date October 18, 2015

WHEREAS there are no changes to the café; and

WHEREAS no one from the public objected; and

WHEREAS the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8's stipulations concerning delivery bikes and bar crawls; therefore

BE IT RESOLVED that the application is APPROVED

At the October 6, 2015 Street Life committee meeting of Manhattan Community Board 8, the committee recommendation was adopted by a vote of 6 in favor, 0 opposed, and 0 abstentions.

1i. PQ First Inc., dba Le Pain Quotidien, 1270 First Avenue (68th/69th)-Renewal application for an unenclosed sidewalk café with 4 tables and 8 chairs. DCA #1251803-DCA. Due Date October 18, 2015

WHEREAS there are no changes to the café; and

WHEREAS there was one member of the public who voiced concern about the narrow sidewalk due to the café and it was determined to be attributed in part to a parking mini meter in front of the café which was located after the café was approved and which now reduces the clear path width and further that Community Board 8 would contact the Department of Transportation to relocate the parking meter; and

WHEREAS the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8's stipulations concerning delivery bikes and bar crawls; therefore

BE IT RESOLVED that the application is APPROVED

At the October 6, 2015 Street Life committee meeting of Manhattan Community Board 8, the committee recommendation was adopted by a vote of 6 in favor, 0 opposed, and 0 abstentions.

1j. Lira of New York, Inc., dba Luke's Bar & Grill, 1394 Third Avenue (79th/80th)-Renewal application for an unenclosed sidewalk café with 4 tables and 8 chairs. DCA #0882869-DCA. Due Date October 30, 2015

WHEREAS there are no changes to the café; and

WHEREAS no one from the public objected; and

WHEREAS the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8's stipulations concerning delivery bikes and bar crawls; therefore

BE IT RESOLVED that the application is APPROVED

Manhattan Community Board 8 adopted the recommendation by a vote of 44 in favor, 0 opposed, and 0 abstentions.

1k. Luma Rest. Inc., dba Lusardi's Restaurant, 1494 Second Avenue (77th/78th)-Renewal application for an unenclosed sidewalk café with 9 tables and 20 chairs. DCA #1410849-DCA. Due Date October 31, 2015
WHEREAS there are no changes to the café; and
WHEREAS no one from the public objected; and
WHEREAS the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8's stipulations concerning delivery bikes and bar crawls; therefore
BE IT RESOLVED that the application is APPROVED
Manhattan Community Board 8 adopted the recommendation by a vote of 44 in favor, 0 opposed, and 0 abstentions.

1l. Anzuelo Corp., dba IL Vino City Wine, 1728 Second Avenue (89th/90th)-Renewal application for an unenclosed sidewalk café with 6 tables and 12 chairs. DCA #145813-DCA. Due Date October 29, 2015
WHEREAS there are no changes to the café; and
WHEREAS no one from the public objected; and
WHEREAS the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8's stipulations concerning delivery bikes and bar crawls; therefore
BE IT RESOLVED that the application is APPROVED
Manhattan Community Board 8 adopted the recommendation by a vote of 44 in favor, 0 opposed, and 0 abstentions.

1m. First Avenue Brother Jimmy's LLC dba Brother Jimmy's BBQ, 1485 Second Avenue (77th/78th)-Renewal application for an enclosed sidewalk café with 9 tables and 30 seats. DCA#1067948-DCA. Due Date November 2, 2015
WHEREAS there are no changes to the café; and
WHEREAS no one from the public objected; and
WHEREAS the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8's stipulations concerning delivery bikes and bar crawls; therefore
BE IT RESOLVED that the application is APPROVED
Manhattan Community Board 8 adopted the recommendation by a vote of 44 in favor, 0 opposed, and 0 abstentions.

1n. Ala Turk Inc., 1417 Second Avenue (@74th)-Renewal application for an unenclosed sidewalk café with 12 tables and 22 chairs. DCA #1315623-DCA. Due Date November 7, 2015.
WHEREAS there are no changes to the café; and
WHEREAS no one from the public objected; and
WHEREAS the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8's stipulations concerning delivery bikes and bar crawls; therefore
BE IT RESOLVED that the application is APPROVED
Manhattan Community Board 8 adopted the recommendation by a vote of 44 in favor, 0 opposed, and 0 abstentions.

2a. Teng Fei Restaurant Group Inc., 1714 Second Avenue (@89th)-New application for an unenclosed sidewalk café with 10 tables and 23 chairs. DCA #12193-2015-ASWC. Due Date October 15, 2015
WHEREAS no one from the public objected; and
WHEREAS the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8's stipulations concerning delivery bikes and bar crawls; therefore
BE IT RESOLVED that the application is APPROVED
At the October 6, 2015 Street Life committee meeting of Manhattan Community Board 8, the committee recommendation was adopted by a vote of 6 in favor, 0 opposed, and 0 abstentions.

3a. J Bird, LLC., dba Seamstress, 339 East 75th Street (1st/2nd)-Corporate change for Liquor, Wine and Beer
WHEREAS the only change is an amendment to partnership ownership; and
WHEREAS no one from the public objected; and
WHEREAS the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8's stipulations concerning delivery bikes and bar crawls; therefore
BE IT RESOLVED that the application is APPROVED
Manhattan Community Board 8 adopted the recommendation by a vote of 42 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstentions and 0 not voting for cause.

3b. Mexibbq Kitchen & Draught LLC., 1631A/1633 Second Avenue (@85th)-Alteration for Liquor, Wine and Beer

WHEREAS the only change is a reduction in space of the establishment; and

WHEREAS no one from the public objected; and

WHEREAS the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8's stipulations concerning delivery bikes and bar crawls; therefore

BE IT RESOLVED that the application is APPROVED

Manhattan Community Board 8 adopted the recommendation by a vote of 42 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstentions and 0 not voting for cause.

3c. Sushi Suki Yorker Inc., dba Sushi Suki, 1577 York Avenue (@84th)-Corporate change for Wine and Beer

WHEREAS the only change is a change to the ownership name; and

WHEREAS no one from the public objected; and

WHEREAS the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8's stipulations concerning delivery bikes and bar crawls; therefore

BE IT RESOLVED that the application is APPROVED

Manhattan Community Board 8 adopted the recommendation by a vote of 42 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstentions and 0 not voting for cause.

3d. 88 Fusion Link Inc., dba Shoga Sushi & Oyster Bar, 1698 Second Avenue (@88th)-Alteration for Liquor, Wine and Beer

WHEREAS the change was the moving of the bar area due to the addition of a sushi bar; and

WHEREAS at the Street Life Committee meeting on October 6, 2015, a member of the public raised a concern regarding the bicycle delivery staff riding on the sidewalk and using illegal electric bicycles, and although the applicant agreed to look into the matter photos taken just prior to the October 21, 2015 full board meeting showed the applicant has not corrected the problems; therefore

BE IT RESOLVED that the application is DISAPPROVED

Manhattan Community Board 8 adopted the recommendation by a vote of 34 in favor, 10 opposed, 1 abstention and 0 not voting for cause.

4a. Bocca New York, LLC., dba Bocca, 1496 Second Avenue (78th)-New application for Liquor, Wine and Beer

WHEREAS no one from the public objected; and

WHEREAS the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8's stipulations concerning delivery bikes and bar crawls; therefore

BE IT RESOLVED that the application is APPROVED

Manhattan Community Board 8 adopted the recommendation by a vote of 42 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstentions and 0 not voting for cause.

4b. Sahg Special Events LLC., dba Sant Ambroeus Café at Sotheby's, 1334 York Avenue (71st/72nd)-New application for Liquor, Wine and Beer

WHEREAS no one from the public objected; and

WHEREAS the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8's stipulations concerning delivery bikes and bar crawls; therefore

BE IT RESOLVED that the application is APPROVED

Manhattan Community Board 8 adopted the recommendation by a vote of 42 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstentions and 0 not voting for cause.

4c. Sixty Hospitality LLC., dba Avra Estlatorio, 14 East 60th Street (5th/Madison)-New application for Liquor, Wine and Beer

WHEREAS no one from the public objected; and

WHEREAS the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8's stipulations concerning delivery bikes and bar crawls; therefore

BE IT RESOLVED that the application is APPROVED

Manhattan Community Board 8 adopted the recommendation by a vote of 42 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstentions and 0 not voting for cause.

4d. PQ Lexington Inc., dba Le Botaniste, 833 Lexington Avenue (63rd/64th)-New application and Corporation change for Liquor, Wine and Beer

WHEREAS no one from the public objected; and

WHEREAS the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8's stipulations concerning delivery bikes and bar crawls; therefore

BE IT RESOLVED that the application is APPROVED

Manhattan Community Board 8 adopted the recommendation by a vote of 42 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstentions and 0 not voting for cause.

4e. LaTour FL LLC., 322 East 86th Street (1st/2nd)-New application for Liquor, Wine and Beer

WHEREAS no one from the public objected; and

WHEREAS the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8's stipulations concerning delivery bikes and bar crawls; therefore

BE IT RESOLVED that the application is APPROVED

Manhattan Community Board 8 adopted the recommendation by a vote of 42 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstentions and 0 not voting for cause.

4f. Sushi Suki, Inc., dba Sushi Suki, 1577 York Avenue (@84th)-New application for Wine and Beer.

WHEREAS no one from the public objected; and

WHEREAS the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8's stipulations concerning delivery bikes and bar crawls; therefore

BE IT RESOLVED that the application is APPROVED

Manhattan Community Board 8 adopted the recommendation by a vote of 42 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstentions and 0 not voting for cause.

4g. AK Hospitality Group LLC, 321 East 75th Street (1st/2nd)-New application for Wine and Beer

WHEREAS no one from the public objected; and

WHEREAS the applicant agreed to amend the hours of its liquor license to Sunday through Wednesday from 11am to Midnight and Thursday through Saturday from 11am to Midnight.

WHEREAS the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8's stipulations concerning delivery bikes and bar crawls; therefore

BE IT RESOLVED that the application is APPROVED subject to the stipulation that the hours for the license shall be Sunday through Wednesday from 11am to Midnight and Thursday through Saturday from 11am to Midnight

Manhattan Community Board 8 adopted the recommendation by a vote of 42 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstentions and 0 not voting for cause.

4h. Treadwell Park LLC, 1125 First Avenue (61st/62nd)-New application for Liquor, Wine and Beer

WHEREAS no one from the public objected; and

WHEREAS the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8's stipulations concerning delivery bikes and bar crawls; therefore

BE IT RESOLVED that the application is APPROVED

Manhattan Community Board 8 adopted the recommendation by a vote of 42 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstentions and 0 not voting for cause.

4i. Yaba Brothers Inc., 1650 Third Avenue (92nd/93rd)-New application for Wine and Beer

WHEREAS no one from the public objected; and

WHEREAS the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8's stipulations concerning delivery bikes and bar crawls; therefore

BE IT RESOLVED that the application is APPROVED

Manhattan Community Board 8 adopted the recommendation by a vote of 42 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstentions and 0 not voting for cause.

4j. AK Hospitality Group LLC, 1705 First Avenue (88th/89th)-New application for Wine and Beer

WHEREAS no one from the public objected; and

WHEREAS the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8's stipulations concerning delivery bikes and bar crawls; therefore

BE IT RESOLVED that the application is APPROVED

Manhattan Community Board 8 adopted the recommendation by a vote of 42 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstentions and 0 not voting for cause.

5a. SEC York Avenue and East 75th Street-Application for a newsstand. Application #11783-2015-ANWS. Due Date October 21, 2015.

WHEREAS this applicant did not appear at the October 6th Street Life meeting; and
WHEREAS the applicant did not appear at the October 21st Full Board meeting; therefore
BE IT RESOLVED that the application is DISAPPROVED

Manhattan Community Board 8 adopted the recommendation by a vote of 42 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstentions and 0 not voting for cause.

b. Transportation Committee – A. Scott Falk and Charles Warren, Co-Chairs

Re: Proposed pedestrian safety improvements for 63rd St & Third Ave; 66th St & Third Ave; 72nd St. & Third Ave; and 66th St. & Second Ave, including the following elements:

- a. Enlarge concrete islands at both 66th & Second Ave and 66th & Third Ave**
- b. Install left-turn lanes on Third Ave at approaches to 63rd, 66th, & 72nd Sts**
- c. Install neckdowns on the northwest corners of Third Ave & 63rd and Third Ave & 66th (pending Second Ave Subway construction work)**

WHEREAS Second and Third Avenues are Vision Zero priority areas; and

WHEREAS vehicles turning left from Third Avenue have injured pedestrians at 63rd Street and 66th Street; and

WHEREAS City Councilmember Dan Garodnick requested expanded concrete medians on 66th Street at both Second and Third Avenues; and

WHEREAS NYC DOT proposes several pedestrian safety improvements on Third Avenue, and at the intersections of 66th Street at Second and Third Avenues, including:

- Standardizing the width of all travel and parking lanes on Third Avenue to 10' wide (instead of the current 8' parking lane on the west side and 12' parking lane/bus stop lane on the east side of the avenue).

- Adding left-turn lanes on Third Avenue approaching 63rd, 66th, and 72nd Streets.

- Adding a right-turn bay on Third Avenue onto East 66th St. (for traffic turning east).

- Adding a pedestrian neckdown on the NW corner of 63rd & Third, pending completion of Second Avenue Subway construction at that location.

- Adding pedestrian neckdowns on the NW & NE corners of 66th & Third Avenue.

- Expanding the existing concrete medians on the east side of 66th & Third, and the west side of 66th & Second to reduce crossing distances and to reduce conflicts with turning vehicles; and

WHEREAS Community Board 8 Manhattan has previously listed E. 72nd Street & Third Avenue as a priority intersection for safety improvements; and

WHEREAS the proposed changes clarify traffic movements, calm traffic, reduce crossing distances, and add pedestrian space;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that Community Board 8 Manhattan approves DOT's proposed safety improvements on Third Avenue as well as on East 66th Street at both 3rd & 2nd Avenues, as presented; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that Community Board 8 Manhattan urges DOT to add a pedestrian neckdown on the northwest corner of 72nd Street and Third Avenue.

Manhattan Community Board 8 passed the resolution to approve by a vote of 42 in favor, 1 opposed and 3 abstentions.

Re: Modification of existing revocable consent to install, maintain and use planters on the east sidewalk of Fifth Avenue, north of East 59th Street, in front of the property located at 781 Fifth Avenue.

WHEREAS The Sherry Netherland at 781 Fifth Avenue has an existing revocable consent for a clock and for a brass sidewalk insignia bearing the building's logo; and

WHEREAS The Sherry Netherland has applied to modify their existing revocable consent to allow them to install, maintain, and use 11 planters in front of their property for the purpose of beautification; and

WHEREAS these planters would include seven rectangular planters with a maximum size of 4' x 2' 4", and four square planters with a maximum size of 2' x 2';

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that Community Board 8 Manhattan approves the application to modify the existing revocable consent to install, maintain, and use planters on the east sidewalk of Fifth Avenue, north of East 59th Street, in front of the property located at 781 Fifth Avenue.

Manhattan Community Board 8 passed the resolution to approve by a vote of 40 in favor and 6 opposed.

Re: Discussion of Hampton Jitney operations and proposed stops for Hampton Jitney UES Bus Stops and congestion relief:

a. ICBPS 20150821/83 (1082 Lexington Ave.-Lexington Ave bet 77th & 76th Sts.

WHEREAS in April 2015, the NYC Dept. of Transportation implemented a new “No Left Turn” restriction that prohibits westbound traffic on East 86th Street from turning southbound onto Lexington Avenue; and
WHEREAS Hampton Jitney has received approval to instead originate their bus route at an existing bus stop north of Community Board 8’s boundaries, and proposes to then stop at two additional existing local bus stops within CB8, namely in front of 1082 Lexington Ave. (between 77th & 76th Sts.) and in front of 1224 Lexington Avenue (between 82nd & 83rd Sts.); and
WHEREAS the multiple new bus stops proposed are intended to reduce congestion at any single location;
THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that Community Board 8 Manhattan **APPROVES** Hampton Jitney’s application to share the existing New York City Transit bus stop in front of 1082 Lexington Avenue (between 77th & 76th Streets).

Manhattan Community Board 8 passed the resolution to approve by a vote of 37 in favor, 7 opposed, and 1 abstention.

Re: Discussion of Hampton Jitney operations and proposed stops for Hampton Jitney UES Bus Stops and congestion relief:

b. ICBPS 20150821/84 (1224 Lexington Ave.-Lexington Ave bet. 83rd & 82nd Sts.

WHEREAS in April 2015, the NYC Dept. of Transportation implemented a new “No Left Turn” restriction that prohibits westbound traffic on East 86th Street from turning southbound onto Lexington Avenue; and
WHEREAS Hampton Jitney has received approval to instead originate their bus route at an existing bus stop north of Community Board 8’s boundaries, and proposes to then stop at two additional existing local bus stops within CB8, namely in front of 1082 Lexington Ave. (between 77th & 76th Sts.) and in front of 1224 Lexington Avenue (between 82nd & 83rd Sts.); and
WHEREAS the multiple new bus stops proposed are intended to reduce congestion at any single location;
THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that Community Board 8 Manhattan **APPROVES** Hampton Jitney’s application to share the existing New York City Transit bus stop in front of 1224 Lexington Avenue (between 82nd & 83rd Streets).

Manhattan Community Board 8 passed the following resolution to approve by a vote of 31 in favor and 14 opposed.

c. Landmarks Committee – David Helpert and Jane Parshall, Co-Chairs

Re: 40 East 66th Street (between Madison and Park Avenues)-Upper East Side Historic District. Application is for window enlargement at the penthouse and new bulkhead.

WHEREAS 40 East 66th Street is a new-Renaissance style 12-story apartment building designed by Rosario Candela and constructed in 1928-29.

WHEREAS the penthouse is set back 13' from the parapet; the parapet is 16" high.

WHEREAS the penthouse is minimally visible from the public way.

WHEREAS at the penthouse, at the 66th Street elevation and at the Madison Avenue elevation, there are now 17 windows and doors; the applicant proposes to reduce the number of windows/doors from 17 to 10; the applicant also proposes to expand [widen] the new 10 windows/doors so that the overall effect will be more glazing than under the existing condition.

WHEREAS in the consolidation of the windows, the height of the windows will not change.

WHEREAS the width of the existing 17 windows/doors ranges from 3'1" to 6'7"; the width of the proposed 10 windows/doors will vary with a maximum width of 13'3" -- the proposed new bay on the Madison Avenue elevation will be 16' wide.

WHEREAS the existing windows/doors have multi-panes; the new windows will have single panes that present as plate glass because of their size.

WHEREAS the applicant also proposes to remove an existing skylight bulkhead to create a new stair bulkhead to provide egress to the roof; the new bulkhead will match exactly an existing bulkhead.

WHEREAS the new bulkhead will be 8 1/2' high with a footprint of 9' x 12'.

WHEREAS there is no relationship between the proposed new large single-pane windows and the windows in the rest of the Candela-designed building which are all now multi-paned.

WHEREAS the applicant's proposal is inappropriate and out of context within the historic district.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that this application is approved as presented.

This recommendation was approved by a vote of 19 in favor, 22 opposed, 3 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that this application is disapproved as presented.

This recommendation was approved by a vote of 17 in favor, 23 opposed, 4 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that **Community Board 8** took no position on this application.

Re: 755 Madison Avenue aka 27-31 East 65th Street-Upper East Side Historic District. - Christine Garofalo, architect. Application is for work at the retail space on the ground level.

WHEREAS the storefront for the retail has two large areas of glass set into a black stone building base;

WHEREAS the widths of the glazed openings of about 23 feet and 13 feet will remain the same;

WHEREAS the height of the openings will be changed from 7'-4" to 8' 7" to provide better visibility;

WHEREAS the appearance of the building will be minimally changed;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that this application is approved as presented.

Manhattan Community Board 8 adopted this recommendation by a vote of 44 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.

Re: 31 East 72nd Street (NE corner Madison Avenue and 72nd Street) Upper East Side Historic District. –

Asdrubal Franco, architect. Application is for an alteration at the penthouse.

WHEREAS 31 East 72nd Street is a neo-Renaissance style apartment building designed by D & J Jardine and constructed in 1878-79.

WHEREAS the penthouse is set behind the parapet wall.

WHEREAS the proposed work at the penthouse is on the rear elevation facing north [northwest corner of penthouse].

WHEREAS at the roof of the penthouse at the NW corner, the applicant proposes to add a clerestory (with operable window openings). [A clerestory is a band of narrow windows at the top of a wall.] The clerestory, requiring that the roof be raised, is for two walls of a space that is part of the penthouse -- the space is approximately 390 sq. ft. The clerestory will be on two sides of the space -- at the north elevation and at the west elevation; the addition of the clerestory will increase the height of the space by 28" so that the overall height for this part of the penthouse will increase from 10'9" to 12'5".

WHEREAS the portion of the penthouse where the clerestory will be added is set back 58' from the front elevation.

WHEREAS the increase in the height of the roof is minimally visible from the public way.

WHEREAS the applicant's proposed design presents as modern; however, the proposed work is only very minimally visible from the public way.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVES that this application is approved as presented.

Manhattan Community Board 8 adopted this recommendation by a vote of 44 in favor, 0 opposed, 1 abstention, and 0 not voting for cause.

Re: 53 East 67th Street (between Madison and Park Avenues)-Upper East Side Historic District. - Austin Regan, architect. Application is for work at front and rear elevations and for a rooftop addition.

WHEREAS 53 East 67th Street will be changed from a multiple dwelling back to a single family residence;

WHEREAS this building has a cellar, basement, and four floors above the basement;

WHEREAS the building at 51 East 67th Street was a twin and will be used for reference;

WHEREAS the façade of 51 East 67th Street is of brownstone and the façade of 53 East 67th Street was changed from brownstone to white stucco prior to the designation of the landmark district;

WHEREAS there is no consistent style or color on the block;

WHEREAS the white stucco finish, which is preferred by the owner, will be retained;
WHEREAS the stoop of 53 East 67th will be restored to match the stoop of 51 East 67th including the rails;
WHEREAS the new front door and the door below the stoop will be glass with decorative iron;
WHEREAS the cast iron fence and gate to the areaway of 53 East 67th will be restored to match 51 East 67th and the area will be paved with bluestone;
WHEREAS the front façade will receive new, one over one wood windows to match 51 East 67th;
WHEREAS a partial fifth floor, 11 feet high, set back from the front, will be added above the existing roof, and a stair and elevator bulkhead, 12 feet high, will be added above the proposed new roof;
WHEREAS the stair bulkhead will be sloped toward the front to minimize the bulk;
WHEREAS the high point of the roof top addition will be the top of the elevator machine room of 23 feet above the original roof and 12 feet above the new roof;
WHEREAS the roof top addition and bulkhead are not visible from the street;
WHEREAS the lower floors extend into the rear yard and the upper floors are recessed in relation to the required 30 foot deep rear yard;
WHEREAS the lower floors will be demolished and the upper floors will be projected to create a flush façade with a 30 foot rear yard;
WHEREAS the façade will be of brick masonry with wood French doors on the cellar and basement levels and one over one wood windows on floor one through five;
WHEREAS the corbelled brick detail currently at the top of the existing rear façade will be replicated in the new rear façade;
WHEREAS the rear yard will be excavated to the cellar level;
WHEREAS the paving material for the rear yard will be bluestone;
WHEREAS the brick of the rear façade will also be the material for the parapets on the new fifth floor terrace and the roof top;
WHEREAS the bulkhead will be of corrugated metal;
WHEREAS the brick will be red; decorative iron metal work will be black; corrugated metal will be gray, and windows will be a putty color;
WHEREAS this project is appropriate and contextual in the historic district;
THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that this application is approved as presented.
Manhattan Community Board 8 adopted this recommendation by a vote of 44 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.

Re: 1136 5th Avenue (between 94th and 95th Streets) -- Carnegie Hill Historic District -- Anik Pearson, Architect. Application is for window alteration at the penthouse.

WHEREAS 1136 Fifth Avenue is a neo-Renaissance style apartment building designed by George F. Pelham and constructed in 1924-25.

WHEREAS the penthouse, not part of the original building, was added in 1928.

WHEREAS the building adopted a window Master Plan in 1996; the Master Plan does not apply to the penthouse windows.

WHEREAS at the first four floors there are multi-pane windows, at floors 4-15 the windows are single-pane one over one windows.

WHEREAS the windows at the 15th floor are arched; the penthouse presents as the 16th floor.

WHEREAS at the penthouse on the west elevation facing the park there are now 6 large windows: 4 large arched windows/doors, a bay window, and a large picture window. All of these existing 6 windows/doors are multi paned. [As one moves from north to south on the existing west elevation the windows line up as follows: arched window, bay window, arched window, recessed picture window, arched window, arched window.]

WHEREAS the north set of arched window/bay window/arched window is set back 17' from the parapet, the south set of 2 arched windows is set back 11' from the parapet. The picture window between these two sets of windows is recessed and set back 21'

WHEREAS the applicant proposes to remove the 6 windows/doors, including the decorative arches and the decorative bay and replace with new windows. The new replacement windows will be wider at the north and south terraces -- the width of the middle section window will remain the same as the existing picture window and it will no longer be recessed.

WHEREAS the new west penthouse elevation will now have three very large windows: two 25' wide x 9' high windows -- one that will replace the arched French window/bay window/arched French window at the northern end of the elevation-- and one that will replace the 2 arched French windows at the southern end of the elevation. The dimensions for the center picture window will not change.

WHEREAS all of the proposed new windows will now be on the same plane. The recess for the existing picture window will be removed and the bay eliminated.

WHEREAS there will now be three very large plate glass windows on the west facing elevation.

WHEREAS all the windows will be lowered by 1'4" so that the height of the windows will be reduced from 10'11" to 9'7".

WHEREAS new proposed windows will present as large single pane rectangular openings.

WHEREAS the west elevation of the setback penthouse is partially visible behind the parapet from Central Park, across the street.

WHEREAS the applicant is proposing to remove interesting and charming architectural elements [the 4 arched windows, the bay window and the recessed picture window].

WHEREAS modern plate glass windows don't belong in a 1920s apartment building; the arches on the windows at the penthouse resonate with the windows on the 15th floor below which also have arches; the proposed modern windows are inconsistent with the Master Plan for the rest of the building.

WHEREAS the applicant's proposal for the windows is out-of-context and inappropriate within the historic district.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that this application is disapproved as presented.

Manhattan Community Board 8 adopted this recommendation by a vote of 45 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.

Re: 1143 Fifth Avenue (between 95th and 96th Streets)-Carnegie Hill Historic District - Judith Saltzman, architect. Application for a rooftop addition.

WHEREAS 1143 Fifth Avenue is a seven story mid-block building that was designed when the zoning resolution limited the height to 75 feet;

WHEREAS the 75 foot height restriction was eliminated in favor of the prior 150 foot height;

WHEREAS the building does not appear to have been designed for a vertical addition;

WHEREAS the proposed addition was designed to make a clear distinction between the existing structure and the vertical expansion on the front façade but be complementary at the same time;

WHEREAS the existing building has a two story limestone base with a brick façade above;

WHEREAS the existing windows on the front façade are six over six double hung;

WHEREAS the existing cornice would be retained;

WHEREAS the proposed six story vertical expansion has a brick façade with casement windows with transoms above;

WHEREAS the current canvas canopy that hides the entablature above the entrance would be replaced with a metal and glass canopy that reveals the architectural detail;

WHEREAS the garden, formerly at the base of the building, would be recreated to match the depth of the gardens at 1148 and 1140;

WHEREAS the top of the cornice at 1143 would have been 140'-3", lower in height than the top of the cornice of 1148 of 155'-4' and the top of the cornice of 1140 of 158'-7";

WHEREAS the top floor of 1143 would be clad in zinc and sloped away from the façade so that the return of the cornice on 1148 continues to be visible;

WHEREAS the fire escape in the rear of the building would be eliminated and replaced with a fire stair within the building;

WHEREAS the cladding material at the rear of the building would be a rain screen of terracotta or porcelain panels;

WHEREAS balconies would be provided on the rear of the building;

WHEREAS windows would be punched in openings with either double hung or casements;

WHEREAS the color of the rain screen would have matched the existing brick, the windows would have been charcoal, the zinc of a dark hue, and all other metal burnished bronze;

WHEREAS this vertical addition is not appropriate and contextual in the historic district;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that this application is disapproved as presented.

Manhattan Community Board 8 adopted this recommendation by a vote of 29 in favor, 14 opposed, 2 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.

Re: 412 East 85th Street

At the Full Board meeting on Wednesday, October 21, 2015, the board adopted the following resolution regarding the De Vito House -- which is being considered for individual Landmarks Designation. The Landmarks Preservation Commission will hold a public hearing on the backlog of buildings in the Borough of Manhattan on November 12, 2015; this rare wooden house is the only property in the backlog that is within Community Board #8. The Landmarks Committee passed the following resolution in support of landmarking.

WHEREAS 412 East 85th Street is one of a handful of wooden pre-Civil War era structures to survive not just in Yorkville, but in New York City.

WHEREAS 412 East 85th Street is the only vernacular frame house in Yorkville and the 2nd oldest on the Upper East Side.

WHEREAS 412 East 85th Street serves as a reminder of Yorkville's agrarian past, it's shift to a neighborhood of manufacturing and laborers after the Civil War to a community for a diverse range of immigrants in the late 19th century.

WHEREAS 412 East 85th Street has served as a farmhouse, a Civil War hospital, a tombstone manufacturer, a rooming house and a private home.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Landmarks Committee of Community Board #8 supports the designation of 412 East 85th Street as an INDIVIDUAL LANDMARK.

This recommendation was approved by a vote of 40 in favor, 4 opposed, 0 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.

d. Second Avenue Subway Task Force – Craig Lader and M. Barry Schneider, Co-Chairs

Whereas MTA Capital Construction is committed to restoring the entire streetscape along Second Avenue from East 96th to East 65th Street within Manhattan Community District 8 as part of the completion of the Second Avenue Subway project, and

Whereas MTA Capital Construction will be installing new street lights along the aforementioned length of Second Avenue, and

Whereas MTA Capital Construction will be installing street tree enclosures along the aforementioned length of Second Avenue,

Therefore be it resolved that Community Board 8M urges our Council Members Benjamin Kallos and Daniel Garodnick and Borough President Gale Brewer to provide the funding necessary to complete the streetscape improvements from East 65th Street to East 59th Street, so that Second Avenue will have a unified look within the border of Community District 8

Community Board 8M APPROVED the resolution by a vote of 44 in favor, 0 opposed, 1 abstention and 0 not voting for cause.

e. Roosevelt Island Committee – Jeffrey Escobar and Laurence Parnes, Co-Chairs

Re: Proposed FDR Hope Memorial and its Review of Proposed Memorial by NYC Public Design Commission

Whereas, the FDR Hope Memorial Committee of the Roosevelt Island Disabled Association wants to create an inspiring sculpture and plaza that encourages the disabled by depicting FDR as an enabled person in his wheelchair;

Whereas, the sculpture will be located in South Point Park just outside the FDR Four Freedoms Memorial on Roosevelt Island;

Whereas, the project has been in process since 2008;

Whereas, the artist Meredith Bergmann is listed in the Percent for Art artist registry of the NYC Arts Commission and was selected in a competitive process;

Whereas, the conceptual design was done step by step by committee and shown to the community at different stages including a public display at the RIVAA art gallery in 2012. The design is permanently on the Roosevelt Islander blog inviting community comment;

Whereas, the design is tasteful and appropriate with an attempt to be accurate; and

Whereas, since the project is being partially funded with city funds and will require review by the Department of Parks and Recreation and the Public Design Commission.

Therefore, be it RESOLVED that the project is **APPROVED** as presented.

Community Board 8M voted to approve the resolution by a vote of 44 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.

f. Budget Committee – Barbara Chocky, Chair

FY 2017 Community District Needs and Community Board Budget Request Form

There is a new process for preparing the District Needs Statement and Budget Priorities under the direction of the Department of City Planning. The Needs Statement and Budget Request have been combined into one electronic form which is due on October 30, 2015.

Each board is responsible for identifying the three (3) most important priorities overall. The top three priorities for FY2017 for Community Board 8 are:

- 1-Affordable Housing
- 2-Other-East River Esplanade
- 3-Street Conditions

The form is broken down in to seven (7) service and program areas which must select one (1) overall priority for each area.

1-Health Care and Human Services

- Services to reduce homelessness

2-Education and Youth

- Capacity of educational facilities

3-Public Safety

- General crime

4-Core Infrastructure & City Services

- Cleanliness/trash collection

5-Land Use, Housing & Economic Development

- Affordable Housing program

6-Transportation

- [Other]-Funding for Streetscape lighting from 59th to 96th Streets upon the completion of the Second Avenue Subway project

7-Parks, Cultural and Other Community Facilities

- [Other]-Full funding of the East River Esplanade

Manhattan Community Board 8 adopted the FY 2017 Community District Needs and Community Board Budget Request Form by a vote of 44 in favor, 0 opposed, and 1 abstention.

5. Nominating Committee Report

Nominating Committee Chair Cos Spagnoletti reported that the members, who include Daniel Dornbaum, David Helpem, Craig Lader, Peter Patch, Hattie Quarnstrom-Figueroa, and Barbara Rudder, voted on a slate of nominated candidates:

Secretary – Susan Evans, Glen Pandolfino

2nd Vice Chair – Debra Teitelbaum

1st Vice Chair – Scott Falk

Chair – James Clynes

6. Manhattan Borough President’s Report

Jesus Perez, a representative from Manhattan Borough President Gale Brewer’s office, reported on her latest initiatives.

7. Elected Officials' Reports

Shelby Garner, a representative from Congresswoman Carolyn Maloney's office, reported on her latest initiatives. Taylor Digby, a representative from Council Member Ben Kallos' office, reported on his latest initiatives. Will Brightbill, a representative from Council Member Daniel Garodnick's office, reported on his latest initiatives.

Christopher Consalvo, a representative from Senator Liz Krueger's office, reported on her latest initiatives. Cali Madia, a representative from Assembly Member Rebecca Seawright's office, reported on her latest initiatives.

8. Chair's Report – Jim Clynes

Chairman Jim Clynes waived his report.

9. District Manager's Report – Latha Thompson

Latha Thompson waived her report.

10. Old Business

No old business.

11. New Business

No new business.

The meeting was adjourned at 8:55PM.

James G. Clynes, Chairman