Valerie S. Mason Chair

Will Brightbill District Manager



505 Park Avenue, Suite 620 New York, N.Y. 10022-1106 (212) 758-4340 (212) 758-4616 (Fax) www.cb8m.com – Website info@cb8m.com – E-Mail

The City of New York Community Board 8 Manhattan Full Board Meeting PUBLIC HEARING Wednesday, January 15, 2025 - 6:30 PM This meeting was conducted hybrid in person and via Zoom Marymount Manhattan College, Regina Peruggi Room 221 East 71st Street (Between Third and Second Avenues)

MINUTES:

Community Board Members Present: Michael Anderson, Bill Angelos, Elizabeth Ashby, Jennifer Bayer Michaels, Michele Birnbaum, Lori Ann Bores, Taina Borrero, Alida Camp, Saundrea Coleman, Lindsey Cormack, Felice Farber, Sebastian Hallum Clarke, David P. Helpern, Bradley Hershenson, Sahar Husain, Wilma Johnson, Paul Krikler, Craig Lader, John McClement, Evan Meyerson, Jane Parshall, Sharon Pope-Marshall, Margaret Price, Elizabeth Rose, Barbara Rudder, Abraham Salcedo, Jack Sasson, Judy Schneider, Robin Seligson, Russell Squire, Todd Stein, Marco Tamayo, Charles Warren, and Sharon Weiner.

Community Board Members Virtual: P. Gayle Baron (Work Travel), Sarah Chu (Illness), Anthony Cohn (Illness), Valerie Mason (Travel), Maximillian Meyer (Travel), Rita Popper (Illness), and William Sanchez (Health)

Community Board Members Excused: Addeson Lehv, Sacha Sellam, Kimberly Selway, and Adam Wald

Community Board Members Absent: Edward Hartzog, CJ Mossman, and John Philips

Total Attendance: 41

Chair Valerie S. Mason called the meeting to order at 6:30 PM.

- 1. Swearing in of 2025 Community Board 8 Manhattan Officers
- 2. Public Session
 - Evelyn David spoke in opposition to congestion pricing.
 - Stefanie Altman representing NYC Smoke-Free at Public Health Solutions spoke in favor of the program Smoke-Free Housing and Tobacco-Free Outdoors.
 - Laura Battistini spoke in favor of priority boarding for residents of the Roosevelt Island tram.
 - Giovanni Battistini spoke in favor of priority boarding for residents of the Roosevelt Island tram.
 - Rie Orr spoke in favor of priority boarding for residents of the Roosevelt Island tram.
 - Kevin M. Guzman spoke in favor of the Central Park Drives plan and for priority boarding for residents of the Roosevelt Island tram.
 - Maggie Barbour representing 67th Street Library spoke on updates from the 67th Street library.
 - David Menegon representing the Knickerbocker Greys spoke to thank the community and State Senator Liz Kruger's support for getting New York Governor Kathy Hochul to sign the bill to allow the cadets back into the Park Avenue Armory.
 - Howard Moss Rogatnick representing Knickerbocker Greys provided an update regarding that organization.
 - Stephanie Reckler spoke in opposition to the Lenox Hill Hospital.
 - Leslie Samuels spoke in favor of the reconstruction of the Frick Collection's 70th Street Garden in accordance with Russell Page's original design and notes
 - Sharon Pope Marshall representing CIVITAS spoke in favor of the Residential Rezoning of Manufacturing and Commercial Districts in Manhattan Community Board 8 resolution.
 - Galen Lee spoke in favor of the reconstruction of the Frick Collection's 70th Street Garden.
 - Rachel Skiner O'Neill representing the NYPL Webster branch spoke on updates and events from the Webster Library.

- Jenni Whitney spoke in favor of the Central Park Drives plan.
- Ezra Mager spoke in favor of the reconstruction of the Frick Collection's 70th Street Garden.
- Matt Bauer representing Madison Avenue BID spoke on updates from the Madison Avenue BID.
- Gorman Reilly spoke in favor of the Central Park Drives plan.
- Saundrea I. Coleman representing Holmes Isaacs Coalition & 1NYCHA Advocates spoke in favor of advocacy of public housing resident issues seeking help.
- Starlite Harris spoke in favor of priority boarding for residents of the Roosevelt Island tram.
- Louella Streitz spoke in favor of priority boarding for residents of the Roosevelt Island tram.
- Herta Engelhart spoke in favor of priority boarding for residents of the Roosevelt Island tram.
- Joyce Short spoke in favor of priority boarding for residents of the Roosevelt Island tram.
- Moritz Kayser spoke in favor of priority boarding for residents of the Roosevelt Island tram.
- Augusta Gross spoke in favor of the reconstruction of the Frick Collection's 70th Street Garden according to Page's notes and plans.
- Amy Namdar spoke in favor of priority boarding for residents of the Roosevelt Island tram.
- Margie Smith spoke in favor of priority boarding for residents of the Roosevelt Island tram.
- Simina Kroculick spoke in favor of priority boarding for residents of the Roosevelt Island tram.
- Daniel De Martino spoke in favor of the Central Park Drives plan.
- Ken Coughlin spoke in favor of the Central Park Drives plan.
- Felicia Ruff spoke in opposition of priority boarding for residents of the Roosevelt Island tram.
- Christopher Sanders spoke in favor of the Central Park Drives plan.
- Heidi Steinberg spoke in opposition to congestion pricing.
- Andrew Fine representing NYC E-Vehicle Safety Alliance spoke in opposition to e-bike infrastructure in Central Park.
- Schuyler Borden spoke in favor of priority boarding for residents of the Roosevelt Island tram.
- Cindy Harvey spoke in favor of priority boarding for residents of the Roosevelt Island tram.
- Hindy Schachter spoke in favor of the Central Park Drives plan.
- Dylan Jeronimo Kennedy spoke in favor of the Central Park Drives plan.
- Peggy Yannas spoke in favor of the enforcement of the rules of the road and getting e-bikes off the road.
- Andrew Rosenthal spoke in favor of the Central Park Drives plan.
- Ray Yu spoke in opposition to priority boarding for residents of the Roosevelt Island tram.
- David Saltonstall representing the Central Park Conservancy spoke in favor of the Central Park Drives plan.
- Autumn Bosco spoke in favor of priority boarding for residents of the Roosevelt Island tram.
- 3. Adoption of the Agenda Agenda Adopted

4. Adoption of the Minutes – Minutes Adopted

5. Manhattan Borough President's Report

Manhattan Borough President's Office Community Affairs Liaison Sowaibah Shahbaz reported on their latest initiatives and updates.

6. Elected Officials' Reports

- Assembly Member Rebecca Seawright
- State Senator Jose Serrano
- State Senator Liz Krueger
- Council Member Keith Powers
- Council Member Julie Menin
- Manhattan District Attorney Alvin Bragg

7. Chair's Report – Valerie S. Mason

Chair Valerie S. Mason gave her report.

8. District Manager's Report – Will Brightbill

District Manager Will Brightbill gave his report.

9. Committee Reports and Action Items

A. Roosevelt Island Committee – Paul Krikler, Chair

RI-1: Item 5

Item 5: Priority Boarding of the Roosevelt Island Tram for New York City Residents

WHEREAS the Roosevelt Island Tram has ceased to be available to New York City residents as a reliable method of transportation; and

WHEREAS a combination of factors has led to a massive increase in the use of the tram by tourists, particularly since the tram has recently appeared in a number of "Top 10 Things to do in NYC" lists and social media videos; and

WHEREAS the tram platforms are crowded, and lines often form outside the platform; and

WHEREAS what used to be crowding only at certain limited times of day and certain times of year is virtually now a constant. New York City residents now struggle to get onto the tram, often starting in the morning until late into the night; and

WHEREAS many residents have given up on the tram while others struggle through the chaos. This is particularly onerous for residents who may be elderly or unable to walk or stand easily. Roosevelt Island is home to a lot of elderly people and to people with limited mobility. The same is also true for families with young children. The vast majority of school age children who live on Roosevelt Island commute to school in Manhattan and many to District 2 schools on the Upper East Side; and

WHEREAS New York Transportation Law § 102 says: "No common carrier shall make or give any undue or unreasonable preference or advantage to any person..." It doesn't say there can't be any preference shown. It is, therefore, reasonable to give New York City residents priority boarding and return the tram to its original intended use of urban transportation; and

WHEREAS the tram is funded by the Roosevelt Island residents through resident land leases and not by New York City or New York State; and

WHEREAS precedents for preferential treatment exist, such as:

- In 1976, "Roosevelt Island residents were issued priority passes for the tram and a minibus that travels from the tramway station through the island's Main Street" due to the number of tourists displacing residents (Source: NY Times);
- On December 7, 2023, Governor Hochul announced preferential treatment for residents of Queens and The Bronx who use the Henry Hudson Bridge and Cross Bay Bridge with a toll rebate program, which is not available for those who do not live in Queens or The Bronx (Release by Gov. Hochul);

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT Community Board 8 Manhattan believes that priority boarding of the tram for New York City residents is necessary and in the best interests of the community.

THEREFORE BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT Community Board 8 Manhattan requests that RIOC presents an action plan that fully prioritizes New York City residents' boarding of the tram.

Community Board 8 Manhattan approved this resolution by a vote of 32 in favor, 9 opposed, 0 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.

B. Transportation Committee – Charles Warren and Craig Lader, Co-Chairs

TR-1: Item 1

Item 1: Central Park Drives

WHEREAS; the Central Park Conservancy has made a number of recommendations to make improvements in the roadways in the Park; and

WHEREAS; the Central Park Drive is in need of a redesign to improve safety for people on foot, on bikes, and other modes of transportation; and

WHEREAS; pedestrians find crossing the Drives particularly challenging in the current configuration; and

WHEREAS; the proposed improvements and approach are intended to benefit safety and mobility for all users of the roadways; and

WHEREAS; Community Board 8 will review the Medium-Term and Long-Term proposals from the Central Park Conservancy at a later date when the Conservancy has further developed and studied those plans; and

WHEREAS; the presence of e-bikes and e-scooters in Central Park is viewed by many as a serious safety issue; and

WHEREAS; Community Board 8 has previously passed a resolution objecting to the use of the Park by e-bikes and e-scooters in connection with a DOT pilot program scheduled to end in May 2025; and

WHEREAS; the proposals presented by the Central Park Conservancy in relation to improving safety and slowing down bikes are agnostic towards the legality of e-bikes in Central Park in the long-term;

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that Community Board 8 approves as presented by the Central Park Conservancy the Near-Term recommendations of the Central Park Drives Safety and Circulation Study; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, Community Board 8 reiterates its position in opposition to the presence of e-bikes and e-scooters in Central Park as presented in its prior resolution.

Community Board 8 Manhattan approved this resolution by a vote of 35 in favor, 6 opposed, 0 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.

C. Landmarks Committee – Anthony Cohn and David Helpern, Co-Chairs

LM-1: Item 1 LM-2: Item 2 LM-3: Item 3 Part A LM-4: Item 3 Part B

Item 1: 23 East 74th Street (Upper East Side Historic District)

WHEREAS 23 East 74th Street is an apartment house located on the north side of East 74th Street one lot west of Madison Avenue; and

WHEREAS this apartment on the 14th floor was created as a full floor combination in 1998 with the current "solarium" enclosure as part of the combination; and

WHEREAS the "solarium" replaced an awning on the open terrace at least since the 1939-1940 Tax Photographs; and

WHEREAS the applicant proposes a new "solarium" structure with a footprint identical to that of the existing structure but with a flatter roof, color to match existing windows, and more robust operable openings; and

WHEREAS the new "solarium" presents a more visible presence when viewed from the street largely due to the flatter roof structure, although it is no taller in overall height; and

WHEREAS the "solarium" is on a secondary façade and presents its shorter dimension toward Madison Avenue; and

WHEREAS the distance from the street and the relative modesty of the change were acknowledged by the Committee;

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that this application is APPROVED AS PRESENTED.

Community Board 8 Manhattan approved this resolution by a vote of 39 in favor, 1 opposed, 0 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.

Item 2: 809 Madison Avenue (Upper East Side Historic District)

WHEREAS there are two retail stores on the ground floor of the northeast portion of the two-story base of 809 Madison Avenue; and

WHEREAS the two stores originally had matching brass storefronts; and

WHEREAS the storefront of the northerly store on the corner was changed to a storefront that did not match the overall design, the details, or the material of the original storefronts; and

WHEREAS the applicant seeks to add a storefront display window on the northern façade at the northeast corner of the building; and

WHEREAS there are similar corner conditions along Madison Avenue; and

WHEREAS the proposed display window will match the original storefront except for the doorway and the crown over the doorway that includes the street address; and

WHEREAS the proposed display window will match the storefront on the eastern end of the north façade except for the door; and

WHEREAS the proposed display window will be compatible with the character and materials of the main building entrance on East 68th Street; and

WHEREAS the proposed and original storefronts will have the same materials: brass frame, black metal sign band, black painted metal band with brass verticals at the bottom of the frame, and granite base; and

WHEREAS the proposed storefront frame will be proportioned to match and align with the original storefront; and

WHEREAS the glass panel within the frame will be 6'-10" wide by 5'-2" high; and

WHEREAS the sign band will be ten inches high, and the brass letters will be six inches high to match the original storefront; and

WHEREAS the proposed display window will be centered on the line of residential windows above; and

WHEREAS the proposed display window anticipates the removal and replacement of the non-historic storefront with a new storefront to match the historic storefront; and

WHEREAS the proposed display window will appear as if it were part of the original design of the base of the building; and

WHEREAS the proposed storefront display window is appropriate and contextual within the historic district;

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that this application is APPROVED AS PRESENTED.

Community Board 8 Manhattan approved this resolution by a vote of 38 in favor, 3 opposed, 0 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.

Item 3: 945 Madison Avenue: Former Whitney Museum of American Art (Upper East Side Historic District)

WHEREAS 945 Madison Avenue has been calendared for possible designation as an individual and interior landmark by the New York City Landmarks Preservation Commission; and

WHEREAS 945 Madison Avenue is the former home of the Whitney Museum and has been acquired by Sotheby's for use as an auction house and administrative offices; and

THIS APPLICATION IS DIVIDED INTO TWO PARTS: Part A — The new elevator and elevator bulkhead and the new signage, Part B — The new lighting and ceiling treatment of the existing marquee (canopy)

Part A: The New Elevator and Elevator Bulkhead and the New Signage

WHEREAS this application has been split into two parts for the purposes of the resolution – the new elevator and elevator bulkhead and the new signage are taken together as the first part; and

WHEREAS the proposed elevator will replace an existing elevator that only served the first floor and the basements, requiring exhibition/auction material entering the building to go down to the basement before being delivered to the display galleries; and

WHEREAS the proposed elevator will serve all floors and allow for the more direct loading of exhibitions; and

WHEREAS the proposed elevator bulkhead on the roof will be 10'-3" taller than the adjacent egress stair bulkhead and 18' square; and

WHEREAS the proposed bulkhead will sit at more or less the center of the east demising wall and will be visible only from a stretch of the north side of 75th Street from mid-block to just east of Park Avenue; and

WHEREAS the applicants propose to continue the board-formed concrete of the existing demising walls both on the east and south and to continue the pattern and directionality of the other roof structures; and

WHEREAS the bulkhead will present a minimal change to the overall appearance of the building; and

WHEREAS several members of the public and the Committee noted that the change in use from a museum to an auction house will significantly increase the number of deliveries and urged that the applicants come before other appropriate committees in order to clarify this issue; and

WHEREAS the applicants propose a minor change to the entry signage on Madison Avenue; and

WHEREAS the applicants propose to add black-painted cut-out metal letters to the lower frame of the existing poured concrete vitrine at the Madison Avenue entrance; and

WHEREAS the letters will be 8" high and will be attached to the vitrine on the underside of the vitrine at an existing concrete joint; and

WHEREAS the letters will be rear illuminated by the use of dimmable LEDs; and

WHEREAS the display panel will be lit using existing fixtures mounted within the vitrine; and

WHEREAS the changes to the signage and vitrine lighting will be minimal;

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that Part A of this application is APPROVED AS PRESENTED.

Community Board 8 Manhattan approved Part A of this resolution by a vote of 39 in favor, 1 opposed, 1 abstention, and 0 not voting for cause.

Part B: The New Lighting and Ceiling Treatment of the Existing Marquee (Canopy)

WHEREAS this application has been split into two parts for the purposes of the resolution – the new lighting and ceiling treatment of the existing marquee (canopy) is taken as the second part; and

WHEREAS the applicant proposes to add LED strip lighting to the underside of the existing marquee/canopy and to lighten the surface of the underside with a "wash" of acrylic paint to brighten the entrance; and

WHEREAS the proposed "wash" will be readily reversible and will not obscure the board-formed pattern of the surface; and

WHEREAS the proposed lighting will be powered from an existing conduit; and

WHEREAS the Committee noted that there are no other painted concrete surfaces on the building exterior; and

WHEREAS the Committee noted that a sensitive and thorough cleaning of the canopy (and indeed the entire visible concrete structure) will brighten the underside of the marquee/canopy as can be seen in the photos dating from the building's construction; and

WHEREAS notwithstanding the applicants' good intentions and sensitivity to the existing structure, the Committee believes that this intervention will upset the balance between the bare exterior and the brightly lit lobby within;

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that Part B of this application is DISAPPROVED AS PRESENTED.

Community Board 8 Manhattan approved Part B of this resolution by a vote of 24 in favor, 15 opposed, 0 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.

D. Task Force for Residential Rezoning – Elizabeth Rose and Adam Wald, Co-Chairs

RR-1: Item 1

Item 1: Residential Rezoning of Manufacturing and Commercial Districts in Manhattan Community District 8

Whereas the CB8M Task Force for Residential Rezoning was formed in response to a Board resolution sent to the NYC Department of City Planning in June 2023, and

Whereas the Task Force's goal is to address the need for more affordable housing in CB8M by proposing zoning actions that would trigger MIH – Mandatory Inclusionary Housing, and

Whereas the Task Force focused on the portions of blocks in CB8 that are zoned for manufacturing or commercial use where new residential use is not allowed, and

Whereas the City is currently in a housing crisis, and

Whereas CB8M has consistently ranked affordable housing as a top priority in the District Needs Statement, and

Whereas the Task Force developed guidelines for the new zone types in order to create rationale and consistency in its recommendations, while balancing the interests in allowing for new housing vs. preserving existing housing, neighborhood character, and scale, and

Whereas the Task Force held eight (8) prior public meetings in which it reviewed the existing conditions, housing units, and contextual photographs for each block in the study, and

Whereas the Task Force made initial proposals, facilitated discussion on each of those proposals and in some cases modified those proposals as a result of the feedback during those meetings, and

Whereas the Task Force proposed a mix of zones including R8B, R8A, R10A and R10 (or equivalent) based on the characteristics of each block, as detailed in the summary presentation,

Block	Street	Final Proposal
1556	94 th South Side of block between 1 st & 2 nd	R8A
1557	94 th North Side – 95 th South Side between 1 st & 2 nd	R10A
1569	90th South Side between 1st & York	R8A
1570	90th North Side between 1st & York	R8B

1570	91st South Side between 1st & York	R8A
1571	91st North Side between 1st & York	R8A
1571	92nd South Side between 1st & York	R10A
1587	90th North Side between York & River	No action (Asphalt Green)
1435	West Side of 1st Ave between 60-61	R10 Commercial Equivalent
1435	60th North Side between 1st & 2nd	R10
1435	61st South Side between 1st & 2nd	R8A
1456	East Side of First Ave between 61-62	R10 Commercial Equivalent
1456	61st North Side between 1st & York	R8A
1456	62nd South Side between 1st & York	R8A
1474	East Side of York Ave between 61-62 including inner lot	R10
1484	73rd South Side between York & River	R10
1485	73rd North Side between York & River	R8B
1485	74th S between York & River	R10A
1486	74th North Side – 75th South Side between York & River	M3-2 site not in scope (ConEd)
1487	75th North Side between York & River	R10A
1487	76th South Side between York & River	R8A

Therefore, be it resolved that Community Board 8 Manhattan supports the recommendations of the Task Force for Residential Rezoning and directs the Task Force to submit their proposal to the Department of City Planning.

Community Board 8 Manhattan unanimously approved this resolution by a vote of 38 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.

E. Street Life Committee – Lindsey Cormack and Abraham Salcedo, Co-Chairs

SL-1: Items 1A, 1C, 1D, 2A, 2B – Unanimous Approvals SL-2: Item 1B Approval (Failed) SL-3: Item 1B Substitute Disapproval

Item 1A: <u>Horae Play LLC, dba Horae Play, 141 East 88th Street, 1325 Lexington Avenue (Between East 88th Street and East 89th Street)</u>

WHEREAS this is a New Application and Temporary Retail Permit for a Wine, Beer, and Cider License; and

WHEREAS no one from the public objected; and

WHEREAS the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8's stipulations above; therefore

BE IT RESOLVED that the application is APPROVED, subject to the stipulations above.

Item 1C: Jacaranda Club, LLC dba Sapphire, 333 East 60th Street (Between Ed Koch Queensboro Bridge Upper Roadway and First Avenue)

WHEREAS this is a 30-Day Waiver request for a Renewal application for a Liquor, Wine, Beer, and Cider License; and

WHEREAS no one from the public objected; and

WHEREAS the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8's stipulations above; therefore

BE IT RESOLVED that the application is **APPROVED**, **subject to the stipulations above**.

Item 1D: 229 Cavan Cork Tavern Inc, dba Trinity Pub, 229 East 84th Street (Between Third Avenue and Second Avenue)

WHEREAS this is a Renewal application for a Liquor, Wine, Beer, and Cider License; and

WHEREAS no one from the public objected; and

WHEREAS the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8's stipulations above; therefore

BE IT RESOLVED that the application is **APPROVED**, **subject to the stipulations above**.

Item 2A: Zannimad Food Corp, dba Three Guys, 960 Madison Avenue (Between East 75th Street and East 76th Street)

WHEREAS this is a New Application to the Department of Transportation for a Roadway Café – 5 Tables and 20 Chairs; and

WHEREAS no one from the public objected; and

WHEREAS the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8's stipulations above; therefore

BE IT RESOLVED that the application is **APPROVED**, **subject to the stipulations above**.

Item 2B: <u>APQ First Avenue NY, LLC, dba Le Pain Quotidien, 1270 First Avenue (Between East 68th Street and East 69th Street)</u>

WHEREAS this is a New Application to the Department of Transportation for a Sidewalk Café – Unenclosed – 8 Tables and 16 Chairs; and

WHEREAS no one from the public objected; and

WHEREAS the applicant has agreed to Community Board 8's stipulations above; therefore

BE IT RESOLVED that the application is **APPROVED**, **subject to the stipulations above**.

Community Board 8 Manhattan unanimously approved these resolutions by a vote of 38 in favor, 0 opposed, 0 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.

Item 1B: LPB7 LLC, dba La Pecora Bianca, 1562 Second Avenue (Between East 81st Street and East 82nd Street)

A motion to approve this application failed by a vote of 6 in favor, 31 opposed, 2 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.

A substitute motion to disapprove this application was introduced.

WHEREAS this is an Alteration Application for Expansion onto Municipal Property; and

WHEREAS no one from the public objected; and

WHEREAS, the applicant had agreed that they would adhere to DOT regulations regarding sidewalk cafes; and

WHEREAS, subsequent to the meeting it was observed that the applicant's sidewalk cafe did not allow for the requisite sidewalk clearances; therefore

BE IT RESOLVED that the application is **DISAPPROVED**, subject to the stipulations above.

Community Board 8 Manhattan approved this resolution by a vote of 33 in favor, 4 opposed, 2 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.

F. Small Business Committee – Bill Angelos and Alida Camp, Co-Chairs

SB-1: Item 1

Item 1: The creation of a unit within the Department of Small Business Services (SBS) or another agency to enforce rules and laws pertaining to commercial tenant harassment

WHEREAS there are instances of commercial tenant harassment; and

WHEREAS there are specific rules and laws that prohibit tenant harassment; and

WHEREAS the City has no mechanism to enforce compliance with the anti-commercial tenant harassment; and

WHEREAS the City provides other types of support and resources for small businesses; and

WHEREAS the SBS has no power to enforce; and

WHEREAS without enforcement, there is no remedy for small businesses that have been subject to landlord harassment other than going to court; and

WHEREAS going through litigation to pursue a remedy against a harassing landlord could take years and cost upwards of \$100,000;

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED Community Board 8 calls on the City to create a unit within the SBS or another agency to enforce rules and laws pertaining to tenant harassment.

Community Board 8 Manhattan approved this resolution by a vote of 33 in favor, 3 opposed, 2 abstentions, and 0 not voting for cause.

- 10. Old Business No items of Old Business were discussed.
- 11. New Business No items of New Business were discussed.

The meeting was adjourned at 9:30 PM.

Valerie S. Mason, Chair

Name		RI-1	TR-1	LM-1	LM-2	LM-3	LM-4	RR-1	SL-1	SL-2	SL-3	SB-1
ANDERSON, MICHAEL	Present	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No						
ANGELOS, BILL	Present	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes						
ASHBY, ELIZABETH	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Abst	Abst	Yes
BARON, P. GAYLE	Virtual	Yes	Yes		Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
				Yes		Yes						
BAYER MICHAELS, JENNIFER	Present	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
BIRNBAUM, MICHELE	Present	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No
BORES, LORI ANN	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
BORRERO, TAINA	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
CAMP, ALIDA	Present	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes						
CHU, SARAH	Virtual	Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
COHN, ANTHONY	Virtual	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes						
COLEMAN, SAUNDREA	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
CORMACK, LINDSEY	Present	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes						
FARBER, FELICE	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Abst
HALLUM CLARKE, SEBASTIAN	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Abst	Yes	Yes
HARTZOG, EDWARD	Absent-											
HERSHENSON, BRADLEY	Present	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes						
HELPERN, DAVID P.	Present	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
HUSAIN, SAHAR	Present	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes						
JOHNSON, WILMA	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes				Yes	Abst	Yes
KRIKLER, PAUL	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
LADER, CRAIG	Present	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
LEHV, ADDESON	Excused-											
MASON, VALERIE	Virtual	Yes	Yes									
MCCLEMENT, JOHN	Present	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes						
MEYER, MAXIMILLIAN	Virtual	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	100	100	No	Yes	Yes
MEYERSON, EVAN	Present	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes						
MOSSMAN, CJ	Absent	105	105	105	105	105	105	105	105	110	165	105
PARSHALL, JANE	Present	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
PHILIPS, JOHN	Absent	NO	NO	105	105	105	103	103	103	NO	105	103
POPE-MARSHALL, SHARON	Present	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes						
POPPER, RITA	Virtual	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes						
PRICE, MARGARET				Yes	Yes			Yes	Yes	No		Yes
ROSE, ELIZABETH	Present	Yes	No			Yes	Yes				Yes	
	Present	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes						
RUDDER, BARBARA	Present	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes						
SALCEDO, ABRAHAM	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Abst	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Abst
SANCHEZ, WILLIAM	Virtual	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	NT	37	37	\$7		X 7
SASSON, JACK	Present	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
SCHNEIDER, JUDY	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
SELIGSON, ROBIN	Present	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
SELLAM, SACHA	Excused-											
SELWAY, KIMBERLY	Excused-											
SQUIRE, RUSSELL	Present	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes						
STEIN, TODD	Present	Yes	Yes	No	Yes							
TAMAYO, MARCO	Present	No	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No
WALD, ADAM	Excused-											
WARREN, CHARLES	Present	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes
WEINER, SHARON	Present	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
Total Yes		32	35	39	38	39	24	38	38	6	33	33
Total No		9	6	1	3	1	15	0	0	31	4	3
Total Abstain		0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	2	2
Total Not Vote For Cause		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Votes	34 Quorum	41	41	40	41	41	39	38	38	39	39	38
	0 , 1 ,							<u> </u>	<u> </u>			<u> </u>